

Rapid Flight Control Prototyping - Steps Toward Cooperative Mission-Oriented Capabilities

Vladimir Dobrokhodov¹, Kevin Jones², and Isaac Kaminer³

Abstract—The paper describes the latest advancements in the development of the Rapid Flight Control Prototyping system that were motivated primarily by the need to enable cooperative missions of multiple unmanned aerial vehicles and by the desire to explore and enhance the capabilities of human operators to oversee the collaborative behaviors of multiple heterogeneous UAVs. The evolution of the system is driven by the mission level objectives and supported on one hand by the progress in miniature sensors, computational power, communication and portable energy technologies and on the other hand by the advanced capabilities of embedded control and communication oriented software. As a result the developed system enables rapid design, onboard integration and in-flight verification of multiple UAVs collaborative concepts that seemed impossible just a couple of years ago. Advantages of the designed system are illustrated by a number of scenarios that were recently developed and verified in flight by multiple cooperative UAVs. The paper concentrates on presenting the motivation and the conceptual design ideas which drive the evolution of flight prototyping platform.

I. INTRODUCTION

High operational utility of a single unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) has been proven in recent years on many occasions. Single UAV has been primarily used in various ISR missions that have been designed around the capabilities of a single platform, in turn the onboard instrumentation were adjusted to facilitate a specific ISR utility of interest. When properly designed the aerial platform provided a single unique autonomous capability however producing only a humongous amount of raw data but intelligence content was still missing. The fact that the onboard intelligence is limited by various factors (probably it makes sense defining them here) resulted in the “Large Data Problem”: a misleading exchange of quality for quantity. In real life scenarios this resulted in the raw data being streamed in close to real-time pace however the human analysts not being capable to process this amount of data in weeks after the mission. In response to this bottleneck of capabilities the R&D community has focused its efforts on the faster processing and automated analysis. However, getting to the data faster, and utilizing more data through automated tools cannot solve some of the most difficult problems, among them is the

precision and resolution of data suitable for further use in predictive analytics. Thus, in order to eliminate the creation and need for large data sets, an intelligent high-precision and resolution capability for sensing and surveillance needs to be developed for time critical applications.

Overall, the vision of how to overcome the intelligence bottleneck and to develop a rich data set capability seems to be in the development of distributed platforms, both in the sense of distributed computational intelligence (onboard and ground) as well as in distributing the airborne sensory capabilities that would leverage the features of multiple complementary sensors. Enabling this level of collaborative autonomy, considering given state of the art in communication, computational power and power technologies, requires significant advances to be made in the areas of distributed mission planning, coordinated control, human-machine interaction, distributed data processing to name a few. Some of these capabilities need to be transitioned from the laboratory environment onboard of multiple collaborative UAVs and proven working in flight in a realistic setup.

As steps toward developing and implementing these capabilities in flight, the Unmanned Aerial Systems laboratory at NPS has been developing a Rapid Flight Control Prototyping system (RFCPS). Over years of evolution the RFCPS system has arrived to the state capable of implementing a number of the desired solutions in flight. The key capabilities of the system enable verifiable (repeatable) execution of the multiple heterogeneous UAVs cooperative missions, onboard data preprocessing, in-flight information streaming and sharing; some of them are running onboard while always providing the required level of collision avoidance and flight safety in a multiple UAV sense. When a mission is planned ahead it creates a set of collaborative tasks accounting for the mission objective along with the capabilities of UAV platforms. When executed in flight by multiple UAVs the context-driven raw data preprocessing is followed by an intelligent reaction. Therefore, focused and context adaptive tasking that assumes collaboration among multiple players results in significantly lower volumes of high quality data.

The RFCPS system is evolutionary built around capabilities of an advanced autopilot connected over a real-time communication link with a secondary set of controllers responsible for real-time flight critical, raw data preprocessing, and robust communication tasks. Rapid hardware prototyping that “mimics” CAD drawings in strong and lightweight materials, new microcontrollers and high speed and precision actuators enable quick integrating onboard of various sensors. Novel high-bandwidth wireless IP commu-

¹V.N. Dobrokhodov is a Research Associate Professor at the Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, 93943 vldobr@nps.edu

²K.D. Jones is a Research Associate Professor at the Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, 93943 jones@nps.edu

³I.I. Kaminer is a Professor at the Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, 93943 kaminer@nps.edu

nication link allows beyond the LOS in-flight transmission of sensory information and the R&D telemetry without any need to utilize the primary CC link. New software solutions implementing advanced cooperative GNC algorithms, robust communication messaging and onboard sensory data processing enable cooperative flight of multiple heterogeneous UAVs and their interaction with human operators. Thus, the systems engineering effort of designing and integrating various components resulted in a system capable to rapidly prototype a mission of unknown complexity.

The paper addresses the underlying design concepts implemented by the RFCPS system, the presentation focuses on architectural ideas and the results achieved by the setup. Thus, Section II of the paper outlines two basic types of rapid control prototyping systems that were initiated at the UAV lab: they include OS-based and a System on a Chip (SOC) designs. While the latter one is briefly presented, the primary focus of this publication is given to the OS-based architectures. Illustrating the Model Based Design (MBD) paradigm and the rapid verifiable code generation that are provided by the Simulink and Simulink Coder tools, the xPC-based design is presented as a first step in transitioning a theoretical concept to real flight. The steps of transitioning the same solution (already flown) to the Linux-based semi-industrial implementation are presented next. Chapter IV demonstrates a set of multiple cooperative UAV missions that illustrate the developed solutions in flight.

II. RAPID PROTOTYPING OPTIONS

Over the years of development it has been observed that in order to transition a theoretically proven result in flight a number of solutions originated in seemingly disparate fields of expertise need to simultaneously converge to a unifying design. The list of those disciplines varies depending on the objectives of the project. However the core of the list always remains the same: materials and structural design and hardware prototyping, aero and flight dynamics, GNC disciplines, onboard electronics and sensors integration, signal processing, embedded software engineering. To guarantee safe and verifiable flight experimentation and timely results it is often convenient to split the design process into a number of phases and then concentrate on developing a reliable solution at each step.

A. RFCPS Architecture

To enable the desired collaborative functionality the RFCPS system representing a single UAV is comprised of the onboard and the ground segment, see Figure 1. The onboard segment is built around an autopilot that brings the basic functionality of a UAV flight to the system. The current implementation of RFCPS utilizes the Piccolo series autopilots and the corresponding ground control station that enables simultaneous operation of multiple UAVs but does not have any cooperative mission execution functionality prebuilt.

The autopilot is connected over a full duplex serial link to a flight control PC104 computer. In xPC target version, a set




Fig. 1. Single autonomous platform as a building block of RFCPS.

of communication drivers is custom built that enable hard real time communication with the AP. The drivers enable *send command* and *read states* functionality and are written as s-functions; they are automatically compiled into a binary executable file by the Simulink coder at the stage of code generation. As soon as the UAV telemetry becomes available it is first used to implement the desired collaborative behavior and to control the onboard utility sensor (for example - a high resolution camera) and its platform; for most of the ISR type missions it is common to have the imagery sensors installed on a gimballed platform. The telemetry data is also distributed across the local onboard and the ground ethernet network for the secondary use. In particular, the data PC104 computer utilizes the AP telemetry data and the utility sensor information to preprocess the raw data and extract the intelligent data of interest. A very appealing feature of this data PC is that it runs a Linux operating system that enables a number of convenient services and provides very flexible access to a variety of sensors. Unlike the xPC target system, the integration a new sensor becomes a matter of integrating a new driver that is usually provided by the sensor manufacturer while integrating a new piece of hardware that is not supported by the xPC target is often a significant effort. As it will be shown in the following chapter this data computer runs algorithms that are primarily not time-critical and do not affect the stability of UAV flight. In the case of utilizing video cameras as ISR utility sensors the onboard instrumentation also contains a miniature video streaming server; although it is a single piece of hardware, it is comprised of a frame grabber taking the analog video feed and a web server that enables live streaming of the video input into the IP based network. Since all of the onboard components are connected over the high speed (up to 1GigE) ethernet a number of convenient capabilities of the code development become available; some of the not flight-critical

algorithms can be stopped, modified and updated while still in flight thus providing the desired flexibility for the research.

The last significant onboard component is the power management system. Depending on the specific objectives of a project it allows integrating into onboard information system a set of solar panels and batteries. As it will be demonstrated in the following chapter, the integration of high-output solar cells onboard enables harvesting solar radiation thus significantly extending endurance of autonomous thermal soaring gliders. Continuous monitoring of the current state of the energy of all onboard sources enables RFCPS system to optimally plan and execute the mission.

The ground segment of RFCPS is comprised of two parts; the first part is a standalone ground control station (GCS), an operator interface (OI) computer and a command and control (C2) link provided by the autopilot manufacturer, and the second part is the research environment that is customized according to the needs of a specific mission under development. The fact that the onboard software is separated into flight safety critical and not flight critical defines the configuration of the R&D development environment. As an example, the sensory data processing algorithms that are not flight critical are run on a data PC; two primary OS systems are currently used - the Ubuntu Linux and ROS operating systems. The flight critical tasks are run under either xPC target or the Linux/ROS(OROCOS) environments. Although the hardware of both PC104 computers is identical (for convenience) the task based separation is preserved to guarantee safety of flight experimentation and reliability of the experimental results.

To preserve the rigor of theoretical development while transitioning the results in flight the core of cooperative flight control algorithms is developed utilizing advanced capabilities of the MatLab/Simulink environment. The Model Based Design (MBD) paradigm supported by a number of code verification and automatic code generation tools is what makes the transition very reliable. The algorithms are designed and verified in Simulink with minimal or no use of low level programming. When debugged, the xPC target environment is used first to enable the Hardware In the Loop (HIL) simulation; Piccolo AP is well-supported with this functionality. Therefore, integrating and verifying the newly built algorithms becomes straightforward; at the same time a number of data processing scripts can be built ahead of time to facilitate quick flight data analysis. After confirming the desired performance of cooperative mission in HIL, the same xPC based code is transformed into real time executable and then flown in the air. After a series of flight experiments in different flight conditions the algorithms and the operational environment mature enough to allow building a set of standalone libraries for future Linux or ROS integration. The volume limitation of the paper does not allow to describe all the benefits of this new direction. However it is sufficient to say that the same development concept is followed and the same code that is built by the Simulink coder is used to transition the flight validated solution into a highly reliable Linux/ROS based computational platform.

An interested reader is referred to Ref for more details.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Before you begin to format your paper, first write and save the content as a separate text file. Keep your text and graphic files separate until after the text has been formatted and styled. Do not use hard tabs, and limit use of hard returns to only one return at the end of a paragraph. Do not add any kind of pagination anywhere in the paper. Do not number text heads-the template will do that for you.

Finally, complete content and organizational editing before formatting. Please take note of the following items when proofreading spelling and grammar:

A. Coordinated Road Search by Multiple UAVs

Define abbreviations and acronyms the first time they are used in the text, even after they have been defined in the abstract. Abbreviations such as IEEE, SI, MKS, CGS, sc, dc, and rms do not have to be defined. Do not use abbreviations in the title or heads unless they are unavoidable.

B. Cognitive Autonomy

C. Autonomous Thermal Soaring Gliders

- Use either SI (MKS) or CGS as primary units. (SI units are encouraged.) English units may be used as secondary units (in parentheses). An exception would be the use of English units as identifiers in trade, such as 3.5-inch disk drive.
- Avoid combining SI and CGS units, such as current in amperes and magnetic field in oersteds. This often leads to confusion because equations do not balance dimensionally. If you must use mixed units, clearly state the units for each quantity that you use in an equation.
- Do not mix complete spellings and abbreviations of units: Wb/m² or webers per square meter, not webers/m². Spell out units when they appear in text: . . . a few henries, not . . . a few H.
- Use a zero before decimal points: 0.25, not .25. Use cm³, not cc. (bullet list)

D. Conclusion

The equations are an exception to the prescribed specifications of this template. You will need to determine whether or not your equation should be typed using either the Times New Roman or the Symbol font (please no other font). To create multileveled equations, it may be necessary to treat the equation as a graphic and insert it into the text after your paper is styled. Number equations consecutively. Equation numbers, within parentheses, are to position flush right, as in (1), using a right tab stop. To make your equations more compact, you may use the solidus (/), the exp function, or appropriate exponents. Italicize Roman symbols for quantities and variables, but not Greek symbols. Use a long dash rather than a hyphen for a minus sign. Punctuate equations with commas or periods when they are part of a sentence, as in

$$\alpha + \beta = \chi \quad (1)$$

Note that the equation is centered using a center tab stop. Be sure that the symbols in your equation have been defined before or immediately following the equation. Use (1), not Eq. (1) or equation (1), except at the beginning of a sentence: Equation (1) is . . .

E. Some Common Mistakes

- The word data is plural, not singular.
- The subscript for the permeability of vacuum μ_0 , and other common scientific constants, is zero with subscript formatting, not a lowercase letter o.
- In American English, commas, semi-/colons, periods, question and exclamation marks are located within quotation marks only when a complete thought or name is cited, such as a title or full quotation. When quotation marks are used, instead of a bold or italic typeface, to highlight a word or phrase, punctuation should appear outside of the quotation marks. A parenthetical phrase or statement at the end of a sentence is punctuated outside of the closing parenthesis (like this). (A parenthetical sentence is punctuated within the parentheses.)
- A graph within a graph is an inset, not an insert. The word *alternatively* is preferred to the word *alternately* (unless you really mean something that alternates).
- Do not use the word *essentially* to mean *approximately* or *effectively*.
- In your paper title, if the words that uses can accurately replace the word using, capitalize the u; if not, keep using lower-cased.
- Be aware of the different meanings of the homophones *affect* and *effect*, *complement* and *compliment*, *discreet* and *discrete*, *principal* and *principle*.
- Do not confuse *imply* and *infer*.
- The prefix *non* is not a word; it should be joined to the word it modifies, usually without a hyphen.
- There is no period after the *et* in the Latin abbreviation *et al.*.
- The abbreviation *i.e.* means *that is*, and the abbreviation *e.g.* means *for example*.

IV. USING THE TEMPLATE

Use this sample document as your LaTeX source file to create your document. Save this file as **root.tex**. You have to make sure to use the **cls** file that came with this distribution. If you use a different style file, you cannot expect to get required margins. Note also that when you are creating your out PDF file, the source file is only part of the equation. *Your $\text{\TeX} \rightarrow \text{PDF}$ filter determines the output file size. Even if you make all the specifications to output a letter file in the source - if you filter is set to produce A4, you will only get A4 output.*

It is impossible to account for all possible situation, one would encounter using \TeX . If you are using multiple \TeX files you must make sure that the “MAIN” source file is

called **root.tex** - this is particularly important if your conference is using PaperPlaza’s built in \TeX to PDF conversion tool.

A. Headings, etc

Text heads organize the topics on a relational, hierarchical basis. For example, the paper title is the primary text head because all subsequent material relates and elaborates on this one topic. If there are two or more sub-topics, the next level head (uppercase Roman numerals) should be used and, conversely, if there are not at least two sub-topics, then no subheads should be introduced. Styles named Heading 1, Heading 2, Heading 3, and Heading 4 are prescribed.

B. Figures and Tables

Positioning Figures and Tables: Place figures and tables at the top and bottom of columns. Avoid placing them in the middle of columns. Large figures and tables may span across both columns. Figure captions should be below the figures; table heads should appear above the tables. Insert figures and tables after they are cited in the text. Use the abbreviation Fig. 1, even at the beginning of a sentence.

TABLE I
AN EXAMPLE OF A TABLE

One	Two
Three	Four

We suggest that you use a text box to insert a graphic (which is ideally a 300 dpi TIFF or EPS file, with all fonts embedded) because, in an document, this method is somewhat more stable than directly inserting a picture.

Fig. 2. Inductance of oscillation winding on amorphous magnetic core versus DC bias magnetic field

Figure Labels: Use 8 point Times New Roman for Figure labels. Use words rather than symbols or abbreviations when writing Figure axis labels to avoid confusing the reader. As an example, write the quantity *Magnetization*, or *Magnetization*, *M*, not just *M*. If including units in the label, present them within parentheses. Do not label axes only with units. In the example, write *Magnetization (A/m)* or *Magnetization A[m(1)]*, not just *A/m*. Do not label axes with a ratio of quantities and units. For example, write *Temperature (K)*, not *Temperature/K*.

V. CONCLUSIONS

A conclusion section is not required. Although a conclusion may review the main points of the paper, do not replicate the abstract as the conclusion. A conclusion might elaborate on the importance of the work or suggest applications and extensions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The preferred spelling of the word acknowledgment in America is without an e after the g. Avoid the stilted expression, One of us (R. B. G.) thanks . . . Instead, try R. B. G. thanks. Put sponsor acknowledgments in the unnumbered footnote on the first page.

References are important to the reader; therefore, each citation must be complete and correct. If at all possible, references should be commonly available publications.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. O. Young, Synthetic structure of industrial plastics (Book style with paper title and editor), in *Plastics*, 2nd ed. vol. 3, J. Peters, Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1964, pp. 1564.
- [2] W.-K. Chen, *Linear Networks and Systems* (Book style). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, 1993, pp. 123135.
- [3] H. Poor, *An Introduction to Signal Detection and Estimation*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1985, ch. 4.
- [4] B. Smith, An approach to graphs of linear forms (Unpublished work style), unpublished.
- [5] E. H. Miller, A note on reflector arrays (Periodical style Accepted for publication), *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, to be published.
- [6] J. Wang, Fundamentals of erbium-doped fiber amplifiers arrays (Periodical style Submitted for publication), *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, submitted for publication.
- [7] C. J. Kaufman, Rocky Mountain Research Lab., Boulder, CO, private communication, May 1995.
- [8] Y. Yorozu, M. Hirano, K. Oka, and Y. Tagawa, Electron spectroscopy studies on magneto-optical media and plastic substrate interfaces (Translation Journals style), *IEEE Transl. J. Magn.Jpn.*, vol. 2, Aug. 1987, pp. 740741 [Dig. 9th Annu. Conf. Magnetics Japan, 1982, p. 301].
- [9] M. Young, *The Technical Writers Handbook*. Mill Valley, CA: University Science, 1989.
- [10] J. U. Duncombe, Infrared navigation Part I: An assessment of feasibility (Periodical style), *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. ED-11, pp. 3439, Jan. 1959.
- [11] S. Chen, B. Mulgrew, and P. M. Grant, A clustering technique for digital communications channel equalization using radial basis function networks, *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks*, vol. 4, pp. 570578, July 1993.
- [12] R. W. Lucky, Automatic equalization for digital communication, *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 547588, Apr. 1965.
- [13] S. P. Bingulac, On the compatibility of adaptive controllers (Published Conference Proceedings style), in *Proc. 4th Annu. Allerton Conf. Circuits and Systems Theory*, New York, 1994, pp. 816.
- [14] G. R. Faulhaber, Design of service systems with priority reservation, in *Conf. Rec. 1995 IEEE Int. Conf. Communications*, pp. 38.
- [15] W. D. Doyle, Magnetization reversal in films with biaxial anisotropy, in 1987 *Proc. INTERMAG Conf.*, pp. 2.2-12.2-6.
- [16] G. W. Juetten and L. E. Zeffanella, Radio noise currents in short sections on bundle conductors (Presented Conference Paper style), presented at the IEEE Summer power Meeting, Dallas, TX, June 2227, 1990, Paper 90 SM 690-0 PWRS.
- [17] J. G. Kreifeldt, An analysis of surface-detected EMG as an amplitude-modulated noise, presented at the 1989 *Int. Conf. Medicine and Biological Engineering*, Chicago, IL.
- [18] J. Williams, Narrow-band analyzer (Thesis or Dissertation style), Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Elect. Eng., Harvard Univ., Cambridge, MA, 1993.
- [19] N. Kawasaki, Parametric study of thermal and chemical nonequilibrium nozzle flow, M.S. thesis, Dept. Electron. Eng., Osaka Univ., Osaka, Japan, 1993.
- [20] J. P. Wilkinson, Nonlinear resonant circuit devices (Patent style), U.S. Patent 3 624 12, July 16, 1990.