Weak Duality

$$f_o(\tilde{x}) \ge g(\lambda_o v) \quad \lambda \ge 0$$
Leasible

x feasible

Dual problem: 
$$D = \max_{\underline{\lambda} \geq 0} (\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\nu}) = -\min_{\underline{\gamma} = 0} (\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\nu})$$

 $(\lambda, \nu) \in domg$ 

Primal Broblem: P: min 
$$f_o(x)$$
  
 $f_i(x) \leq 0$   
 $h_j(x) = 0$   
 $x \in \partial$ 

Now: 
$$f_{\circ}(\tilde{x}) \geq g(\lambda_{3}\lambda_{2})$$
  
 $f_{i}(\tilde{x}) \leq 0$   $\lambda \geq 0$   
 $f_{i}(\tilde{x}) = 0$   $(\lambda_{i}v) \in dom g$   
 $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{A}$  depends on  $\lambda_{i}v$ 

$$P = \min_{f_0(x)} f_0(x) \Rightarrow \max_{\chi \geq 0} g(\lambda, v) = D$$

$$f_1(x) \leq 0$$

$$f_2(x) = 0$$

$$\chi \in \mathcal{D}$$

$$(\lambda, v) \in dom g$$

Note: suppose primal is unbounded below

(1) 
$$P = -\infty$$
  $\Rightarrow D = -\infty$ 

$$= -\min_{\lambda \gg 0} -g(\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\nu})$$

=> dual problem is infeasible

Eg win 
$$\frac{1}{2}x^{T}x$$
 least norm solution
$$Ax = b - \cdots v \in \mathbb{R}^{p} \quad rawk(A) = p < n$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

$$L(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}x + v^{T}(Ax-b)$$

$$g(v) = \min_{x} L(x, v) = \min_{x} \frac{1}{2}x^{T}x + v^{T}(Ax-b)$$

$$\nabla_{x} L(x, v) = 0$$
or  $x + A^{T}v = 0$  or  $x = -A^{T}v$ 

$$g(v) = \frac{1}{2} v^{T} A^{T} A v + v^{T} (-A A^{T} v - b)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} v^{T} A A v - b^{T} v$$

Dual Problem: 
$$D = max - \frac{1}{2} v^T A A^T v - b^T v$$

VEIRT

$$\nabla_{y}g(v) = 0$$
  $\longrightarrow$   $-AA^{T}v - b = 0$   $Or \sqrt{r} = -(AAT)^{-1}b$ 

$$D = g(v^*) = \frac{1}{2}b^T (AAT)^{-1}b$$

Therefore 
$$\frac{1}{2}\vec{x}\vec{x} \geq \frac{1}{2}\vec{b}(AAT)^{T}b$$
  
for any  $\vec{x}: A\vec{x}=b$ 

also 
$$P \ge \frac{1}{2}b^T(AA^T)^{-1}b$$