# Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology School of Electrical Engineering

# EE817 GPU Programming and Its Applications Spring 2018

Student: Dinh Vu

Student ID: 20184187

### Homework 2

The computer used in this homework contains NVIDIA GeForce 1070 based on Pascal GP104 architecture.

```
20184187@eelab5: ~
20184187@eelab5:~$ nvidia-smi
Wed Mar 28 20:46:30 2018
 NVIDIA-SMI 384.59
                            Driver Version: 384.59
                                      Disp.A | Volatile Uncorr. ECC
y-Usage | GPU-Util Compute M.
 GPU
    Name
              Persistence-M| Bus-Id
         Perf Pwr:Usage/Cap|
 Fan
     Temp
                                 Memory-Usage
GeForce GTX 1070
                     Off | 00000000:01:00.0 Off
                                                           N/A
                                                        Default
                32W / 200W |
                               OMiB /
                                     8114MiB |
 6%
     49C
           PΘ
                                                 0%
     GeForce GTX 1070
                     0ff
                          00000000:02:00.0 Off
           P5
               24W /
                               OMiB / 8114MiB
                                                  0%
                                                        Default
 0%
     50C
                     200W
 Processes:
                                                      GPU Memory
         PID Type
 GPU
                  Process name
                                                     Usage
No running processes found
0184187@eelab5:~$
```

Figure 1. Graphic card information

### 1. Homework 2.1

The source code of homework 2.1 is MatrixAddZeroCopy.cu. The execution time of matrix  $160 \times 160$ ,  $1600 \times 1600$  and  $16000 \times 16000$  is presented in Figure 2 below.

```
20184187@eelab5:~/gpu_programming/hw/hw2$ nvcc -arch=sm_61 -o MatrixAddZeroCopy MatrixAddZeroCopy.cu
20184187@eelab5:~/gpu_programming/hw/hw2$ nvprof ./MatrixAddZeroCopy
==14484== NVPROF is profiling process 14484, command: ./MatrixAddZeroCopy
Matrix Add is OK
==14484== Profiling application: ./MatrixAddZeroCopy
==14484== Profiling result:
Time(%) Time Calls Avg Min Max Name
100.00% 44.255us 1 44.255us 44.255us 44.255us MatrixAddZeroCopy(float*, float*, float*, int, int)
```

```
20184187@eelab5:~/gpu_programming/hw/hw2$ nvcc -arch=sm_61 -o MatrixAddZeroCopy MatrixAddZeroCopy.cu
20184187@eelab5:~/gpu_programming/hw/hw2$ nvprof ./MatrixAddZeroCopy
==14406== NVPROF is profiling process 14406, command: ./MatrixAddZeroCopy
Matrix Add is OK
==14406== Profiling application: ./MatrixAddZeroCopy
==14406== Profiling result:
Time(%) Time Calls Avg Min Max Name
100.00% 3.3649ms 1 3.3649ms 3.3649ms 3.3649ms MatrixAddZeroCopy(float*, float*, float*, int, int)
```

# (b) Matrix 1600×1600

```
20184187@eelab5:~/gpu_programming/hw/hw2$ nvcc -arch=sm_61 -o MatrixAddZeroCopy MatrixAddZeroCopy.cu
20184187@eelab5:-/gpu_programming/hw/hw2$ nvprof ./MatrixAddZeroCopy
==13621== NVPROF is profiling process 13621, command: ./MatrixAddZeroCopy
Matrix Add is OK
==13621== Profiling application: ./MatrixAddZeroCopy
==13621== Profiling result:
Time(%) Time Calls Avg Min Max Name
100.00% 322.32ms 1 322.32ms 322.32ms 322.32ms MatrixAddZeroCopy(float*, float*, float*, int, int)
```

(c) Matrix 16000×16000

Figure 2. The execution time of addition function for each matrix size

**Table 1.** The execution time of matrices

Matrix size	160×160	1600×1600	16000×16000
<b>Execution time</b>	44.255 μs	3.3649 ms	322.32 ms

The practical result is corresponding with theorem about using zero-copy memory. Generally, the execution time increases by the size of matrix.

The execution time of matrix  $160 \times 160$  is lowest because the number of threads of addition matrices  $160 \times 160$  is lowest and amount of data is acceptable with the bandwidth of zero-copy memory.

There are two reasons cause the highest execution time for matrix  $16000 \times 16000$ . First, the number of threads is greatest,  $1.6 \times 10^7$  threads so it is need more time to schedule. Second, the most important reason is that reading and writing the data of 16 millions elements in each matrix, about  $3 \times 1.6 \times 10^7 \times 4$  bytes  $\approx 183$  MB shared by CPU and GPU is limited by PCIe 3.0 bus with bandwidth 985 MB/s.

## 2. Homework 2.2

The source code for homework 2.2 is MatrixAddGlobalMem.cu. The result is display in Figure 2.1.

```
Profiling application: ./MatrixAddGlobalMem 8
Profiling result:
Metric result:
                                         Metric Name
                                                              (b) offset = 8
             application: ./MatrixAddGlobalMem 16
result:
sult:
   orce GTX 1070 (0)"
MatrixAddGlobalMem(float*.
                                                             (c) offset = 16
    ofiling application: ./MatrixAddGlobalMem 32
ofiling result:
                                                                                                                  50.00%
                                                             (d) offset = 32
             application: ./MatrixAddGlobalMem 128
                                         Metric Name
                                                                                     Metric Description
GeForce GTX 1070 (0)"
el: MatrixAddGlobalMem(float*,
                                     float*, float*, int, int, int)
```

(e) offset = 128

**Figure 3.** Global memory load efficiency

The global memory load efficiency is 50 % for all five cases.

In Pascal architecture, the data access unit is 32 bytes regardless of whether global loads are cached in L1 [1]. A cache line, 128 bytes long, is splitted into four 32-byte sectors. A memory transaction, 32 bytes long, actually requests 32-byte sector read from global memory. On other hand, there are two L1 caches in each Streaming Processor (SM). Hence:

Requested Global Memory Load Throughput =  $2 \times 32$  bytes = 64 bytes

However, one cache line contains data from one 128-byte memory line, so Required Global Memory Load Throughput equals to 128 bytes

```
gld\_efficiency = \frac{Requested \ Global \ Memory \ Load \ Throughput}{Required \ Memory \ Load \ Throughput} = \frac{64 \ bytes}{128 \ bytes}= 50\%
```

# 3. Homework 2.3

The source code of homework 2.3 is to UpperString.cu and the result is shown in Figure 3.1 below.

```
🔊 🖨 📵 20184187@eelab5: ~/gpu_programming/hw/hw2
20184187@eelab5:~/gpu_programming/hw/hw2$ nvcc -arch=sm_61 -o toUpperString toUpperString.cu
20184187@eelab5:~/gpu_programming/hw/hw2$ nvprof ./toUpperString
--15755-- NVPPOE is profiling process 15755, command: ./toUpperString
GOOD LUCK! GUYS
            Profiting application: ./toUpperString
Profiling result:
 =15755==
                Time
                            Calls
Γime(%)
                                            Avg
                                                                      Max
                                                                            Name
 60.68%
           2.2720us
                                                                            toUpperString(char*)
                                     2.2720us
                                                  2.2720us
                                                               2.2720us
               736ns
736ns
                                                      736ns
736ns
                                                                            [CUDA memcpy DtoH]
[CUDA memcpy HtoD]
                                         736ns
 19.66%
                                                                   736ns
 19.66%
                                                                   736ns
                                         736ns
 ==15755== API calls:
                            Calls
 ime(%)
                Time
                                            Avg
                                                         Min
                                                                      Max
                                                                            Name
                                     114.35ms
           114.35ms
                                                  114.35ms
                                                               114.35ms
 99.06%
                                                                            cudaMalloc
           622.33us
210.39us
142.23us
                               182 3.4190us
  0.54%
                                                       97ns
                                                               144.93us
                                                                            cuDeviceGetAttribute
                                     105.19us
142.23us
                                                               111.34us
142.23us
  0.18%
                                                  99.050us
                                                                            cuDeviceTotalMem
                                                  142.23us
  0.12%
                                                                            cudaFree
                                     30.357us
12.915us
  0.05%
           60.715us
25.830us
                                                  29.832us
                                                               30.883us
                                                                            cuDeviceGetName
                                                                14.788us
                                                                            cudaMemcpy
  0.02%
                                                  11.042us
                                                  18.779us
                                                                            cudaLaunch
  0.02%
           18.779us
                                     18.779us
                                                               18.779us
           2.2840us
1.3010us
  0.00%
                                     2.2840us
                                                  2.2840us
                                                                2.2840us
                                                                            cudaSetupArgument
  0.00%
                                         433ns
                                                       133ns
                                                                   994ns
                                                                            cuDeviceGetCount
  0.00%
           1.0920us
                                         182ns
                                                       108ns
                                                                    317ns
                                                                            cuDeviceGet
               666ns
                                                                            cudaConfigureCall
                                         666ns
                                                      666ns
                                                                   666ns
 0184187@eelab5:~/gpu_programming/hw/hw2$
```

**Figure 4.** The result of homework 2.3

Explanation for 2 function d islower() and d toupper():

```
__device__ int d_islower(char c) {
  if (c > 96 && c < 123) return 1;
  else return 0;
}

__device__ int d_toupper(char c) {
  if (c > 64 && c < 91) return c;
  else return c - 32;
}</pre>
```

In ASCII table, the coding numbers of the lower Latin letters from "a" to "z", is from 97 to 122. So, if 96 < c < 123, then c is lower.

As the lower case, the coding number of the upper Latin characters from "A" to "Z", ranges from 65 to 90. Hence, if 64 < c < 91, then c is upper.

The ASCII number of the lower letter always greater than the corresponding upper letter by 32 units in decimal. Therefore, transforming lower letter to upper letter is minus 32.

#### Reference

[1] NVIDIA, Tuning CUDA Applications for Pascal, version 9.1, March 2018