

Applications of Chatterjee's Correlation in MCMC

Vivek Kumar Singh

BS/MTH/190988

Supervisor: Dr. Dootika Vats



March 23, 2022

Contents

1	About the new coefficient of correlation paper	2
1.1	Problems with correlation coefficients	2
1.2	Chatterjee Correlation Coefficient	3
1.2.1	Questions relevant to our purpose and some TODOs .	4
1.2.2	Some remarks till now	4
2	Some new results related to the Chatterjee correlation and Markov chains	6
3	TODOs and Questions to ask in the meeting	12

Chapter 1

About the new coefficient of correlation paper

1.1 Problems with correlation coefficients

1. The three most popular classical measures of statistical association are Pearson's correlation coefficient, Spearman's ρ , and Kendall's τ .
2. They are very good at detecting linear or monotone relations between variables, and have well developed statistical theories. But they fail to detect non-monotone associations (e.g. periodic relations).
3. Many coefficients have been proposed in the past to address these issues. But most of them have these two problems.
 - (a) One would like the coefficient to be close to its maximum value iff one variable is close to being a noiseless function of the other. For example in Pearson coefficient, it is 1 iff one variable is close to being a linear function of the other. They are 1 when it one variable is a noiseless function of the other but the converse doesn't hold.
 - (b) They do not have simple asymptotic theories under the hypothesis of independence that facilitate the quick computation of p-values for testing independence. We have to rely on expensive permutation tests or bootstrap to test for independence.

4. The Chatterjee correlation coefficient (not the official name) presented in the next section addresses the above two issues.

1.2 Chatterjee Correlation Coefficient

This coefficient is (a) as simple as the classical ones, (b) is a consistent estimator of some measure of dependence which is 0 iff the variables are independent, and 1 iff one is a measurable function of the other, and (c) has a simple asymptotic theory under the hypothesis of independence, like the classical coefficients.

Let (X, Y) be a pair of random variables, where Y is not a constant (for our purposes, both X and Y are continuous). Let $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ be i.i.d. pairs following the same distribution as (X, Y) .

1. The case when X_i 's and Y_i 's have no ties. Rearrange the data as $(X_{(1)}, Y_{(1)}), \dots, (X_{(n)}, Y_{(n)})$ such that $X_{(1)} < \dots < X_{(n)}$. Let r_i be the rank of $Y_{(i)}$, i.e. the number of j such that $Y_{(j)} \leq Y_{(i)}$. Then the correlation coefficient ξ_n is defined to be

$$\xi_n(X, Y) := 1 - \frac{3 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |r_{i+1} - r_i|}{n^2 - 1}$$

2. In the case of ties. If there are ties in X_i 's, choose an increasing arrangement as follows and break ties uniformly at random. Let r_i defined as above, and define l_i to be the number of j such that $Y_{(j)} \geq Y_{(i)}$. Define

$$\xi_n(X, Y) := 1 - \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |r_{i+1} - r_i|}{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} l_i (n - l_i)}$$

. When there are no ties among the Y_i 's, l_1, \dots, l_n is just a permutation of $1, \dots, n$ and the denominator is just $n(n^2 - 1)/3$, which reduces to the definition in the no ties case.

Theorem 1.1. If Y is not almost surely a constant, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\xi_n(X, Y)$ converges almost surely to the deterministic limit

$$\xi(X, Y) := \frac{\int \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}(1_{\{Y \geq t\}} | X)) d\mu(t)}{\int \text{Var}(1_{\{Y \geq t\}}) d\mu(t)}$$

where μ is the pdf of Y . This limit belongs to the interval $[0, 1]$. It is 0 iff X and Y are independent, and it is 1 iff there is a measurable function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $Y = f(X)$ almost surely.

1.2.1 Questions relevant to our purpose and some TODOs

1. Develop an intuitive understanding of Theorem 1.1 [Read the proof given in Section 8 and [10] of the main paper].
2. How good is the estimator $\xi_n(X, Y)$ if our data is not i.i.d.? [Simulate some basic examples. Do for normal distribution (both for i.i.d. and MCMC data)]
3. If X_1, \dots, X_n is a MC, is $\xi(X_k, X_{k+j})$ independent of k as seen in the Pearson correlation, i.e. same for all k ?
4. If X_1, \dots, X_n is a MC, $\xi(X_k, X_{k+j}) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$? It seems true intuitively as $\xi(X, Y) = 0$ iff X, Y are independent, and in this case, as X_k, X_{k+j} are independent as $j \rightarrow \infty$ [Prove that the independence happens in the limiting case and done!]
5. For the above MC, is $\xi(X_k, X_{k+j})$ similar to $Corr(X_k, X_{k+j})$ for similar j ? [Simulate some basic examples, AR(1), AR(2)]

1.2.2 Some remarks till now

1. ξ_n is not symmetric in X, Y . This is intentional and useful as we might want to study if Y is a measurable function of X , or X is a measurable function of Y .

To get a symmetric coefficient, it suffices to consider $\max(\xi_n(X, Y), \xi_n(Y, X))$. This estimator by Theorem 1.1 will converge to the maximum of respective limits. This will be equal to 0 iff X, Y are independent, and 1 iff X is a measurable function of Y or Y is a measurable function of X .

2. $\xi(X, Y) \in [0, 1]$

Proof: We know that for any random variables X and Y ,

$$Var(X) = Var(\mathbb{E}(X|Y)) + \mathbb{E}(Var(X|Y))$$

$$\implies \text{Var}(1_{\{Y \geq t\}}) \geq \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}(1_{\{Y \geq t\}}|X))$$

Hence the integral will always be in $[0, 1]$.

3. If X and Y are independent, then $\mathbb{E}(1_{\{Y \geq t\}})$ is a constant, and so $\xi(X, Y) = 0$
 If X is a measurable function of Y , then $\mathbb{E}(1_{\{Y \geq t\}}|X) = 1_{\{Y \geq t\}}$, and so $\xi(X, Y) = 1$.
4. If there are no ties among Y_i 's, then the maximum possible value of $\xi_n(X, Y)$ is $\frac{n-2}{n+1}$, attained when $X_i = Y_i$ for all i . This is very small for small n . Say for $n = 20$, maximum value of $\xi_n(X, Y)$ is 0.86.
5. On the other hand, the smallest value of $\xi_n(X, Y)$ is $-1/2 + O(1/n)$, attained when top $n/2$ values of Y_i are placed alternately with the bottom $n/2$ values. This is strange as it suggests that the value can go way below 0, but theorem 1.1 states that in the limiting case, it is non-negative. The only interpretation of a negative value is that the data used for estimation is correlated (Our MCMC case).

Chapter 2

Some new results related to the Chatterjee correlation and Markov chains

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a stationary, time homogeneous Markov chain with distribution π .

Theorem 2.1. $Cov(X_k, X_{k+t})$ is independent of k .

Proof. We know that

$$Cov(X_k, X_{k+t}) = \mathbb{E}[X_k X_{k+t}] - \mathbb{E}[X_k] \mathbb{E}[X_{k+t}]$$

Also, as X_n is a stationary markov chain, $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = \mu$, where μ is the mean of the distribution π . And,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_k X_{k+t}] = \int \int xy f_{(X_k, X_{k+t})}(x, y) dx dy$$

where $f_{(X_k, X_{k+t})}$ is the joint density of X_k and X_{k+t} . Now as the markov chain is stationary, this density is dependent only on t , i.e.

$$f_{(X_k, X_{k+t})} = f_{(X_1, X_{1+t})}$$

As both the terms of $Cov(X_k, X_{k+t})$ are independent of k , $Cov(X_k, X_{k+t})$ is independent of k .

□

Theorem 2.2. $\xi(X_n, X_{n+k})$ is independent of n .

Proof.

$$\xi_{(X_n, X_{n+k})} = \frac{\int \text{Var} [\mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_{n+k} \geq t\}} | X_n = x]] d\pi(t)}{\int \text{Var} [1_{\{X_{n+k} \geq t\}}] d\pi(t)} \quad (2.1)$$

We'll prove that both the numerator and the denominator are independent of k .

We can write

$$\mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_{n+k} \geq t\}} | X_n = x] = \Pr(X_{n+k} \geq t | X_n = x)$$

and by time-homogeneity of our Markov chain

$$\Pr(X_{n+k} \geq t | X_n = x) = \int_t^\infty P^k(x, dy)$$

which is independent of n . And hence,

$$\int \text{Var} \left[\int_t^\infty P^k(x, dy) \right] d\pi(u) \quad (2.2)$$

is also independent of n .

Now for the denominator, we know by stationarity of our Markov chain that $X_n \sim \pi$, so for any function f , $f(X_n) \sim \pi'$ for some distribution π' , and therefore the variance will be same for all n .

Let $f_t : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_t(X) = 1_{\{X \geq t\}}$.

We can write the denominator as

$$\int \text{Var} [f_t(X_{n+k})] d\pi(t)$$

where,

$$\text{Var} [f_t(X_{n+k})] = \text{Var} [f_t(X_1)]$$

Therefore,

$$\int \text{Var} [f_t(X_{n+k})] d\pi(t)$$

is independent of both n and k .

As both the numerator and denominator are independent of n , we can conclude that $\xi(X_n, X_{n+k})$ is independent of n . \square

Theorem 2.3. $\xi(X_n, X_{n+k}) = \xi(X_{n+k}, X_n)$ for time reversal Markov chains.

Proof. From (2), we know that the denominator of $\xi(X_n, X_{n+k})$ is independent of both n and k . So we only have to prove that the numerator is symmetric.

We have to show that

$$\int \text{Var} [\text{Pr}(X_{n+k} \geq t | X_n)] d\pi(t) = \int \text{Var} [\text{Pr}(X_n \geq t | X_{n+k})] d\pi(t)$$

Lemma 1. *For a time reversible Markov chain, X_n and X_{n+k} are exchangeable, i.e.*

$$f_{(X_n, X_{n+k})}(x, y) = f_{(X_{n+k}, X_n)}(x, y) \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

Proof. Will prove it later □

By this lemma, it is clear that

$$\text{Pr}(X_{n+k} \geq t | X_n) = \text{Pr}(X_n \geq t | X_{n+k}) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

which implies the result above. □

Theorem 2.4. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(X_1, X_n) = 0$ for an Ergodic Markov chain

Proof. We have

$$\xi(X_1, X_n) = \frac{\int \text{Var} [\mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_n \geq t\}} | X_1 = x]] d\pi(t)}{\int \text{Var} [1_{\{X_n \geq t\}}] d\pi(t)}$$

The denominator is independent of n as proven in (2), so we only need to show that the numerator goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \text{Var} [\mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_n \geq t\}} | X_1 = x]] d\pi(t) = \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var} [\mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_n \geq t\}} | X_1 = x]] d\pi(t)$$

Proof. Define $f_n(t) := \text{Var} [\text{Pr}(X_n \geq t | X_1 = x)] \cdot \pi(t)$

Assume for the time being that f_n is measurable, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n < \infty$ and f_n is continuous.

Now, as f_n is a product of two bounded functions, it is also bounded. Set

$$C := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (\text{Var} [\text{Pr}(X_n \geq t | X_1 = x)]))$$

then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(t) dt \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C \pi(t) dt = C < \infty$$

As f_n is dominated by g (where $g(t) := C \cdot \pi(t) \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$),

By Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n(t) dt = \int (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t)) dt$$

□

Lemma 3.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var} [\mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_n \geq t\}} | X_1 = x]] = \text{Var} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_n \geq t\}} | X_1 = x] \right]$$

Proof. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} [\mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_n \geq t\}} | X_1 = x]] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_n \geq t\}} | X_1 = x]^2] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_n \geq t\}} | X_1 = x]]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\text{Pr}(X_n \geq t | X_1 = x)^2] - \mathbb{E}[\text{Pr}(X_n \geq t | X_1 = x)]^2 \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that both $\lim_n \mathbb{E}[\Pr(X_n \geq t|X_1 = x)^2]$ and $\lim_n \mathbb{E}[\Pr(X_n \geq t|X_1 = x)]^2$ exist,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var} [\mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_n \geq t\}}|X_1 = x]] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\Pr(X_n \geq t|X_1 = x)^2] \\ &\quad - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\Pr(X_n \geq t|X_1 = x)]^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\Pr(X_n \geq t|X_1 = x)^2] \\ &\quad - (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\Pr(X_n \geq t|X_1 = x)])^2 \end{aligned}$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write

$$\mathbb{E}[\Pr(X_n \geq t|X_1 = x)^n] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr(X_n \geq t|X_1 = x)^n \cdot \pi(t) dt$$

Lemma 4.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \Pr(X_n \geq t|X_1 = x)^n \cdot \pi(t) dt = \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(X_n \geq t|X_1 = x)^n \cdot \pi(t) dt$$

Proof. Define $f_n(t) := \Pr(X_n \geq t|X_1 = x)^n \cdot \pi(t)$

Assume for the time being that f_n is measurable, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n < \infty$ and f_n is continuous.

Now, as f_n is a product of two bounded functions, it is also bounded. Now,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(t) dt \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \pi(t) dt = 1 < \infty$$

As f_n is dominated by π ,

By Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n(t) dt = \int (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t)) dt$$

□

Using the above Lemma for $n = 1$ and 2 , we can take limit in both the

terms inside, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var} [\mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_n \geq t\}} | X_1 = x]] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\text{Pr}(X_n \geq t | X_1 = x)^2] \\
&\quad - (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\text{Pr}(X_n \geq t | X_1 = x)])^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Pr}(X_n \geq t | X_1 = x)^2] \\
&\quad - (\mathbb{E}[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Pr}(X_n \geq t | X_1 = x)])^2 \\
&= \text{Var} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_n \geq t\}} | X_1 = x] \right]
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now, by (2.4), we know that

$$\mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_n \geq t\}} | X_1 = x] = \int_t^\infty P^{n-1}(x, dy)$$

Lemma 5.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^\infty P^n(x, dy) = \int_t^\infty \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^n(x, dy)$$

Proof. Prove it later

□

For an Ergodic Markov chain, under the Total Variation Norm, we know that

$$\|P^k(x, \cdot) - F(\cdot)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \text{Var} [\mathbb{E}[1_{\{X_n \geq t\}} | X_1 = x]] d\pi(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \text{Var} \left[\int_t^\infty P^{n-1}(x, dy) \right] d\pi(t) \\
&= \int \text{Var} \left[\int_t^\infty \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^{n-1}(x, dy) \right] d\pi(t) \\
&= \int \text{Var} \left[\int_t^\infty F(dy) \right] d\pi(t) \\
&= \int \text{Var} [1 - F(t)] d\pi(t) \\
&= \int 0 \cdot d\pi(t) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

under the Total Variation Norm.

□

Chapter 3

TODOs and Questions to ask in the meeting

TODOs

1. For intuition of Theorem 1.1, read 1910.12327 and [10].
2. By Theorem 2.2, now we can think of estimating ξ using a single Markov chain. Need to see by simulation how the estimates are if our data is not iid.

Questions