
**UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON**
DATASCI 450: Deriving Knowledge from Data at Scale (4694)

Aleksy Kramer




Home ► DATASCI 450 4694 Au15 ► Grade administration ► User report



Administration
[Grade administration](#)
[Overview report](#)
[User report](#)


[Course administration](#)

[My profile settings](#)



My Course Help

- [My Course Help](#)
- [Contact Our Support Staff](#)








Navigation
[Home](#)
[Current course](#)
[DATASCI 450 4694 Au15](#)
[Participants](#)
[Course Overview](#)
[My courses](#)





User report

User report - Aleksy Kramer**View**

Overview report

User report

Grade item	Calculated weight	Grade	Range	Percentage	Feedback	Contribution to course total
DATASCI 450: Deriving Knowledge from Data at Scale (4694)						
 Quiz 0 - Lecture 1	1.33 %	10.00	0–10	100.00 %		1.33 %
	13.33 %	80.00	0–100	80.00 %	The homework asked for "cross validation". The second screen shot did not show the input variables used in the model. The accuracy is very good.	10.67 %
 Homework 1 - Lecture 3						
 Quiz 1- Lecture 3	1.33 %	8.00	0–10	80.00 %		1.07 %
	13.33 %	100.00	0–100	100.00 %	You said the AUC is 98% when the chart showed 0.877. You said that Random Forest was done with 1000 trees but the output showed 100. I don't know if you presented with the correct charts.	13.33 %
 Homework 2 - Lecture 4						
 Quiz 2 – Lecture 4	1.33 %	10.00	0–10	100.00 %		1.33 %

Grade item	Calculated weight	Grade	Range	Percentage	Feedback	Contribution to course total
 Homework 3 - Lecture 5	13.33 %	100.00	0–100	100.00 %	<p>Good narrative of your steps in examining the clusters and answering the questions.</p> <p>The cost matrix looks reversed. Your prediction cost should be "yes" not the "no".</p>	13.33 %
	13.33 %	80.00	0–100	80.00 %	<p>You submitted only 1 Kaggle interview.</p> <p>Any comments on your biplot?</p>	10.67 %
	13.33 %	100.00	0–100	100.00 %	<p>The exploratory work should not involve averages and median of "categorical" data. Many attributes are categorical in nature. Counts and bar charts make more sense.</p> <p>There seems to be a plan on the next steps. Looking forward to see the work of part 2.</p>	13.33 %
	1.33 %	10.00	0–10	100.00 %		1.33 %
	13.33 %	75.00	0–100	75.00 %	<p>Good attempt on the tree models but R code showed only one RPART fit.</p> <p>How about caret and e1071? Any results from these.</p>	10.00 %
 Quiz 3 - Lecture 5						
 Project Part 1						
 Project Part 2						

Grade item	Calculated weight	Grade	Range	Percentage	Feedback	Contribution to course total
					<p>Do you have final model to report? The 48% accuracy is good or bad? Don't you need to submit to kaggle to determine the real outcome?</p> <p>What is kaggle ranking? What is the team or individual submission name?</p>	
 Project Part 3	13.33 %	100.00	0–100	100.00 %	keep kagglng	13.33 %
 Quiz4 - Lecture 8	1.33 %	8.00	0–10	80.00 %	<p>"Filter" is not model base and purely based on inputs.</p> <p>"Wrapper" depends on the model or prediction algorithm used.</p>	1.07 %
 Course total Simple weighted mean of grades.	-	90.80	0–100	90.80 %		-

©2009-2015 UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

MY COURSE IS AN ONLINE LEARNING SYSTEM FOR UW COURSES OFFERED THROUGH OR IN PARTNERSHIP WITH UW EDUCATIONAL OUTREACH.

NO PART OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE REPRODUCED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS WITHOUT PERMISSION IN WRITING FROM THE PUBLISHER.