

# ECON 703 - PS 7

Alex von Hafften\*

10/7/2020

- (1) Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex set, and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \geq 0$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1$ . Prove that if  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i \in X$ .

Proof (by induction): For the base step, choose  $\lambda_1^2, \lambda_2^2 \geq 0$  such that  $\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = 1$ .<sup>1</sup> For any  $x_1, x_2 \in X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\lambda_1^2 x_1 + \lambda_2^2 x_2 \in X$  because  $X$  is convex. For some  $k$ , assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^k x_i \in X$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$  with  $\lambda_1^k, \dots, \lambda_k^k \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^k = 1$ . Consider  $k+1$ . Choose  $\lambda_1^{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}^{k+1} \geq 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \lambda_i^{k+1} = 1$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \lambda_i^{k+1} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{k+1} x_i + \lambda_{k+1}^{k+1} x_{k+1} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{k+1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \frac{\lambda_i^{k+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{k+1}} x_i \right) + \lambda_{k+1}^{k+1} x_{k+1}$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $y := \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \frac{\lambda_i^{k+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{k+1}} x_i \right) \in X$  because  $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i^{k+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{k+1}} = 1$ . Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \lambda_i^{k+1} x_i = \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{k+1} \right) y + \lambda_{k+1}^{k+1} x_{k+1}$$

By the definition of convexity,  $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \lambda_i^{k+1} x_i \in X$  because  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{k+1} + \lambda_{k+1}^{k+1} = 1$ .  $\square$

- (2) The sum  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i$  defined in Problem (1) is called a convex combination. The convex hull of a set  $S$ , denoted by  $\text{co}(S)$ , is the intersection of all convex sets which contain  $S$ . Prove that the set of all convex combinations of the elements of  $S$  is exactly  $\text{co}(S)$ .

Proof: We show that an arbitrary convex combination of elements of  $S$  is in  $\text{co}(S)$  and an arbitrary point in  $\text{co}(S)$  can be represented by a convex combination of elements of  $S$ . First, notice that  $S \subset \text{co}(S)$  and  $\text{co}(S)$  is convex because it is the intersection of convex sets.

Consider an arbitrary convex combination of elements of  $S$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i s_i$  with  $s_1, \dots, s_k \in S$ . Since  $s_i \in S$ ,  $s_i \in \text{co}(S)$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Since  $\text{co}(S)$  is convex,  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i s_i \in \text{co}(S)$ .

Consider  $x \in \text{co}(S)$ . Assume for the sake of a contradiction that  $x$  cannot be represented as a convex combination of elements of  $S$ . Then there exists a convex set  $Y$  such that  $S \subset Y$  and  $x \notin Y$ . This is a contradiction because  $\text{co}(S)$  is the intersection of all convex sets which contain  $S$ . Thus,  $x$  can be represented as a convex combination of elements of  $S$ .  $\square$

---

\*I worked on this problem set with a study group of Michael Nattinger, Andrew Smith, and Ryan Mather. I also discussed problems with Emily Case, Sarah Bass, and Danny Edgel.

<sup>1</sup>A note on notation;  $\lambda_i^j$  denotes the coefficient on  $x_i$  when the convex combination is composed of  $j$  elements. For example,  $\lambda_1^2$  pertains to the base step,  $\lambda_i^k$  pertains to the induction hypothesis, and  $\lambda_i^{k+1}$  pertains to the induction step.

- (3) For any set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , let its closure be  $\text{cl}X = X \cup \{\text{all limit points of } X\}$ . Show that the closure of a convex set is convex.

Proof: Let  $X$  be a convex set. Choose two points  $x, y \in X$ . Thus, there exists sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \in X$  such that  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$  and  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$ . Since  $X$  is convex,  $\lambda x_n + (1 - \lambda)y_n \in X$  for all  $n$  with  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Because  $\text{cl}X$  contains all limit points of  $X$ ,  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda x_n + (1 - \lambda)y_n) \in \text{cl}X$ .  $\square$

- (4) The function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $X$  is a convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , is concave if  $\forall \lambda \in [0, 1], x', x'' \in X$ ,  $f((1 - \lambda)x' + \lambda x'') \geq (1 - \lambda)f(x') + \lambda f(x'')$ . Given a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , its hypograph is the set of points  $(y, x)$  lying on or below the graph of the function:  $\text{hyp}f = \{(y, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | x \in X, y \leq f(x)\}$ . Show that the function  $f$  is concave if and only if its hypograph is a convex set.

Proof: Assume a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave where  $X$  is a convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . To show that its hypograph is a convex set, we need to show that, for any  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $(y', x'), (y'', x'') \in \text{hyp}f$ ,  $\lambda(y', x') + (1 - \lambda)(y'', x'') = (\lambda y' + (1 - \lambda)y'', \lambda x' + (1 - \lambda)x'') \in \text{hyp}f$ . First, notice that since  $X$  is convex,  $\lambda x' + (1 - \lambda)x'' \in X$ . Since  $f$  is concave,  $f(\lambda x' + (1 - \lambda)x'') \geq (1 - \lambda)f(x') + \lambda f(x'') \leq (1 - \lambda)y' + \lambda y''$ . Thus,  $\lambda(y', x') + (1 - \lambda)(y'', x'') \in \text{hyp}f$ .

Assume that the hypograph of a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex. Choose  $(x', y'), (x'', y'') \in \text{hyp}f$ . To show that  $f$  is concave, we need to show that, for any  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f((1 - \lambda)x' + \lambda x'') \geq (1 - \lambda)f(x') + \lambda f(x'')$ . Since  $\text{hyp}f$  is convex, we know that  $\lambda f(x') + (1 - \lambda)f(x'') \leq \lambda y' + (1 - \lambda)y'' \leq f((1 - \lambda)x' + \lambda x'')$ . Thus,  $f$  is concave.

- (5) Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be disjoint, closed, and convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , one of which is compact. Show that there exists a hyperplane  $H(p, \alpha)$  that strictly separates  $X$  and  $Y$ .

- (6) Call a vector  $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  a probability vector if  $\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i = 1$  and  $\pi_i \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Interpretation is that there are  $n$  states of the world and  $\pi_i$  is the probability that state  $i$  occurs. Suppose that Alice and Bob each have a set of probability distributions ( $\Pi_A$  and  $\Pi_B$ ) which are nonempty, convex, and compact. They propose bids on each state of the world. A vector  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $x_i$  denotes the net transfer Alice receives from Bob in state  $i$ , is called a trade (Thus,  $-x$  is the net transfer Bob receives in each state of the world.) A trade is agreeable if  $\inf_{\pi \in \Pi_A} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i x_i > 0$  and  $\inf_{\pi \in \Pi_B} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i (-x_i) > 0$ . The above means that both Alice and Bob expect to strictly gain from the trade. Prove that there exists an agreeable trade iff there is no common prior (i.e.,  $\Pi_A \cap \Pi_B = \emptyset$ ).