# Pattern Matching in Pizarnik

# V. E. McHale

# September 25, 2024

# Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Structural Sum Types  2.1 Reusing Pattern-Match Arms  2.2 Wildcards  2.3 Typesafe Head  2.4 Or-Patterns  2.5 Nominal Typing	$2 \\ 2 \\ 3$
3	Expression Problem	3
4	Error Hierarchies	3

## 1 Introduction

Pizarnik's approach to pattern-matching is original, based on commitment to the idea of pattern-matching as inverse[1] and suggestive notation from linear logic (&, "with", is dual to disjunction,  $\oplus$ ).

# 2 Structural Sum Types

Tags such as `true are Pizarnik atoms, inspired by symbols (`blue) in k (preceded by symbols 'red in Lisp). `true has type -- `true. It can also be said to have type -- `true  $\oplus$  `false. To see why we would wish to admit `true  $\oplus$  `false, consider

`false $^{-1}$  has type `false -- and hence `false $^{-1}$  `true has type `false -- `true; `true $^{-1}$  `false has type `true -- `false.

Perhaps counterintuitively, we get pattern-match exhaustiveness checking for free.  $\oplus$  is a disjunction and thus we may generalize as we see fit, but & is a conjunction; it restricts.

To invert a sum type, we supply a product of its inverses (pattern match clauses). This is precisely the de Morgan laws.

## 2.1 Reusing Pattern-Match Arms

With tags, we could define a function

```
if : a a `true -- a
:= [ `true<sup>-1</sup> drop ]
```

This is not so useful on its own—it requires the programmer to supply exactly one value and then discards it—but see how it unifies:

Pattern-match arms are defined just as functions and can be reused.

#### 2.2 Wildcards

drop : a -- is one of the building blocks of stack programming. We denote it
as \_ as it functions like a wildcard in pattern-matching.

```
isZero : Int -- Bool := [ 0^{-1} \text{ `true \& \_ `false ]}
```

#### 2.3 Typesafe Head

Any nonempty list can be supplied as an argument to a function on lists.

## 2.4 Or-Patterns

```
Suppose we have
```

```
type Ord = `lt ⊕ `eq ⊕ `gt;
    Then we can define
lte : `lt ⊕ `eq --
    := [ `lt<sup>-1</sup> & `eq<sup>-1</sup> ]
```

So we get or-patterns for free and they are reusable as functions.

# 2.5 Nominal Typing

One can define

```
type Maybe a = a `just ⊕ `nothing;
```

# 3 Expression Problem

```
type Expr = Int `int @ Expr Expr `add;
eval : Expr -- Int
    := [ { `int-1 & `add-1 [eval] dip eval + } ]
```

# 4 Error Hierarchies

# References

[1] Daniel Ehrenberg. Pattern matching in concatenative programming languages. 2009.