4. Access Control Models in Detail

- 4.1 Discretionary Access Control (DAC)
 - Detailed Explanation:
 - Examples:
 - File permissions in Unix-like systems (e.g., chmod):
 - File permissions in Windows NTFS:
 - Strengths:
 - Weaknesses:
- 4.2 Mandatory Access Control (MAC)
 - Detailed Explanation:
 - Examples:
 - Military security classification
 - SELinux
 - o Strengths:
 - Weaknesses:
- 4.3 Role-Based Access Control (RBAC)
 - Detailed Explanation:
 - Examples:
 - Employees in the "Sales" department
 - Users with the "Administrator" role
 - o Strengths:
 - Weaknesses:
- 4.4 Attribute-Based Access Control (ABAC)
 - **Output** Detailed Explanation:
 - **Examples:**
 - Allowing access based on department and time
 - Granting access based on IP and time of day
 - Strengths:
 - Weaknesses:
- 5. Common Broken Access Control Vulnerabilities

- 5.1 Missing Function-Level Access Control
 - Detailed Explanation:
 - Attack Vectors:
 - Direct URL Access:
 - API Call Manipulation:
 - Impact:
 - Prevention:
 - Centralized Authorization Checks:
 - Role-Based Access Control (RBAC):
 - Attribute-Based Access Control (ABAC):
 - Secure by Default:
- 5.2 Metadata Manipulation
 - Detailed Explanation:
 - Attack Vectors:
 - Hidden Form Field Manipulation:
 - HTTP Header Manipulation:
 - File Metadata Manipulation:
 - o Impact:
 - o Prevention:
 - Strict Metadata Validation:
 - Sanitization and Encoding:
 - Treat Metadata as Untrusted Input:
 - Minimize Metadata Exposure:
- 5.3 CORS Misconfigurations
 - Detailed Explanation:
 - Attack Vectors:
 - Wildcard Origin:
 - Null Origin:
 - Untrusted Origin:
 - Misconfigured Methods or Headers:

- o **Impact:**
 - Unauthorized access
 - XSS attacks:
 - CSRF attacks:
- o **Prevention:**
 - Restrict Origins:
 - Validate Methods and Headers:
 - Avoid Wildcards:
 - Properly Configure Credentials:

Intermediate Level: Broken Access Control - Deep Dive (Extremely Detailed)

- 4. Access Control Models in Detail
 - 4.1 Discretionary Access Control (DAC)
 - Detailed Explanation:
 - DAC is a model where access to objects (files, resources, etc.) is determined by the *owner* of that object. The owner has the "discretion" to grant or revoke access to other subjects (users, processes).
 - Access is typically based on the *identity* of the user or the group to which the user belongs.
 - DAC is very flexible but can be less secure in complex environments due to its reliance on individual users to make security decisions.
 - It contrasts with MAC, where a central authority dictates access, and users cannot change those settings.
 - Deeper Dive:
 - DAC is prevalent in many operating systems because it provides a simple and intuitive way for users to manage their files.
 - However, its weakness lies in the fact that a user might unknowingly grant excessive permissions or fall victim to social engineering attacks (like Trojan horses) that exploit their permissions.
 - DAC systems often involve Access Control Lists (ACLs), which are lists of permissions attached to each object specifying which subjects can perform which actions.
 - Examples:
 - File permissions in Unix-like systems (e.g., chmod).
 - chmod 755 file.txt: This command sets permissions for "file.txt".
 - 7: Owner has read (4), write (2), and execute
 (1) permissions (4 + 2 + 1 = 7).
 - 5: Group has read (4) and execute (1) permissions (4 + 1 = 5).

- 5: Others have read (4) and execute (1) permissions (4 + 1 = 5).
- Deeper Dive:
 - chmod uses octal notation. Each digit represents permissions for user, group, and others, respectively.
 - The chown command is also related to DAC, as it allows changing the *owner* of a file, thus changing who has the primary control over its permissions.
 - Unix-like systems also have the concept of "setuid" and "setgid" bits, which can temporarily elevate the privileges of a process to that of the file's owner or group, respectively. These are important for understanding potential vulnerabilities.
- File permissions in Windows NTFS.
 - NTFS uses Access Control Lists (ACLs) to manage permissions. ACLs are more fine-grained than Unix permissions.
 - NTFS permissions include "Full Control," "Modify," "Read & execute," "List folder contents," "Read," and "Write."
 - Permissions can be "Allowed" or "Denied.""Deny" permissions always take precedence.
 - Deeper Dive:
 - NTFS ACLs are composed of Access Control Entries (ACEs). Each ACE specifies a trustee (user or group), the access rights, and inheritance flags (how permissions propagate to subfolders).
 - NTFS supports permission inheritance, where permissions set on a folder are automatically applied to files and subfolders within it.
 - The "Effective Permissions" tab in Windows file properties is crucial for

troubleshooting complex NTFS permission scenarios.

Strengths:

- Simple to implement and understand for basic access control. It aligns well with how users intuitively think about file ownership.
- Provides flexibility to resource owners. Users can easily grant access to others as needed.
- Users have fine-grained control over their own resources.
 This allows for granular control in smaller, less complex systems.

Weaknesses:

- Vulnerable to Trojan horse attacks.
 - If a user is tricked into running a malicious program (Trojan horse), that program runs with the *user's* permissions. It can then access or modify any file the user can, potentially leading to significant damage.
 - Deeper Dive:
 - This is a fundamental limitation of DAC. The system grants access based on the *identity of the user running the* process, not the process's integrity or intent.
 - Sandboxing and process isolation techniques are often used to mitigate this risk, but they are not inherent to the DAC model itself.
- Lack of centralized control.
 - In large systems, enforcing consistent security policies can be very difficult. Users might set permissions incorrectly or inconsistently.
- Difficult to enforce consistent security policies.
 - Because access control is distributed among individual owners, it's hard to ensure that everyone adheres to a uniform security standard. This can lead to security gaps and inconsistencies.
- 4.2 Mandatory Access Control (MAC)
 - Detailed Explanation:

- MAC is a model where a *central authority* (the operating system or a security administrator) determines access.
 Users cannot change these settings.
- Access is based on security labels assigned to both subjects (users, processes) and objects (files, resources).
- Subjects are assigned a *security clearance* level, and objects are assigned a *sensitivity level* or *classification*.
- The system compares these labels to determine if access is allowed.
- MAC is often used in high-security environments like military or government systems.
 - Deeper Dive:
 - MAC enforces strict information flow policies. A common example is the Bell-LaPadula model (for confidentiality) and the Biba model (for integrity).
 - MAC implementations often involve a Security Kernel, which is a core part of the operating system responsible for enforcing access control.
 - MAC systems can be complex to configure and manage but provide a very high level of security.

Examples:

- Military security classification (e.g., Top Secret, Secret, Confidential).
 - A user with a "Secret" clearance cannot access a document classified as "Top Secret".
 - Deeper Dive:
 - These classifications are hierarchical.
 A user with "Top Secret" clearance can access "Secret," "Confidential," and "Unclassified" documents, but not vice versa.
 - MAC systems often implement the "need-to-know" principle, further restricting access even within the same clearance level.

- SELinux (Security-Enhanced Linux).
 - SELinux is a Linux security module that implements MAC. It uses security labels (security contexts) to control access. Processes are confined to domains.

Deeper Dive:

- SELinux labels every process, file, directory, socket, etc., with a security context.
- Security policies define how these contexts can interact. For example, a web server process might be confined to a domain that prevents it from accessing database files directly.
- SELinux is very powerful but can be complex to configure. It significantly enhances system security by limiting the damage a compromised process can cause.

Strengths:

- Highly secure. Enforces very strict access control policies.
- Provides centralized control. Security administrators have full control over access permissions.
- Reduces the risk of insider threats. Users cannot easily bypass security mechanisms.

Weaknesses:

- Less flexible than DAC. Users have little or no control over their own access permissions.
- Complex to implement and manage. Requires specialized knowledge to configure and maintain.
- Can be difficult for users to understand and use. The strict rules can sometimes hinder productivity.

4.3 Role-Based Access Control (RBAC)

Detailed Explanation:

- RBAC is a model where access is based on a user's role within an organization.
- Permissions are associated with roles, and users are assigned to those roles.

- This simplifies access management, especially in large organizations, as you manage permissions at the role level rather than at the individual user level.
- RBAC is widely used in enterprise applications.
 - Deeper Dive:
 - RBAC can be hierarchical. Roles can inherit permissions from other roles.
 - RBAC systems often involve role activation/deactivation, where users can activate only the roles they need at a given time.
 - RBAC helps enforce the principle of least privilege and separation of duties.

Examples:

- Employees in the "Sales" department have access to sales records.
 - A "Sales Representative" role might have permissions to:
 - View customer data.
 - Create orders.
 - A "Sales Manager" role might have permissions to:
 - View sales reports.
 - Manage sales representatives.
- Users with the "Administrator" role have access to all system functions.
 - An "Administrator" role would have permissions to:
 - Create user accounts.
 - Modify system settings.
 - Manage security policies.

Strengths:

- Easy to manage in organizations with many users. Adding or removing users is simplified by assigning them to roles.
- Provides a clear and consistent way to manage access. Roles provide a standardized way to define permissions.

- Reduces administrative overhead. Changes to permissions only need to be made at the role level.
- Improves security by enforcing consistent access control.

Weaknesses:

- Can become complex in highly dynamic environments. If roles and permissions change frequently, RBAC management can become challenging.
- May not be suitable for situations requiring fine-grained control. RBAC might not be sufficient if access needs to be controlled at a very granular level (e.g., specific data fields).

4.4 Attribute-Based Access Control (ABAC)

Detailed Explanation:

- ABAC is the most flexible and powerful model. Access is based on attributes of the user, the resource, and the environment.
- Attributes are characteristics or properties.
- Access control decisions are made by evaluating policies that combine these attributes.
- ABAC is very dynamic and context-aware.

Deeper Dive:

- ABAC allows for very fine-grained and complex access control.
- Policies are often expressed in a rule-based language (e.g., XACML - eXtensible Access Control Markup Language).
- ABAC is well-suited for modern, distributed systems where access control needs to adapt to changing conditions.

Examples:

- Allowing access to a file only if the user is in the finance department AND accessing it during business hours.
 - User attributes: "finance department"
 - Environment attributes: "business hours"
- Granting access to a web page based on the user's IP address and the time of day.
 - User attributes: "IP address"

Environment attributes: "time of day"

Strengths:

- Most flexible and powerful access control model. Can handle very complex scenarios.
- Allows for fine-grained control. Access can be controlled at the level of individual data fields or resources.
- Can adapt to complex and dynamic environments. Policies can be easily modified to reflect changing business needs.
- Enables context-aware access control. Access can be granted or denied based on the context of the request.

Weaknesses:

- Complex to implement and manage. Requires careful planning and sophisticated policy management tools.
- Requires careful planning and design. Defining and maintaining attributes and policies can be challenging.
- Policy management can become challenging with a large number of attributes.

• 5. Common Broken Access Control Vulnerabilities

5.1 Missing Function-Level Access Control

Detailed Explanation:

- Function-level access control is about restricting access to specific functions or actions that an application performs.
- These functions are often accessed via URLs, API endpoints, or form submissions.
- If an application fails to properly verify if a user is authorized to access a function *before* executing it, this vulnerability arises.
- It's crucial to perform these checks on the *server-side*, as client-side checks can be easily bypassed.

Deeper Dive:

- This vulnerability often stems from a "security by obscurity" approach, where developers assume that hiding links or UI elements is sufficient to prevent access.
- Attackers can use various techniques to discover and access these hidden functions.

 Modern web frameworks and API design patterns can help or hinder the implementation of proper function-level access control.

Attack Vectors:

- Direct URL Access:
 - Attackers can directly type or manipulate URLs in the browser's address bar to access administrative or restricted functions.
 - Example: Accessing example.com/admin/delete_user without being logged in as an administrator.
 - Attackers might guess or discover these URLs through techniques like:
 - Directory Bruteforcing: Using automated tools (e.g., DirBuster, ffuf) to try common directory and file names.
 - Web Crawling: Using web crawlers or manually inspecting HTML/JavaScript to find hidden links or API endpoints.
 - Information Disclosure: Finding URLs in documentation, error messages, or comments in the code.

API Call Manipulation:

- Attackers can modify API requests to access unauthorized functions or data. This is particularly relevant for REST APIs.
- Example: Modifying the request body or headers to bypass authorization checks.
- Attackers might:
 - Change HTTP methods: Switching from GET to POST, PUT, or DELETE if the server doesn't properly restrict allowed methods.
 - Add or modify parameters: Including or changing parameters in the request to access different resources or trigger different actions.

 Forge authentication headers: Manipulating headers related to authentication (e.g., Authorization) if the API uses weak authentication schemes.

Impact:

- Unauthorized access to sensitive functions. Attackers can perform actions they are not supposed to.
- Performing actions that should be restricted to administrators or other privileged users. This can lead to data breaches, system compromise, and other serious consequences.

Prevention:

- Centralized Authorization Checks:
 - Implement authorization logic in a central place within the application. This ensures consistency and reduces the risk of overlooking checks.
 - Use a dedicated authorization module or library.
 Many frameworks provide built-in or third-party libraries for handling authorization.
- Role-Based Access Control (RBAC):
 - Use RBAC to define and manage permissions for different roles.
 - Clearly define roles and their associated permissions.
 - Assign users to roles and enforce permissions based on these roles.
- Attribute-Based Access Control (ABAC):
 - Use ABAC for more complex authorization requirements, where access depends on various attributes.
 - Define rules based on user attributes, resource attributes, and environmental conditions.
- Secure by Default:
 - Adopt a "deny by default" approach. This means that access to any function should be denied unless explicitly allowed by an authorization rule.

 This is a crucial security principle to prevent accidental exposure of functionality.

5.2 Metadata Manipulation

- Detailed Explanation:
 - Metadata is "data about data." It provides context and information about a resource.
 - Examples include file metadata (e.g., file type, size, creation date), HTTP headers, and data stored in hidden form fields.
 - This vulnerability occurs when an application relies on metadata for access control decisions without properly validating it.
 - Attackers can manipulate metadata to trick the application into granting unauthorized access.
 - Deeper Dive:
 - Metadata manipulation attacks exploit the trust an application places in the integrity of metadata.
 - It's essential to treat all metadata as untrusted input, even if it comes from seemingly reliable sources.

Attack Vectors:

- Hidden Form Field Manipulation:
 - Web pages often use hidden form fields to store data that is not directly visible to the user.
 - Attackers can use browser developer tools (e.g., Inspect Element) to view and modify the values of these hidden fields.
 - Example: Changing a hidden field that stores a user's role or privilege level.
- HTTP Header Manipulation:
 - HTTP headers provide metadata about the request and response.
 - Attackers can use tools like Burp Suite or Postman to intercept and modify HTTP headers.
 - Example: Changing the Content-Type header to bypass file type validation or the X-Forwarded-For header to spoof their IP address.

• File Metadata Manipulation:

- Files often contain embedded metadata (e.g., EXIF data in images).
- Attackers can modify this metadata to bypass validation checks or inject malicious content.
- Example: Modifying the dimensions in an image's EXIF data to bypass size restrictions on uploads.

Impact:

- Bypassing access control checks. Attackers can gain access to resources or functions they are not authorized to use.
- Gaining unauthorized access to data or functions.
- Performing malicious actions. This can include data theft, account takeover, or code execution.

Prevention:

- Strict Metadata Validation:
 - Validate all metadata received from users or external sources.
 - Use data types, range checks, regular expressions, and whitelists to ensure metadata conforms to expected values.
- Sanitization and Encoding:
 - Sanitize metadata to remove or neutralize any potentially harmful characters or sequences.
 - Encode metadata properly before using it in any security-sensitive context. This prevents attackers from injecting code or escaping

5.3 CORS Misconfigurations

• Detailed Explanation:

 Cross-Origin Resource Sharing (CORS) is a mechanism that uses HTTP headers to allow web pages from one origin (domain) to access resources from a different origin.

- The same-origin policy is a fundamental security mechanism implemented by web browsers. It restricts how a document or script loaded from one origin can interact with a resource from another origin.
- An origin is defined by the combination of the protocol (e.g., HTTP, HTTPS), hostname (e.g., example.com), and port (e.g., 80, 443).
- corrections controlled manner, allowing legitimate cross-origin requests while still protecting against malicious ones.
- CORS works by adding new HTTP headers that give browsers permission to access selected resources from a different origin.

Deeper Dive:

- CORS is a complex mechanism with various headers and preflight requests.
- The Access-Control-Allow-Origin header is the most important, but others like Access-Control-Allow-Methods, Access-Control-Allow-Headers, and Access-Control-Allow-Credentials are also critical.
- Preflight requests (using the OPTIONS method) are used by browsers to determine if a cross-origin request is safe to send.
- CORS is often a source of confusion for developers, leading to misconfigurations.

Attack Vectors:

- Wildcard Origin:
 - Allowing access from any origin (Access-Control-Allow-Origin: *).
 - This effectively disables the same-origin policy for the resource, allowing any website to make requests to it.
 - This is a major security risk.

Deeper Dive:

- Using the wildcard origin (*) is almost always a bad practice.
- It opens up the server to a wide range of attacks, including those from malicious websites that users might visit.
- It makes it very difficult to control who can access your resources.

Null Origin:

- Allowing access from requests with a null origin (Access-Control-Allow-Origin: null).
- A "null" origin can occur in specific scenarios, such as when a page is loaded from a file:// URL or from within a sandboxed iframe.

 While less common, allowing access from a null origin can still be problematic.

Deeper Dive:

- The security implications of allowing the null origin can be subtle.
- It's important to understand the specific contexts in which null origin requests can arise and whether they pose a risk to your application.

Untrusted Origin:

- Allowing access from specific origins that are not sufficiently trusted.
- Even if you don't use a wildcard, allowing access from a list of origins can be risky if one of those origins is compromised.
- Attackers can then use the compromised origin as a proxy to attack your server.

Deeper Dive:

- It's crucial to carefully vet any origins that you allow access.
- Consider the security posture of those origins and whether they have a history of vulnerabilities.

Misconfigured Methods or Headers:

- Improperly restricting the allowed HTTP methods or headers in CORS requests.
- Access-Control-Allow-Methods: Should only include the HTTP methods that are actually needed (e.g., GET, POST, PUT, DELETE). Allowing unnecessary methods can open up attack vectors.
- Access-Control-Allow-Headers: Should restrict the allowed HTTP headers to only those that are required. Allowing arbitrary headers can create vulnerabilities.

Deeper Dive:

- For example, if an API endpoint is only intended to be accessed with GET and POST requests, the Access-Control-Allow-Methods header should not include PUT or DELETE.
- Similarly, if your API only needs specific headers, don't allow arbitrary ones. Attackers might try to inject malicious headers to bypass security checks.

• Impact:

- Unauthorized access to sensitive data: Attackers can use JavaScript in a malicious website to make cross-origin requests to the vulnerable server and access data that would normally be protected by the same-origin policy.
- Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) attacks: CORS misconfigurations can sometimes be exploited in conjunction with XSS to steal user credentials or perform other malicious actions.
- Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF) attacks: In some cases, CORS vulnerabilities can make it easier for attackers to perform CSRF attacks.

• Prevention:

- Restrict Origins:
 - Specify explicit and trusted origins in the Access-Control-Allow-Origin header.
 - Instead of using the wildcard (*), provide a list of the exact domains that are permitted to access the resource.
 - This is the most important step in preventing CORS-related vulnerabilities.

Deeper Dive:

- If possible, avoid using subdomains in the Access-Control-Allow-Origin header (e.g., prefer example.com over
 *.example.com). Wildcarding subdomains can introduce risks.
- Regularly review and update the list of allowed origins.
- Validate Methods and Headers:
 - Properly restrict the allowed HTTP methods and headers using the Access-Control-Allow-Methods and Access-Control-Allow-Headers headers.
 - Only allow the specific HTTP methods and headers that are actually needed by your application.
 - Be as restrictive as possible to minimize the attack surface.

Deeper Dive:

- Carefully consider the purpose of each API endpoint and which methods and headers are truly necessary.
- Follow the principle of least privilege: only allow what is strictly required.

Avoid Wildcards:

- Avoid using the wildcard origin (*) whenever possible.
- It is generally considered a bad practice and should only be used in very specific circumstances where the resource is truly public and accessible to everyone.

Deeper Dive:

- Even in situations where you think the resource is public, carefully consider the security implications of using the wildcard.
- There might be better ways to achieve the desired functionality without compromising security.

Properly Configure Credentials:

- Use the Access-Control-Allow-Credentials header with caution and only when necessary.
- This header is used to indicate whether cross-origin requests can include authentication credentials (e.g., cookies, HTTP authentication).
- If you use Access-Control-Allow-Credentials: true, you cannot use the wildcard origin (*) in the Access-Control-Allow-Origin header. You must specify explicit origins.

Deeper Dive:

- Allowing credentials in cross-origin requests increases the risk of CSRF attacks.
- Only enable this if your application absolutely needs it and you have other strong CSRF protection mechanisms in place.