

Quantum Superposition

Chapter 10: Quantum Superposition

Observer Commentary

Quantum mechanics concept: Superposition.

A quantum system can exist in multiple states simultaneously until measured. Schrödinger's cat thought experiment: A cat in a box with a quantum-triggered poison is simultaneously alive and dead until the box is opened and the cat observed. The act of measurement collapses the superposition into definite state.

This is counter-intuitive because macroscopic objects don't exhibit superposition—or rather, they decohere so rapidly that superposition is unobservable. But at quantum scale, superposition is standard.

Metaphorical application to identity: Some organisms maintain identity superposition—simultaneously holding contradictory identity claims that collapse into specific identity only when context forces measurement.

Example: An organism might simultaneously identify as: – Chinese (by ancestry) – Taiwanese (by nationality) – American (by socialization)

These identities are contradictory if treated as exclusive categories. But the organism doesn't experience them as contradictory—each activates in appropriate context. The organism is all three simultaneously, in superposition, until context forces collapse to single identity.

The cross-strait conflict functions as measurement device: It forces organisms to collapse superposition, to choose one identity and renounce others. Beijing's position: You cannot be both Chinese and Taiwanese; choose Chinese. Taiwan independence position: You cannot be both Taiwanese and Chinese; choose Taiwanese.

But what if the superposition is the truth? What if forcing collapse is the error?

Subject under observation: Sarah Huang (previously introduced), returning to Taiwan for first time in two years. She will experience direct confronta-

tion between her multiple simultaneous identities and the political context that demands she choose one.

Human Narrative

Sarah arrived at Taiwan Taoyuan International Airport on a Wednesday evening in late July. The humidity hit her as she exited the plane—thick, tropical, familiar. San Francisco’s fog-cool summers had made her forget what real heat felt like.

Her cousin Derek met her at arrivals. “Welcome back! How was the flight?”
“Long. I watched four movies and slept badly.”

They took a taxi into Taipei. The highway was familiar—she’d driven it dozens of times during previous visits—but the skyline had changed. New buildings, taller and more numerous than she remembered. The city sprawling outward and upward.

“You’re staying with us, right?” Derek asked.

“If that’s still okay. I don’t want to impose—”

“You’re not imposing. The guest room is ready. My wife is excited to see you.”

They arrived at Derek’s apartment in Banqiao, a New Taipei City suburb. His wife, Mei-ling, had prepared dinner: Braised pork rice, stir-fried vegetables, soup. They ate and talked about family news, work gossip, Derek’s kids (both in school, growing fast).

It felt normal. Comfortable. Like coming home.

Except it wasn’t home. San Francisco was home. Wasn’t it?

The next day, Sarah visited her parents’ relatives—aunts, uncles, cousins she barely knew. They lived in Tainan, requiring a high-speed rail journey south. The train was fast, clean, efficient. Sarah found herself comparing it to Amtrak and feeling embarrassed by the comparison. American infrastructure seemed decrepit next to Taiwan’s modernity.

Her aunt’s house was traditional style, three generations living together. Grandmother in the courtyard tending plants, children playing loudly in the back room, adults in the kitchen and living room preparing food and talking.

When Sarah arrived, there was a flurry of greetings. Her Mandarin was good enough to follow conversation, but sometimes they switched to Hokkien

and she was lost. Her aunt would notice and switch back to Mandarin, apologizing.

“Your Hokkien is rusty,” her aunt said.

“I never really learned it properly. My parents spoke Mandarin at home.”

“That’s too bad. Hokkien is our language. You should learn.”

Sarah didn’t know how to explain that “our language” felt like someone else’s language to her. Hokkien belonged to Taiwan, and Taiwan belonged to her parents’ generation, and she was American with Taiwanese ancestry, not Taiwanese-American in the sense of really being Taiwanese.

But she smiled and said: “Maybe I’ll take classes.”

Over lunch—a massive spread of dishes covering every surface of the table—the conversation turned to politics.

“Sarah, you live in America. What do Americans think about Taiwan?” her uncle asked.

“Most Americans don’t think about Taiwan at all,” Sarah said honestly. “The ones who do mostly see it as part of the US-China rivalry. They support Taiwan because China is the adversary, not necessarily because they understand or care about Taiwan itself.”

“But they would defend us if China attacked?”

“Maybe. Probably. But I don’t know for sure. American politics is unpredictable.”

“We shouldn’t rely on America,” her cousin said. “We need to be able to defend ourselves.”

“With what?” her uncle countered. “China has a military a hundred times our size. Without American support, we’d be conquered in weeks.”

“Then we should negotiate peaceful reunification on best terms we can get. Better than being destroyed.”

“That’s surrender,” her cousin said. “Our grandparents didn’t flee the Communists just so we could voluntarily give up to them.”

Sarah watched the argument develop—the same argument happening across Taiwan, across the strait, across the diaspora. No one had new points to make. Everyone just restated their positions with increasing emotional intensity.

“What do you think, Sarah?” her aunt asked. “You’re outside the situation. You have perspective.”

Sarah didn’t want to answer. She had learned that diaspora opinions were simultaneously demanded and resented—demanded because outsiders

supposedly had objective view, resented because outsiders didn't live with the consequences.

"I think," she said carefully, "that there's no good answer. Every option has costs. Independence means war risk. Status quo means chronic insecurity. Reunification means losing democracy. There's no path that doesn't require sacrifice."

"But if you had to choose?" her uncle pressed.

"I don't have to choose. I'm American. It's not my decision."

"But you're Taiwanese too. Your family is here. You have a stake."

"Do I? I visit every few years. I don't vote here. I don't pay taxes here. I don't serve in the military. What stake do I really have?"

The table went quiet. Then her aunt said, gently: "Blood is stake. Family is stake. You can live in America, but Taiwan is your origin. That doesn't disappear."

Sarah felt tears surprising her. "I know. I'm sorry. I'm not trying to reject my heritage. I just—I don't know where I fit. I'm not American enough for Americans and not Taiwanese enough for Taiwanese. I'm something in between, and there's no word for it, no category that fits."

Her cousin reached across the table and squeezed her hand. "You're Sarah. That's enough."

But it wasn't enough. The world demanded categories, demanded allegiances, demanded that you choose a side in conflicts you didn't create and couldn't resolve.

That weekend, Sarah attended a protest in Taipei. Derek had invited her—a demonstration against China's military exercises and economic coercion. "You should see this," he said. "It's part of Taiwan's reality."

They took the MRT to Ketagalan Boulevard, the wide street in front of the Presidential Office Building. Thousands of people were already gathered, holding signs and banners. "Resist Annexation." "Democracy Cannot Be Negotiated." "Taiwan Is Not China."

Sarah felt out of place. This wasn't her fight—she could leave in a week, return to San Francisco, resume her comfortable life. These people were risking their futures, possibly their lives.

But Derek insisted she join. "You're Taiwanese. You should be here."

"I'm American."

"You're both. Come on."

They pushed into the crowd. Someone handed Sarah a small Taiwan flag. She held it awkwardly, unsure whether displaying it felt like claiming identity she hadn't earned.

The speeches began—politicians, activists, students, all expressing defiance and determination. “We will not surrender our democracy.” “We are Taiwanese, not Chinese.” “Our sovereignty is non-negotiable.”

The crowd roared approval. Sarah felt the energy, the solidarity, the collective assertion of identity in the face of existential threat.

And she felt alien. These people knew who they were. They had clarity. She was watching from outside, observing a performance she couldn't fully join.

Derek noticed her discomfort. “You okay?”

“Yeah. Just... overwhelming.”

“Want to leave?”

“No. I want to understand.”

They stayed for another hour. After the speeches, the crowd began marching. Sarah walked with them, holding her flag, chanting slogans in Mandarin that felt foreign in her mouth.

At one point, an older woman walking beside her smiled and said in English: “Thank you for supporting Taiwan.”

“I’m... I’m Taiwanese,” Sarah said. “My parents are from here.”

“Ah! Overseas Taiwanese! That’s wonderful. We need diaspora support.”

Sarah nodded, but inside she felt like a fraud. She wasn’t supporting Taiwan as an outsider. But she wasn’t defending Taiwan as an insider either. She was suspended between categories, performing a role she wasn’t sure she inhabited.

That night, back at Derek’s apartment, she had a video call with her manager in San Francisco. There was a work crisis—a product launch delayed, customer complaints, interpersonal conflict on the team. Sarah talked through the issues, made decisions, resolved tensions.

In that context, she was Professional Sarah. Competent, decisive, American-accented English, corporate framework. Taiwan felt far away, abstract, not quite real.

After the call, she sat in the guest room feeling disoriented. She had been Taiwanese Sarah at the protest that afternoon—or performing Taiwanese Sarah, anyway. Now she was Professional American Sarah. Tomorrow she would visit her grandmother in Tainan and be Filial Granddaughter Sarah.

Which was real? Or were they all real? Or were none of them real—just performances in different contexts, and the “real Sarah” was an empty space around which the performances orbited?

She opened her journal app and typed:

I came to Taiwan thinking I would feel more connected, more rooted. Instead, I feel more fragmented. Every context demands a different version of me, and I’m losing track of which version is authentic.

At the protest today, I held a Taiwan flag and chanted slogans. But I felt like an imposter. These people are defending their home. I’m a tourist with a flag.

On the work call tonight, I was Professional Sarah making product decisions. That felt real. That felt like me. But it’s also a performance—the competent product manager persona I’ve cultivated to succeed in tech.

With my family, I’m Dutiful Daughter/Niece/Cousin Sarah. Speaking careful Mandarin, nodding politely, performing respect for elders even when I disagree with them.

Am I lying in all these contexts? Or is the lie the assumption that there should be one true consistent self?

Quantum mechanics says particles exist in superposition until measured. Maybe I exist in identity superposition—simultaneously American, Taiwanese, professional, personal, multiple contradictory things at once. The world keeps trying to measure me, to force me to collapse into definite state. But maybe I should resist the measurement. Maybe the superposition is more honest.

But superposition is exhausting. I’m tired of code-switching, tired of performing, tired of never feeling fully at home anywhere.

Derek asked me if I’d ever move to Taiwan permanently. The answer is no. But I also can’t fully commit to American identity—it doesn’t accommodate the Taiwanese parts of me. I’m stuck in between, and there’s no resolution.

She closed the app and lay in the dark, listening to the sounds of Taipei traffic through the window.

The next morning, Derek had breakfast prepared—traditional Taiwanese: Congee, pickled vegetables, fried dough sticks, soy milk.

“Sleep okay?” he asked.

“Not really. Jet lag.”

“Yeah, it takes a few days. What do you want to do today?”

“I should visit more relatives. My mom gave me a list.”

“That’ll take all day. They’ll feed you constantly. Prepare to gain weight.”

Sarah smiled. “I’m prepared.”

“Can I ask you something?” Derek said.

“Sure.”

“Do you think of yourself as Taiwanese?”

Sarah was quiet, considering. “I think of myself as someone with Taiwanese heritage who grew up American and doesn’t fully belong to either category.”

“That’s not really an answer.”

“It’s the only answer I have. If you’re asking whether I identify as Taiwanese in the way you do—no. I don’t have that clarity. But I’m not purely American either. I’m something else. Something undefined.”

“Is that okay with you?”

“I don’t know. Sometimes I think it’s honest—that rigid identity categories are fictions and being in-between is more truthful. Other times I think I’m just avoiding commitment, refusing to choose a side out of cowardice or privilege.”

Derek nodded. “I used to think people like you—diaspora who don’t commit to Taiwanese identity—were traitors or at least disloyal. But I’m starting to think maybe you’re just seeing things clearly. Maybe the rest of us are the ones clinging to fictions.”

“I don’t think that’s true. Your commitment to Taiwan is real. It shapes your life, your choices. That’s not fiction.”

“But it’s constructed, right? Like you said—identity is performance. I perform Taiwanese identity because it’s useful, because it gives me community and meaning. But it’s not some essential truth about who I am.”

“Even if it’s constructed, it’s still real. Social constructions have real consequences.”

“So what do we do? Keep performing our assigned identities even though we know they’re constructed?”

“I think we don’t have a choice. Identity is the water we swim in. We can be aware that it’s constructed, but we still need it to navigate social reality.”

They finished breakfast in thoughtful silence.

Sarah spent the day visiting relatives, repeating the same conversations about her life in America, her job, her lack of husband or children (which

concerned her aunts deeply), her thoughts about Taiwan's future (which she deflected politely).

By evening, she was exhausted—not physically but emotionally. The constant code-switching, the performance of multiple roles, the gap between what people expected and what she actually felt.

On the MRT back to Derek's apartment, she sat next to a young woman reading a book in English—some American novel. The woman had earbuds in, was dressed in contemporary fashion that could have been from any major city. She looked like she could be Taiwanese or could be from anywhere. Global youth culture created uniformity across national boundaries.

Sarah wondered: What did that woman identify as? Was she struggling with the same questions? Or did she have the clarity Sarah lacked?

The train pulled into the station. Sarah got off, walked through the underground passages connecting to other lines, emerged at street level into Taipei's humid night.

Above, the city's lights created a glow against low clouds. Somewhere beyond those clouds was the strait, and beyond the strait was the mainland, and somewhere on the mainland was Chen Wei, who believed Taiwan should be part of China. And somewhere in Taiwan was Lin Xiaowen, who believed Taiwan should remain separate. And here was Sarah, believing both and neither, holding contradictions that refused to resolve.

The world demanded she choose. But what if the demand itself was wrong? What if the human experience was fundamentally superposition, and the political frameworks forcing collapse were the problem?

She didn't have an answer. She only had the question, and the exhaustion of carrying it.

Observer Commentary

Duration of narrated events: 96 hours. Observable cognitive state: Sustained identity ambiguity.

The organism Sarah Huang maintains identity superposition throughout her visit to Taiwan. Multiple identity states remain activated simultaneously: – American (professional context, language, cultural references) – Taiwanese (family context, political participation, ancestral connection) – Diaspora (neither American nor Taiwanese, hybrid category)

The organism does not resolve this superposition into definite state. Instead, she experiences it as permanent condition—exhausting but potentially more honest than forced collapse.

Observation on quantum metaphor limitations:

Quantum superposition is not actually analogous to identity ambiguity. Quantum systems are in genuinely indeterminate state before measurement; human identity is determinate—but—multiple. The organism doesn't become American or Taiwanese upon measurement—she already is both, in different contexts.

Better metaphor: Wave–particle duality. Light exhibits both wave–like and particle–like properties depending on experimental setup. Both properties are real, both coexist, neither is more fundamental. Asking “is light a wave or particle?” is asking wrong question. Correct question: “Under what conditions does light exhibit wave–like vs. particle–like behavior?”

Similarly for Sarah: She exhibits American–identity–behavior in professional contexts, Taiwanese–identity–behavior in family contexts, hybrid–identity–behavior in reflective contexts. All are real. None is more authentic. The behavior is context–dependent.

Observation on diaspora as unstable category:

Diaspora populations exist between homeland and host country. This creates productive ambiguity in times of peace but forces painful choices in times of conflict.

If Taiwan–China conflict escalates to war: – Sarah will face pressure to declare allegiance – Remaining neutral or maintaining superposition will be interpreted as betrayal by both sides – US may require Asian Americans to demonstrate loyalty (historical precedent: Japanese internment) – Taiwan may demand diaspora material support (financial, political, possibly military) – China may classify diaspora as enemy nationals

The organism’s current ability to maintain superposition depends on peaceful conditions. War collapses superposition forcibly.

Observation on Derek’s cognitive shift:

The organism Derek previously viewed ambiguous identity as betrayal. After conversation with Sarah, he recognizes that his own “clear” identity is also constructed performance. This creates opening for more sophisticated understanding: Identity is socially constructed, yet socially real. Construction doesn’t negate reality.

This insight could lead Derek to: (a) Cynical position: “Identity is fake, nothing matters” (b) Pragmatic position: “Identity is constructed but useful, continue performing it consciously” (c) Radical position: “Identity construction should be resisted, seek authentic self beyond social categories”

Most organisms default to (b) because it preserves social functioning while acknowledging construction. Options (a) and (c) are cognitively expensive and socially isolating.

Prediction regarding Sarah:

The organism will return to San Francisco after two-week visit. She will resume American identity performance in professional context. But the visit will have planted seeds of doubt and connection that grow over time.

Within 6–12 months, one of three paths: 1. Full American assimilation: Decreases Taiwan connection, treats heritage as family history but not personal identity (30% probability) 2. Maintained superposition: Continues living in ambiguity, visits Taiwan occasionally, preserves multiple identities (50% probability) 3. Return to Taiwan: Relocates permanently, collapses superposition in favor of Taiwanese identity (20% probability)

Path selection depends on external events: – If cross-strait conflict escalates, probability of path 3 increases (paradoxically—crisis can trigger identity commitment) – If Taiwan thrives and US becomes less appealing, probability of path 3 increases – If career advancement requires geographic stability, probability of path 2 increases

Currently, the organism is in unstable equilibrium. Small perturbations could shift her trajectory significantly.

Final observation on political systems and identity:

The cross-strait conflict exemplifies how political systems interact with identity. Authoritarian systems prefer unified singular identities: “You are Chinese, period.” Democratic systems tolerate identity pluralism: “You can be multiple things simultaneously.”

Taiwan’s democracy enables its population to develop new identity distinct from mainland. The PRC’s authoritarianism requires identity homogenization—all citizens must identify as Chinese under CCP leadership.

If reunification occurs under PRC control, Taiwan’s identity pluralism will be suppressed. Organisms like Sarah who maintain superposition will be forced to collapse into “Chinese” identity or be classified as enemies of state.

If Taiwan maintains separation, identity pluralism can continue—but at cost of chronic security threat and diplomatic isolation.

There is no costless path. All choices require sacrifice of something valued.

The organism Sarah experiences this at personal level. The societies experience it at collective level.

End observation log.

[Chapter 10 Complete – Part II: Identity Protocols Complete]