

# ECON 714B - Problem Set 1

Alex von Hafften\*

3/26/2021

## Exercise 8.1 - Existence of representative consumer

Suppose households 1 and 2 have one-period utility functions  $u(c_1)$  and  $w(c_2)$ , respectively, where  $u$  and  $w$  are both increasing, strictly concave, twice differentiable functions of a scalar consumption rate. Consider the Pareto problem:

$$v_\theta(c) = \max_{\{c_1, c_2\}} [\theta u(c_1) + (1 - \theta)w(c_2)]$$

subject to the constraint  $c_1 + c_2 = c$ . Show that the solution of this problem has the form of a concave utility function  $v_\theta(c)$ , which depends on the Pareto weight  $\theta$ . Show that  $v'_\theta(c) = \theta u'(c_1) = (1 - \theta)w'(c_2)$ . The function  $v_\theta(c)$  is the utility function of the representative consumer. Such a representative consumer always lurks within a complete markets competitive equilibrium even with heterogeneous preferences. At a competitive equilibrium, the marginal utilities of the representative agent and each and every agent are proportional.

First, notice that  $v_\theta$  is increasing, strictly concave, and twice differentiable because  $\theta, 1 - \theta \geq 0$  and  $u$  and  $w$  are both increasing, strictly concave, twice differentiable functions.

$$v_\theta(c) = \max_{\{c_1, c_2\}} [\theta u(c_1) + (1 - \theta)w(c_2)] \text{ s.t. } c = c_1 + c_2$$

$$\implies v_\theta(c) = \max_{c_1} [\theta u(c_1) + (1 - \theta)w(c - c_1)]$$

Since  $u$  and  $w$  are continuously differentiable functions, we can find the envelope condition:

$$\implies v'_\theta(c) = (1 - \theta)w'(c - c_1) = (1 - \theta)w'(c_2)$$

FOC  $[c_1]$ :

$$\theta u'(c_1) = (1 - \theta)w'(c - c_1) \implies \theta u'(c_1) = (1 - \theta)w'(c_2)$$

Therefore,

$$v'_\theta(c) = \theta u'(c_1) = (1 - \theta)w'(c_2)$$

---

\*I worked on this problem set with a study group of Michael Nattinger, Andrew Smith, and Ryan Mather. I also discussed problems with Sarah Bass, Emily Case, Danny Edgel, and Katherine Kwok.

### Exercise 8.3

An economy consists of two infinitely lived consumers named  $i = 1, 2$ . There is one nonstorable consumption good. Consumer  $i$  consumes  $c_{it}$  at time  $t$ . Consumer  $i$  ranks consumption streams by

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_{it})$$

where  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and  $u(c)$  is increasing, strictly concave, and twice continuously differentiable. Consumer 1 is endowed with a stream of the consumption good  $y_{1t} = 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, \dots$ . Consumer 2 is endowed with a stream of the consumption good  $y_{2t} = 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, \dots$ . Assume that there are complete markets with time 0 trading.

a. Define a competitive equilibrium.

First, notice that there is no stochastic states (i.e., endowment process is deterministic). The agent  $i$ 's problem is:

$$\max_{\{c_{it}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_{it}) \text{ s.t. } \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} Q_t c_{it} \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} Q_t y_{it}$$

A competitive equilibrium is a feasible allocation  $\{\{c_{it}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}\}_{i=1,2}$  and a price system  $\{Q_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  such that given the price system, the allocation maximized the agent's utility subject to their budget constraint.

b. Compute a competitive equilibrium.

Let  $\mu_i$  be the multiplier on the BC for agent  $i$ :

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_{it}) - \mu_i \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} Q_t y_{it} - \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} Q_t c_{it} \right]$$

FOC  $[c_{it}]$ :

$$\beta^t u'(c_{it}) = \mu_i Q_t$$

Thus, the ratio of the FOC for 1 and the FOC for 2 is:

$$\frac{u'(c_{1t})}{u'(c_{2t})} = \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \implies c_{1t} = u'^{-1} \left( \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} u'(c_{2t}) \right)$$

Plugging into the resource constraint:

$$c_{1t} + c_{2t} = 1 \implies u'^{-1} \left( \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} u'(c_{2t}) \right) + c_{2t} = 1$$

This implies that  $c_{2t}$  a function of the aggregate endowment for all  $t$ . Since the aggregate endowment is constant,  $c_{2t} = c_{2,t+1} = c_2$ . Thus,  $c_{1t}$  is also constant:  $c_{1t} = c - c_2 \equiv c_1$ . Let the numeraire be date 0 consumption  $Q_0 = 1$ :

$$\frac{\beta^t u'(c_{1,t})}{\beta^0 u'(c_{1,0})} = \frac{\mu_1 Q_t}{\mu_1} \implies \frac{\beta^t u'(c_1)}{u'(c_1)} = Q_t \implies Q_t = \beta^t$$

The budget constraint implies

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t c_{1t} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t y_{1t} \implies c_1 \frac{1}{1-\beta} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{3t} \implies c_1 = \frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta^3}$$

Furthermore,

$$c_2 = 1 - \frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta^3} = \frac{\beta-\beta^3}{1-\beta^3}$$

- c. Suppose that one of the consumers markets a derivative asset that promises to pay .05 units of consumption each period. What would the price of that asset be?

The price of the derivative asset in period 0 with promises  $\{d_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty} = \{0.05\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  is:

$$P_0^0 = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} Q_t d_t = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t 0.05 = \frac{0.05}{1-\beta}$$

### Exercise 8.4

Consider a pure endowment economy with a single representative consumer;  $\{c_t, d_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  are the consumption and endowment processes, respectively. Feasible allocations satisfy  $c_t \leq d_t$ . The endowment process is described by  $d_{t+1} = \lambda_{t+1}d_t$ . The growth rate  $\lambda_{t+1}$  is described by a two-state Markov process with transition probabilities  $P_{ij} = \text{Prob}(\lambda_{t+1} = \bar{\lambda}_j | \lambda_t = \bar{\lambda}_i)$ . Assume that

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} .8 & .2 \\ .1 & .9 \end{bmatrix}, \bar{\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} .97 \\ 1.03 \end{bmatrix}$$

In addition,  $\lambda_0 = .97$  and  $d_0 = 1$  are both known at date 0. The consumer has preferences over consumption ordered by

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

where  $E_0$  is the mathematical expectation operator, conditioned on information known at time 0,  $\gamma = 2, \beta = .95$ .

### Part I

At time 0, after  $d_0$  and  $\lambda_0$  are known, there are complete markets in date- and history-contingent claims. The market prices are denominated in units of time 0 consumption goods.

- a. Define a competitive equilibrium, being careful to specify all the objects composing an equilibrium.

A competitive equilibrium is an allocation  $\{c_t(s^t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  and price system  $\{Q_t(s^t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  for all histories  $s^t = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_t)$  such that the allocation is feasible and, given the price system, the allocation maximizes agent's utility subject to their budget constraint.

- b. Compute the equilibrium price of a claim to one unit of consumption at date 5, denominated in units of time 0 consumption, contingent on the following history of growth rates:  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_5) = (0.97, 0.97, 1.03, 0.97, 1.03)$ . Please give a numerical answer.

The consumers' problem is

$$\max_{\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t} \pi(s^t | s_0) \beta^t \frac{c_t(s^t)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \text{ s.t. } \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t} Q_t(s^t) c_t(s^t) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t} Q_t(s^t) d_t(s^t)$$

Denote  $\mu$  as the legrangian multiplier on the budget constraint:

$$\mathcal{L} = \max_{\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t} \pi(s^t | s_0) \beta^t \frac{(c_t(s^t))^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \mu \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t} Q_t(s^t) d_t(s^t) - \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t} Q_t(s^t) c_t(s^t) \right]$$

FOC  $[c_t(s^t)]$ :

$$\beta^t \pi_t(s^t | s_0) (c_t(s^t))^{-\gamma} = \mu Q_t(s^t)$$

Feasibility requires  $c_t(s^t) = d_t(s^t)$ . For  $t = 0$ ,  $Q_0(s^0) = \pi_0(s^0 | s_0) = 1$  and  $c_0(s^0) = d_0 = 1$ :

$$\beta^0 \pi_0(s^0 | s_0) (c_0(s^0))^{-\gamma} = \mu Q_0(s^0) \implies \mu = 1$$

Thus, for any  $t$ , the FOC and feasibility implies:

$$Q_t(s^t) = \beta^t \pi_t(s^t | s_0) (d_t(s^t))^{-\gamma}$$

For  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_5) = (0.97, 0.97, 1.03, 0.97, 1.03)$ ,

$$\beta^5 = (0.95)^5 = 0.7737809$$

$$\pi_5(s^5 = (0.97, 0.97, 1.03, 0.97, 1.03) | \lambda_0 = 0.97) = 0.8 * 0.8 * 0.2 * 0.1 * 0.2 = 0.00256$$

$$d_5(s^5 = (0.97, 0.97, 1.03, 0.97, 1.03)) = 1 * 0.97 * 0.97 * 1.03 * 0.97 * 1.03 = 0.9682548$$

Thus,  $Q_5(s^5 = (0.97, 0.97, 1.03, 0.97, 1.03)) = (0.7737809)(0.00256)(0.9682548)^{-2} = 0.002112899$

- c. Compute the equilibrium price of a claim to one unit of consumption at date 5, denominated in units of time 0 consumption, contingent on the following history of growth rates:  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_5) = (1.03, 1.03, 1.03, 1.03, .97)$ .

$$\pi_5(s^5 = (1.03, 1.03, 1.03, 1.03, .97) | \lambda_0 = 0.97) = 0.2 * 0.9 * 0.9 * 0.9 * 0.1 = 0.01458$$

$$d_5(s^5 = (1.03, 1.03, 1.03, 1.03, .97)) = 1 * 1.03 * 1.03 * 1.03 * 1.03 * .97 = 1.091744$$

Thus,  $Q_5(s^5 = (1.03, 1.03, 1.03, 1.03, .97)) = (0.7737809)(0.01458)(1.091744)^{-2} = 0.00946529$

- d. Give a formula for the price at time 0 of a claim on the entire endowment sequence.

The price at time 0 of an asset that promises  $\{d_t(s^t)\}_{t=0}^\infty$  is:

$$P_0^0(s_0) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t} Q_t(s^t) d_t(s^t) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \pi_t(s^t | s_0) (d_t(s^t))^{-\gamma} d_t(s^t) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \pi_t(s^t | s_0) (d_t(s^t))^{1-\gamma}$$

- e. Give a formula for the price at time 0 of a claim on consumption in period 5, contingent on the growth rate  $\lambda_5$  being 0.97 (regardless of the intervening growth rates).

...

## Part II

Now assume a different market structure. Assume that at each date  $t \geq 0$  there is a complete set of one-period forward Arrow securities.

- f. Define a (recursive) competitive equilibrium with Arrow securities, being careful to define all of the objects that compose such an equilibrium.

...

- g. For the representative consumer in this economy, for each state compute the “natural debt limits” that constrain state-contingent borrowing.

...

- h. Compute a competitive equilibrium with Arrow securities. In particular, compute both the pricing kernel and the allocation.

...

- i. An entrepreneur enters this economy and proposes to issue a new security each period, namely, a risk-free two-period bond. Such a bond issued in period  $t$  promises to pay one unit of consumption at time  $t + 1$  for sure. Find the price of this new security in period  $t$ , contingent on  $\lambda_t$ .

...