

# RELATIONAL ALGEBRA

Relational Algebra

# Query Languages

2

- A query language specifies how to access the data in the database
- Different kinds of query languages:
  - ▮ Declarative languages specify what data to retrieve, but not how to retrieve it
  - ▮ Procedural languages specify what to retrieve, as well as the process for retrieving it
- Query languages often include updating and deleting data as well
- Also called data manipulation language (DML)

# The Relational Algebra

3

- A procedural query language
- Comprised of relational algebra operations
- Relational operations:
  - ▮ Take one or two relations as input
  - ▮ Produce a relation as output
- Relational operations can be composed together
  - ▮ Each operation produces a relation
  - ▮ A query is simply a relational algebra expression
- Six “fundamental” relational operations
- Other useful operations can be composed from these fundamental operations

# “Why is this useful?”

4

- SQL is only loosely based on relational algebra
- SQL is much more on the “declarative” end of the spectrum
- *Many* relational databases use relational algebra operations for representing execution plans
  - ▮ Simple, clean, effective abstraction for representing how results will be generated
  - ▮ Relatively easy to manipulate for query optimization

# Fundamental Relational Algebra Operations

5

- Six fundamental operations:

$\sigma$	select operation
$\Pi$	project operation
$\cup$	set-union operation
$-$	set-difference operation
$\times$	Cartesian product operation
$\rho$	rename operation

- Each operation takes one or two relations as input

- Produces another relation as output

- Important details:

- ▮ What tuples are included in the result relation?
- ▮ Any constraints on input schemas? What is schema of result?

# Select Operation

6

- Written as:  $\sigma_P(r)$
- $P$  is the predicate for selection
  - ▮  $P$  can refer to attributes in  $r$  (but no other relation!), as well as literal values
  - ▮ Can use comparison operators:  $=, \neq, <, \leq, >, \geq$
  - ▮ Can combine multiple predicates using:  
 $\wedge$  (and),  $\vee$  (or),  $\neg$  (not)
- $r$  is the input relation
- Result relation contains all tuples in  $r$  for which  $P$  is true
- Result schema is identical to schema for  $r$

# Select Examples

7

Using the *account* relation:

acct_id	branch_name	balance
A-301	New York	350
A-307	Seattle	275
A-318	Los Angeles	550
A-319	New York	80
A-322	Los Angeles	275

*account*

“Retrieve all tuples for accounts in the Los Angeles branch.”

$\sigma_{branch\_name="Los Angeles"}(account)$

acct_id	branch_name	balance
A-318	Los Angeles	550
A-322	Los Angeles	275

“Retrieve all tuples for accounts in the Los Angeles branch, with a balance under \$300.”

$\sigma_{branch\_name="Los Angeles" \wedge balance < 300}(account)$

acct_id	branch_name	balance
A-322	Los Angeles	275

# Project Operation

8

- Written as:  $\Pi_{a,b,\dots}(r)$
- Result relation contains only specified attributes of  $r$ 
  - ▮ Specified attributes must actually be in schema of  $r$
  - ▮ Result's schema only contains the specified attributes
  - ▮ Domains are same as source attributes' domains
- Important note:
  - ▮ Result relation may have fewer rows than input relation!
  - ▮ Why?
    - Relations are ~~sets~~ of tuples, not multisets



# Project Example

9

Using the *account* relation:

acct_id	branch_name	balance
A-301	New York	350
A-307	Seattle	275
A-318	Los Angeles	550
A-319	New York	80
A-322	Los Angeles	275

*account*

“Retrieve all branch names that have at least one account.”

$\Pi_{branch\_name}(account)$

branch_name
New York
Seattle
Los Angeles

- Result only has three tuples, even though input has five
- Result schema is just (*branch\_name*)

# Composing Operations

10

- Input can also be an expression that evaluates to a relation, instead of just a relation
- $\Pi_{acct\_id}(\sigma_{balance \geq 300}(account))$ 
  - ▮ Selects the account IDs of all accounts with a balance of \$300 or more
  - ▮ Input relation's schema is:  
 $Account\_schema = (\underline{acct\_id}, branch\_name, balance)$
  - ▮ Final result relation's schema?
    - Just one attribute:  $(acct\_id)$
- Distinguish between base and derived relations
  - ▮  $account$  is a base relation
  - ▮  $\sigma_{balance \geq 300}(account)$  is a derived relation

# Set-Union Operation

11

- Written as:  $r \cup s$
- Result contains all tuples from  $r$  and  $s$ 
  - ▮ Each tuple is unique, even if it's in both  $r$  and  $s$
- Constraints on schemas for  $r$  and  $s$ ?
- $r$  and  $s$  must have compatible schemas:
  - ▮  $r$  and  $s$  must have same arity
    - (same number of attributes)
  - ▮ For each attribute  $i$  in  $r$  and  $s$ ,  $r[i]$  must have the same domain as  $s[i]$
  - ▮ (Our examples also generally have same attribute names, but not required! Arity and domains are what matter.)

# Set-Union Example

12

- More complicated schema: accounts and loans

acct_id	branch_name	balance
A-301	New York	350
A-307	Seattle	275
A-318	Los Angeles	550
A-319	New York	80
A-322	Los Angeles	275

*account*

cust_name	acct_id
Johnson	A-318
Smith	A-322
Reynolds	A-319
Lewis	A-307
Reynolds	A-301

*depositor*

loan_id	branch_name	amount
L-421	San Francisco	7500
L-445	Los Angeles	2000
L-437	Las Vegas	4300
L-419	Seattle	2900

*loan*

cust_name	loan_id
Anderson	L-437
Jackson	L-419
Lewis	L-421
Smith	L-445

*borrower*

# Set-Union Example (2)

13

- Find names of all customers that have either a bank account or a loan at the bank

acct_id	branch_name	balance
A-301	New York	350
A-307	Seattle	275
A-318	Los Angeles	550
A-319	New York	80
A-322	Los Angeles	275

*account*

cust_name	acct_id
Johnson	A-318
Smith	A-322
Reynolds	A-319
Lewis	A-307
Reynolds	A-301

*depositor*

loan_id	branch_name	amount
L-421	San Francisco	7500
L-445	Los Angeles	2000
L-437	Las Vegas	4300
L-419	Seattle	2900

*loan*

cust_name	loan_id
Anderson	L-437
Jackson	L-419
Lewis	L-421
Smith	L-445

*borrower*

# Set-Union Example (3)

14

- Find names of all customers that have either a bank account or a loan at the bank

- Easy to find the customers with an account:

$\Pi_{cust\_name}(depositor)$

- Also easy to find customers with a loan:

$\Pi_{cust\_name}(borrower)$

cust_name
Johnson
Smith
Reynolds
Lewis

$\Pi_{cust\_name}(depositor)$

cust_name
Anderson
Jackson
Lewis
Smith

$\Pi_{cust\_name}(borrower)$

- Result is set-union of these expressions:

$\Pi_{cust\_name}(depositor) \cup \Pi_{cust\_name}(borrower)$

- Note that inputs have 8 tuples, but result has 6 tuples.

cust_name
Johnson
Smith
Reynolds
Lewis
Anderson
Jackson

# Set-Difference Operation

15

- Written as:  $r - s$
- Result contains tuples that are only in  $r$ , but not in  $s$ 
  - ▮ Tuples in both  $r$  and  $s$  are excluded
  - ▮ Tuples only in  $s$  are also excluded
- Constraints on schemas of  $r$  and  $s$ ?
  - ▮ Schemas must be compatible
  - ▮ (Exactly like set-union.)

# Set-Difference Example

16

acct_id	branch_name	balance
A-301	New York	350
A-307	Seattle	275
A-318	Los Angeles	550
A-319	New York	80
A-322	Los Angeles	275

*account*

loan_id	branch_name	amount
L-421	San Francisco	7500
L-445	Los Angeles	2000
L-437	Las Vegas	4300
L-419	Seattle	2900

*loan*

cust_name	acct_id
Johnson	A-318
Smith	A-322
Reynolds	A-319
Lewis	A-307
Reynolds	A-301

*depositor*

cust_name	loan_id
Anderson	L-437
Jackson	L-419
Lewis	L-421
Smith	L-445

*borrower*

“Find all customers that have an account but not a loan.”



# Set-Difference Example (2)

17

- Again, each component is easy
  - ▮ All customers that have an account:

$\Pi_{cust\_name}(depositor)$

- ▮ All customers that have a loan:

$\Pi_{cust\_name}(borrower)$

cust_name
Johnson
Smith
Reynolds
Lewis

$\Pi_{cust\_name}(depositor)$

cust_name
Anderson
Jackson
Lewis
Smith

$\Pi_{cust\_name}(borrower)$

- Result is set-difference of these expressions

$\Pi_{cust\_name}(depositor) - \Pi_{cust\_name}(borrower)$

cust_name
Johnson
Reynolds

# Cartesian Product Operation

18

- Written as:  $r \times s$ 
  - ▮ Read as “ $r$  cross  $s$ ”
- No constraints on schemas of  $r$  and  $s$
- Schema of result is *concatenation* of schemas for  $r$  and  $s$
- If  $r$  and  $s$  have overlapping attribute names:
  - ▮ All overlapping attributes are included; none are eliminated
  - ▮ Distinguish overlapping attribute names by prepending the source relation's name
- Example:
  - ▮ Input relations:  $r(a, b)$  and  $s(b, c)$
  - ▮ Schema of  $r \times s$  is  $(a, r.b, s.b, c)$

# Cartesian Product Operation (2)

19

- Result of  $r \times s$ 
  - ▮ Contains *every* tuple in  $r$ , combined with *every* tuple in  $s$
  - ▮ If  $r$  contains  $N_r$  tuples, and  $s$  contains  $N_s$  tuples, result contains  $N_r \times N_s$  tuples
- Allows two relations to be compared and/or combined
  - ▮ If we want to correlate tuples in relation  $r$  with tuples in relation  $s$ ...
  - ▮ Compute  $r \times s$ , then select out desired results with an appropriate predicate

# Cartesian Product Example

20

- Compute result of *borrower*  $\times$  *loan*

cust_name	loan_id
Anderson	L-437
Jackson	L-419
Lewis	L-421
Smith	L-445

*borrower*

loan_id	branch_name	amount
L-421	San Francisco	7500
L-445	Los Angeles	2000
L-437	Las Vegas	4300
L-419	Seattle	2900

*loan*

- Result will contain  $4 \times 4 = 16$  tuples

# Cartesian Product Example (2)

21

- Schema for borrower is:

*Borrower\_schema = (cust\_name, loan\_id)*

- Schema for loan is:

*Loan\_schema = (loan\_id, branch\_name, amount)*

- Schema for result of *borrower* × *loan* is:

*(cust\_name, borrower.loan\_id,  
loan.loan\_id, branch\_name, amount)*

- Overlapping attribute names are distinguished by including name of source relation

# Cartesian Product Example (3)

22

Result:

cust_name	borrower. loan_id	loan. loan_id	branch_name	amount
Anderson	L-437	L-421	San Francisco	7500
Anderson	L-437	L-445	Los Angeles	2000
Anderson	L-437	L-437	Las Vegas	4300
Anderson	L-437	L-419	Seattle	2900
Jackson	L-419	L-421	San Francisco	7500
Jackson	L-419	L-445	Los Angeles	2000
Jackson	L-419	L-437	Las Vegas	4300
Jackson	L-419	L-419	Seattle	2900
Lewis	L-421	L-421	San Francisco	7500
Lewis	L-421	L-445	Los Angeles	2000
Lewis	L-421	L-437	Las Vegas	4300
Lewis	L-421	L-419	Seattle	2900
Smith	L-445	L-421	San Francisco	7500
Smith	L-445	L-445	Los Angeles	2000
Smith	L-445	L-437	Las Vegas	4300
Smith	L-445	L-419	Seattle	2900

# Cartesian Product Example (4)

23

- Can use Cartesian product to associate related rows between two tables
  - ... but, a lot of extra rows are included!

cust_name	borrower. loan_id	loan. loan_id	branch_name	amount
...	...	...	...	...
Jackson	L-419	L-437	Las Vegas	4300
Jackson	L-419	L-419	Seattle	2900
Lewis	L-421	L-421	San Francisco	7500
Lewis	L-421	L-445	Los Angeles	2000
...	...	...	...	...

- Combine Cartesian product with a select operation  
 $\sigma_{\text{borrower.loan\_id}=\text{loan.loan\_id}}(\text{borrower} \times \text{loan})$

# Cartesian Product Example (5)

24

- “Retrieve the names of all customers with loans at the Seattle branch.”

cust_name	loan_id
Anderson	L-437
Jackson	L-419
Lewis	L-421
Smith	L-445

*borrower*

loan_id	branch_name	amount
L-421	San Francisco	7500
L-445	Los Angeles	2000
L-437	Las Vegas	4300
L-419	Seattle	2900

*loan*

- Need both *borrower* and *loan* relations
- Correlate tuples in the relations using *loan\_id*
- Then, computing result is easy.



# Cartesian Product Example (6)

25

- Associate customer names with loan details, using Cartesian product and a select:

$\sigma_{\text{borrower.loan\_id}=\text{loan.loan\_id}}(\text{borrower} \times \text{loan})$

- Select out loans at Seattle branch:

$\sigma_{\text{branch\_name}=\text{"Seattle"}}(\sigma_{\text{borrower.loan\_id}=\text{loan.loan\_id}}(\text{borrower} \times \text{loan}))$

Simplify:

$\sigma_{\text{borrower.loan\_id}=\text{loan.loan\_id} \wedge \text{branch\_name}=\text{"Seattle"}}(\text{borrower} \times \text{loan})$

- Project results down to customer name:

$\Pi_{\text{cust\_name}}(\sigma_{\text{borrower.loan\_id}=\text{loan.loan\_id} \wedge \text{branch\_name}=\text{"Seattle"}}(\text{borrower} \times \text{loan}))$

- Final result:

cust_name
Jackson

# Rename Operation

26

- Results of relational operations are unnamed
  - ▮ Result has a schema, but the relation itself is unnamed
- Can give result a name using the rename operator
- Written as:  $\rho_x(E)$  (Greek rho, not lowercase “P”)
  - ▮  $E$  is an expression that produces a relation
  - ▮  $E$  can also be a named relation or a relation-variable
  - ▮  $x$  is new name of relation
- More general form is:  $\rho_{x(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)}(E)$ 
  - ▮ Allows renaming of relation's attributes
  - ▮ Requirement:  $E$  has arity  $n$

# Scope of Renamed Relations

27

- Rename operation  $\rho$  only applies within a specific relational algebra expression
  - ▮ This does not create a new relation-variable!
  - ▮ The new name is only visible to enclosing relational-algebra expressions
- Rename operator is used for two main purposes:
  - ▮ Allow a derived relation and its attributes to be referred to by enclosing relational-algebra operations
  - ▮ Allow a base relation to be used multiple ways in one query
    - $r \times \rho_s(r)$
- In other words, rename operation  $\rho$  is used to resolve ambiguities within a specific relational algebra expression

# Rename Example

28

- “Find the ID of the loan with the largest amount.”

loan_id	branch_name	amount
L-421	San Francisco	7500
L-445	Los Angeles	2000
L-437	Las Vegas	4300
L-419	Seattle	2900

*loan*

- ▮ Hard to find the loan with the largest amount!
  - (At least, with the tools we have so far... )
- ▮ Much easier to find all loans that have an amount *smaller* than some other loan
- ▮ Then, use set-difference to find the largest loan

# Rename Example (2)

29

- How to find all loans with an amount smaller than some other loan?
  - ▮ Use Cartesian Product of *loan* with itself:  
 $loan \times loan$
  - ▮ Compare each loan's amount to all other loans
- Problem: Can't distinguish between attributes of left and right *loan* relations!
- Solution: Use rename operation  
 $loan \times \rho_{test}(loan)$ 
  - ▮ Now, right relation is named *test*

# Rename Example (3)

30

- Find IDs of all loans with an amount smaller than some other loan:

$$\Pi_{loan\_id}(\sigma_{loan.amount < test.amount}(loan \times \rho_{test}(loan)))$$

- Finally, we can get our result:

$$\Pi_{loan\_id}(loan) -$$

$$\Pi_{loan\_id}(\sigma_{loan.amount < test.amount}(loan \times \rho_{test}(loan)))$$

loan_id
L-421

- What if multiple loans have max value?
  - All loans with max value appear in result.

# Additional Relational Operations

31

- The fundamental operations are sufficient to query a relational database...
- Can produce some large expressions for common operations!
- Several additional operations, defined in terms of fundamental operations:
  - $\cap$  set-intersection
  - $\bowtie$  natural join
  - $\div$  division
  - $\leftarrow$  assignment

# Set-Intersection Operation

32

- Written as:  $r \cap s$
- $r \cap s = r - (r - s)$ 
  - $r - s$  = the rows in  $r$ , but not in  $s$
  - $r - (r - s)$  = the rows in both  $r$  and  $s$
- Relations must have compatible schemas
- Example: find all customers with both a loan and a bank account

$$\Pi_{cust\_name}(borrower) \cap \Pi_{cust\_name}(depositor)$$



# Natural Join Operation

33

- Most common use of Cartesian product is to correlate tuples with the same key-values
  - ▮ Called a join operation
- The natural join is a shorthand for this operation
- Written as:  $r \bowtie s$ 
  - ▮  $r$  and  $s$  must have common attributes
  - ▮ The common attributes are usually a key for  $r$  and/or  $s$  but certainly don't have to be

# Natural Join Definition

34

- For two relations  $r(R)$  and  $s(S)$
- Attributes used to perform natural join:

$$R \cap S = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$$

- Formal definition:

$$r \bowtie s = \Pi_{R \cup S} (\sigma_{r.A_1 = s.A_1 \wedge r.A_2 = s.A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge r.A_n = s.A_n} (r \times s))$$

- ▮  $r$  and  $s$  are joined using an equality condition based on their common attributes
- ▮ Result is projected so that common attributes only appear once

# Natural Join Example

35

- Simple example:

“Find the names of all customers with loans.”

- Result:

$\Pi_{cust\_name}(\sigma_{borrower.loan\_id=loan.loan\_id}(borrower \times loan))$

- Rewritten with natural join:

$\Pi_{cust\_name}(borrower \bowtie loan)$

# Natural Join Characteristics

36

- Very common to compute joins across multiple tables
- Example:  $customer \bowtie borrower \bowtie loan$
- Natural join operation is associative:
  - ▮  $(customer \bowtie borrower) \bowtie loan$  is equivalent to  $customer \bowtie (borrower \bowtie loan)$
- Note:
  - ▮ Eventhough these expressions are equivalent, order of join operations can dramatically affect query cost!
  - ▮ (Keep this in mind for later... )

# Division Operation

37

- Binary operator:  $r \div s$
- Implements a “for each” type of query
  - ▮ “Find all rows in  $r$  that have one row corresponding to each row in  $s$ .”
  - ▮ Relation  $r$  divided by relation  $s$
- Easiest to illustrate with an example:
- Puzzle Database
  - puzzle\_list(puzzle\_name)*
    - Simple list of puzzles by name
  - completed(person\_name, puzzle\_name)*
    - Records which puzzles have been completed by each person

# Puzzle Database

38

“Who has solved every puzzle?”

- Need to find every person in *completed* that has an entry for every puzzle in *puzzle\_list*
- Divide *completed* by *puzzle\_list* to get answer:

$completed \div puzzle\_list =$

person_name
Alex
Carl

- Only Alex and Carl have completed every puzzle in *puzzle\_list*.

person_name	puzzle_name
Alex	altekruise
Alex	soma cube
Bob	puzzle box
Carl	altekruise
Bob	soma cube
Carl	puzzle box
Alex	puzzle box
Carl	soma cube

*completed*

puzzle_name
altekruise
soma cube
puzzle box

*puzzle\_list*

# Puzzle Database (2)

39

“Who has solved every puzzle?”

$completed \div puzzle\_list =$

person_name
Alex
Carl

- Very reminiscent of integer division
  - ▮ Result relation contains tuples from *completed* that are evenly divided by *puzzle\_name*
- Several other kinds of relational division operators
  - ▮ e.g. some can compute “remainder” of the division operation

person_name	puzzle_name
Alex	altekruise
Alex	soma cube
Bob	puzzle box
Carl	altekruise
Bob	soma cube
Carl	puzzle box
Alex	puzzle box
Carl	soma cube

*completed*

puzzle_name
altekruise
soma cube
puzzle box

*puzzle\_list*

# Division Operation

40

For  $r(R) \div s(S)$

- Required:  $S \subset R$ 
  - ▮ All attributes in  $S$  must also be in  $R$
- Result has schema  $R - S$ 
  - ▮ Result has attributes that are in  $R$  but not also in  $S$
  - ▮ (This is why we don't allow  $S = R$ )
- Every tuple  $t$  in result satisfies these conditions:
  - $t \in \Pi_{R-S}(r)$
  - $\langle \forall t_s \in s: \exists t_r \in r: t_r[S] = t_s[S] \wedge t_r[R-S] = t \rangle$ 
    - Every tuple in the result has a row in  $r$  corresponding to every row in  $s$



# Puzzle Database

41

For  $completed \div puzzle\_list$

- Schemas are compatible
- Result has schema (*person\_name*)
  - ▮ Attributes in *completed* schema, but not also in *puzzle\_list* schema

person_name
Alex
Carl

$completed \div puzzle\_list$

- Every tuple  $t$  in result satisfies these conditions:

$$t \in \Pi_{R-S}(r)$$

$$\langle \forall t_s \in s : \exists t_r \in r : t_r[S] = t_s[S] \wedge t_r[R-S] = t \rangle$$

person_name	puzzle_name
Alex	altekruise
Alex	soma cube
Bob	puzzle box
Carl	altekruise
Bob	soma cube
Carl	puzzle box
Alex	puzzle box
Carl	soma cube

$completed = r$

puzzle_name
altekruise
soma cube
puzzle box

$puzzle\_list = s$

# Division Operation

42

- Not provided natively in most SQL databases
  - ▮ Rarely needed!
  - ▮ Easy enough to implement in SQL, if needed
- Will see it in the homework assignments, and on the midterm... 😊
  - ▮ Often a very nice shortcut for more involved queries

# Relation Variables

43

- Recall: relation variables refer to a specific relation
  - ▮ A specific set of tuples, with a particular schema
- Example: *account* relation

acct_id	branch_name	balance
A-301	New York	350
A-307	Seattle	275
A-318	Los Angeles	550
A-319	New York	80
A-322	Los Angeles	275

*account*

- ▮ *account* is actually technically a relation variable, as are all our named relations so far

# Assignment Operation

44

- Can assign a relation-value to a relation-variable
- Written as:  $relvar \leftarrow E$ 
  - ▮  $E$  is an expression that evaluates to a relation
- Unlike  $\rho$ , the name  $relvar$  persists in the database
- Often used for temporary relation-variables:
  - $temp1 \leftarrow \Pi_{RS}(r)$
  - $temp2 \leftarrow \Pi_{RS}((temp1 \times s) - \Pi_{RS}(r))$
  - $result \leftarrow temp1 - temp2$
  - ▮ Query evaluation becomes a sequence of steps
  - ▮ (This is an implementation of the  $\div$  operator)
- Can also use assignment operation to modify data
  - ▮ More about updates next time...