

Statistics and linear algebra review

Suppose X and Y are random variables. For any real numbers $p, q > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and any real number $\varepsilon > 0$.

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$$

$$E[Y] = E[E[Y|X]] \quad (\text{if } E[|Y|] < \infty)$$

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \text{Var}(E[Y|X]) + E[\text{Var}(Y|X)] \quad (\text{if } E[|Y|^2] < \infty)$$

$$\Pr(|X| \geq \varepsilon) \leq E[|X|]/\varepsilon \quad (\text{Markov})$$

$$\Pr(|X - E[X]| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \text{Var}[X]/\varepsilon^2 \quad (\text{Chebyshev})$$

$$E[|XY|] \leq E[|X|^p]^{1/p} E[|Y|^q]^{1/q} \quad (\text{Holder})$$

$$E[|XY|^2] \leq E[X^2]E[Y^2] \quad (\text{Cauchy-Schwarz})$$

LLN in \mathcal{L}^1 - If $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of iid random variables with $E[|X_i|] < \infty$, then $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow_p E[X_1]$.

LLN in \mathcal{L}^2 - If $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of random variables with $E[X_i] = \mu$, $E[X_i^2] < K$ for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, then $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow_p \mu$.

CLT - If $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of iid random variables with $E[X_i^2] < \infty$, then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - E[X_1]) \rightarrow_d N(0, \text{Var}(X_1))$.

Cramer-Wold device - A sequence of random vector $\{W_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converge in distribution to the random vector W iff $t'W_n$ converge in distribution to $t'W$ for any nonrandom vector t with $\|t\| = 1$.

Block inversion - Consider the matrix $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ where A is square and D is invertible. M is invertible iff $E := A - BD^{-1}C$ is invertible in which case

$$M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} E^{-1} & -E^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}CE^{-1} & D^{-1} + D^{-1}CE^{-1}BD^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Sherman-Morrison - Consider an invertible matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ and vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^k$. $A + uv'$ is invertible iff $1 + v'A^{-1}u \neq 0$ in which case:

$$(A + uv')^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}uv'A^{-1}}{1 + v'A^{-1}u}$$

Suppose that $Y = X'\beta_0 + U$ where

1. $E[U|Z] = 0$ (exogeneity)
2. $E[ZX']$ is invertible (relevance)
3. $E[Y^2 + \|X\|^2 + \|Z\|^2] < \infty$

Identification:

1. Exogeneity of Z implies that $E[ZU] = E[ZE[U|Z]] = 0$.
2. $E[Z(Y - X'\beta)] = E[ZX'](\beta_0 - \beta)$.
3. Relevance of Z implies that $E[Z(Y - X'\beta)] = 0$ iff $\beta = \beta_0$.

By MOM, $\hat{\beta}^{IV} = (\frac{1}{n} \sum Z_i X_i')^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum Z_i Y_i$

IV is biased: $E[\hat{\beta}^{IV} | \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Z}] = \beta_0 + (\sum Z_i X_i')^{-1} \sum Z_i E[U_i | \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Z}]$.

With Phillips (1983) setup, $E[\hat{\beta}^{IV} | \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Z}] = \beta_1 + \frac{\sigma_{UV}}{\sigma_V^2} \frac{\frac{\nu}{sd(\hat{\pi}_1)}}{\frac{\pi_1}{sd(\hat{\pi}_1)} + \nu}$ where $\nu \sim N(0, 1)$. $[\frac{\pi_1}{sd(\hat{\pi}_1)}]$ is signal-to-noise ratio

IV is consistent. For asymptotic normality, we need finite fourth moments. We can use Cramer-Wold device to establish asymptotic normality of the numerator.

$$\Omega^{IV} = E[ZX']^{-1} E[ZZ'U^2] E[ZX']^{-1}$$

$$\hat{\Omega}^{IV} = (\frac{1}{n} \sum Z_i X_i')^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum Z_i Z_i' \hat{U}_i^2 (\frac{1}{n} \sum Z_i X_i')^{-1}$$

F-statistic threshold for IV is 10.

Under homoskedasticity, the optimal instrument is $h^*(Z) = E[X|Z]$.

Two-square least squares:

- The relevance assumption becomes $E[ZX']$ has rank equal to the dimension of X and $E[ZZ']$ is invertible.
- Estimate π in $X_1 = Z'\pi + V$ by OLS.
- Define $h(Z, \hat{\pi}) = (Z'\hat{\pi}, X_2')'$.
- $\hat{\beta}^{2SLS} = (\frac{1}{n} \sum h(Z_i, \hat{\pi}) X_i')^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum h(Z_i, \hat{\pi}) Y_i$
- $\hat{\beta}^{2SLS} = (\frac{1}{n} \sum h(Z_i, \hat{\pi}) h(Z_i, \hat{\pi})')^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum h(Z_i, \hat{\pi}) Y_i$
- Small F -statistics can lead to substantial bias in 2SLS
- F-statistics threshold of 18 for 6 instruments.
- With multiple weak instruments, limited maximum likelihood estimator (LIML) is preferred to 2SLS.

Local average treatment effects can be used for heterogeneous coefficient models ($Y = X'\beta_0 + U$ where $E[U|Z] = 1$ and $E[ZX']$ is invertible).

Time series

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_t &= \alpha_0 + X_t' \delta_0 + U_t \\
 Y_t &= \alpha_0 + X_t' \delta_0 + \dots + X_{t-s}' \delta_s + U_t \\
 Y_t &= \alpha_0 + Y_{t-1} \rho_1 + \dots + Y_{t-p} \rho_p + U_t \\
 Y_t &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + U_t \\
 \log(Y_t) &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + U_t \\
 Y_t &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 1\{t/12 \text{ is an integer}\} + U_t \\
 Y_t &= \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \dots + \theta_q \varepsilon_{t-q}
 \end{aligned}$$

A sequence of stochastic vectors $\{Z_t\}_{t=1}^T$ is strictly stationary if $(Z_t, \dots, Z_{t+k}) \sim (Z_1, \dots, Z_{1+k})$ for all t and k .

If $\{Z_t\}_{t=1}^T$ is strictly stationary and $\tilde{Z}_t = \rho(Z_t, Z_{t-1}, \dots)$, then $\{\tilde{Z}_t\}$ is strictly stationary.

The time series $\{Y_t\}_{t=1}^T$ with $E[Y_t^2] < \infty$ for all t is covariance stationary if $E[Y_t] = \mu$ for all t and $Cov(Y_t, Y_{t+k}) = \gamma(k)$ for all t and some function γ .

γ is the autocovariance function and it is symmetric $\gamma(k) = \gamma(-k)$.

Suppose $Y_t = W_t' \beta + U_t$ where $\{(Y_t, W_t')\}_{t=1}^T$ is strictly stationary (W_t can include lags of X_t and Y_t),

1. $E[U_t | W_t] = 0$ (contemporaneous exogeneity)
2. $E[W_t W_t']$ and $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T W_t W_t'$ are invertible (no multicollinearity).

OLS needs strict exogeneity ($E[U_t | \mathbb{W}] = 0$) to be unbiased.

Contemporaneous exogeneity can fail if W_t includes lags of Y_t and U_t is serially correlated (e.g., ARMA(1, 1)), thus we have settings where OLS is asymptotically normal:

1. Models that include dynamic structure in outcome variables with iid errors. (White robust SE)
2. Models that exclude dynamic structure in outcome variables with serial dependent errors. (HAC or Newey-West SE)

To establish asymptotic normality for OLS of AR models, standard LLN and CLT do not suffice.

Martingale CLT: If $\{Z_t\}_{t=1}^T$ is strictly stationary with $E[Z_1^2] < \infty$, $E[Z_t | Z_{t-1}, \dots, Z_1] = 0$ and $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t^2 \rightarrow_p E[Z_1^2]$, then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t \rightarrow_d N(0, E[Z_1^2])$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Panel

Static error components model for $\{(Y_t, X_t')\}_{t=1}^T$: $Y_t = X_t' \beta_0 + U_t$ where $U_t = \alpha + \varepsilon_t$.

- | | |
|-----------------|---|
| static | 1. $E[\varepsilon_t X_1, \dots, X_T] = 0$ |
| distributed lag | 2. $E[X_t X_t']$ is invertible. |
| AR(p) | 3. $E[\alpha^4 + \ X_t\ ^4 + \varepsilon_t^4] < \infty$ for all t . |
| linear trend | 4. $\{(Y_{it}, X_{it}')\}_{t=1}^T$ is a random sample from the distribution of $\{(Y_t, X_t')\}_{t=1}^T$. (Note that α may depend on i). |
- Random effects assumptions:
1. $E[\alpha] = 0$, $\sigma_\alpha^2 = E[\alpha^2]$, and α is independent of $\{(X_{it}', \varepsilon_t)\}_{t=1}^T$.
 2. $\{\varepsilon_t\}_{t=1}^T$ is white noise ($Cov(\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_s | X_1, \dots, X_t) = \sigma^2 1\{s = t\}$).

Strict exogeneity and independence between X_t and α results in an abundance of moment conditions to consider.

Pooled OLS focuses on contemporaneous moment conditions:

$$\hat{\beta}^{OLS} = (\frac{1}{n} \sum_i \sum_t X_{it} X_{it}')^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \sum_t X_{it} Y_{it}.$$

FGLS uses all moment conditions:

$$\hat{\beta}^{GLS} = (\frac{1}{n} \sum_i \sum_t \tilde{X}_{it} \tilde{X}_{it}')^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \sum_t \tilde{X}_{it} Y_{it}$$

where $\tilde{X} = X_{it} - \frac{T \hat{\sigma}_\alpha^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2 + T \hat{\sigma}_\alpha^2} \bar{X}_i$ and $\bar{X}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_t X_{it}$.

Fixed effects impose no further assumptions on α , thus allow for dependence between (X_1, \dots, X_t) and α .

$$\hat{\beta}^{FE} = (\frac{1}{n} \sum_i \sum_t (X_{it} - \bar{X}_i) (X_{it} - \bar{X}_i)')^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \sum_t (X_{it} - \bar{X}_i) Y_{it}$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}^{FE} - \beta_0) \rightarrow_d N(0, \bar{H}^{-1} \Omega \bar{H}^{-1}) \quad \bar{H} = E[\sum_t (X_t - \bar{X})(X_t - \bar{X})'] \quad \Omega = E[(\sum_t (X_t - \bar{X}) \varepsilon_t)(\sum_s (X_s - \bar{X}) \varepsilon_s)']$$

Estimating ε_t is difficult because we don't have an estimator for α , but we know that

$$\Omega = E[(\sum_t (X_t - \bar{X}) U_t)(\sum_s (X_s - \bar{X}) U_s)'] \quad \text{where } U_t = \alpha + \varepsilon_t, \text{ so } \hat{U}_t = Y_t - X_t' \hat{\beta}^{FE}.$$