

A small PoS protocol with dynamic validator set

Yoichi Hirai*

March 19, 2017

Contents

1	Definitions Necessary to Understand Accountable Safety (not skippable)	2
1.1	Prepare Messages' Sources	4
1.2	Slashing Conditions	6
1.3	Validator History Tracking	7
2	Auxiliary Things (skippable)	8
2.1	Sets and Arithmetics	8
2.2	Validator History Tracking	11
2.3	Slashing Related	17
2.4	Mainline Arguments for Accountable Safety	20
3	Accountable Safety (don't skip)	24

*i@yoichihirai.com

- This is a formalization attempt of Vitalik Buterin “Safety Under Dynamic Validator Sets” <https://medium.com/@VitalikButerin/safety-under-dynamic-validator-s.8eojzbyou>
- However, it is not a faithful formalization currently. I defined forks using the `prepare_src` aware chains, I didn’t need slashing conditions one and two.
- This document is produced from the code available at <https://github.com/pirapira/pos>.
- To get updates on this project and similar ones, follow <http://gitter.im/ethereum/formal-methods> or <https://gitter.im/ethereum/research>.

theory *DynamicValidatorSet*

imports *Main*

begin

1 Definitions Necessary to Understand Accountable Safety (not skippable)

In this development we do not know much about hashes. There are many hashes. Two hashes might be equal or not.

datatype *hash* = *Hash int*

Views are numbers. We actually need the fact that views are lines up in a total order. Otherwise accountable safety can be broken.

type-synonym *view* = *int*

We have two kinds of messages. A Commit message contains a hash and a view. A prepare message contains a hash and two views. At this point a message is not signed by anybody.

datatype *message* =
 *Commit hash * view*
 | *Prepare hash * view * view*

We need a set of validators. Here, we just define a datatype containing infinitely many validators. Afterwards, when we look at a particular situation, the situation would contain a finite set of validators.

datatype *validator* = *Validator int*

A message signed by a validator can be represented as a pair of a validator and a message.

type-synonym *signed-message* = *validator* * *message*

Almost everything in this document depends on situations. A situation contains a set of validators, a set of signed messages, and a function specifying parents of hashes.

A situation might be seen from a global point of view where every sent messages can be seen, or more likely seen from a local point of view.

record *situation* =
RearValidators :: *hash* \Rightarrow *validator set*
FwdValidators :: *hash* \Rightarrow *validator set*
Messages :: *signed-message set*
PrevHash :: *hash* \Rightarrow *hash option*

In the next section, we are going to determine which of the validators are slashed in a situation.

A hash's previous hash's previous hash is a second-ancestor. Later, we will see that an honest validator will send a prepare message only after seeing enough prepare messages for an ancestor of a particular degree.

fun *nth-ancestor* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *hash* \Rightarrow *hash option*
where
nth-ancestor - 0 *h* = *Some h*
| *nth-ancestor s (Suc n) h* =
 (*case PrevHash s h of*
 None \Rightarrow *None*
 | *Some h'* \Rightarrow *nth-ancestor s n h'*)

In the slashing condition, we will be talking about two-thirds of the validators doing something.

We can lift any predicate about a validator into a predicate about a situation: two thirds of the validators satisfy the predicate.

definition *two-thirds* :: *validator set* \Rightarrow (*validator* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow *bool*
where
two-thirds vs f =
 ($2 * \text{card } vs \leq 3 * \text{card } (\{n. n \in vs \wedge f n\})$)

Similarly for one-third, more-than-two-thirds, and more-than-one-third.

definition *one-third* :: *validator set* \Rightarrow (*validator* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow *bool*
where
one-third vs f =
 ($\text{card } vs \leq 3 * \text{card } (\{n. n \in vs \wedge f n\})$)

It matters when two-thirds of validators are saying something.

definition *two-thirds-sent-message* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *validator set* \Rightarrow *message* \Rightarrow *bool*
where
two-thirds-sent-message *s vs m* =
two-thirds vs ($\lambda n. (n, m) \in \text{Messages } s$)

A hash is prepared when two-thirds of the validators have sent a certain message.

definition *prepared* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *validator set* \Rightarrow *hash* \Rightarrow *view* \Rightarrow *view* \Rightarrow *bool*
where
prepared s vs h v vsrc =
(*two-thirds-sent-message s vs* (*Prepare* (*h*, *v*, *vsrc*)))

A hash is committed when two-thirds of the validators have sent a certain message.

definition *committed* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *validator set* \Rightarrow *hash* \Rightarrow *view* \Rightarrow *bool*
where
committed s vs h v = *two-thirds-sent-message s vs* (*Commit* (*h*, *v*))

1.1 Prepare Messages' Sources

As we will see, honest validators should send a prepare message only when it has enough prepare messages at a particular view. Those prepare messages need to be signed by two-thirds of both the rear and the forward validators.

A hash at a view and a view source is prepared by the rear validators when two-thirds of the rear validators have signed the prepare message.

definition *prepared-by-rear* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *hash* \Rightarrow *view* \Rightarrow *view* \Rightarrow *bool*
where
prepared-by-rear s h v vsrc =
(*prepared s* (*RearValidators s h*) *h v vsrc*)

Similarly for the forward validators.

definition *prepared-by-fwd* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *hash* \Rightarrow *view* \Rightarrow *view* \Rightarrow *bool*
where
prepared-by-fwd s h v vsrc =
(*prepared s* (*FwdValidators s h*) *h v vsrc*)

When both of these happens, a prepare is signed by both the rear and the forward validator sets.

definition *prepared-by-both* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *hash* \Rightarrow *view* \Rightarrow *view* \Rightarrow *bool*
where
prepared-by-both s h v vsrc =
(*prepared-by-rear s h v vsrc* \wedge *prepared-by-fwd s h v vsrc*)

Similar definitions for commit messages.

definition *committed-by-rear* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *hash* \Rightarrow *view* \Rightarrow *bool*
where

committed-by-rear $s\ h\ v =$
 $(\text{committed } s\ (\text{RearValidators } s\ h)\ h\ v)$

definition *committed-by-fwd* $:: \text{situation} \Rightarrow \text{hash} \Rightarrow \text{view} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
committed-by-fwd $s\ h\ v =$
 $(\text{committed } s\ (\text{FwdValidators } s\ h)\ h\ v)$

definition *committed-by-both* $:: \text{situation} \Rightarrow \text{hash} \Rightarrow \text{view} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
committed-by-both $s\ h\ v =$
 $(\text{committed-by-rear } s\ h\ v \wedge \text{committed-by-fwd } s\ h\ v)$

One type of prepare source is the normal one. The normal source needs to have the same rear validator set and the same forward validator set.

definition *validators-match* $:: \text{situation} \Rightarrow \text{hash} \Rightarrow \text{hash} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
validators-match $s\ h0\ h1 =$
 $(\text{RearValidators } s\ h0 = \text{RearValidators } s\ h1 \wedge$
 $\text{FwdValidators } s\ h0 = \text{FwdValidators } s\ h1)$

fun *sourcing-normal* $:: \text{situation} \Rightarrow \text{hash} \Rightarrow (\text{hash} \times \text{view} \times \text{view}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
sourcing-normal $s\ h\ (h', v', v\text{-src}) =$
 $(\exists\ v\text{-ss}.$
 $\text{prepared-by-both } s\ h\ v\text{-src } v\text{-ss} \wedge$
 $-1 \leq v\text{-src} \wedge$
 $v\text{-src} < v' \wedge$
 $\text{nth-ancestor } s\ (\text{nat } (v' - v\text{-src}))\ h' = \text{Some } h \wedge$
 $\text{validators-match } s\ h\ h')$

Another type of sourcing allows changing the validator sets. The forward validator set of the source needs to coincide with the rear validator set of the newly prepared hash.

definition *validators-change* $:: \text{situation} \Rightarrow \text{hash} \Rightarrow \text{hash} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
validators-change $s\ \text{ancient}\ \text{next} =$
 $(\text{FwdValidators } s\ \text{ancient} = \text{RearValidators } s\ \text{next})$

fun *sourcing-switching-validators* $::$
 $\text{situation} \Rightarrow \text{hash} \Rightarrow (\text{hash} \times \text{view} \times \text{view}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
sourcing-switching-validators $s\ h\ (h', v', v\text{-src}) =$
 $(\exists\ v\text{-ss}.$
 $\text{prepared-by-both } s\ h\ v\text{-src } v\text{-ss} \wedge$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s\ h\ v\text{-src} \wedge$
 $-1 \leq v\text{-src} \wedge$
 $v\text{-src} < v' \wedge$
 $\text{nth-ancestor } s\ (\text{nat } (v' - v\text{-src}))\ h' = \text{Some } h \wedge$

validators-change s h h')

A prepare message's source needs to be one of these two types.

definition *sourcing* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *hash* \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view* \times *view*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where

sourcing s h-new tri = (*sourcing-normal s h-new tri* \vee *sourcing-switching-validators s h-new tri*)

1.2 Slashing Conditions

In a situation, a validator might be slashed or not. A validator is slashed individually although later we will be often talking “unless one-third of the validators are slashed.”

[iii] A validator is slashed when it has sent a commit message and a prepare message containing view numbers in a specific constellation.

definition *slashed-three* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *validator* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

slashed-three s n =

(\exists *x y v w u*.
 (*n, Commit (x, v)*) \in *Messages s* \wedge
 (*n, Prepare (y, w, u)*) \in *Messages s* \wedge
u < *v* \wedge *v* < *w*)

[iv] A validator is slashed when it has signed two different Prepare messages at the same view.

definition *slashed-four* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *validator* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

slashed-four s n =

(\exists *x1 x2 v vs1 vs2*.
 (*n, Prepare (x1, v, vs1)*) \in *Messages s* \wedge
 (*n, Prepare (x2, v, vs2)*) \in *Messages s* \wedge
 (*x1* \neq *x2* \vee *vs1* \neq *vs2*))

A validator is slashed when at least one of the above conditions [i]–[iv] hold.

definition *slashed* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *validator* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

slashed s n = (*slashed-three s n* \vee
slashed-four s n)

definition *one-third-slashed* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *validator set* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

one-third-slashed s vs = *one-third vs (slashed s)*

However, since it does not make sense to divide the cardinality of an infinite set by three, we should be talking about situations where the set of validators is finite.

definition *one-third-of-rear-slashed* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *hash* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

one-third-of-rear-slashed *s h* = *one-third* (*RearValidators* *s h*) (*slashed* *s*)

definition *one-third-of-fwd-slashed* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *hash* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

one-third-of-fwd-slashed *s h* = *one-third* (*FwdValidators* *s h*) (*slashed* *s*)

1.3 Validator History Tracking

In the statement of accountable safety, we need to be a bit specific about which validator set the slashed validators belong to. A singleton is also a validator set and the 2/3 of a random singleton being slashed should not be significant. So, when we have a fork, we start from the root of the fork and identify the heirs of the initial validator sets. Our statement says 2/3 of a heir validator set are slashed.

There are two ways of inheriting the title of relevant validator set. These correspond to the two ways of sourcing a prepare message.

fun *inherit-normal* :: *situation* \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where

inherit-normal *s* (*h-old*, *v-src*) (*h-new*, *v*) =
 (*prepared-by-both* *s h-new v v-src* \wedge *sourcing-normal* *s h-old* (*h-new*, *v*, *v-src*))

lemma *inherit-normal-view-increase* :

inherit-normal *s* (*h-old*, *v-src*) (*h-new*, *v*) \implies
 (*v-src* < *v*)
 <proof>

fun *inherit-switching-validators* ::

situation \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow
 (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where

inherit-switching-validators *s* (*h-old*, *v-old*) (*h-new*, *v-new*) =
 (*prepared-by-both* *s h-new v-new v-old* \wedge
 sourcing-switching-validators *s h-old* (*h-new*, *v-new*, *v-old*))

The heir relation is just zero-or-more repetition of the inheritance.

inductive *heir* :: *situation* \Rightarrow

(*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow
 (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where

heir-self : *prepared-by-both* *s h v v-src* \implies *heir* *s* (*h*, *v*) (*h*, *v*)
 | *heir-normal-step* : *heir* *s* (*h*, *v*) (*h'*, *v'*) \implies
 inherit-normal *s* (*h'*, *v'*) (*h''*, *v''*) \implies
 heir *s* (*h*, *v*) (*h''*, *v''*)
 | *heir-switching-step* : *heir* *s* (*h*, *v*) (*h'*, *v'*) \implies
 inherit-switching-validators *s* (*h'*, *v'*) (*h''*, *v''*) \implies

$$\text{heir } s \ (h, v) \ (h'', v'')$$

When two hashes are not in the inheritance relation in either direction, the two hashes are not on the same heir chain. In the statement of accountable safety, we use this concept to detect conflicts (which should not happen until 2/3 of a legitimate heir are slashed).

definition *on-same-heir-chain* :: *situation* \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where

$$\text{on-same-heir-chain } s \ x \ y = (\text{heir } s \ x \ y \vee \text{heir } s \ y \ x)$$

When heirs are not on the same chain of legitimacy, they have forked.

fun *fork* :: *situation* \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{hash} \times \text{view}) \Rightarrow \\ & (\text{hash} \times \text{view}) \Rightarrow \\ & (\text{hash} \times \text{view}) \Rightarrow \text{bool} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fork } s \ (\text{root}, v) \ (h1, v1) \ (h2, v2) = \\ (\neg \text{on-same-heir-chain } s \ (h1, v1) \ (h2, v2) \wedge \text{heir } s \ (\text{root}, v) \ (h1, v1) \wedge \text{heir } s \\ (\text{root}, v) \ (h2, v2)) \end{aligned}$$

A fork is particularly bad when the end points are committed, not only prepared.

fun *fork-with-commits* :: *situation* \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fork-with-commits } s \ (h, v) \ (h1, v1) \ (h2, v2) = \\ (\text{fork } s \ (h, v) \ (h1, v1) \ (h2, v2) \wedge \\ \text{committed-by-both } s \ h \ v \wedge \\ \text{committed-by-both } s \ h1 \ v1 \wedge \\ \text{committed-by-both } s \ h2 \ v2) \end{aligned}$$

2 Auxiliary Things (skippable)

2.1 Sets and Arithmetics

lemma *sum-suc-disj* :

$$\begin{aligned} n\text{-one} + n\text{-two} \leq \text{Suc } k & \implies \\ n\text{-one} + n\text{-two} \leq k & \vee \\ n\text{-one} + n\text{-two} = \text{Suc } k & \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *sum-eq-disj* :

$$\begin{aligned} ((n\text{-one} :: \text{nat}) \leq 1 \wedge (n\text{-two} :: \text{nat}) \leq 1) & \vee \\ n\text{-one} > 1 \vee n\text{-two} > 1 & \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-eq-case1* :

$$n-one + n-two = Suc\ k \implies$$

$$n-one > 1 \implies$$

$$\exists\ n-one-pre.\ n-one-pre \geq 1 \wedge n-one = Suc\ n-one-pre \wedge n-one-pre + n-two = k$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-eq-case2* :

$$n-one + n-two = Suc\ k \implies$$

$$n-two > 1 \implies$$

$$\exists\ n-two-pre.\ n-two-pre \geq 1 \wedge n-two = Suc\ n-two-pre \wedge n-one + n-two-pre = k$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-suc* :

$$n-one + n-two \leq Suc\ k \implies$$

$$n-one + n-two \leq k \vee$$

$$n-one \leq 1 \wedge n-two \leq 1 \vee$$

$$(\exists\ n-one-pre.\ n-one-pre \geq 1 \wedge n-one = Suc\ n-one-pre \wedge n-one-pre + n-two = k) \vee$$

$$(\exists\ n-two-pre.\ n-two-pre \geq 1 \wedge n-two = Suc\ n-two-pre \wedge n-one + n-two-pre = k)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-not [simp]* :

$$finite\ s \implies$$

$$card\ \{n \in s.\ \neg f\ n\} = card\ s - card\ \{n \in s.\ f\ n\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-conj [simp]* :

$$\{n \in s.\ f\ n \wedge g\ n\} = \{n \in s.\ f\ n\} \cap \{n \in s.\ g\ n\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-more-two-set* :

$$finite\ s \implies$$

$$2 * card\ s \leq 3 * card\ \{n \in s.\ f\ n\} \implies$$

$$2 * card\ s < 3 * card\ \{n \in s.\ g\ n\} \implies$$

$$card\ s$$

$$< 3 * card\ (\{n \in s.\ f\ n\} \cap \{n \in s.\ g\ n\})$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-nonzero-exists* :

$$card\ \{n \in s.\ f\ n\} > 0 \implies$$

$$\exists\ n \in s.\ f\ n$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card-conj-le* :

$\text{finite } s \implies$
 $\text{card } (\{n \in s. f\ n\} \cap \{n \in s. g\ n\})$
 $= \text{card } \{n \in s. f\ n\} + \text{card } \{n \in s. g\ n\} - \text{card } (\{n \in s. f\ n\} \cup \{n \in s. g\ n\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *two-two-set* :

$2 * \text{card } s \leq 3 * \text{card } \{n \in s. f\ n\} \implies$
 $2 * \text{card } s \leq 3 * \text{card } \{n \in s. g\ n\} \implies$
 $\text{finite } s \implies$
 $\text{card } s \leq 3 * \text{card } (\{n \in s. f\ n\} \cap \{n \in s. g\ n\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inclusion-card-le* :

$\forall n. n \in \text{Validators } s \longrightarrow f\ n \longrightarrow g\ n \implies$
 $\text{finite } (\text{Validators } s) \implies$
 $\text{card } \{n \in \text{Validators } s. f\ n\} \leq \text{card } \{n \in \text{Validators } s. g\ n\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-min-min* :

$vs1 < v \implies$
 $\neg vs1 < c\text{-view} \implies$
 $(\text{nat } (v - vs1) + \text{nat } (vs1 - c\text{-view})) = \text{nat } (v - c\text{-view})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *view-total [simp]*:

$(v2 :: \text{view}) \leq v1 \vee v1 \leq v2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-is-suc-dest* :

$\text{Suc } n = n1 + n2 \implies$
 $((\exists n1'. n1 = \text{Suc } n1' \wedge n = n1' + n2) \vee$
 $(\exists n2'. n2 = \text{Suc } n2' \wedge n = n1 + n2'))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-max-ind-step* :

$\forall u. n = \text{nat } (u - s) \longrightarrow s \in (S :: \text{int set}) \longrightarrow (\forall x. x \in S \longrightarrow x \leq u)$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists m. m \in S \wedge (\forall y > m. y \notin S)) \implies$
 $\text{Suc } n = \text{nat } (u - s) \implies s \in S \implies \forall x. x \in S \longrightarrow x \leq u \implies \exists m. m \in S \wedge$
 $(\forall y > m. y \notin S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-max-ind* :
 $\forall u.$
 $n = \text{nat } (u - s) \longrightarrow$
 $s \in (S :: \text{int set}) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall x. x \in S \longrightarrow x \leq u) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists m. m \in S \wedge$
 $(\forall y. y > m \longrightarrow y \notin S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-max* :
 $s \in (S :: \text{int set}) \implies$
 $\forall x. x \in S \longrightarrow x \leq u \implies$
 $\exists m. m \in S \wedge$
 $(\forall y. y > m \longrightarrow y \notin S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *one-third-mp* :
 $\text{finite } X \implies$
 $\forall v. p \ v \longrightarrow q \ v \implies$
 $\text{one-third } X \ p \implies \text{one-third } X \ q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *two-thirds-two-thirds-one-third* :
 $\text{finite } X \implies$
 $\text{two-thirds } X \ p \implies$
 $\text{two-thirds } X \ q \implies$
 $\text{one-third } X \ (\lambda x. p \ x \wedge q \ x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.2 Validator History Tracking

lemma *heir-increases-view* :
 $\text{heir } s \ t \ t' \implies \text{snd } t \leq \text{snd } t'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *heir-after-n-switching* ::
 $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{situation} \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{hash} \times \text{view}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{hash} \times \text{view}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{heir-n-self} : \text{prepared-by-both } s \ h \ v \ v\text{-src} \implies \text{heir-after-n-switching } 0 \ s \ (h, v) \ (h, v)$

| $\text{heir-n-normal-step} :$

$\text{heir-after-n-switching } n \ s \ (h, v) \ (h', v') \implies$
 $\text{inherit-normal } s \ (h', v') \ (h'', v'') \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n \ s \ (h, v) \ (h'', v'')$

| *heir-n-switching-step* :
heir-after-n-switching n s (h, v) (h', v') \implies
inherit-switching-validators s (h', v') $(h'', v'') \implies$
heir-after-n-switching $(\text{Suc } n)$ s (h, v) (h'', v'')

lemma *inherit-switching-validators-increase-view* :
inherit-switching-validators s $(h\text{-old}, v\text{-old})$ $(h\text{-new}, v\text{-new}) \implies$
 $v\text{-old} < v\text{-new}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *every-heir-is-after-n-switching* :
heir s $p0$ $p1 \implies \exists n. \text{heir-after-n-switching } n \text{ } s \text{ } p0 \text{ } p1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *fork-with-n-switching* :: *situation* \Rightarrow
 $(\text{hash} \times \text{view}) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{hash} \times \text{view}) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{hash} \times \text{view}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

fork-with-n-switching
 s (root, v) $n1$ $(h1, v1)$ $n2$ $(h2, v2) =$
 $(\neg \text{on-same-heir-chain } s \text{ } (h1, v1) \text{ } (h2, v2) \wedge$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n1 \text{ } s \text{ } (\text{root}, v) \text{ } (h1, v1) \wedge$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n2 \text{ } s \text{ } (\text{root}, v) \text{ } (h2, v2))$

lemma *fork-has-n-switching* :
fork s (r, v) $(h1, v1)$ $(h2, v2) \implies$
 $\exists n1 \text{ } n2. \text{fork-with-n-switching } s \text{ } (r, v) \text{ } n1 \text{ } (h1, v1) \text{ } n2 \text{ } (h2, v2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *heir-decomposition* :
heir s (h, v) $(h'', v'') \implies$
 $((\exists v\text{-src}. h = h'' \wedge v = v'' \wedge \text{prepared-by-both } s \text{ } h \text{ } v \text{ } v\text{-src}) \vee$
 $(\exists h' \text{ } v'. \text{heir } s \text{ } (h, v) \text{ } (h', v') \wedge \text{inherit-normal } s \text{ } (h', v') \text{ } (h'', v'')) \vee$
 $(\exists h' \text{ } v'. \text{heir } s \text{ } (h, v) \text{ } (h', v') \wedge \text{inherit-switching-validators } s \text{ } (h', v') \text{ } (h'', v''))$
 $)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *heir-same-height* :
heir s (h', v) $(h, v) \implies$
 $h' = h$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *fork-with-center* :: *situation* \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where

fork-with-center *s* (*h-orig*, *v-orig*) (*h*, *v*) (*h1*, *v1*) (*h2*, *v2*) =
 (*fork* *s* (*h*, *v*) (*h1*, *v1*) (*h2*, *v2*) \wedge
heir *s* (*h-orig*, *v-orig*) (*h*, *v*) \wedge (* This is used to connect the whole setup with
 the original statement *))
committed-by-both *s* *h* *v* \wedge
committed-by-both *s* *h1* *v1* \wedge
committed-by-both *s* *h2* *v2*)

fun *fork-with-center-with-n-switching* :: *situation* \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow
 (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where

fork-with-center-with-n-switching *s* (*h-orig*, *v-orig*) (*h*, *v*) *n1* (*h1*, *v1*) *n2* (*h2*, *v2*)
 =
 (*fork-with-n-switching* *s* (*h*, *v*) *n1* (*h1*, *v1*) *n2* (*h2*, *v2*) \wedge
heir *s* (*h-orig*, *v-orig*) (*h*, *v*) \wedge (* This is used to connect the whole setup with
 the original statement *))
committed-by-both *s* *h* *v* \wedge
committed-by-both *s* *h1* *v1* \wedge
committed-by-both *s* *h2* *v2*)

lemma *fork-with-center-has-n-switching* :

fork-with-center *s* (*h-orig*, *v-orig*) (*h*, *v*) (*h1*, *v1*) (*h2*, *v2*) \implies
 \exists *n1* *n2*.
fork-with-center-with-n-switching *s* (*h-orig*, *v-orig*) (*h*, *v*) *n1* (*h1*, *v1*) *n2* (*h2*,
v2)
 <proof>

fun *fork-root-views* :: *situation* \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow *view* *set*

where

fork-root-views *s* (*h-orig*, *v-orig*) (*h1*, *v1*) (*h2*, *v2*) =
 { *v*. (\exists *h*. *fork-with-center* *s* (*h-orig*, *v-orig*) (*h*, *v*) (*h1*, *v1*) (*h2*, *v2*)) } }

fun *fork-with-center-with-high-root* ::

situation \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*)
 \Rightarrow *bool*

where

fork-with-center-with-high-root *s* (*h-orig*, *v-orig*) (*h*, *v*) (*h1*, *v1*) (*h2*, *v2*) =
 (*fork-with-center* *s* (*h-orig*, *v-orig*) (*h*, *v*) (*h1*, *v1*) (*h2*, *v2*) \wedge
 (\forall *h'* *v'*. *v'* > *v* \longrightarrow
 \neg *fork-with-center* *s* (*h-orig*, *v-orig*) (*h'*, *v'*) (*h1*, *v1*) (*h2*, *v2*)))

fun *fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching* ::

situation \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow
nat \Rightarrow (*hash* \times *view*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where

$\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) (h, v) } n1 \text{ (h1, v1) } n2 \text{ (h2, v2) =}$
 $(\text{fork-with-center-with-n-switching } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) (h, v) } n1 \text{ (h1, v1) } n2 \text{ (h2, v2) } \wedge$
 $(\forall \text{ h' v'. v' > v } \longrightarrow$
 $\neg \text{fork-with-center } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) (h', v') (h1, v1) (h2, v2)))$

lemma *fork-with-center-with-high-root-has-n-switching :*

$\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) (h, v) (h1, v1) (h2, v2) } \implies$
 $\exists \text{ n1 n2.}$
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) (h, v) } n1$
 $\text{(h1, v1) } n2 \text{ (h2, v2)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fork-with-center-choose-high-root :*

$\text{fork-with-center } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) (h, v) (h1, v1) (h2, v2) } \implies$
 $\exists \text{ h' v'. fork-with-center-with-high-root } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) (h', v') (h1, v1) (h2, v2)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *forget-number-of-switching:*

$\text{heir-after-n-switching } n \text{ s (h-two, v-two) (h-one, v-one)}$
 $\implies \text{heir } s \text{ (h-two, v-two) (h-one, v-one)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inherit-normal-means-heir :*

$\text{inherit-normal } s \text{ (h', v') (h'', v'') } \implies$
 $\text{heir } s \text{ (h', v') (h'', v'')}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *chain-and-inherit :*

$\text{inherit-normal } s \text{ (h', v') (h'', v'') } \implies$
 $\text{v-two} \leq \text{snd (h'', v'')} \implies$
 $\neg \text{on-same-heir-chain } s \text{ (h'', v'') (h-two, v-two) } \implies$
 $\text{v-two} \leq \text{snd (h', v')} \implies$
 $\text{on-same-heir-chain } s \text{ (h', v') (h-two, v-two) } \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *one-validator-change-leaves-one-set :*

$\text{heir-after-n-switching } n \text{ s (h, v) (h', v') } \implies$
 $n \leq \text{Suc } 0 \implies$
 $n = 0 \wedge \text{FwdValidators } s \text{ (fst (h, v)) = FwdValidators } s \text{ (fst (h', v')) } \vee$
 $n = 1 \wedge \text{FwdValidators } s \text{ (fst (h, v)) = RearValidators } s \text{ (fst (h', v'))}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prepared-by-fwd-of-origin :*

$n \leq \text{Suc } 0 \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n \ s \ (h, v) \ (h', v') \implies$
 $\text{inherit-normal } s \ (h', v') \ (h'', v'') \implies$
 $\text{prepared } s \ (\text{FwdValidators } s \ h) \ h'' \ v'' \ v'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *heir-found-switching* :

$\text{heir-after-n-switching } n \ s \ (h, v) \ (h', v') \implies$
 $\text{inherit-switching-validators } s \ (h', v') \ (h'', v'') \implies$
 $0 < \text{Suc } n \implies$
 $\exists \text{ h-one v-one h-two v-two.}$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } (\text{Suc } n - 1) \ s \ (h, v) \ (\text{h-one}, \text{v-one}) \wedge$
 $\text{inherit-switching-validators } s \ (\text{h-one}, \text{v-one}) \ (\text{h-two}, \text{v-two}) \wedge$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } 0 \ s \ (\text{h-two}, \text{v-two}) \ (h'', v'')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *heir-trans* :

$\text{heir } s \ (\text{h-r}, \text{v-r}) \ (h', v') \implies$
 $\text{heir } s \ (h, v) \ (\text{h-r}, \text{v-r}) \implies$
 $\text{heir } s \ (h, v) \ (h', v')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *heir-normal-extend* :

$(\exists \text{ h-one v-one h-two v-two.}$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n \ s \ (h, v) \ (\text{h-one}, \text{v-one}) \wedge$
 $\text{inherit-switching-validators } s \ (\text{h-one}, \text{v-one}) \ (\text{h-two}, \text{v-two}) \wedge$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } 0 \ s \ (\text{h-two}, \text{v-two}) \ (h', v')) \implies$
 $\text{inherit-normal } s \ (h', v') \ (h'', v'') \implies$
 $(\exists \text{ h-one v-one h-two v-two.}$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n \ s \ (h, v) \ (\text{h-one}, \text{v-one}) \wedge$
 $\text{inherit-switching-validators } s \ (\text{h-one}, \text{v-one}) \ (\text{h-two}, \text{v-two}) \wedge$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } 0 \ s \ (\text{h-two}, \text{v-two}) \ (h'', v''))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *heir-after-one-or-more-switching-dest* :

$\text{heir-after-n-switching } na \ s \ (h, v) \ (\text{h-three}, \text{v-three}) \implies$
 $na > 0 \implies$
 $(\exists \text{ h-one v-one h-two v-two.}$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } (na - 1) \ s \ (h, v) \ (\text{h-one}, \text{v-one}) \wedge$
 $\text{inherit-switching-validators } s \ (\text{h-one}, \text{v-one}) \ (\text{h-two}, \text{v-two}) \wedge$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } 0 \ s \ (\text{h-two}, \text{v-two}) \ (\text{h-three}, \text{v-three}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *high-point-still-high* :

$1 \leq n\text{-one-pre} \implies$
 $\forall h' \ v'. \ v < v' \longrightarrow \neg \text{fork-with-center } s \ (\text{h-orig}, \text{v-orig}) \ (h', v') \ (\text{h-one}, \text{v-one})$
 $(\text{h-two}, \text{v-two}) \implies$

$\neg \text{on-same-heir-chain } s \text{ (h-one, v-one) (h-two, v-two)} \implies$
 $\text{heir } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) (h, v)} \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n\text{-two } s \text{ (h, v) (h-two, v-two)} \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \text{ h v} \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \text{ h-one v-one} \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \text{ h-two v-two} \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching (Suc n-one-pre - 1) } s \text{ (h, v) (h-onea, v-onea)} \implies$
 $\text{inherit-switching-validators } s \text{ (h-onea, v-onea) (h-twoa, v-twoa)} \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } 0 \text{ } s \text{ (h-twoa, v-twoa) (h-one, v-one)} \implies$
 $\forall h' v'. v < v' \longrightarrow \neg \text{fork-with-center } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) (h', v') (h-onea, v-onea) (h-two, v-two)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *at-least-one-switching-means-higher* :
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n\text{-one-pre } s \text{ (h, v) (h-onea, v-onea)} \implies$
 $\text{Suc } 0 \leq n\text{-one-pre} \implies$
 $\text{snd (h, v) < snd (h-onea, v-onea)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *shallower-fork* :
 $\text{heir } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) (h, v)} \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n\text{-two } s \text{ (h, v) (h-two, v-two)} \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \text{ h v} \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \text{ h-one v-one} \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \text{ h-two v-two} \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching (Suc n-one-pre - 1) } s \text{ (h, v) (h-onea, v-onea)} \implies$
 $\text{inherit-switching-validators } s \text{ (h-onea, v-onea) (h-twoa, v-twoa)} \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } 0 \text{ } s \text{ (h-twoa, v-twoa) (h-one, v-one)} \implies$
 $\neg \text{heir } s \text{ (h-two, v-two) (h-one, v-one)} \implies$
 $\neg \text{heir } s \text{ (h-one, v-one) (h-two, v-two)} \implies$
 $\text{heir } s \text{ (h-onea, v-onea) (h-two, v-two)} \implies$
 $v < v\text{-onea} \implies \text{fork-with-center } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) (h-onea, v-onea) (h-one, v-one) (h-two, v-two)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *on-same-heir-chain-sym* :
 $\text{on-same-heir-chain } s \text{ (h-one, v-one) (h-two, v-two)} =$
 $\text{on-same-heir-chain } s \text{ (h-two, v-two) (h-one, v-one)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching-sym* :
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) (h, v) } n\text{-one}$
 (h-one, v-one)
 $n\text{-two (h-two, v-two)} \implies$
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) (h, v) } n\text{-two}$
 (h-two, v-two)
 $n\text{-one (h-one, v-one)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3 Slashing Related

lemma *slashed-four-means-slashed-on-a-group*:

$finite\ X \implies one_third\ X\ (slashed_four\ s) \implies one_third\ X\ (slashed\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *slashed-four-on-a-group*:

$finite\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h) \implies$
 $prepared\ s\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h)\ h''\ v''\ v' \implies$
 $\exists\ v_two_src.\ prepared\ s\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h)\ h_two\ v''\ v_two_src \implies h'' \neq h_two$
 \implies
 $one_third\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h)\ (slashed_four\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *committed-so-prepared* :

$finite\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h) \implies$
 $n_two \leq Suc\ 0 \implies$
 $heir_after_n_switching\ n_two\ s\ (h,\ v)\ (h_two,\ v'') \implies$
 $committed_by_both\ s\ h_two\ v'' \implies$
 $\neg\ one_third\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h)\ (slashed\ s) \implies prepared\ s\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h)$
 $h''\ v''\ v' \implies \exists\ v_two_src.\ prepared\ s\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h)\ h_two\ v''\ v_two_src$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *slashed-three-on-a-group* :

$finite\ X \implies$
 $one_third\ X\ (\lambda n.\ (n,\ Prepare\ (h'',\ v'',\ v')) \in Messages\ s \wedge (n,\ Commit\ (h_two,\ v_two)) \in Messages\ s) \implies$
 $v' < v_two \implies v_two < v'' \implies one_third\ X\ (slashed_three\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *slashed-three-on-group*:

$finite\ (FwdValidators\ s\ (fst\ (h,\ v))) \implies$
 $one_third\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h)\ (\lambda n.\ (n,\ Prepare\ (h'',\ v'',\ v')) \in Messages\ s \wedge$
 $(n,\ Commit\ (h_two,\ v_two)) \in Messages\ s) \implies$
 $v' < v_two \implies$
 $v_two < v'' \implies$
 $one_third\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h)\ (slashed_three\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *smaller-induction-same-height-violation* :

$heir_after_n_switching\ n\ s\ (h,\ v)\ (h',\ v') \implies$
 $finite\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h) \implies$
 $prepared_by_both\ s\ h''\ v''\ v' \wedge$
 $(\exists\ v_ss.\ prepared_by_both\ s\ h'\ v'\ v_ss) \wedge -1 \leq v' \wedge v' < v'' \wedge nth_ancestor\ s$
 $(nat\ (v'' - v'))\ h'' = Some\ h' \wedge validators_match\ s\ h'\ h'' \implies$
 $n \leq Suc\ 0 \implies$
 $n_two \leq Suc\ 0 \implies$
 $\neg\ on_same_heir_chain\ s\ (h'',\ v'')\ (h_two,\ v'') \implies$

$heir\text{-}after\text{-}n\text{-}switching\ n\text{-}two\ s\ (h, v)\ (h\text{-}two, v'') \implies$
 $committed\text{-}by\text{-}both\ s\ h\ v \implies$
 $committed\text{-}by\text{-}both\ s\ h\text{-}two\ v'' \implies \neg one\text{-}third\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h)\ (slashed\ s)$
 \implies
 $prepared\ s\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h)\ h''\ v''\ v' \implies False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *smaller-induction-skipping-violation* :

$heir\text{-}after\text{-}n\text{-}switching\ n\ s\ (h, v)\ (h', v') \implies$
 $finite\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h) \implies$
 $prepared\text{-}by\text{-}both\ s\ h''\ v''\ v' \wedge (\exists v\text{-}ss.\ prepared\text{-}by\text{-}both\ s\ h'\ v'\ v\text{-}ss) \wedge -1 \leq v'$
 $\wedge nth\text{-}ancestor\ s\ (nat\ (v'' - v'))\ h'' = Some\ h' \wedge validators\text{-}match\ s\ h'\ h'' \implies$
 $v\text{-}two \leq v'' \implies$
 $n \leq Suc\ 0 \implies$
 $n\text{-}two \leq Suc\ 0 \implies$
 $\neg on\text{-}same\text{-}heir\text{-}chain\ s\ (h'', v'')\ (h\text{-}two, v\text{-}two) \implies$
 $heir\text{-}after\text{-}n\text{-}switching\ n\text{-}two\ s\ (h, v)\ (h\text{-}two, v\text{-}two) \implies$
 $committed\text{-}by\text{-}both\ s\ h\ v \implies$
 $committed\text{-}by\text{-}both\ s\ h\text{-}two\ v\text{-}two \implies$
 $\neg one\text{-}third\ (FwdValidators\ s\ h)\ (slashed\ s) \implies \neg v\text{-}two \leq v' \implies prepared\ s$
 $(FwdValidators\ s\ h)\ h''\ v''\ v' \implies$
 $v\text{-}two \neq v'' \implies False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *smaller-induction-case-normal*:

$heir\text{-}after\text{-}n\text{-}switching\ n\ s\ (h, v)\ (h', v') \implies$
 $(finite\ (FwdValidators\ s\ (fst\ (h, v))) \implies$
 $v\text{-}two \leq snd\ (h', v') \implies$
 $n \leq Suc\ 0 \implies$
 $n\text{-}two \leq Suc\ 0 \implies$
 $\neg on\text{-}same\text{-}heir\text{-}chain\ s\ (h', v')\ (h\text{-}two, v\text{-}two) \implies$
 $heir\text{-}after\text{-}n\text{-}switching\ n\text{-}two\ s\ (h, v)\ (h\text{-}two, v\text{-}two) \implies$
 $committed\text{-}by\text{-}both\ s\ (fst\ (h, v))\ (snd\ (h, v)) \implies committed\text{-}by\text{-}both\ s\ h\text{-}two$
 $v\text{-}two \implies \neg one\text{-}third\ (FwdValidators\ s\ (fst\ (h, v)))\ (slashed\ s) \implies False) \implies$
 $inherit\text{-}normal\ s\ (h', v')\ (h'', v'') \implies$
 $finite\ (FwdValidators\ s\ (fst\ (h, v))) \implies$
 $v\text{-}two \leq snd\ (h'', v'') \implies$
 $n \leq Suc\ 0 \implies$
 $n\text{-}two \leq Suc\ 0 \implies$
 $\neg on\text{-}same\text{-}heir\text{-}chain\ s\ (h'', v'')\ (h\text{-}two, v\text{-}two) \implies$
 $heir\text{-}after\text{-}n\text{-}switching\ n\text{-}two\ s\ (h, v)\ (h\text{-}two, v\text{-}two) \implies$
 $committed\text{-}by\text{-}both\ s\ (fst\ (h, v))\ (snd\ (h, v)) \implies committed\text{-}by\text{-}both\ s\ h\text{-}two\ v\text{-}two$
 $\implies \neg one\text{-}third\ (FwdValidators\ s\ (fst\ (h, v)))\ (slashed\ s) \implies False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *some-h* :

$heir\text{-}after\text{-}n\text{-}switching\ n\ s\ (h, v)\ (h', v') \implies$
 $inherit\text{-}switching\text{-}validators\ s\ (h', v')\ (h'', v'') \implies$
 $heir\ s\ (h', v')\ (h'', v'')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smaller-induction-switching-case:*

$\text{heir-after-n-switching } n \ s \ (h, v) \ (h', v') \implies$
 $(\text{finite } (\text{FwdValidators } s \ (\text{fst } (h, v)))) \implies$
 $v\text{-two} \leq \text{snd } (h', v') \implies$
 $n \leq \text{Suc } 0 \implies$
 $n\text{-two} \leq \text{Suc } 0 \implies$
 $\neg \text{on-same-heir-chain } s \ (h', v') \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n\text{-two } s \ (h, v) \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \ (\text{fst } (h, v)) \ (\text{snd } (h, v)) \implies \text{committed-by-both } s \ h\text{-two}$
 $v\text{-two} \implies \neg \text{one-third } (\text{FwdValidators } s \ (\text{fst } (h, v))) \ (\text{slashed } s) \implies \text{False} \implies$
 $\text{inherit-switching-validators } s \ (h', v') \ (h'', v'') \implies$
 $\text{finite } (\text{FwdValidators } s \ (\text{fst } (h, v))) \implies$
 $v\text{-two} \leq \text{snd } (h'', v'') \implies$
 $\text{Suc } n \leq \text{Suc } 0 \implies$
 $n\text{-two} \leq \text{Suc } 0 \implies$
 $\neg \text{on-same-heir-chain } s \ (h'', v'') \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n\text{-two } s \ (h, v) \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \ (\text{fst } (h, v)) \ (\text{snd } (h, v)) \implies \text{committed-by-both } s \ h\text{-two}$
 $v\text{-two} \implies \neg \text{one-third } (\text{FwdValidators } s \ (\text{fst } (h, v))) \ (\text{slashed } s) \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *accountable-safety-smaller-induction:*

$\text{heir-after-n-switching } n\text{-one } s \ (h, v) \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \implies$
 $\text{finite } (\text{FwdValidators } s \ (\text{fst } (h, v))) \implies$
 $v\text{-two} \leq \text{snd } (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \implies$
 $n\text{-one} \leq \text{Suc } 0 \implies$
 $n\text{-two} \leq \text{Suc } 0 \implies$
 $\neg \text{on-same-heir-chain } s \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n\text{-two } s \ (h, v) \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \ (\text{fst } (h, v)) \ (\text{snd } (h, v)) \ (* \text{ maybe not necessary } *) \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \ h\text{-two } v\text{-two} \implies$
 $\neg \text{one-third } (\text{FwdValidators } s \ (\text{fst } (h, v))) \ (\text{slashed } s) \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *accountable-safety-from-fork-with-high-root-base-one-longer :*

$n\text{-one} \leq 1 \wedge$
 $n\text{-two} \leq 1 \wedge$
 $v\text{-one} \geq v\text{-two} \implies$
 $\text{finite } (\text{FwdValidators } s \ h) \implies$
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching}$
 $s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v) \ n\text{-one} \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \ n\text{-two} \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\exists \ h' \ v'.$
 $\text{heir } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h', v') \wedge$
 $\text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \ h'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *accountable-safety-from-fork-with-high-root-base-two-longer* :

$n\text{-one} \leq 1 \wedge$
 $n\text{-two} \leq 1 \wedge$
 $v\text{-one} \leq v\text{-two} \implies$
 $\text{finite } (\text{FwdValidators } s \ h) \implies$
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching}$
 $s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v) \ n\text{-one} \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \ n\text{-two} \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\exists \ h' \ v'.$
 $\text{heir } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h', v') \wedge$
 $\text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \ h'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *accountable-safety-from-fork-with-high-root-base* :

$n\text{-one} \leq 1 \wedge$
 $n\text{-two} \leq 1 \wedge$
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching}$
 $s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v) \ n\text{-one} \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \ n\text{-two} \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\text{finite } (\text{FwdValidators } s \ h) \implies$
 $\exists \ h' \ v'.$
 $\text{heir } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h', v') \wedge$
 $\text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \ h'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.4 Mainline Arguments for Accountable Safety

lemma *use-highness* :

$1 \leq n\text{-one-pre} \implies$
 $\forall \ h' \ v'. \ v < v' \longrightarrow \neg \text{fork-with-center } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h', v') \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one})$
 $(h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\text{heir } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v) \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n\text{-two } s \ (h, v) \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \ h \ v \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \ h\text{-one } v\text{-one} \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \ h\text{-two } v\text{-two} \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } (\text{Suc } n\text{-one-pre} - 1) \ s \ (h, v) \ (h\text{-onea}, v\text{-onea}) \implies$
 $\text{inherit-switching-validators } s \ (h\text{-onea}, v\text{-onea}) \ (h\text{-twoa}, v\text{-twoa}) \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } 0 \ s \ (h\text{-twoa}, v\text{-twoa}) \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \implies$
 $\neg \text{heir } s \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \implies$
 $\neg \text{heir } s \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies \text{heir } s \ (h\text{-onea}, v\text{-onea}) \ (h\text{-two},$
 $v\text{-two}) \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *confluence-should-not*:

$1 \leq n\text{-one-pre} \implies$
 $\forall \ h' \ v'. \ v < v' \longrightarrow \neg \text{fork-with-center } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h', v') \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one})$
 $(h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\text{heir } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v) \implies$

$\text{heir-after-n-switching } n\text{-two } s \ (h, v) \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \ h \ v \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \ h\text{-one } v\text{-one} \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \ h\text{-two } v\text{-two} \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } (\text{Suc } n\text{-one-pre} - 1) \ s \ (h, v) \ (h\text{-onea}, v\text{-onea}) \implies$
 $\text{inherit-switching-validators } s \ (h\text{-onea}, v\text{-onea}) \ (h\text{-twoa}, v\text{-twoa}) \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } 0 \ s \ (h\text{-twoa}, v\text{-twoa}) \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \implies$
 $\neg \text{heir } s \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \implies$
 $\neg \text{heir } s \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies \text{heir } s \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \ (h\text{-onea}, v\text{-onea})$
 $\implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prev-switch-not-on-same-heir-chain* :

$1 \leq n\text{-one-pre} \implies$
 $\forall h' \ v'. \ v < v' \longrightarrow \neg \text{fork-with-center } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h', v') \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one})$
 $(h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\neg \text{on-same-heir-chain } s \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\text{heir } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v) \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } n\text{-two } s \ (h, v) \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \ h \ v \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \ h\text{-one } v\text{-one} \implies$
 $\text{committed-by-both } s \ h\text{-two } v\text{-two} \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } (\text{Suc } n\text{-one-pre} - 1) \ s \ (h, v) \ (h\text{-onea}, v\text{-onea}) \implies$
 $\text{inherit-switching-validators } s \ (h\text{-onea}, v\text{-onea}) \ (h\text{-twoa}, v\text{-twoa}) \implies$
 $\text{heir-after-n-switching } 0 \ s \ (h\text{-twoa}, v\text{-twoa}) \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \implies$
 $\neg \text{on-same-heir-chain } s \ (h\text{-onea}, v\text{-onea}) \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-fork* :

$\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v) \ (\text{Suc}$
 $n\text{-one-pre}) \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one})$
 $n\text{-two } (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $1 \leq n\text{-one-pre} \implies$
 $\exists h\text{-one}' \ v\text{-one}'.$
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v)$
 $n\text{-one-pre } (h\text{-one}', v\text{-one}')$
 $n\text{-two } (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *switching-induction-case-one* :

$\forall n\text{-one } n\text{-twoa } h\text{-one } v\text{-one } h\text{-two } v\text{-two}.$
 $n\text{-one} + n\text{-twoa} \leq n\text{-one-pre} + n\text{-two} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{finite } (\text{FwdValidators } s \ h) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v) \ n\text{-one}$
 $(h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \ n\text{-twoa}$
 $(h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists h' \ v'. \ \text{heir } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h', v') \wedge \text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \ h') \implies$
 $\text{finite } (\text{FwdValidators } s \ h) \implies$
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v) \ (\text{Suc}$

$n\text{-one-pre} \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one})$
 $n\text{-two} \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $1 \leq n\text{-one-pre} \implies$
 $k = n\text{-one-pre} + n\text{-two} \implies$
 $\exists h' v'. \text{heir } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h', v') \wedge \text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \ h'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *some-symmetry* :

$\forall n\text{-onea } n\text{-two } h\text{-one } v\text{-one } h\text{-two } v\text{-two}.$
 $n\text{-onea} + n\text{-two} \leq n\text{-one} + n\text{-two-pre} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{finite } (FwdValidators \ s \ h) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v) \ n\text{-onea}$
 $(h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \ n\text{-two}$
 $(h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists h' v'. \text{heir } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h', v') \wedge \text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \ h') \implies$
 $\forall n\text{-onea } n\text{-twoa } h\text{-one } v\text{-one } h\text{-two } v\text{-two}.$
 $n\text{-onea} + n\text{-twoa} \leq n\text{-two-pre} + n\text{-one} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{finite } (FwdValidators \ s \ h) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v) \ n\text{-onea}$
 $(h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \ n\text{-twoa}$
 $(h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists h' v'. \text{heir } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h', v') \wedge \text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \ h')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *switching-induction-case-two* :

$\forall n\text{-onea } n\text{-two } h\text{-one } v\text{-one } h\text{-two } v\text{-two}.$
 $n\text{-onea} + n\text{-two} \leq n\text{-one} + n\text{-two-pre} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{finite } (FwdValidators \ s \ h) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v)$
 $n\text{-onea} \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \ n\text{-two}$
 $(h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists h' v'. \text{heir } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h', v') \wedge \text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \ h') \implies$
 $\text{finite } (FwdValidators \ s \ h) \implies$
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v) \ n\text{-one}$
 $(h\text{-one}, v\text{-one})$
 $(Suc \ n\text{-two-pre}) \ (h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \implies$
 $1 \leq n\text{-two-pre} \implies$
 $k = n\text{-one} + n\text{-two-pre} \implies$
 $\exists h' v'. \text{heir } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h', v') \wedge \text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \ h'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *switching-induction* :

$\forall n\text{-one } n\text{-two } h\text{-one } v\text{-one } h\text{-two } v\text{-two}.$
 $n\text{-one} + n\text{-two} \leq k \longrightarrow$
 $\text{finite } (FwdValidators \ s \ h) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \ (h\text{-orig}, v\text{-orig}) \ (h, v)$
 $n\text{-one} \ (h\text{-one}, v\text{-one}) \ n\text{-two}$
 $(h\text{-two}, v\text{-two}) \longrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists h' v'. \text{heir } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) } (h', v') \wedge \text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \text{ } h') \\
\implies & \forall n\text{-one } n\text{-two } h\text{-one } v\text{-one } h\text{-two } v\text{-two}. \\
& n\text{-one} + n\text{-two} \leq \text{Suc } k \longrightarrow \\
& \text{finite } (\text{FwdValidators } s \text{ } h) \longrightarrow \\
& \text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) } (h, v) \\
n\text{-one } (h\text{-one, v-one) } n\text{-two} & (h\text{-two, v-two}) \longrightarrow \\
& (\exists h' v'. \text{heir } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) } (h', v') \wedge \text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \text{ } h') \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *accountable-safety-from-fork-with-high-root-with-n-ind* :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall n\text{-one } n\text{-two } h\text{-one } v\text{-one } h\text{-two } v\text{-two}. \\
& n\text{-one} + n\text{-two} \leq k \longrightarrow \\
& \text{finite } (\text{FwdValidators } s \text{ } h) \longrightarrow \\
& \text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching} \\
& s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) } (h, v) \text{ } n\text{-one } (h\text{-one, v-one) } n\text{-two } (h\text{-two, v-two}) \longrightarrow \\
& (\exists h' v'. \\
& \quad \text{heir } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) } (h', v') \wedge \\
& \quad \text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \text{ } h') \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *accountable-safety-from-fork-with-high-root-with-n* :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{finite } (\text{FwdValidators } s \text{ } h) \implies \\
& \text{fork-with-center-with-high-root-with-n-switching} \\
& s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) } (h, v) \text{ } n\text{-one } (h\text{-one, v-one) } n\text{-two } (h\text{-two, v-two}) \implies \\
& \exists h' v'. \\
& \quad \text{heir } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) } (h', v') \wedge \\
& \quad \text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \text{ } h' \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *accountable-safety-from-fork-with-high-root* :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{finite } (\text{FwdValidators } s \text{ } h) \implies \\
& \text{fork-with-center-with-high-root } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) } (h, v) \text{ (h-one, v-one) } (h\text{-two,} \\
& v\text{-two}) \implies \\
& \exists h' v'. \\
& \quad \text{heir } s \text{ (h-orig, v-orig) } (h', v') \wedge \\
& \quad \text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \text{ } h' \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

definition *validator-sets-finite* :: *situation* \Rightarrow *bool*

where *validator-sets-finite* $s = (\forall h. \text{finite } (\text{FwdValidators } s \text{ } h))$

lemma *accountable-safety-center* :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{validator-sets-finite } s \implies \\
& \text{fork-with-center } s \text{ (h, v) } (h, v) \text{ (h1, v1) } (h2, v2) \implies \\
& \exists h' v'. \\
& \quad \text{heir } s \text{ (h, v) } (h', v') \wedge \\
& \quad \text{one-third-of-fwd-slashed } s \text{ } h'
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *heir-initial* :

$$\begin{aligned} &heir\ s\ (h, v)\ (h1, v1) \implies \\ &heir\ s\ (h, v)\ (h, v) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fork-with-center-and-root* :

$$\begin{aligned} &fork-with-commits\ s\ (h, v)\ (h1, v1)\ (h2, v2) \implies \\ &fork-with-center\ s\ (h, v)\ (h, v)\ (h1, v1)\ (h2, v2) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

3 Accountable Safety (don't skip)

The statement of accountable safety is simple. If a situation has a finite number of validators on each hash, a fork means some validator set suffers 1/3 slashing. A fork is defined using the *heir* relation. The slashed validator set is also a heir of the original validator set.

lemma *accountable-safety* :

$$\begin{aligned} &validator-sets-finite\ s \implies \\ &fork-with-commits\ s\ (h, v)\ (h1, v1)\ (h2, v2) \implies \\ &\exists\ h'\ v'. \\ &\quad heir\ s\ (h, v)\ (h', v') \wedge \\ &\quad one-third-of-fwd-slashed\ s\ h' \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end