

# **Voltage Finance Routers Contracts**

### PREPARED FOR:



**Volt Router Exchange** 

**ARCADIA CONTACT INFO** 

Email: audits@arcadiamgroup.com

Telegram: <a href="https://t.me/thearcadiagroup">https://t.me/thearcadiagroup</a>

# Repository

https://github.com/voltfinance/voltage-router

## **Revision history**

Date	Commit	
7/29/2023	#ee758af099be7630ca110a3bb9ff70b9930cee1c	
9/01/2023	#72c7cda8a06fe191aea47abda9fd747248bf32a2	



# **Table of Contents**

```
Executive Summary
   Introduction
   Review Team
   Project Background
   Coverage
   Methodology
   Summary
Findings in Manual Audit
   (VoR-1) Draining all ETH balance when transforming.
          Status
          Risk Level
          Code Segment
          Description
          Code Location
          Recommendation
   (VoR-2) protocolFee variable is unused.
          Status
          Risk Level
          Code Segment
          Description
          Code Location
          Recommendation
   (VoR-3) Lots of unutilized code, inadequate documentation, and absent
   deployment scripts.
          Status
          Risk Level
          Code Segment
          Description
          Recommendation
   (VoR-4) protocolFeePaid is always zero
          Status
          Risk Level
          Code Segment
```



**Description** 

**Code Location** 

**Recommendation** 

# (VoR-5) Event parameters should be indexed.

**Status** 

Risk Level

Code Segment

**Description** 

**Code Location** 

**Recommendation** 

# (VoR-6) Old and mixed solidity versions

<u>Status</u>

Risk Level

**Description** 

**Recommendation** 

**Automated Tests and Tooling** 

Static Analysis with Slither

**Conclusion** 

**Disclaimer** 



# **Executive Summary**

# Introduction

Voltage Finance engaged Arcadia to perform a security audit of their smart contracts within the voltage-routers repository within the Voltage organization. Our review of their codebase occurred on the commit hash #ee758af099be7630ca110a3bb9ff70b9930cee1c and the fix on the commit hash #72c7cda8a06fe191aea47abda9fd747248bf32a2

# **Review Team**

- 1. Tuan "Anhnt" Nguyen Security Researcher and Engineer
- 2. Joel Farris Project Manager

# **Project Background**

**volt-router** is the trusted settlement layer for the permissionless global exchange of value forked from **0xprotocol** with modifications in relation to removal of trading fees, meta/otc/native orders.

# Coverage

For this audit, we performed research, test coverage, investigation, and review of Volt followed by issue reporting, along with mitigation and remediation instructions as outlined in this report. The following code repositories are considered in scope for the review.

Files	
contracts/router/contracts/src/IRouter.sol	
contracts/router/contracts/src/Router.sol	
contracts/router/contracts/src/RouterOptimized.sol	
contracts/router/contracts/src/errors/LibCommonRichErrors.sol	
contracts/router/contracts/src/errors/LibLiquidityProviderRichErrors.sol	
contracts/router/contracts/src/errors/LibMetaTransactionsRichErrors.sol	



contracts/router/contracts/src/errors/LibNFTOrdersRichErrors.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/errors/LibNativeOrdersRichErrors.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/errors/LibOwnableRichErrors.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/errors/LibProxyRichErrors.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/errors/LibSignatureRichErrors.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/errors/LibSimpleFunctionRegistryRichErrors.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/errors/LibTransformERC20RichErrors.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/errors/LibWalletRichErrors.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/external/FeeCollector.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/external/FeeCollectorController.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/external/FlashWallet.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/external/IFlashWallet.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/external/ILiquidityProviderSandbox.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/external/LibFeeCollector.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/external/LiquidityProviderSandbox.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/external/PermissionlessTransformerDeployer.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/external/TransformerDeployer.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/BootstrapFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/ERC165Feature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/FundRecoveryFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/LiquidityProviderFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/OwnableFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/PancakeSwapFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/SimpleFunctionRegistryFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/TransformERC20Feature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/UniswapFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/UniswapV3Feature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/IBootstrapFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/IERC1155OrdersFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/IERC165Feature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/IERC721OrdersFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/IFeature.sol



contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/IFundRecoveryFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/ILiquidityProviderFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/IOwnableFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/IPancakeSwapFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/ISimpleFunctionRegistryFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/ITokenSpenderFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/ITransformERC20Feature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/IUniswapFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/IUniswapV3Feature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/libs/LibNFTOrder.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/libs/LibNativeOrder.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/libs/LibSignature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/nft_orders/ERC1155OrdersFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/nft_orders/ERC721OrdersFeature.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/nft_orders/NFTOrders.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/fixins/FixinCommon.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/fixins/FixinEIP712.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/fixins/FixinERC1155Spender.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/fixins/FixinERC721Spender.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/fixins/FixinProtocolFees.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/fixins/FixinReentrancyGuard.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/fixins/FixinTokenSpender.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/liquidity-providers/CurveLiquidityProvider.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/liquidity-providers/MooniswapLiquidityProvider.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/migrations/FullMigration.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/migrations/InitialMigration.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/migrations/LibBootstrap.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/migrations/LibMigrate.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/storage/LibERC1155OrdersStorage.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/storage/LibERC721OrdersStorage.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/storage/LibMetaTransactionsStorage.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/storage/LibMetaTransactionsV2Storage.sol



contracts/router/contracts/src/storage/LibNativeOrdersStorage.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/storage/LibOwnableStorage.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/storage/LibProxyStorage.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/storage/LibReentrancyGuardStorage.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/storage/LibSimpleFunctionRegistryStorage.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/storage/LibStorage.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/storage/LibTransformERC20Storage.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/FillQuoteTransformer.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/IERC20Transformer.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/LibERC20Transformer.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/LogMetadataTransformer.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/PayTakerTransformer.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/Transformer.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/WethTransformer.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/AbstractBridgeAdapter.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/ArbitrumBridgeAdapter.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/AvalancheBridgeAdapter.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/BSCBridgeAdapter.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/BridgeAdapter.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/BridgeProtocols.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/CeloBridgeAdapter.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/EthereumBridgeAdapter.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/FantomBridgeAdapter.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/IBridgeAdapter.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/OptimismBridgeAdapter.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/PolygonBridgeAdapter.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinAaveV2.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinAaveV3.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinBalancer.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinBalancerV2Batch.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinBancorV3.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinBarter.sol



contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinCompound.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinCryptoCom.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinCurve.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinCurveV2.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinDodo.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinDodoV2.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinGMX.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinKyberDmm.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinKyberElastic.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinLido.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinMStable.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinMakerPSM.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinMooniswap.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinNerve.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinPlatypus.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinSolidly.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinSynthetix.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinTraderJoeV2.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinUniswap.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinUniswapV2.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinUniswapV3.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinWOOFi.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/mixins/MixinZeroExBridge.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/vendor/IERC1155Token.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/vendor/IERC721Token.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/vendor/IFeeRecipient.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/vendor/ILiquidityProvider.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/vendor/IMooniswapPool.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/vendor/IPropertyValidator.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/vendor/ITakerCallback.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/vendor/IUniswapV2Pair.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/vendor/IUniswapV3Pool.sol



contracts/router/contracts/src/vendor/v3/IERC20Bridge.sol

contracts/router/contracts/src/vendor/v3/IStaking.sol

# Methodology

Arcadia completed this security review using various methods, primarily consisting of dynamic and static analysis. This process included a line-by-line analysis of the in-scope contracts, optimization analysis, analysis of key functionalities and limiters, and reference against intended functionality.

The followings are the steps we have performed while auditing the smart contracts:

- Investigating the project and its technical architecture overview through its documentation
- Understanding the overview of the smart contracts, the functions of the contracts, the inheritance, and how the contracts interface with each others thanks to the graph created by Solidity Visual Developer
- Manual smart contract audit:
  - Review the code to find any issue that could be exploited by known attacks listed by Consensys
  - Identifying which existing projects the smart contracts are built upon and what are the known vulnerabilities and remediations to the existing projects
  - Line-by-line manual review of the code to find any algorithmic and arithmetic related vulnerabilities compared to what should be done based on the project's documentation
  - Find any potential code that could be refactored to save gas
  - Run through the unit-tests and test-coverage if exists
- Static Analysis:
  - Scanning for vulnerabilities in the smart contracts using Static Code Analysis Software
  - Making a static analysis of the smart contracts using Slither
- Additional review: a follow-up review is done when the smart contracts have any new update. The follow-up is done by reviewing all changes compared to the audited commit revision and its impact to the existing source code and found issues.



# Summary

There were **6** issues found, **0** of which were deemed to be 'critical', and **1** of which were rated as 'high'.

Severity Rating	Number of Original Occurrences	Number of Remaining Occurrences
CRITICAL	0	0
HIGH	1	0
MEDIUM	0	0
LOW	0	0
INFORMATIONAL	5	1



# **Findings in Manual Audit**

(VoR-1) Draining all ETH balance when transforming.

#### **Status**

Resolved

#### **Risk Level**

Severity: High

## **Code Segment**

```
function transform(TransformContext calldata context) external override returns (bytes4
magicBytes) {
    // .. before settle code block
    state.ethRemaining = address(this).balance;
    // .. after settle code block
    if (state.ethRemaining > 0 && data.refundReceiver != address(0)) {
        bool transferSuccess;
        if (data.refundReceiver == REFUND_RECEIVER_RECIPIENT) {
            (transferSuccess,) = context.recipient.call{value: state.ethRemaining}("");
        } else if (data.refundReceiver == REFUND_RECEIVER_SENDER) {
            (transferSuccess,) = context.sender.call{value: state.ethRemaining}("");
        } else {
            (transferSuccess,) = data.refundReceiver.call{value: state.ethRemaining}("");
        }
        require(transferSuccess, "FillQuoteTransformer/ETHER_TRANSFER_FALIED");
    }
}
```

## **Description**

Due to the removal of the protocolFee (with protocolFeePaid is always zero) and the subsequent decision to return all ETH to the sender, caution is advised when utilizing the following code snippet

```
state.ethRemaining = address(this).balance;
```



It's important to note that the contract operates using erc2535, which means invoking the transformation from FillQuoteTransformer will result in a complete depletion of any remaining ETH. This introduces a significant element of risk into the process.

#### **Code Location**

contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/FillQuoteTransformer.sol

#### Recommendation

Return the msg.value or no return at all.

(VoR-2) protocolFee variable is unused.

#### Status

Resolved

#### **Risk Level**

Severity: Informational

## **Code Segment**

```
/// @dev Intermediate state variables to get around stack limits.
struct FillState {
   uint256 ethRemaining;
   uint256 boughtAmount;
   uint256 soldAmount;
   uint256 protocolFee;
   uint256 takerTokenBalanceRemaining;
}
```

## **Description**

The protocolFee field is unused.

#### **Code Location**



contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/FillQuoteTransformer.sol

#### Recommendation

Remove the unused field.

(VoR-3) Lots of unutilized code, inadequate documentation, and absent deployment scripts.

#### **Status**

Resolved

### **Risk Level**

Severity: Informational

## **Code Segment**

# **Description**

The project has eliminated the MetaTransaction, OTC, and Native order functionalities from the fork. However, numerous traces of code related to these components/modular functionalities remain in the codebase. Given the extensive codebase, we strongly recommend including deployment scripts and thorough documentation for review. This proactive approach will help mitigate potential production issues.

#### Recommendation

Revise the documentation, enhance deployment scripts, and eliminate redundant code.

(VoR-4) protocolFeePaid is always zero

## Status

Resolved

# **Risk Level**

Severity: Low



## **Code Segment**

```
function transform(TransformContext calldata context) external override
returns (bytes4 magicBytes)
{
    ...
    FillOrderResults memory results = _fillBridgeOrder(data.bridgeOrders[i], data,
state);
    ...
    state.ethRemaining = state.ethRemaining.safeSub(results.protocolFeePaid);
}
```

# **Description**

The function \_fillBridgeOrder doesn't set any value for protocolFeePaid, that means the calculation for ethRemaining is not needed.

#### **Code Location**

contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/FillQuoteTransformer.sol

#### Recommendation

Remove the ethRemaining, protocolFeePaid fields and the related code.

# (VoR-5) Event parameters should be indexed.

#### **Status**

Unresolved - the team acknowledged

#### **Risk Level**

Severity: Informational

## **Code Segment**

```
// file contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/FillQuoteTransformer.sol
event ProtocolFeeUnfunded(bytes32 orderHash);
```



```
IERC20Token inputToken,
IERC20Token outputToken,
uint256 inputTokenAmount,
uint256 outputTokenAmount
LibNFTOrder.TradeDirection direction,
IERC721Token erc721Token,
uint256 erc721TokenId,
LibNFTOrder.TradeDirection direction,
address maker,
uint256 expiry,
LibNFTOrder.Fee[] fees,
IERC721Token erc721Token,
uint256 erc721TokenId,
```



```
LibNFTOrder.Property[] erc721TokenProperties
);
//
```

## **Description**

#### **Code Location**

contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/FillQuoteTransformer.sol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/LogMetadataTransformer.s
ol
contracts/router/contracts/src/transformers/bridges/IBridgeAdapter.s
ol
contracts/router/contracts/src/features/interfaces/IERC721OrdersFeat

# Recommendation

ure.sol

Conduct a review and contemplate indexing the significant events.

# (VoR-6) Old and mixed solidity versions

### Status

Unresolved - the team acknowledged

#### **Risk Level**

Severity: Informational

### **Description**

The codebase necessitates Solidity version ^0.8.19 for certain libraries and ^0.6.5 for the main codebase.

### Recommendation

Contemplate migrating to version ^0.8.x .



# **Automated Tests and Tooling**

# Static Analysis with Slither

As a part of our engagement with Volt, we ran a static analysis against the source code using Slither, which is a Solidity static analysis framework written in Python. Slither runs a suite of vulnerability detectors and prints visual information about contract details. Slither enables developers to find vulnerabilities, enhance their code comprehension, and quickly prototype custom analyses.

While Slither is not the primary element of Arcadia's offering, in some cases, it can be useful. The following shows the results found by the static analysis by Slither. We reviewed the results, and all of the issues found by Slither were at that point in time false positives.

# Conclusion

Arcadia identified issues that occurred at hash #ee758af099be7630ca110a3bb9ff70b9930cee1c and we have collaborated with the Voltage team to address and resolve these issues in commit 72c7cda8a06fe191aea47abda9fd747248bf32a2.

# **Disclaimer**

While best efforts and precautions have been taken in the preparation of this document, The Arcadia Group and the Authors assume no responsibility for errors, omissions, or damages resulting from the use of the provided information. Additionally, Arcadia would like to emphasize that the use of Arcadia's services does not guarantee the security of a smart contract or set of smart contracts and does not guarantee against attacks. One audit on its own is not enough for a project to be considered secure; that categorization can only be earned through extensive peer review and battle testing over an extended period.

