

Merge Sort Report

CS6301.g42

David Tan, Khaled Al-naami, Peter Farago, Yu Lin

The running times for different data sizes and algorithms are shown below. These running times represent averages taken over several runs of each algorithm for each data size.

Alg. Size	Int Type <i>MergeSort</i> (milliseconds)	Generic Type <i>MergeSort</i> (milliseconds)	Generic Type <i>InsertionSort</i> (milliseconds)
1 M	183	454	1951828
5 M	872	2309	n/a
9 M	1654	4326	n/a
13 M	2380	6816	n/a
16 M	2916	7683	n/a

Table 1 Average Running Time of MergeSort and InsertionSort

Now we show how much data each algorithm can handle, given specific time limits:

Alg. Time	Int Type <i>MergeSort</i> (M = Million)	Generic Type <i>MergeSort</i> (M = Million)	Generic Type <i>InsertionSort</i> (K = Thousand)
100ms	About 0.6M	About 0.1M	About 7k
200ms	About 1.2M	About 0.3M	About 11k
300ms	About 1.8M	About 0.7M	About 14K
400ms	About 2.4M	About 0.85M	About 16K
600ms	About 3.5M	About 1.35M	About 19k

Table 2 Capable Data Size of each algorithm given specific time limits

Obviously, according to the Table 1 and Table 2, the insertion sort, as an $O(n^2)$ algorithm, is much slower than merge sort whose asymptotic running time is $O(n \log n)$. The time consumed by insertion sort is not measurable after the data size becomes larger than 5 million elements. Even with 5 million elements, insertion sort took over 6 hours to execute.

There is another more interesting point. From a quick glance we can see that the merge sort for int arrays is at least twice as fast as the merge sort for generic types. This is expected since the merge sort for generic types has to compare objects by repeatedly calling the `compareTo()` function. This takes much more time than a single comparison between two ints.