CS 6150: HW1 – Divide and Conquer, Recursion

Submission date: Thursday, Sep 15, 2016

This assignment has 5 questions, for a total of 50 points. Unless otherwise specified, complete and reasoned arguments will be expected for all answers.

Question	Points	Score
Recurrences, recurrences	20	
Sorting "nearby" numbers	5	
Selecting in a Union	10	
Closest pair of restaurants in Manhattan	10	
Linear Time Median	5	
Total:	50	

- - Solve each of the recurrences below, and give the best $O(\cdot)$ bound you can for each of them:
 - (a) [2] T(n) = 4T(n/4) + n.
 - (b) [2] T(n) = 4T(n/4) + 1.
 - (c) [2] T(n) = T(n-1) + n.
 - (d) [4] $T(n) = T(n/3) + T(n/2) + \sqrt{n}$.
 - (e) [4] $T(n) = T(\sqrt{n}) + 4$.
 - (f) [6] Suppose we have T(n) = 3T(n/2) + g(n). Analyze the behavior when (a) $g(n) = n^2$ (b) g(n) = n, and (c) $g(n) = n^{\log_2 3}$. (This will illustrate the so-called master theorem.)

$$d(p,q) = |x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|.$$

(I.e., it is the "walking distance" if we only have roads along the axes – as is the case in Manhattan, or say Salt Lake).

Given n points (all of whose x, y coordinates are different, for simplicity), our goal is to find the two closest points, in Manhattan distance. Consider the following algorithm:

- 1. Find an x such that precisely n/2 points have $x_i \leq x$ (i.e., a vertical line that divides the points into two). Let $L = \{(x_i, y_i) \mid x_i \leq x\}$, and $R = \{(x_i, y_i) \mid x_i > x\}$.
- 2. Now, recurse on sets L and R, and find the closest pairs in these two subsets of points. Let d be the smaller of the two distances.
- 3. It remains to be seen whether there is a point in L and a point in R that are less than distance d from each other. Let S be the set of points whose x-coordinates are in the interval [x-d,x+d]. Note that only these points matter.
- 4. Sort the points in S now by their y coordinates, and for each point, compute the distance of the point to the **next 13** points in the sorted list. Let d' be the minimum distance computed in this process.
- 5. Return $\min(d', d)$.

Write the answers to the following as functions of k:

- (a) [1] Suppose we skip Steps 3-4 in the algorithm, and simply return d. Give an instance in which the answer output is wrong.
- (b) [5] Prove that the algorithm outputs the minimum distance. [Hint: prove the following statement: suppose we have 7 points p_1, \ldots, p_7 in a $d \times d$ square, then there exist two of them that have a distance strictly smaller than d.] (You get partial credit for proving the hint.)
- (c) [4] Describe briefly how to implement each step of the algorithm, and prove that the run time satisfies the recurrence:

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n \log n).$$

Show that it leads to the bound $T(n) \leq O(n \log^2 n)$.

[Source: Dasgupta et al. textbook]

Suppose A[0...n] is an array of n distinct integers. We saw in class that to find the median of A[0...n-1], it helps to first find a near median.¹ If $T_{\text{near-median}}(n)$ is the time taken for this step, we saw in class that

$$T_{\text{median}}(n) \le T_{\text{near-median}}(n) + T_{\text{median}}(3n/4) + O(n).$$

In this exercise, we show that $T_{\text{near-median}}(n) \leq T_{\text{median}}(n/5) + O(n)$. We saw in class that this leads to an overall bound of O(n) for $T_{\text{median}}(n)$.

Consider the following procedure:

- 1. Divide the elements of A into groups of 5, arbitrarily. Let us call the sets obtained $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{n/5}$.
- 2. For each $i, 1 \le i \le n/5$, sort the elements of B_i in increasing order.
- 3. Form the array C, consisting of the *middle* (i.e., the third smallest) elements of the B_i 's.
- 4. Let M be the median of the numbers C.

For this procedure, prove the following:

- (a) [2] Its running time is $T_{\text{median}}(n/5) + O(n)$.
- (b) [3] The element M is a near median for the array A. (I.e., prove that there exist at least n/4 elements of A that are $\leq M$, as well as at least n/4 elements of A that are $\geq M$.)

Formally, the near median is an element A[i] with the guarantee that there are at least n/4 elements of A that are $\geq A[i]$, and at least n/4 elements of A that are $\leq A[i]$.