EE908 Assignment-4 Solution

eMasters in Communication Systems, IITK

EE908: Optimization in SPCOM Instructor: Prof. Ketan Rajawat

Student Name: Venkateswar Reddy Melachervu

Roll No: 23156022

Q1. Using the concavity of the logarithm, show that $x^{\theta}y^{1-\theta} \leq \theta x + (1-\theta)y$.

Solution:

Given inequality: $x^{\theta}y^{1-\theta} \le \theta x + (1-\theta)y$

Taking log both sides:

$$\log(x^{\theta}y^{1-\theta}) \le \log(\theta x + (1-\theta)y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta \log(x) + (1 - \theta) \log y \le \log(\theta x + (1 - \theta)y)$$

Now let's make use of log concavity and Jensen's inequality to prove the above inequality.

:Jensen's inequality for concavity of a function,

$$f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i x_i) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i f(x_i)$$
, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i = 1$, $\forall x_i \in dom f$

Log(x) is a concave function and for any $x, y \in dom(\log), \theta \in [0,1]$

Applying Jensen's inequality:

$$log(\theta x + (1 - \theta)y) \ge \theta \log(x) + (1 - \theta)\log(y)$$

$$\therefore \theta \log(x) + (1 - \theta) \log(y) \le \log(\theta x + (1 - \theta)y)$$

OED

Q2. Show that the harmonic mean $f(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x_i}\right)^{-1}$ is concave.

Solution:

Let's use the second order conditions $\nabla f''(x) < 0$ (opposite of PSD) to prove f(x) is concave

$$\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{-1}\right)^{-2} x_i^{-2} = \left(\frac{f(x)}{x_i}\right)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{2}{x_i} \left(\frac{f(x)}{x_i}\right) \left(\frac{f(x)}{x_j}\right)^2 = \frac{2}{f(x)} \left(\frac{f(x)^2}{x_i x_j}\right)^2 \text{ for } i \neq j$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i^2} = \frac{2}{f(x)} \left(\frac{f(x)^2}{x_i^2}\right)^2 - \frac{2}{x_i} \left(\frac{f(x)}{x_i}\right)^2 \text{ for } i = j$$

: We need to show that

$$y^{T}\nabla^{2}f(x)y = \frac{2}{f(x)} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i}f(x)^{2}}{x_{i}^{2}} \right)^{2} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i}^{2}f(x)^{3}}{x_{i}^{3}} \right) \right) \leq 0 \text{ for concavity of } f(x)$$

The above holds true following the Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality $a_i^T b_i \leq \|a_i\|_2 \|b_i\|_2$ where:

$$a_i=\left(rac{f(x)}{x_i}
ight)^{1/2}$$
 , $b_i=y_i\left(rac{f(x)}{x_i}
ight)^{3/2}$ and $\Sigma_i a_i^2=1$



Q3. Prove the reverse Jensen's inequality for a convex f with dom $f = \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda_i > 0$ and $\lambda_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i = 1$ $f(\lambda_1 x_1 - \lambda_2 x_2 - \dots - \lambda_n x_n) \ge \lambda_1 f(x_1) - \lambda_2 f(x_2) - \dots - \lambda_n (x_n)$

Solution:

Given the function f is convex function, Jensen's inequality of this function f is:

$$\Rightarrow f(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n) \leq \lambda_1 f(x_1) + \lambda_2 f(x_2) + \dots + \lambda_n f(x_n)$$

Negating both sides:

$$\Rightarrow -f(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n) \ge -\lambda_1 f(x_1) - \lambda_2 f(x_2) - \dots - \lambda_n f(x_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow -f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i\right) \ge -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f(x_i)$$

Since $\lambda_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i = 1$

$$\Rightarrow -f(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n) \ge -\lambda_1 f(x_1) - (\lambda_1 - 1)$$
$$-f(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_1 - 1) \ge -\lambda_1 f(x_1) - (\lambda_1 - 1)$$

$$-f(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_1 - 1) \ge -\lambda_1 f(x_1) - (\lambda_1 - 1)$$

Reversing the signs both sides,

$$f(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_1 - 1) \le \lambda_1 f(x_1) + (\lambda_1 - 1)$$

This is valid and holds as per Jensen's inequality

QED

Q4. Give an example of a function f(x) whose epigraph is (a) half-space, (b) norm cone, and (c) polyhedron.

Solution:

Let's define a function $f(x) = ||x||_2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ – Euclidean norm. This function satisfies all three cases:

- (a) Half-space:
 - The epigraph of f(x) as a half-space can be represented as $\{(x_1, x_2, t) \mid \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \ge t\}$
 - \circ This corresponds to the region above or on the circle centered at the origin with radius t
- (b) Norm cone
 - The epigraph of f(x) as a norm cone can be represented as $\{(x_1, x_2, t) \mid \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \le t\}$
 - o This represents the cone-like region expanding from the origin outward.
- (c) Polyhedron
 - \circ The epigraph of f(x) as a polyhedron is the set of points (x_1, x_2, t) such that $\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \leq t$ $\circ \quad \text{This represents circular region in 2D space}$

So, the function $(x) = ||x||_2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$ satisfies all three cases: it represents a half-space, a norm cone, and a polyhedron. **QED**

Q5. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++}$ be two vectors. We need to show that the Itakura-Saito distance, defined as

$$D_{IS}(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i}{y_i} - \log \left(\frac{x_i}{y_i} \right) - 1 \right)$$

is always positive, using the following steps:

(a) Show that for a convex differentiable function f, the Bregman divergence:

$$D(x, y) = f(x) - f(y) - \Delta f(y)^{T} (x - y)$$





is always non-negative.

Solution:

Let's prove that $D(x,y) = f(x) - f(y) - \Delta f(y)^T (x-y) \ge 0$ for all x,y,f is convex differentiable: So, as per the first order convex condition (gradient-based)

$$f(x) \ge f(y) + \nabla f(y)^T (x - y)$$

Let's substitute the above equation into Bregman divergence:

$$\begin{split} &D(x,y) = f(x) - f(y) - \nabla f(y)^T (x - y) \\ &\geq [f(y) - \nabla f(y)^T (x - y)] - f(y) - \nabla f(y)^T (x - y) \\ &\geq 0 \\ &\text{QED} \end{split}$$

(b) Show that for the convex function $f(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(x_i)$, it holds that $D(x,y) = D_{IS}(x,y)$.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Given } f(x) = -\Sigma_{i=1}^n \log{(x_i)}, \\ & D(x,y) = f(x) - f(y) - \nabla f(y)^T (x-y) \\ & \therefore \nabla f(x) = \left(-\frac{1}{x_1}, -\frac{1}{x_2}, \dots - \frac{1}{x_n}\right) \\ & \therefore D(x,y) = -\Sigma_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) + \Sigma_{i=1}^n \log(y_i) - \left(-\frac{1}{x_1}, -\frac{1}{x_2}, \dots - \frac{1}{x_n}\right)^T (x-y) \\ & = -\Sigma_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) + \Sigma_{i=1}^n \log(y_i) + \Sigma_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{y_i} - n \end{aligned}$$

Let's compute
$$D_{IS}(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i}{y_i} - \log \left(\frac{x_i}{y_i} \right) - 1 \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i}{y_i} - \log \left(\frac{x_i}{y_i} \right) \right) - n$$

Let's compare both:

$$D(x,y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{y_i} - n$$

$$= -(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(y_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{y_i} - n$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{y_i} - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(y_i)) - n$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{y_i} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(\frac{x_i}{y_i}\right)\right) - n$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i}{y_i} - \log\left(\frac{x_i}{y_i}\right)\right) - n = D_{IS}(x, y)$$

$$\therefore D(x, y) = D_{IS}(x, y)$$

QED

(c) Along similar lines, prove that the generalized KL divergence:

$$D_{KL}(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i \log \left(\frac{x_i}{y_i} \right) - x_i + y_i \right)$$

is always positive.

Solution:

We aim to prove that $D_{KL}(x,y) \ge 0$ for all non-negative x,y i.e.

$$D_{KL}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i \log \left(\frac{x_i}{y_i} \right) - x_i + y_i \right) \ge 0$$

Jensen's inequality for a convex function $f(x) = -\log(x)$

$$-\log\left(\sum_{i=1}^n\theta_i\frac{x_i}{y_i}\right)\leq \sum_{i=1}^n\theta_i\left(-\log\left(\frac{x_i}{y_i}\right)\right)$$

Rewriting the above:

$$-\log\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} \frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}(-\log(x_{i}) + \log(y_{i}))$$

$$\Rightarrow -\log\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} \frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}}\right) \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} \log(x_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} \log(y_{i})$$

$$\Rightarrow \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} \frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} \log(x_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} \log(y_{i})$$

Substituting the inequality into KL divergence:

$$D_{KL}(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i \log \left(\frac{x_i}{y_i} \right) - x_i + y_i \right) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \log(x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \log(y_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$$

Simplifying:

$$D_{KL}(x,y) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

Since x_i , y_i are non-negative for all i, $y_i - x_i$ is non-negative QED

------ End of the Document------