## 1 Gradient Flow vs Wasserstein GF

From one dimensional data, we add a dimension filled with ones to act as a bias for the first layer. The output of one ReLU neuron for one data point  $(x, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$w, b, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \to \max(0, wx + b)\alpha$$

The loss against labels  $y_j \in \mathbb{R}$  using squared loss of the whole network of neurons is the double sum:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \max(0, w_i x_j + b_i) \alpha_i \right) - y_j \right)^2$$

The mean-field limit of this network requires taking an infinite-width ReLU network where parameters are described by a measure  $\mu$ , and its output by an integral:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} m((w,b);x) \, \mathrm{d}\mu((w,b))$$

To simplify things, we restrict  $\alpha_i$  to  $\{-1,1\}$  and to not be a trainable parameter anymore. We keep the same expressivity(as long as we provide both a positive( $\alpha_i = 1$ ) and negative( $\alpha_i = -1$ ) version of the neuron) but this change will slightly alter the training dynamic in some cases. For example , we can match the output of one neuron (of the original network) by simply scaling the first layer by the seconder layer ( $\alpha$ ):

$$\max(0, w_i x + b_i)\alpha_i = \max(0, |\alpha_i|(w_i x + b_i)) \operatorname{sign}(\alpha_i)$$

Our network with restricted  $\alpha_i$  would describe this neuron using only two trainable parameters:  $(|alpha_i|w_i, |\alpha_i|b_i)$  and fix its sign in the output.

The measure is on the parameter space. In order to do simulations we discretize the parameter space, by taking a uniform grid in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  centered on (0,0):  $(w_i,b_i)_{i=1,...m}$ 

We can see that we have the same output and expressivity as the regular ReLU network by taking a measure  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \delta_{\theta_i = w_i}$  with  $(\sum_i p_i = 1)$  and  $m((w_i, b_i); x) = \max(0, w_i x + b_i) \alpha_i$ , we have this equality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} m((w,b);x) \mathrm{d}\mu((w,b)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \max(0,w_i x_j + b_i) \alpha_i p_i$$

In this case, the first layer is fixed: the change of direction  $(\frac{-b_i}{w_i})$  and slope  $(w_i)$  of a neuron is described by a mass displacement from point A to point B.

The movemement is described by a PDE and simulated on a grid. Each point i of the grid has a weight  $p_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , and as a whole  $p \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is the discretized distribution.

The same wasserstein gradient flow can be computed by this step:

$$\mu(t+1) = \underset{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Theta)}{\arg\min} F(\mu) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} W_2(\mu; \mu(t))$$

We tried different ways of computing the Wasserstein Gradient Flow.

 JKO stepping: entropic approximation on a fixed grid. Pros: not very dependant on dimension d. Cons: add another loop and more parameters to fine tune, introduce diffusion.

- Sliced Wasserstein: Pros: midly dependant on *d* without diffusion. Differentiable with pytorch. Cons: Parameters to tune, distance to true WS distance has to be studied
- Direct EMD distance from POT library. Pros: differentiable with pytorch. Cons: Might be slow with d

Preliminary results using the EMD distance indicate no particular differences between the gradient flow and the wasserstein gradient flow.

## 1.1 JKO STEPPING WITH DYKSTRA'S ALGORITHM

$$\begin{split} p_{t+1} := & \operatorname{Prox}_{\tau f}^{W_{\gamma}}(p_t) \\ &= \underset{p \in \operatorname{simplex}}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \ W_{\gamma}(p,q) + \tau f(p) \\ &= \underset{p \in \operatorname{simplex}}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \left( \underset{\pi \in \Pi(p,q)}{\operatorname{min}} \langle c, \pi \rangle + \gamma E(\pi) \right) + \tau f(p) \end{split}$$

Where  $\pi$  is a mapping, c the ground cost for every point on the grid. When the ground cost between two points in the euclidian space is  $c_{i,j} = ||x_i - x_j||^2$ , (and  $\gamma = 0$ , f smooth...), this scheme formally discretize the above mentionned PDE.

To do the step above, we'll use a bregman splitting approach that replace the single implicit  $W_{\gamma}$  proximal step by many iterative KL implicit proximal steps. Specifically(?) Dykstra's algorithm for JKO stepping. This involve using the gibbs kernel:  $\xi = e^{-\frac{\xi}{\gamma}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}_{+*}$ 

## Algorithm 1 JKOstep

```
1: p \leftarrow p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m
 2: q_{\text{norm}} \leftarrow ||p||^2
3: a, b \leftarrow 1, 1 \in \mathbb{R}^m
                                                                                                                               ▶ Initialize vectors with ones
 4: for i \leftarrow 1 to T do
5: p \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{\tau/\gamma}^{\mathrm{KL}}(\xi b)
              a \leftarrow p/(\xi b)
             ConstrEven \leftarrow \frac{\|b \cdot (\xi a) - q\|}{2}
 7:
              b \leftarrow q/(\xi a)
 8:
              ConstrOdd \leftarrow \frac{\|a \cdot (\xi b) - p\|}{q_{\text{norm}}}
 9:
              if ConstrOdd < tol and ConstrEven < tol then
10:
                      break
11:
              end if
12:
13: end for
```

## REFERENCES