

COSC2430 – Web Programming

Peer Performance Evaluation

Project	Assi	ignment	Title
----------------	------	---------	-------

Full-stack Web Application

Evaluator's Name

Do Le Long An

Group Name

CSS:GO

Evaluation Date

19/05/2023

Roles and Responsibilities

Trello Board:

https://trello.com/invite/b/YPDCAFvR/ATTI3c6171182322837ae156161a1d76826bB17C 326E/fullstack-web-project

Note: Everyone involves in manually testing website features and functionalities.

Team Member's	Role	Tasks responsibility	
Name			
Do Le Long An	Project Manager,	- Manage and keep track of Product	
(s3963207)	Frontend and	Backlog on Trello	
	Backend Developer	- Planning Sprint Meeting and Tasks	
		Allocation	
		- Execute tasks (Customer, Shipper and	
		Vendor) in both client – side and server –	
		side of the website.	
		- Supervise GitHub repository and branches	
		- Deploy Project onto live website	

Technical Leader,	- Execute tasks (Customer, Shipper and
Frontend and	Vendor) in both client – side and server –
Backend Developer	side of the website.
	- Technical decision including tools and
	resources
	- Keep track of Sprint Backlog and Website
	Functionalities
Technical Report	- Execute tasks for Vendor in server – side
Leader, Backend	- Manage and keep track of paperwork
Developer	progress
	- Writing report
Technical Writer,	- Figma UI Designer
Designer and	- Execute tasks in client – side of the
Frontend Developer	website (buttons, dashboards, carts, order
	history, login and signup forms,
	navigation bars, homepage)
	- Website Content Writer
	- Writing report
Technical Writer,	- Supervise Meeting Minute
Frontend Developer	- Execute tasks in client – side of the
	website (login and signup forms)
	- Writing report
	- Making presentation slides and deciding
	video flows
	- Manage and keep track of the presentation
	video demo
	Frontend and Backend Developer Technical Report Leader, Backend Developer Technical Writer, Designer and Frontend Developer Technical Writer,

Note: Score to each team member performance.

Use the following corresponding mark.

 $1-Poor,\,2-Fair,\,3-Quite\ good,\,4-Good,\,5-Excellent$

Leader Evaluation					
	Team Members				
Criteria	L.A	T.A	V.K	Q.A	H.V
	5	5	5	5	5

Attitude: • Be knowledgeable & well prepared • Be respectful in all discussions or disagreement. • Be enthusiastic, positive & honest with the group. • Help others & Share information.					
Responsibility: • Be present on time for group meetings (not makes up absence with extra work). • Be committed and dedicated to assigned tasks • Participate in multiple areas and actively does their share of the work.	5	5	5	5	5
Quality of Work: • Work meets standards of excellence • Work submission: on deadline • Useful contribution	5	5	5	5	5
Communication: • Listen well & Be respectful towards others • Good communicator: be active in communicating by email, chat, discussion, etc.	5	5	5	5	5
Project Contribution (%):	20	20	20	20	20

Team Overall:

First of foremost, despite the differences in each member's assigned tasks and evaluation criteria, everyone has demonstrated outstanding qualities. Each team member made valuable contributions that were both useful and beneficial to the project's overall success. That's why I decided to give the Excellent scoring weight to everyone in the above table.

In terms of attitude and communication, everyone maintained a respectful and active contribution during discussions. We provide regular and daily updates on our progress and any obstacles, including bugs report. Although it was not mentioned in the Meeting Minute, we often had online meetings if we were unable to find a solution via chat, especially between Tuan Anh and me. In addition, everyone consistently attended group meetings either online or offline and demonstrated commitment and dedication to their assigned tasks, which I am extremely thankful that everyone is responsible for determining the best strategy to finalize the project.