

EMPOWERING GREEN MOBILITY MEMO

Executive Summary

The research focused on understanding transportation equity and clean mobility barriers in Atlanta. The main issue explored was whether all neighborhoods have fair access to public transit and electric vehicle (EV) charging, particularly for residents who are more vulnerable due to factors such as income, race, or housing type. This issue is important because access to clean, reliable transportation can influence individuals' ability to reach work, school, and essential services, and it determines who benefits from new green mobility investments. The goal was to identify where the largest gaps exist so that future investments and policies can be directed to areas where they are most needed.

Background / Context

Atlanta has experienced rapid growth, attracting new jobs and residents from diverse backgrounds. However, access to reliable transportation is not uniform across all neighborhoods. While some areas benefit from abundant bus and train services, others have limited options. Historically, decisions regarding the placement of roads, transit lines, and charging stations have often overlooked lower-income neighborhoods and areas with higher rental populations (Wyczalkowski, Welch, & Pasha, 2020). In recent years, the city has increased its focus on these disparities. The 2025 EV Readiness Ordinance was enacted to promote the installation of more electric vehicle charging stations, aiming to make clean transportation accessible to all residents (Atlanta Department of City Planning, 2025). Simultaneously, rising living costs have led many individuals to seek more efficient ways to commute to work, school, or essential services without relying on personal vehicles. As the city moves forward with this ordinance, addressing barriers to clean mobility becomes critical for developing effective policy recommendations. The analysis highlights these challenges by mapping neighborhoods with limited transit and EV charging accessibility, using data from MARTA's transit schedules (MARTA, 2025), the National Renewable Energy Laboratory's charging infrastructure database (National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 2025), and Census data (U.S. Census Bureau, 2023).

Data Summary

The research collected and analyzed several types of public data to understand transportation equity and clean mobility barriers in Atlanta. Demographic and housing data were obtained from the U.S. Census (U.S. Census Bureau, 2023), public transit schedules and routes were sourced from MARTA's GTFS files (MARTA, 2025), and electric vehicle charging station information was gathered from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 2025). All data were carefully cleaned and combined to enable fair comparison across neighborhoods. After data collection, a set of scores was created to measure which neighborhoods face the biggest challenges. First, factors such as poverty, race, and vehicle ownership were scaled and averaged to produce a single score indicating the likelihood of transportation problems in each neighborhood (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021; Doustmohammadi, 2022).

Next, transit accessibility was measured by assessing the proximity of homes to bus and train stops and the frequency of service at those stops. Neighborhoods with more frequent service and closer stops received higher scores, while those with fewer or more distant stops scored lower (Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2025; Chatziioannou et al., 2023).


Electric vehicle accessibility was evaluated by counting the number of EV charging stations within a three-mile radius of each neighborhood centroid, resulting in an EV accessibility score for each neighborhood (National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 2025; Mehditabrizi et al., 2024).

Finally, these scores were combined to identify neighborhoods most in need of assistance (Williams, Kramer, & Keita, 2020; Halimi et al., 2023). Mapping these scores revealed the largest gaps and highlighted areas where Atlanta should focus efforts to promote transportation equity.


Key Findings

The data showed that access to public transit and EV charging is not distributed equally across Atlanta. Neighborhoods with higher poverty rates, more renters, and more people of color generally had lower transit accessibility. Additionally, 62 neighborhoods were identified as high-priority areas needing urgent investment, based on a combination of social vulnerability, transit access, and EV charging gaps.





The first map shows which neighborhoods have higher social and economic challenges, such as poverty or fewer people owning cars. Areas with darker colors on this map are places where people might have a harder time getting around. The second map displays how easy it is for people to use public transit in each neighborhood. Neighborhoods with lighter colors have better access to buses and trains, while darker areas have fewer options or longer waits. The third map highlights where electric vehicle charging stations are located. Neighborhoods with lighter shades have more charging options nearby, while darker areas have fewer or none. Together, these maps provide a spatial understanding of where transportation equity challenges are mostly seen and identify 62 priority areas, helping city leaders and community members see where improvements are most needed.



This map highlights the neighborhoods in Atlanta that have been identified as high priority for transportation investment. Each warning symbol marks a census tract where residents face significant barriers to clean mobility. For example, the tract shown here has a high priority score of 79.74, a high SVI of 0.82, low transit accessibility at 0.24, and very limited EV charging access at 0.03. This visual makes it easy to see which parts of the city face the greatest challenges and should be considered first for new investments in public transit and EV infrastructure.

Reports from organizations like the Urban Institute and the Atlanta Regional Commission emphasize that renters and people living in older apartment buildings face extra challenges in accessing EV charging due to higher installation costs, which can go up to \$10,000/port, which can slow the adoption of cleaner transportation.

Next Steps and Questions

The next phase of this research will involve validating our findings through direct engagement with residents living in the high-priority neighborhoods identified by our analysis. To accomplish this, we planned to design and distribute a survey that asks community members about their everyday transportation experiences, including challenges with public transit and electric vehicle charging access. The goal of this survey is to ensure that the patterns and gaps revealed by our data are consistent with the lived realities of those most affected.

Following the collection of survey responses, we will conduct hypothesis testing to assess the alignment between our quantitative results and community feedback. Specifically, we will test the hypothesis that our data-driven scores accurately reflect the barriers reported by residents. If a significant majority of survey respondents in a given neighborhood report difficulties that match our predicted scores, this will strengthen the credibility of our analysis.

Key questions remain: Do the barriers reported by residents correspond with the neighborhoods marked as high priority? Are there hidden or less obvious challenges such as cultural, economic, or informational barriers, that our quantitative approach may have missed?

References

- U.S. Census Bureau. (2023). *American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates*.
<https://www.census.gov>
- MARTA. (2025). *General Transit Feed Specification (GTFS) Service Data*.
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory. (2025). *Alternative Fuels Data Center & EVI-Pro Tool*.
<https://www.nrel.gov/transportation/evi-pro>
- Atlanta Department of City Planning. (2025). *EV Readiness Ordinance Implementation Framework*.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021). Social Vulnerability Index (SVI). <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/placeandhealth/svi/index.html>
- Doustmohammadi, M. (2022). Use of the Social Vulnerability Index in investigating transit deserts. *Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal*, 9(6), 241–249. <https://doi.org/10.14738/assrj.96.12514>
- Texas Epidemic Public Health Institute. (2021). Social Vulnerability Index (SVI) - Texas. https://tephi.texas.gov/docs/tephi-social-vulnerability-index-report-texas.pdf?language_id=1
- Wyczalkowski, C. K., Welch, T., & Pasha, O. (2020). Inequities of transit access: The case of Atlanta, GA. *Journal of Comparative Urban Law and Policy*, 4(1), 657–684.
- International Council on Clean Transportation. (2024). *Electric vehicle charging at multifamily homes in the United States: Barriers, solutions, and selected equity considerations*. <https://theicct.org/publication/promoting-equity-ev-transition-barriers-and-solutions-to-charging-at-multi-family-homes-us-apr24/>
- Victoria Transport Policy Institute. (2025). *Evaluating transportation equity*. <https://www.vtpi.org/equity.pdf>
- Wyczalkowski, C. K., Welch, T., & Pasha, O. (2020). Inequities of transit access: The case of Atlanta, GA. *Journal of Comparative Urban Law and Policy*, 4(1), 657–684. <https://readingroom.law.gsu.edu/jculp/vol>

Federal Transit Administration. (2023). *National Transit Database (NTD) 2023: Official ridership, service area, and operational data for MARTA, Albany Transit, and Chatham Area Transit (CAT)*. <https://content.govdelivery.com/accounts/USDOTFTA/bulletins/3be1b292>

FOX 5 Atlanta. (2024). *Fewer Atlantans taking train: MARTA says numbers off*. <https://www.fox5atlanta.com/news/fewer-atlantans-taking-train-marta-says-numbers-off4>

Georgia Department of Transportation. (n.d.). *Crash Data Dashboard overview*. Numetric. <https://support.numetric.com/en/articles/4606870-gdot-crash-data-dashboard-overview5>