ECON 810A: Project Idea

Alex von Hafften

February 18, 2022

1 February 18th

- The kernel of the idea is Bewley with long-term illiquid assets.
- Exogenous two-state Markov earnings process y.
- Zero borrowing constraint.
- Assets in positive supply.
- Partial equilibrium: HHs face exogenous interest rate term structure (r_S, r_L) .
- Assets have stochastic maturity: Every period, a fraction δ of a HH's assets mature, so they collect $(1+r_S)a\delta$ in principal and interest.
- HHs have $(1 + r_L)a(1 \delta)$ long-term assets.
- They choose ℓ fraction of their long-term assets to liquidate and $f((1+r_L)a(1-\delta)\ell)$ where f is strictly increasing and strictly concave.
- Their budget for the period is $y + \delta a(1 + r_S) + f((1 \delta)a\ell(1 + r_L))$.
- With their budget, they consumption c and purchase $\tilde{\Delta}a'$ new assets.
- If the HH chooses to assets for next period and the fraction of long-term assets to liquidate, their value function is:

$$V(a) = \max_{c,\ell,a',\tilde{\Delta}a'} \{u(c) + \beta E[V(a')]\}$$
s.t. $c + \tilde{\Delta}a' = y + \delta a(1+r_S) + f((1-\delta)a\ell(1+r_L))$

$$a' = \tilde{\Delta}a' + (1+r_L)(1-\delta)a(1-\ell)$$

$$a', \tilde{\Delta}a' \ge 0$$

• Note on Feb 16 idea: I wrote up some Julia code to solve my idea from February 16th. The discrete choice (liquidate, not liquidate) causes it to converge very slowly. Interesting only one state involves liquidation. You need to be have few assets to liquidate because its a big penalty, but you can be so poor because you don't have anything to liquidate. I'm going to move to a continuous liquidation choice (between 0 and 1). I think that'll speed up convergence plus, I think the pattern will be more interesting.

2 February 16th

- The kernel of the idea is Bewley with long-term illiquid assets.
- Exogenous Markov earnings process y.
- Zero borrowing constraint.
- Assets in positive supply.
- Partial equilibrium: HHs face exogenous interest rate term structure (r_S, r_L) .
- Assets have stochastic maturity: Every period, a fraction δ of a HH's assets mature, so they collect $(1+r_S)a\delta$ in principal and interest.
- They can liquidate their long-term assets at a haircut $\kappa \in (0,1)$ to get $\kappa(1+r_L)a(1-\delta)$.
- If the HH chooses to liquidate their long-term assets, their value function is:

$$V_1(a) = \max\{u(c_1) + \beta E[V(a_1')]\}$$

s.t. $c_1 + a_1' = y + \delta a(1 + r_S) + \kappa (1 - \delta)a(1 + r_L)$
 $a_1' > 0$

• If the HH chooses to not liquidate their long-term assets, their value function is:

$$V_{2}(a) = \max\{u(c_{2}) + \beta E[V(a'_{2})]\}$$
 s.t. $c_{2} + \tilde{\Delta}a'_{2} = y + \delta a(1 + r_{S})$
$$a'_{2} = (1 - \delta)a(1 + r) + \tilde{\Delta}a'_{2}$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}a'_{2}, a'_{2} \geq 0$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}a_2'$ is the new asset purchases.

• Putting these together, the HH problem:

$$V(a) = \max\{\max\{u(c_1) + \beta E[V(a_1')]\}, \max\{u(c_2) + \beta E[V(a_2')]\}\}$$
 s.t. $c_1 + a_1' = y + \delta a(1 + r_S) + \kappa (1 - \delta)a(1 + r_L)$
$$c_2 + \tilde{\Delta}a_2' = y + \delta a(1 + r_S)$$

$$a_2' = (1 - \delta)a(1 + r_L) + \tilde{\Delta}a_2'$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}a_2', a_2', a_1' \ge 0$$

- Policy experiment: Change κ to see the effect of the policies that change the secondary market liquidity of long-term assets.
- Calibration:
 - Choose δ based on the average maturity of US Treasuries.
 - Choose κ based on average haircut (book value relative to market value) in secondary Treasury market.

• Next steps:

- Model financial intermediary to make κ endogenous. HH and financial intermediary are matched and bargain over long-term assets.
- General equilibrium? I'm thinking of a as government assets, so add taxes? Or relax borrowing constraint so HHs borrow and apply market clearing.
- Add aggregate risk (maybe earnings and secondary market liquidity depends on state of economy)?
 The Treasury market works relatively well in good times, but issues in stressed times.