## Max-flow, min-cut for MRF inference

#### Max-flow, min-cut

Theres's a difference between knowing the path and walking the path -- {Morpheus}

(s,t)-cut: is a partition of the vertices into disjoint subsets S and T- meaning  $S \cup T = V$ . The **minimum cut problem** is to compute an (s,t)-cut whose capacity is small as possible. Intuitively, the minimum cut is the cheapest way to disrupt all from from s to t.

#### Maxflow-Mincut Theorem:

In every flow network with source s and target t, the value of the maximum (s,t)-flow is equal to the capacity of the minimum (s,t)-cut.

## **Application of Graph Cuts into MRFs**

Remember with MRF, we want to do inference:

$$z_1,\dots,z_n = argmax_{z_1,\dots,z_n}rac{1}{Z}e^{-\sum_i E(x_i,z_i)-\sum_{i,j} E(z_i,z_j)}$$

where we have unary(hidden&observed) and pairwise(hidden&hidden) energy terms. We want to maximize the potential function  $e^{-\sum}$ 

This is equivalent to minimizing the sum of energy functions:

$$egin{aligned} z_1,\dots,z_n = argmin_{z_1,...,z_n} \sum_i E(x_i,z_i) + \sum_{i,j} E(z_i,z_j) \end{aligned}$$

Graph's minimum cut provides a solution to the maximum likelihood task

## **Constraints on Graph Construction**

- binary labels
- maximum clique size of 2
- submodularity condition for pairwise energy terms:

$$E(0,0) + E(1,1) \le E(0,1) + E(1,0)$$

 $\alpha$ -expansion algorithm extends the method to **non-binary labels**. It is locally optimal, but can be globally optimal with some guaranteed margin.

## Homomorphism

Every energy term can be transformed into a graph snippet (subgraph). This is possible because the energy minimization via min cut is homomorphic under graph composition:

• if  $G_1$  encodes  $min(E_1)$  and  $G_2$  encodes  $min(E_2)$ , then  $G_1 \cup G_2$  encodes  $min(E_1 + E_2)$ 

Without homomorphism property, we cannot easily apply graph cuts into energy minimization in MRFs.

#### Construction

- 1. Start with neighborhood relationship of hidden variables  $z_i$
- 2. Add a source node s with label 0
- 3. Add a sink node t with label 1
- 4. Connect the nodes with chosen edges and edge weights
- 5. Calculate minimum cut between s and t
- 6. The solution is to assign label 0 to all nodes that are connected to s, and label 1 to all nodes that are connected to t.

#### Homomorphism

It allows us to write every energy term as a graph snippet. If  $G_1$  encodes  $min(E_1)$  and  $G_2$  encodes  $min(E_2)$ , then  $G_1G_2$  encodes  $min(E_1 + E_2)$ 

# Focus on Energy function for calculating submodularity condition. Be careful about sign.

Gibbs sampling makes the assumption that a joint distribution  $p(z_1, ..., z_N)$  can be approximated by updating one variable at a time when it is conditioned on the other variables, as you write: update  $z_1$  via  $p(z_1 | z_2, ..., z_N)$ , and so on. In MRF inference we are also doing exactly this: we update one node by using the labels of the other nodes, which is just a practical realization of the conditional probability.