

ECON 703 - PS 5

Alex von Hafften*

9/16/2020

- (1) In this exercise you will prove the following theorem. Suppose X and Y are normed vector spaces and $T \in L(X, Y)$. The inverse function $T^{-1}(\cdot)$ exists and is a continuous linear operator on $T(X)$ if and only if there exists some $m > 0$ such that $m\|x\| \leq \|T(x)\|$ for all $x \in X$.
- (a) Show that if there exists some $m > 0$ such that $m\|x\| \leq \|T(x)\|$, then T is one-to-one (and therefore invertible on $T(X)$). Hint: Think about the norm of elements which are glued together if T is not one-to-one.

Proof: A theorem on slide 11 of lecture 8 states that $T \in L(X, Y)$ is one-to-one iff $\ker T \equiv \{\bar{0}\}$. Consider $x \in \ker\{T\}$, $m\|x\| \leq \|T(x)\| \implies m\|x\| \leq 0$. Since $m > 0$, $\|x\| = 0$ because norms cannot be negative. By definition of a norm, $\|x\| = 0 \iff x = \bar{0}$. Thus, T is one-to-one. \square

- (b) Use theorem with five equivalent properties (various continuity notions and boundedness) from the lecture notes to show that $T^{-1}(\cdot)$ is continuous on $T(X)$.

Proof: By (a), T is invertible. Thus, for all $x \in X$, $m\|x\| \leq \|T(x)\| \implies \|T^{-1}(y)\| \leq m^{-1}\|y\|$ where $y = T(x) \in T(X)$. Thus, because $m > 0 \implies m^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}$, T^{-1} is bounded on $T(X)$. By a theorem on slide 5 of lecture 11, T^{-1} is continuous on $T(X)$. \square

- (c) Use the same theorem from the lecture notes to show that if T^{-1} is continuous on $T(X)$, then there exists some $m > 0$ such that $m\|x\| \leq \|T(x)\|$.

Proof: If T^{-1} is continuous on $T(X)$, then T^{-1} is bounded on $T(X)$. Thus, we can choose β such that $\|T^{-1}(y)\| \leq \beta\|y\| \forall y \in T(X)$. Note that, since norms are nonnegative, we can choose $\beta > 0$, so β^{-1} is positive and finite. Thus, $\beta^{-1}\|x\| \leq \|T(x)\|$ where $x = T^{-1}(y) \in X$. Define $m = \beta^{-1}$, so $m\|x\| \leq \|T(x)\|$ for $m > 0$. \square

*I worked on this problem set with a study group of Michael Nattinger, Andrew Smith, and Ryan Mather. I also discussed problems with Emily Case, Sarah Bass, and Danny Edgel.

(2) Consider a linear operator $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $T(x, y) = (x + 5y, 8x + 7y)$.

(a) Calculate $\|T\|$ given the norm $\|(x, y)\|_1 = |x| + |y|$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .

By the theorem on slide 5 of lecture 11, since $\dim \mathbb{R}^2 = 2$, T is bounded. So,

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|(x, y)\|_1=1} \{\|T(x, y)\|_1\}$$

Since $|x|, |y| \geq 0$, we can assume that $x, y \geq 0$ without loss of generality. Further, we can rewrite $y = 1 - x$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \{|x + 5(1 - x)|, |8x + 7(1 - x)|\} \\ &= \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \{|5 - 4x|, |x + 7|\} \\ &= \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \{|x + 7|\} \\ &= 1 + 7 \\ &= 8 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Calculate $\|T\|$ given the norm $\|(x, y)\|_\infty = \max\{|x|, |y|\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .

By the theorem on slide 5 of lecture 11, since $\dim \mathbb{R}^2 = 2$, T is bounded. So,

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|(x, y)\|_\infty=1} \{\|T(x, y)\|_\infty\}$$

Define $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|(x, y)\|_\infty = 1\} = \{(1, -1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (0, -1), (0, 1), (-1, -1), (-1, 0), (-1, 1)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(1, -1)\|_\infty &= \|(-4, 1)\|_\infty = 4 \\ \|T(1, 0)\|_\infty &= \|(1, 8)\|_\infty = 8 \\ \|T(1, 1)\|_\infty &= \|(6, 15)\|_\infty = 15 \\ \|T(0, -1)\|_\infty &= \|(-5, -7)\|_\infty = 7 \\ \|T(0, 1)\|_\infty &= \|(5, 7)\|_\infty = 7 \\ \|T(-1, -1)\|_\infty &= \|(-6, -15)\|_\infty = 15 \\ \|T(-1, 0)\|_\infty &= \|(-1, -8)\|_\infty = 8 \\ \|T(-1, 1)\|_\infty &= \|(4, -1)\|_\infty = 4 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|T\| = \sup\{4, 7, 8, 15\} = 15$.

- (3) Consider the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^2 , W , and another orthonormal basis $V = \{(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)\}$ (written in coordinates of W). Prove that Euclidean norm (length) of any vector $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the same in W and V . (Thus, length of a vector does not depend on a choice of orthonormal basis.) Reminder: Orthonormal basis means that $a_1^2 + a_2^2 = b_1^2 + b_2^2 = 1, a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 = 0$.

- (4) In this exercise you will learn to solve first order linear differential equations in n variables. We want to find an n -dimensional process $y(t)$, such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}y(t) = Ay(t) \quad (1)$$

where $A \in M_{n \times n}$ and $y(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are given. When $n = 1$ we know that solution to Eq. (1) is $y(t) = e^{At}y(0)$. Turns out, it remains the same when $n > 1$, thus, it involves exponent of a matrix, which we have not defined before. To properly define e^{At} , $A \in M_{n \times n}$ we use Taylor expansion and say that

$$e^{At} = I + A + \frac{1}{2}A^2t^2 + \frac{1}{6}A^3t^3 + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}A^k t^k.$$

To calculate e^{At} we will use diagonalization. Suppose that $A = P \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}P^{-1}$, so that $A^k = P \text{diag}\{\lambda_1^k, \dots, \lambda_n^k\}P^{-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{At} &= P \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \text{diag}\{t^k \lambda_1^k, \dots, t^k \lambda_n^k\} \right) P^{-1} \\ &= P \left(\text{diag}\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} t^k \lambda_1^k, \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} t^k \lambda_n^k \right\} \right) P^{-1} \\ &= P \text{diag}\{e^{t\lambda_1}, \dots, e^{t\lambda_n}\} P^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, solution to Eq. (1) is

$$y(t) = P \text{diag}\{e^{t\lambda_1}, \dots, e^{t\lambda_n}\} P^{-1} y(0)$$

Implement the above approach to solve for $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\frac{d}{dt}y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} y(t), y(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Simplify your answer as much as possible.

- (5) Solution to different equation (1) is stable if small perturbation of the initial condition $y(0)$ does not significantly change the solution $y(t)$. Formally, it means that $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|y(0) - \tilde{y}(0)\| < \delta$, then $\|y(t) - \tilde{y}(t)\| < \varepsilon$, where $\tilde{y}(t)$ is the solution with initial condition $\tilde{y}(0)$. Notice that if one of the eigenvalues λ_i is positive (has positive real part if they are complex), then the solution will have a term $c(y(0))e^{\lambda_i t}$, $\lambda_i > 0$ where $c(\cdot)$ is a constant which depends on the initial condition. Hence, $\|y(t) - \tilde{y}(t)\| \geq |c(y(0)) - c(\tilde{y}(0))|e^{\lambda_i t} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, the solution is not stable. In contrast, if all eigenvalues are negative (have negative real part if they are complex), then for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $e^{\lambda_i t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and solutions do not diverge, i.e. are stable. Check whether your solution to Problem 4 is stable.