

ECON 711 - PS 7

Alex von Hafften*

10/25/2020

A Risky Investment

You have wealth $w > 0$ and preferences over lotteries represented by a von Neumann-Morgenstern expected utility function with Bernoulli utility u which is strictly increasing, twice differentiable, and weakly concave. Your friend wants you to invest in his startup; you can choose any amount $a \leq w$ to invest, and your investment will either triple in value (with probability p) or become worthless (with probability $1 - p$). Your expected utility if you invest a is therefore

$$U(a) = pu(w - a + 3a) + (1 - p)u(w - a) = pu(w + 2a) + (1 - p)u(w - a)$$

- (a) Show that if u is linear, then you invest all your wealth if $p > \frac{1}{2}$ and nothing if $p < \frac{1}{3}$.

From here on, assume $p > \frac{1}{3}$, so the expected value of the investment is positive; and assume that you are strictly risk-averse ($u'' < 0$).

- (b) Show that it's optimal to invest a strictly positive amount. (You can do this by showing that $U'(0) > 0$ - the marginal expected utility of increasing a is positive when $a = 0$.)
- (c) Show that $U(a)$ is strictly concave in a , so that expect at a corner solution, the first-order condition is necessary and sufficient to find a^* .
- (d) Show that if $u'(0)$ is infinite, it's not optimal to invest all your wealth; and that if $u'(0)$ is finite, then there's a cutoff \bar{p} such that it's optimal to invest all of your wealth if $p \geq \bar{p}$.

From here on, assume that either $u'(0)$ is infinite or $p \in (\frac{1}{3}, \bar{p})$, so the optimal level of investment a^* is strictly positive but below w .

- (e) Show that if $u(x) = 1 - e^{-cx}$ (the Constant Absolute Risk Aversion or CARA utility function), your optimal investment a^* does not depend on w .
- (f) For general u , show that if your Coefficient of Absolute Risk Aversion $A(x) = -\frac{u''(x)}{u'(x)}$ is decreasing, you invest more as w increases.

Now reframe the question as deciding what fraction t of your wealth to invest; writing $a = tw$,

$$U(t) = pu(w(1 + 2t)) + (1 - p)u(w(1 - t))$$

- (g) Show that if $u(x) = \frac{1}{1-\rho}x^{1-\rho}$, with $\rho \leq 1$ and $\rho \neq 0$ (the Constant Relative Risk Aversion or CRRA utility function), you invest the same fraction of your wealth regardless of w .
- (h) For general u , show that if your Coefficient of Relative Risk Aversion $R(x) = -\frac{xu''(x)}{u'(x)}$ is increasing, you invest a smaller fraction of your wealth as w increases.

*I worked on this problem set with a study group of Michael Nattinger, Andrew Smith, Tyler Welch, and Ryan Mather. I also discussed problems with Emily Case, Sarah Bass, and Danny Edgel.