

Code Location in Text	Code	Meaning
Intro/Lit Review	WTD	What they do: what the author(s) purport to do in a paper/book; this code captures the main research questions that the author is posing in the text
Lit Review	SPL	Summary of Previous Literature: this sentence, paragraph, or page describes a simple summary of the results from prior studies. This process entails a tremendous amount of condensation, taking complex ideas and reducing them into paragraphs, sentences, and if author is brilliant, one word.
Lit Review	CPL	Critique of Previous Literature: the author is providing a critique and a limitation of the previous existing, scholarly works. CPL is conceptually related to POC, GAP, and SPL since the deficiencies in the existing works provide a theoretical, methodological, and analytical justification as to why the current work is warranted. CPL usually follows SPL since the author has first to offer a body of idea before it can be criticized.
Lit Review	GAP	Gap: the author is (probably in some systematic way) pointing out the missing element in current literature. When GAP and CPL are done properly, a reader should be able to anticipate the RAT even before the author describes it.
Lit Review	RAT	Rationale: the author is providing the justification of why the work is necessary and warranted. RAT should be deduced and logically follow if the author has CPLed and GPLed previous literature.
Result/Discussion	ROF	Result of Findings: describe the primary results of the current article. This code is usually found in the abstract, result sections, and conclusion since this point must be hammered at least three times in most journals.
Discussion	RCL	Result Consistent with Literature: describes the findings of the current work that are consistent with the existing literature. That is, the author's own work supports the work that others have done.
Discussion	RTC	Result to the Contrary: describes the findings of the current work that are inconsistent with the existing literature. That is, the author's own work does not support the work that others have done.
Conclusion	WTDD	What they did: what the author(s) have done in a paper/book; a logical sequential equivalent of WTD. This code captures the main research question that the author has answered and contributed to the body of literature on the chosen topic.
Conclusion	RFW	Recommendations for Future Work: the current work is not complete; the author is providing a map of what is still lacking (GAP) in the literature and recommending that others do in future work.
Reading Strategies		
	POC	Pint of Critique: a deficiency in the current article or literature that you could critique and exploit as a way of remedying the gap in the literature for a future purpose.
	MOP	Missed Obvious Point: the author that you are reading has missed an obvious theoretical, conceptual, and analytical connection to earlier works. (MOP usually occurs when the author has not read sufficiently and widely)
	RPP	Relevant Point to Pursue: Although this code does not point out any limitations and gap in the current work, the stated point could be used as a POC in a future paper. Obviously, RPP entails MOP and GAP.
	WIL	Will this theoretical and conceptual connection be logically teased out to its conclusion to reconcile a text that is fraught with tension and needs resolving