ECON 710B - Problem Set 7

Alex von Hafften*

3/23/2021

13.1

Take the model:

$$Y = X'\beta + e$$

$$E[Xe] = 0$$

$$e^{2} = Z'\gamma + \eta$$

$$E[Z\eta] = 0$$

Find the method of moments estimators $(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma})$ for (β, γ) .

The moment conditions are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} E[Xe] \\ E[Z\eta] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\implies \begin{pmatrix} E[X(Y - X'\beta)] \\ E[Z((Y - X'\beta)^2 - Z'\gamma)] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\implies \begin{pmatrix} E[g_1(\beta, \gamma)] \\ E[g_2(\beta, \gamma)] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
where $g_1(\beta, \gamma) = XY - XX'\beta$,
$$g_2(\beta, \gamma) = Z(Y - X'\beta)^2 - ZZ'\gamma$$

Replacing with the sample moment:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i Y_i - X_i X_i' \hat{\beta}) = 0 \implies \hat{\beta} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i X_i\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i (Y_i - X_i' \hat{\beta})^2 - Z_i Z_i' \hat{\gamma}) = 0 \implies \hat{\gamma} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i Z_i'\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i (Y_i - X_i' \hat{\beta})^2\right)$$

^{*}I worked on this problem set with a study group of Michael Nattinger, Andrew Smith, and Ryan Mather. I also discussed problems with Sarah Bass, Emily Case, Danny Edgel, and Katherine Kwok.

Take the model $Y = X'\beta + e$ with E[e|Z] = 0. Let β_{gmm} be the GMM estimator using the weight matrix $W_n = (Z'Z)^{-1}$. Under the assumption $E[e^2|Z] = \sigma^2$ show that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{gmm} - \beta) \to_d N(0, \sigma^2(Q'M^{-1}Q)^{-1})$$

where Q = E[ZX'] and M = E[ZZ'].

We can rewrite $\hat{\beta}_{gmm}$ as:

$$\hat{\beta}_{gmm} = (X'ZW_n Z'X)^{-1} (X'ZW_n Z'Y)$$

$$= (X'Z(nW_n)Z'X)^{-1} (X'Z(nW_n)Z'Y)$$

$$= (X'ZV_n Z'X)^{-1} (X'ZV_n Z'Y)$$

where $V_n = (n^{-1}Z'Z)^{-1}$. Notice that

$$n^{-1}Z'Z \to_p E[Z'Z]$$

by law of large numbers, so by CMT:

$$V_n = (n^{-1}Z'Z)^{-1} \to_p E[Z'Z]^{-1} \equiv W$$

Notice that $M = W^{-1}$. If $E[e^2|Z] = \sigma^2$, then

$$\Omega = E[ZZ'e^2] = E[ZZ'E[e^2|Z]] = \sigma^2 E[ZZ'] = \sigma^2 M = \sigma^2 W^{-1}$$

By Theorem 13.3, we know that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{gmm} - \beta) \rightarrow_d N(0, V_{\beta})$ where

$$V_{\beta} = (Q'WQ)^{-1}(Q'W\Omega WQ)(Q'WQ)^{-1}$$

$$= (Q'WQ)^{-1}(Q'W\sigma^{2}W^{-1}WQ)(Q'WQ)^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma^{2}(Q'WQ)^{-1}(Q'WQ)(Q'WQ)^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma^{2}(Q'WQ)^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma^{2}(Q'MQ)^{-1}$$

Take the model $Y = X'\beta + e$ with E[Ze] = 0. Let $\tilde{e} = Y - X'\hat{\beta}$ where $\hat{\beta}$ is consistent for β (e.g. a GMM estimator with some weight matrix). An estimator of the optimal GMM weight matrix is

$$\hat{W} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i Z_i' \tilde{e}_i^2\right)^{-1}$$

Show that $\hat{W} \to_p \Omega^{-1}$ where $\Omega = E[ZZ'e^2]$.

By the weak law of large numbers and the continuous mapping theorem:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} Z_{i}' \hat{e}_{i}^{2} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} Z_{i}' (Y_{i} - X_{i}' \hat{\beta})^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} Z_{i}' Y_{i}^{2} - 2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} Z_{i}' Y_{i} X_{i}' \hat{\beta} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} Z_{i}' X_{i}' \hat{\beta} X_{i}' \hat{\beta} \\ &\to_{p} E[ZZ'Y^{2}] - 2E[ZZ'YX'\beta] + E[ZZ'X'\beta X'\beta] \\ &= E[ZZ(Y - X'\beta)^{2}] \\ &= E[ZZe^{2}] \end{split}$$

Again, by the continuous mapping theorem:

$$\hat{W} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i Z_i' \tilde{e}_i^2\right)^{-1} \to_p E[ZZ'e^2]^{-1}$$

In the linear model estimated by GMM with general weight matrix W the asymptotic variance of $\hat{\beta}_{gmm}$ is

$$V = (Q'WQ)^{-1}Q'W\Omega WQ(Q'WQ)^{-1}$$

(a) Let V_0 be this matrix when $W = \Omega^{-1}$. Show that $V_0 = (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}$.

$$V_0 = (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}Q'\Omega^{-1}\Omega\Omega^{-1}Q(Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}$$
$$= (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}Q'\Omega^{-1}Q(Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}$$
$$= (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}$$

(b) We want to show that for any W, $V-V_0$ is positive semi-definite (for then V_0 is the smaller possible covariance matrix and $W=\Omega^{-1}$ is the efficient weight matrix). To do this start by finding matrices A and B such that $V=A'\Omega A$ and $V_0=B'\Omega B$.

$$V = (Q'WQ)^{-1}Q'W\Omega WQ(Q'WQ)^{-1}$$

$$= A'\Omega A$$

$$A := WQ(Q'WQ)^{-1}$$

$$A' = (WQ(Q'WQ)^{-1})'$$

$$= ((Q'WQ)')^{-1}Q'W'$$

$$= (Q'WQ)^{-1}Q'W$$

Since W is symmetric $\implies Q'WQ$ is symmetric.

$$V_{0} = (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}Q'\Omega^{-1}\Omega\Omega^{-1}Q(Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}$$

$$= B'\Omega B$$

$$B := \Omega^{-1}Q(Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}$$

$$B' = (\Omega^{-1}Q(Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1})'$$

$$= (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}Q'\Omega^{-1}$$

(c) Show that $B'\Omega A = B'\Omega B$ and therefore that $B'\Omega (A - B) = 0$.

$$\begin{split} B'\Omega A &= [(Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}Q'\Omega^{-1}]\Omega[WQ(Q'WQ)^{-1}] \\ &= (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}Q'WQ(Q'WQ)^{-1} \\ &= (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1} \\ &= V_0 \\ &= (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}Q'\Omega^{-1}\Omega\Omega^{-1}Q(Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1} \\ &= B'\Omega B \end{split}$$

(d) Use the expressions $V = A'\Omega A$, A = B + (A - B), and $B'\Omega(A - B) = 0$ to show that $V \ge V_0$.

$$V = A'\Omega A$$

$$= (B + (A - B))'\Omega(B + (A - B))$$

$$= B'\Omega B + B'\Omega(A - B) + (A - B)'\Omega B + (A - B)'\Omega(A - B)$$

$$= V_0 + (A - B)'\Omega(A - B)$$

 $(A-B)'\Omega(A-B)$ is positive semi-definite, so $V \geq V_0$.

As a continuation of Exercise 12.7 derive the efficient GMM estimator using the instrument $Z = (XX^2)'$. Does this differ from 2SLS and/or OLS?

The optimal weight matrix is:

$$\Omega = E[ZZ'e^2] = E\begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ X^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & X^2 \end{pmatrix} e^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E[X^2e^2] & E[X^3e^2] \\ E[X^3e^2] & E[X^4e^2] \end{pmatrix}$$

We can estimate the optimal weight matrix as:

$$\hat{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2} e_{i}^{2} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{3} e_{i}^{2} \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{3} e_{i}^{2} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{4} e_{i}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\Omega}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2} e_{i}^{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{4} e_{i}^{2} - (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{3} e_{i}^{2})^{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{4} e_{i}^{2} & -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{3} e_{i}^{2} \\ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{3} e_{i}^{2} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2} e_{i}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The formula for the efficient GMM is:

$$\hat{\beta}_{qmm} = (X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'Y) = \dots$$

Take the linear model $Y = X'\beta + e$ with E[Ze] = 0. Consider the GMM estimator $\hat{\beta}$ of β . Let $J = n\bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta})'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta})$ denote the test of overidentifying restrictions. Show that $J \to_d \chi^2_{\ell-k}$ as $n \to \infty$ by demonstrating each of the following.

(a) Since $\Omega > 0$, we can write $\Omega^{-1} = CC'$ and $\Omega = C'^{-1}C^{-1}$ for some matrix C.

By the spectral decomposition, $\Omega = H\Lambda H'$ where $H'H = I_k$ and Λ is diagonal with strictly positive diagonal elements and thus Λ is positive definite:¹

$$\Omega = H\Lambda H' = H\Lambda^{1/2}\Lambda^{1/2}H'$$

Notice that $\Omega^{-1} = (H\Lambda H')^{-1} = H\Lambda^{-1}H'$. Define $C := H\Lambda^{-1/2}$. Thus,

$$CC' = H\Lambda^{-1/2}(H\Lambda^{-1/2})' = H\Lambda^{-1/2}\Lambda^{-1/2}H' = H\Lambda^{-1}H' = \Omega^{-1}$$

and $\Omega = C'^{-1}C^{-1}$.

(b)
$$J = n(C'\bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta}))'(C'\hat{\Omega}C')^{-1}C'\bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta}).$$

$$J = n\bar{q}_n(\hat{\beta})'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\bar{q}_n(\hat{\beta}) = n\bar{q}_n(\hat{\beta})'C'C'^{-1}\hat{\Omega}^{-1}C'^{-1}C'\bar{q}_n(\hat{\beta}) = n(C'\bar{q}_n(\hat{\beta}))'(C'\hat{\Omega}C')^{-1}C'\bar{q}_n(\hat{\beta})$$

(c)
$$C'\bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta}) = D_n C'\bar{g}_n(\beta)$$
 where $\bar{g}_n(\beta) = \frac{1}{n}Z'e$ and

$$D_n = I_{\ell} - C'(\frac{1}{n}Z'X)((\frac{1}{n}X'Z)\hat{\Omega}^{-1}(\frac{1}{n}Z'X))^{-1}(\frac{1}{n}X'Z)\hat{\Omega}^{-1}C'^{-1}$$

$$C'\bar{g}_{n}(\hat{\beta}) = C'\frac{1}{n}Z'(Y - X'\hat{\beta})$$

$$= C'\frac{1}{n}Z'(Y - X'(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'Y))$$

$$= C'\frac{1}{n}Z'(I - X'(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'))(X'\beta + e)$$

$$= D_{n}C'\bar{g}_{n}(\beta)$$

$$\Lambda^{1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{1/2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^{1/2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_k^{1/2} \end{bmatrix} \implies \Lambda = \Lambda^{1/2} \Lambda^{1/2}$$

¹By the spectral decomposition, $A = H\Lambda H'$ where $H'H = I_k$ and Λ is diagonal with non-negative diagonal elements. All diagonal elements of Λ are strictly positive iff A > 0 (Theorem A.4 (4) in appendix A.10 pg 944 of Hansen, Econometrics). Furthermore,

(d) $D_n \to_p I_\ell - R(R'R)^{-1}R'$ where R = C'E[ZX']. By WLLN,

$$D_{n} = I_{\ell} - C'(\frac{1}{n}Z'X)((\frac{1}{n}X'Z)\hat{\Omega}^{-1}(\frac{1}{n}Z'X))^{-1}(\frac{1}{n}X'Z)\hat{\Omega}^{-1}C'^{-1}$$

$$\to_{p} I_{\ell} - C'E[Z'X](E[X'Z]\Omega^{-1}E[Z'X])^{-1}E[X'Z]\Omega^{-1}C'^{-1}$$

$$= I_{\ell} - C'E[Z'X](E[X'Z]CC'E[Z'X])^{-1}E[X'Z]C'$$

$$= I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R'$$

(e) $n^{1/2}C'\bar{g}_n(\beta) \to_d u \sim N(0, I_{\ell}).$

Based on CLT,

$$n^{1/2}C'\bar{g}_n(\beta) = n^{1/2}C'\frac{1}{n}Z'e$$

$$= C'\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}Z'e$$

$$\to_d C'N(0,\Omega)$$

$$= N(0,C'\Omega C)$$

$$= N(0,C'C'^{-1}C^{-1}C)$$

$$= N(0,I_\ell)$$

(f) $J \to_d u'(I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R')u$.

Notice that $I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R'$ is idempotent:

 $(I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R')(I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R')' = I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R' - R(R'R)^{-1}R' + R(R'R)^{-1}R'R(R'R)^{-1}R' = I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R'$ Thus, by the CMT:

$$J = (\sqrt{n}C'\bar{g}_n(\beta))'D'_n(C'\hat{\Omega}C')^{-1}C'D_nC'\sqrt{n}\bar{g}_n(\beta)$$

$$\to_d u'(I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R')'(C'\Omega C')^{-1}(I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R')u$$

$$= u'(I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R')'(C'C'^{-1}C^{-1}C')^{-1}(I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R')u$$

$$= u'(I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R')'(I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R')u$$

$$= u'(I_{\ell} - R(R'R)^{-1}R')u$$

(g) $u'(I_{\ell}-R(R'R)^{-1}R')u \sim \xi_{\ell-k}^2$. [Hint: $I_{\ell}-R(R'R)^{-1}R'$ is a projection matrix.]

. . .

The observations are i.i.d., $(Y_i, X_i, Q_i : i = 1, ..., n)$, where X is $k \times 1$ and Q is $m \times 1$. The model is $Y = X'\beta + e$ with E[Xe] = 0 and E[Qe] = 0. Find the efficient GMM estimator for β .

Since E[Xe] = 0 and E[Qe] = 0, we can use $Z = \begin{pmatrix} X & Q \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$ as a instrument. Thus, the optimal weighting matrix is:

$$\Omega = E \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X' & Q' \end{pmatrix} e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E[XX'e] & E[XQ'e] \\ E[QX'e] & E[QQ'e] \end{pmatrix}$$

A consistent estimator for Ω is:

$$\hat{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i X_i' e_i & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Q_i' e_i \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i X_i' e_i & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i Q_i' e_i \end{pmatrix}$$

The efficient GMM estimator:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'Y$$

You want to estimate $\mu = E[Y]$ under the assumption that E[X] = 0, where Y and X are scalar and observed from a random sample. Find an efficient GMM estimator for μ .

We have two moment conditions:

$$\begin{pmatrix} E[Y-\mu] \\ E[X] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} E[g_1(\mu)] \\ E[g_2(\mu)] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $g_1(\mu) = Y - \mu$ and $g_2(\mu) = X$. Therefore,

$$g_i(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} Y_i - \mu \\ X_i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\bar{g}_n(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Y} - \mu \\ \bar{X} \end{pmatrix}$$

The optimal weighting matrix is $W = \Omega^{-1}$ where:

$$\Omega = E \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y - \mu \\ X \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y - \mu & X \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Var(Y) & Cov(Y, X) \\ Cov(Y, X) & Var(X) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Omega^{-1} = \frac{1}{Var(Y)Var(X) - Cov(Y, X)^2} \begin{pmatrix} Var(X) & -Cov(Y, X) \\ -Cov(Y, X) & Var(Y) \end{pmatrix}$$

The efficient GMM estimator minimizes the following:

$$\begin{split} J(\mu) &= \bar{g}_n(\mu)' \Omega^{-1} \bar{g}_n(\mu) \\ &= \left(\bar{Y} - \mu \quad \bar{X}\right) \frac{1}{Var(Y)Var(X) - Cov(Y, X)^2} \begin{pmatrix} Var(X) & -Cov(Y, X) \\ -Cov(Y, X) & Var(Y) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Y} - \mu \\ \bar{X} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{Var(Y)Var(X) - Cov(Y, X)^2} \left((\bar{Y} - \mu)Var(X) - \bar{X}Cov(Y, X) & -(\bar{Y} - \mu)Cov(Y, X) + \bar{X}Var(Y) \right) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Y} - \mu \\ \bar{X} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{Var(X)(\bar{Y} - \mu)^2 - 2Cov(X, Y)\bar{X}(\bar{Y} - \mu) + Var(Y)\bar{X}^2}{Var(Y)Var(X) - Cov(Y, X)^2} \end{split}$$

FOC of $J(\hat{\mu})$:

$$\begin{split} \frac{-2Var(X)(\bar{Y}-\hat{\mu})+2Cov(X,Y)\bar{X}}{Var(Y)Var(X)-Cov(Y,X)^2} &= 0 \\ \Longrightarrow Var(X)(\bar{Y}-\hat{\mu}) &= Cov(X,Y)\bar{X} \\ \Longrightarrow \hat{\mu} &= \bar{Y} - \frac{Cov(X,Y)}{Var(X)}\bar{X} \end{split}$$

Replace Cov(X,Y) and Var(X) with estimators:

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{Y} - \frac{\hat{Cov}(X, Y)}{\hat{Var}(X)}\bar{X}$$

Continuation of Exercise 12.25, which involved estimation of a wage equation by 2SLS.

(a) Re-estimate the model in part (a) by efficient GMM. Do the results change meaningfully?

```
df_1328 <- read_delim("Card1995.txt", delim = "\t", col_types = cols()) %>%
 mutate(lwage = lwage76,
         edu = ed76,
         exp = age76 - edu - 6,
         exp2per = exp^2 / 100,
         south = reg76r,
         urban = smsa76r,
         public = nearc4a,
         private = nearc4b,
         pubage = nearc4a*age76,
         pubage2 = nearc4a*age76^2 / 100)
reg_2sls_a <- ivreg(lwage ~ edu + exp + exp2per + south + black + urban |
                   exp + exp2per + south + black + urban + public + private,
                 data = df 1328)
summary(reg_2sls_a)
##
## Call:
## ivreg(formula = lwage ~ edu + exp + exp2per + south + black +
       urban | exp + exp2per + south + black + urban + public +
##
      private, data = df_1328)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q
                     Median
## -1.93985 -0.25152 0.01722 0.27365 1.48154
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 3.26801
                          0.68718
                                   4.756 2.07e-06 ***
               0.16109
                          0.04077
                                    3.951 7.96e-05 ***
## edu
                          0.01818
               0.11931
                                   6.564 6.16e-11 ***
## exp
                          0.03503 -6.582 5.46e-11 ***
## exp2per
              -0.23054
## south
              -0.09504
                          0.02165 -4.389 1.18e-05 ***
## black
              -0.10173
                          0.04531 -2.245
                                            0.0248 *
                                   4.305 1.73e-05 ***
## urban
               0.11645
                          0.02705
## Diagnostic tests:
                    df1 df2 statistic p-value
## Weak instruments
                      2 3002
                                13.495 1.46e-06 ***
## Wu-Hausman
                       1 3002
                                 5.557
                                         0.0185 *
## Sargan
                          NA
                                 0.821
                                         0.3650
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.4108 on 3003 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-Squared: 0.1447, Adjusted R-squared: 0.143
## Wald test: 111 on 6 and 3003 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

```
reg_gmm_a<- gmm4(lwage ~ edu + exp + exp2per + south + black + urban,</pre>
             ~ exp + exp2per + south + black + urban + public + private,
             vcov="MDS",
             type="iter",
             data = df_1328)
summary(reg_gmm_a)
## Model based on moment conditions
## ***********
## Moment type: linear
## Covariance matrix: MDS
## Number of regressors: 7
## Number of moment conditions: 8
## Number of Endogenous Variables: 1
## Sample size: 3010
##
## Estimation: Iterated GMM
## Convergence Iteration: 0
## Number of iterations: 5
## Sandwich vcov: FALSE
## coefficients:
##
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 3.261774 0.682712 4.7777 1.773e-06 ***
                      0.040506 3.9876 6.673e-05 ***
## edu
             0.161522
## exp
             ## exp2per
             -0.231517
                       0.036813 -6.2891 3.194e-10 ***
## south
             -0.095354
                       0.021755 -4.3831 1.170e-05 ***
## black
             -0.101194
                       ## urban
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##
  J-Test
                Statistics df
                               pvalue
## Test E(g)=0:
                   0.86823
                            1 0.35144
##
##
## Instrument strength based on the F-Statistics of the first stage OLS
## edu : F( 2 , 3002 ) = 13.86596 (P-Vavue = 1.013288e-06 )
```

No, the coefficients from the 2SLS and GMM are very similar.

(b) Re-estimate the model in part (d) by efficient GMM. Do the results change meaningfully?

```
reg_2sls_b <- ivreg(lwage ~ edu + exp + exp2per + south + black + urban |
                   exp+exp2per+south+black+urban+public+private+pubage+pubage2,
                 data = df_1328
summary(reg_2sls_b)
##
## Call:
## ivreg(formula = lwage ~ edu + exp + exp2per + south + black +
      urban | exp + exp2per + south + black + urban + public +
##
      private + pubage + pubage2, data = df_1328)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q
                      Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
## -1.61638 -0.22444 0.02206 0.24233 1.34656
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                          0.106727 43.008 < 2e-16 ***
## (Intercept) 4.590107
                          0.006030 13.688 < 2e-16 ***
## edu
               0.082539
## exp
               0.087094
                          0.006952 12.529 < 2e-16 ***
              -0.224720
                          0.031817 -7.063 2.02e-12 ***
## exp2per
## south
              -0.121940
                          0.015226 -8.009 1.64e-15 ***
              -0.181022
                          0.018325 -9.878 < 2e-16 ***
## black
## urban
              0.157018
                          0.015793 9.942 < 2e-16 ***
##
## Diagnostic tests:
##
                    df1 df2 statistic p-value
                               384.015 <2e-16 ***
## Weak instruments
                      4 3000
## Wu-Hausman
                      1 3002
                                 3.034 0.0817 .
                                10.978 0.0118 *
## Sargan
                      3
                          NA
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.3746 on 3003 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-Squared: 0.2891, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2877
## Wald test: 161.6 on 6 and 3003 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

```
reg_gmm_b <- gmm4(lwage ~ edu + exp + exp2per + south + black + urban,</pre>
            ~ exp+exp2per+south+black+urban+public+private+pubage+pubage2,
            vcov="MDS",
            type="iter",
            data = df_1328
summary(reg_gmm_b)
## Model based on moment conditions
## ***********
## Moment type: linear
## Covariance matrix: MDS
## Number of regressors: 7
## Number of moment conditions: 10
## Number of Endogenous Variables: 1
## Sample size: 3010
##
## Estimation: Iterated GMM
## Convergence Iteration: 0
## Number of iterations: 5
## Sandwich vcov: FALSE
## coefficients:
##
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 4.5695965 0.1105317 41.3420 < 2.2e-16 ***
            ## edu
            ## exp
## exp2per
            ## south
## black
            -0.1774453 0.0179860 -9.8657 < 2.2e-16 ***
            ## urban
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##
   J-Test
               Statistics df
                              pvalue
## Test E(g)=0:
                  10.463
                         3 0.015016
##
##
## Instrument strength based on the F-Statistics of the first stage OLS
## edu : F(4, 3000) = 588.1586 (P-Vavue = 0)
```

No, the coefficients from the 2SLS and GMM are very similar.

(c) Report the J statistic for over-identification.

In (a), the J statistic was 0.898 with a p-value of 0.351. In (b), the J statistic was 10.463 with a p-value of 0.015. Thus, this J-statistic indicates that the model in (b) could be improved.

In this exercise you will replicate and extend the empirical work reported in Arellano and Bond (1991) and Blundell and Bond (1998). Arellano-Bond gathered a dataset of 1031 observations from an unbalanced panel of 140 U.K. companies for 1976-1984 and is in the datafile AB1991 on the textbook webpage. The variables we will be using are log employment (N), log real wages (W), and log capital (K). See the description file for definitions.

(a) Estimate the panel AR(1) $K_{it} = \alpha K_{it-1} + u_i + v_t + \varepsilon_{it}$ using Arellano-Bond one-step GMM with clustered standard errors. Note that the model includes year fixed effects.

```
df_1715 <- read_delim(file = "AB1991.txt",</pre>
                      delim = "\t",
                      col_types = cols()) %>%
  pdata.frame(index = c("id", "year"))
ab \leftarrow pgmm(k \sim lag(k, 1) | lag(k, 2:8),
           data = df_1715,
           effect = "individual",
           model = "onestep")
summary(ab, robust = TRUE)
## Oneway (individual) effect One step model
##
## Call:
  pgmm(formula = k ~ lag(k, 1) | lag(k, 2:8), data = df_1715, effect = "individual",
##
       model = "onestep")
##
## Unbalanced Panel: n = 140, T = 7-9, N = 1031
##
## Number of Observations Used: 751
##
## Residuals:
       Min. 1st Qu.
##
                       Median
                                  Mean 3rd Qu.
                                                     Max.
  -0.95966 -0.07745 0.00000 -0.01933 0.03519 1.37470
##
## Coefficients:
##
             Estimate Std. Error z-value Pr(>|z|)
                                   8.811 < 2.2e-16 ***
## lag(k, 1) 0.93574
                         0.10620
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Sargan test: chisq(27) = 50.94182 (p-value = 0.0035363)
## Autocorrelation test (1): normal = -4.149986 (p-value = 3.325e-05)
## Autocorrelation test (2): normal = -1.938998 (p-value = 0.052502)
## Wald test for coefficients: chisq(1) = 77.63317 (p-value = < 2.22e-16)
```

(b) Re-estimate using Blundell-Bond one-step GMM with clustered standard errors.

```
bb \leftarrow pgmm(k ~ lag(k, 1) | lag(k, 2:8),
           data = df_1715,
           effect = "individual",
           model = "onestep",
           transformation = "ld")
summary(bb, robust = TRUE)
## Oneway (individual) effect One step model
##
## Call:
## pgmm(formula = k \sim lag(k, 1) \mid lag(k, 2:8), data = df_1715, effect = "individual",
       model = "onestep", transformation = "ld")
##
## Unbalanced Panel: n = 140, T = 7-9, N = 1031
##
## Number of Observations Used: 1642
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min.
              1st Qu.
                          Median
                                      Mean
                                             3rd Qu.
                                                           Max.
## -1.093050 -0.079127 0.000000 -0.007745 0.059713 1.537876
##
## Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error z-value Pr(>|z|)
##
## lag(k, 1) 1.086601 0.016195 67.093 < 2.2e-16 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Sargan test: chisq(34) = 67.49539 (p-value = 0.00054415)
## Autocorrelation test (1): normal = -4.46298 (p-value = 8.0828e-06)
## Autocorrelation test (2): normal = -1.788044 (p-value = 0.073769)
## Wald test for coefficients: chisq(1) = 4501.465 (p-value = < 2.22e-16)
 (c) Explain the difference in the estimates.
```

. . .