## Math 541 Solutions to HW #6

The following are from Gallian, Chapters 4 and 5 (6th edition).

- # 4.8: Let a be an element of a group and let |a| = 15. Compute the orders of the following elements of G:
  - $-a^3, a^6, a^9, a^{12}$ 
    - \* For each  $a^k$  above, gcd(15, k) = 3. Thus, the order of each is 15/3 = 5.
  - $-a^5, a^{10}$ 
    - \* For each  $a^k$  above, gcd(15, k) = 5. Thus, the order of each is 15/5 = 3.
  - $-a^2$ ,  $a^4$ ,  $a^8$ ,  $a^{14}$ 
    - \* For each  $a^k$  above, gcd(15, k) = 1. Thus, the order of each is 15/1 = 15.
- # 4.14: Suppose that a cyclic group G has exactly three subgroups: G itself,  $\{e\}$ , and a subgroup of order 7. What is |G|? What can you say if 7 is replaced with p where p is a prime?
  - Since G is cyclic, there is some element a in G such that  $\langle a \rangle = G$ . Since G has a subgroup of order 7, and G is cyclic, we know that 7 divides the order of G. That is,  $|\langle a \rangle| = |G| = 7n$  for some positive integer n. We now test a few possible values of n:
    - \* Suppose n = 1. Then G and one of its subgroups both have order 7. By the Fundamental Theorem of Cyclic Groups (FTCG), G and its subgroup of order 7 are the same, contradicting the condition that G has 3 distinct subgroups.
    - \* Suppose n is 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Then, by FTCG,  $G = \langle a \rangle$  has a subgroup of order n. Thus, G has at least 4 subgroups:  $\{e\}$ , the subgroup of order 7, the subgroup of order n, and G itself. This contradicts the fact that G has exactly three subgroups.
    - \* Suppose n = 7. Then  $|G| = 7 \cdot 7 = 49$ . Since 7 is the only positive divisor of 49 between 1 and 49, it is the only possible order of a subgroup other than  $\{e\}$  or G. FTCG also tells us that there is *exactly* one subgroup of order 7. This fits the supposed criteria.
    - \* In general, if we suppose that n is any positive integer besides 7, we see that G is guaranteed a subgroup of order n by the FTCG, which means that G will have  $at \ least \ 4$  distinct subgroups.

We therefore conclude that the order of G must be  $7^2 = 49$ .

- More generally, if 7 is replaced by any prime p under the supposed conditions, the the order of G must be  $p^2$ .
- # 4.16: Find a collection of distinct subgroups  $\langle a_1 \rangle$ ,  $\langle a_2 \rangle$ , ...,  $\langle a_n \rangle$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_{240}$  with the property that  $\langle a_1 \rangle \subset \langle a_2 \rangle \subset ... \subset \langle a_n \rangle$  with n as large as possible.
  - Since  $\mathbb{Z}_{240}$  is cyclic and the order of a subgroup of a cyclic group divides the order of the group in which it is contained, we see it must be true that

$$|\langle a_i \rangle|$$
 divides  $|\langle a_{i+1} \rangle|,..., |\langle a_{n-1} \rangle|, |\langle a_n \rangle|$ .

That is, the order of a subgroup divides the order of every subgroup in which it is contained.

- Breaking 240 into its prime factorization, we get  $240 = 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ . That is, 240 is the product of 6 primes (note that they need not be distinct).
- Since  $\{e\}$  is a subgroup of every group, it's clear that we must let  $\langle a_1 \rangle = \langle 240 \rangle = \{e\}$ .
- Since  $\mathbb{Z}_{240}$  is the largest possible subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_{240}$ , we let  $\langle a_n \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{240}$ .

- To maximize the number of subgroups between  $\{e\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{240}$ , we must let  $a_{n-1}$  be one of the prime divisors of 240, call it  $p_1$ . To see that this is true, simply suppose that  $a_{n-1}$  is not prime, but rather a composite of i different prime divisors of 240 ( $2 \le i \le 5$ ). You will see that there can be at most 5 i subgroups between  $\{e\}$  and  $\langle a_{n-1} \rangle$ .
- Similarly, we let  $a_{n-2} = p_1 p_2$ , where  $p_2$  is another prime divisor of 240. Once again, to see that this is the case, suppose that  $a_{n-2}$  is the product of i different prime divisors of 240 ( $3 \le i \le 5$ ). Then there will be at most 5 i subgroups between  $\{e\}$  and  $\langle a_{n-2} \rangle$ .
- Continuing this process until we have exhausted all of the prime divisors of 240, we see that there can be at most 5 subgroups between  $\{e\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{240}$ . Thus, the greatest possible value for n is 5+2=7.
- One such example is  $\{e\} = \langle 240 \rangle \subset \langle 48 \rangle \subset \langle 16 \rangle \subset \langle 8 \rangle \subset \langle 4 \rangle \subset \langle 2 \rangle \subset \langle 1 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{240}$ .
- # 4.22: Prove that a group of order 3 must be cyclic.
  - Seeking a contradiction, let G be a group of order 3 that is not cyclic. Thus G has an identity element e, and two additional elements, call them a and b. Since  $\langle a \rangle$  and  $\langle b \rangle$  are both subgroups of G, they both contain e. Since G is not cyclic, b is not in  $\langle a \rangle$  and a is not in  $\langle b \rangle$ . Thus, it must be true that  $a^2 = e$  and  $b^2 = e$ , or else we would have that ea = aa = a and eb = bb = b, which would mean that not G is not a group (see HW#2, Question 5). Putting all of this into a multiplication table, we see:

$$G = \frac{\begin{array}{c|cccc} & e & a & b \\ \hline e & e & a & b \\ \hline a & a & e \\ \hline b & b & & e \end{array}$$

Thus we now only need to determine the products ab and ba. But notice that ab and ba cannot be e, a, or b (by HW#2, Question 5). Thus, G is not closed, which contradicts the fact that G is a group. Since the assumption that G is not cyclic leads to this absurdity, we conclude that G must be cyclic.

- # 4.24: For any element a in any group G, prove that  $\langle a \rangle$  is a subgroup of C(a) (the centralizer of a).
  - Let  $b \in \langle a \rangle$ . Then  $b = a^n$  for some integer n. Thus,  $ab = a \cdot a^n = a^{1+n} = a^{n+1} = a^n \cdot a = ba$ . That is, b commutes with a, so  $b \in C(a)$ . Since b was arbitrary, we can conclude that  $\langle a \rangle \subset C(a)$ , and since  $\langle a \rangle$  is a subgroup of G that is contained in C(a) (with C(a) itself a subgroup), we conclude that  $\langle a \rangle$  is also a subgroup of C(a).
- # 4.32: Determine the subgroup lattice for  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ .
- # 5.3: What is the order of each of the following permutations?
  - (124)(357): disjoint, both of length 3, so the order of the permutation is lcm(3,3) = 3
  - (124)(3567): disjoint and of lengths 3 and 4, so the order of the permutation is lcm(3,4) = 12
  - (124)(35): disjoint and of lengths 3 and 2, so the order of the permutation is lcm(3,2) = 6
  - -(124)(357869): disjoint and of lengths 3 and 6, so the order of the permutation is lcm(3,6) = 6
  - (1235)(24567): not disjoint, so we rewrite this permutation as a product of disjoint cycles. The result is (124)(3567), with cycles of orders 3 and 4, so the order of the permutation is lcm(3,4) = 12
  - (345)(245): not disjoint, so we rewrite this permutation as a product of disjoint cycles. The result is (25)(34), so the order of the permutation is lcm(2,2) = 2
- # 5.4: What is the order of each of the following permutations?

$$-\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 6 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Writing this as a product of cycles, we get (12)(356). Since this is a disjoint product of cycles of lengths 2 and 3, the order of the permutation is lcm(2,3) = 6.

$$-\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 6 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Writing this as a product of cycles, we get (1753)(264). Since this is a disjoint product of cycles of lengths 4 and 3, the order of the permutation is lcm(4,3) = 12.

- # 5.9: Determine whether the following permutations are even or odd.
  - (135): Written as a product of 2-cycles, we get (15)(13), so this is even.
  - (1356): Written as a product of 2-cycles, we get (16)(15)(13), so this is odd.
  - (13567): Written as a product of 2-cycles, we get (17)(16)(15)(13), so this is even.
  - -(12)(134)(152): Written as a product of disjoint cycles, we get (15)(234). Rewritten as a product of 2-cycles, we get (15)(24)(23), so this is odd.
  - (1243)(3521): Written as a product of disjoint cycles, we get (354). Rewritten as a product of 2-cycles, we get (34)(35), so this is even.
- # 5.18: Let  $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 7 & 8 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ . Write  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\alpha\beta$  as
  - products of disjoint cycles,
    - \*  $\alpha = (12345)(678)$
    - \*  $\beta = (23847)(56)$
    - \*  $\alpha\beta = (12345)(678)(23847)(56) = (12485736)$
  - products of 2-cycles.
    - \*  $\alpha = (15)(14)(13)(12)(68)(67)$
    - \*  $\beta = (27)(24)(28)(23)(56)$
    - \*  $\alpha\beta = (16)(13)(17)(15)(18)(14)(12)$
- # 5.20: Compute the order of each member of  $A_4$ . What arithmetic relationship do these orders have with the order of  $A_4$ ?
  - Referencing the table for  $A_4$  given in Chapter 5, we see that
    - \*  $\alpha_1$  has order 1 (the identity)
    - \*  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_3$ , and  $\alpha_4$  have order 2
    - \*  $\alpha_5$  through  $\alpha_{12}$  have order 3
  - The order of each permutation divides the order of  $A_4$ , which is  $4!/2 = 4 \cdot 3 = 12$ .
- # 5.28: Let  $\beta = (123)(145)$ . Write  $\beta^{99}$  in disjoint cycle form.
  - In disjoint cycle form,  $\beta = (14523)$ . Thus, the permutation has order 5, and  $\beta^5 = e$ . Therefore,

$$\beta^{99} = \beta^{5 \cdot 19 + 4}$$

$$= (\beta^{5 \cdot 19}) \beta^{4}$$

$$= (\beta^{5})^{19} \beta^{4}$$

$$= e^{19} \beta^{4}$$

$$= \beta^{4}$$

- Now we compute  $\beta^4 = (14523)(14523)(14523)(14523) = (13254)$ . Thus,  $\beta^{99} = (13254)$ .
- # 5.30: What cycle is  $(a_1 a_2 ... a_n)^{-1}$ ?
  - We can restate this question as: what cycle  $\beta$  gives  $\beta(a_1a_2...a_n) = (a_1a_2...a_n)\beta = e$ ? Our knowledge of the Socks-Shoes Lemma might lead us to try  $(a_n...a_2a_1)$ , and in fact letting  $\beta = (a_n...a_2a_1)$  gives the desired result.
- # 5.34: Let  $H = \{\beta \in S_5 \mid \beta(1) = 1 \text{ and } \beta(3) = 3\}$ . Prove that H is a subgroup of  $S_5$ . Is your argument valid when 5 is replaced by any n > 3?
  - We use the Two-Step Subgroup Test. Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$  be elements of H. Then:

$$\alpha\gamma(1) = \alpha(\gamma(1))$$
$$= \alpha(1) = 1,$$

and

$$\alpha\gamma(3) = \alpha(\gamma(3))$$
$$= \alpha(3) = 3,$$

so  $\alpha \gamma$  is in H. Also, since  $1 = \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(1)) = \alpha^{-1}(1)$  and  $3 = \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(3)) = \alpha^{-1}(3)$ , we see that  $\alpha^{-1}$  is in H. This gives the desired result.

- Replacing  $S_5$  with  $S_n$  for any  $n \geq 3$  does not affect the argument.
- # 5.36: In  $S_4$ , find a cyclic subgroup of order 4 and a noncyclic subgroup of order 4.
  - The subgroup of  $S_4$  generated by (1234) is cyclic, since  $(1234)^4 = e$ , and the set  $\{e, (1234), (1234)^2, (1234)^3\}$  is closed under composition.
  - Referencing the table given for  $A_4$  in chapter 5 (note that  $A_4$  is a subgroup of  $S_4$ ), we can see readily that  $\{(1), (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$  gives a non-cyclic subgroup of  $S_4$  that has order 4.
- # 5.46: Show that for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $Z(S_n) = {\epsilon}$ .
  - Seeking a contradiction, assume that this statement is not true. That is, assume that there is at least one permutation (call it  $\alpha$ ) besides  $\epsilon$  with the property that  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$  for all  $\beta$  in  $S_n$ . Since  $\alpha$  is itself a permutation, it can be written as a product of disjoint cycles  $\gamma_1\gamma_2...\gamma_{r-1}\gamma_r$ . If r > 1, we can consider the decomposition of  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_r$  into products of 2-cycles as follows:
    - \* If  $\gamma_1 = (a_1 a_2 ... a_s)$ , then  $\gamma_1$  can be written  $(a_1 a_s)(a_1 a_{s-1})...(a_1 a_3)(a_1 a_2)$ .
    - \* If  $\gamma_r = (b_1 b_2 ... b_t)$ , then  $\gamma_r$  can be written  $(b_1 b_t)(b_1 b_{t-1})...(b_1 b_3)(b_1 b_2)$ .

Let us now consider the effect of multiplying  $\alpha$  on the left, then on the right by the cycle  $(a_1b_1)$ .

\* Multiplying on the left, we get

$$(a_1b_1)\alpha = (a_1b_1)\gamma_1\gamma_2...\gamma_r$$

$$= (a_1b_1)(a_1a_s)(a_1a_{s-1})...(a_1a_3)(a_1a_2)\gamma_2...\gamma_r$$

$$= (a_1a_2...a_sb_1)\gamma_2...\gamma_r$$

$$= (a_1a_2...a_sb_1)\gamma_r\gamma_2...\gamma_{r-1}$$

This step is justified since  $\gamma_2, \gamma_3, ..., \gamma_r$  are disjoint and therefore commutative. Furthermore,

$$(a_1 a_2 ... a_s b_1) \gamma_r \gamma_2 ... \gamma_{r-1} = (a_1 a_2 ... a_s b_1) (b_1 b_2 ... b_t) \gamma_2 ... \gamma_{r-1}$$
$$= (a_1 a_2 ... a_s b_1 b_2 ... b_t) \gamma_2 ... \gamma_{r-1}$$

\* Multiplying on the right, we get

$$\alpha(a_1b_1) = \gamma_1\gamma_2...\gamma_r(a_1b_1)$$

$$= \gamma_1\gamma_2...\gamma_{r-1}(b_1b_t)(b_1b_{t-1})...(b_1b_3)(b_1b_2)(a_1b_1)$$

$$= \gamma_1\gamma_2...\gamma_{r-1}(a_1b_2b_3...b_{t-1}b_tb_1)$$

$$= \gamma_1(a_1b_2b_3...b_{t-1}b_tb_1)\gamma_2...\gamma_{r-1}$$

The facts that  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_{r_1}, \gamma_r$  are disjoint and  $(a_1b_2b_3...b_{t-1}b_tb_1)$  contains only elements from  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_r$  imply that  $(a_1b_2b_3...b_{t-1}b_tb_1)$  commutes with  $\gamma_2, ..., \gamma_{r-1}$ . This is what justifies the preceding step. Furthermore,

$$\gamma_1(a_1b_2b_3...b_{t-1}b_tb_1)\gamma_2...\gamma_{r-1} = (a_1a_2...a_s)(a_1b_2b_3...b_{t-1}b_tb_1)\gamma_2...\gamma_{r-1}$$
$$= (a_1b_2b_3...b_tb_1a_2a_3...a_s)\gamma_2...\gamma_{r-1}$$

Since  $(a_1a_2...a_sb_1b_2...b_t)\gamma_2...\gamma_{r-1} \neq (a_1b_2b_3...b_tb_1a_2a_3...a_s)\gamma_2...\gamma_{r-1}$ , we conclude that  $(a_1b_1)\alpha \neq \alpha(a_1b_1)$ . That is, we have found a  $\beta$ , namely  $(a_1b_1)$ , that contradicts our assumption that  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$  for all  $\beta$  in  $S_n$ .

We are left now with the case when r = 1.

- \* When  $\alpha$  can be written as a single disjoint cycle  $(a_1a_2...a_t)$  with  $t \geq 3$ , consider multiplying  $\alpha$  on the left and right by  $(a_1a_2)$ :
  - $\cdot (a_1 a_2)(a_1 a_2 ... a_t) = (a_2 a_3 ... a_t).$
  - $\cdot (a_1 a_2 ... a_t)(a_1 a_2) = (a_1 a_3 ... a_t).$
- \* When  $\alpha$  is a single 2-cycle  $(a_1a_2)$ , the fact that  $n \geq 3$  guarantees the existence of some  $a_3$  that is not equal to  $a_1$  or  $a_2$ . Multiplying on the left and right by  $(a_1a_2a_3)$  gives:
  - $\cdot (a_1a_2a_3)(a_1a_2) = (a_1a_3)$
  - $(a_1a_2)(a_1a_2a_3) = (a_2a_3)$

It's now clear that for all  $r \geq 1$ , there exists no  $\alpha$  besides  $\epsilon$  in  $S_n$  such that  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$  for all  $\beta$  in  $S_n$ .