

	targets at the output level, the annual project quality rating summary, an updated risk long with mitigation measures, and any evaluation or review reports prepared over the period.			Industry, Energy and Subsurface Use, State Committee for Standardization of the Republic of Belarus (Gosstandart), Ministry of Investments and Development of the Republic of Kazakhstan	Independent Final Technical Evaluation
<b>Project Review (Project Board)</b>	The project's governance mechanism (i.e., project Steering Committee) will assess the performance of against stated objectives. In the project's final year, the Project Steering Committee shall hold an end-of project review to capture lessons learned and discuss opportunities for scaling up and to socialize project results and lessons learned with relevant audiences.	Annually	Any quality concerns or slower than expected progress should be discussed by the project board and management actions agreed to address the issues identified.	Representatives of the National Partner Ministries – Members of the Board	\$5,000

### Evaluation Plan

Evaluation Title	Partners (if joint)	Related Strategic Plan Output	UNDAF/CPD Outcome	Planned Completion Date	Key Evaluation Stakeholders	Cost and Source of Funding
Final project evaluation		Effective institutional, legislative, policy frameworks in place to enhance the implementation of disaster and climate risk management measures at national and sub-national levels	By 2020, sustainable development principles and good practices for environmental sustainability resilience building, climate change adaptation and mitigation, and green economy are introduced and applied.	August 2019	Representatives of the National Partner Ministries – Members of the Board and UNDP COs	\$25,000