ECON 709B - Problem Set 4

Alex von Hafften*

12/10/2020

1. 7.28 Estimate the regression: $\log(\hat{w}age) = \beta_1 education + \beta_2 experience + \beta_3 experience^2/100 + \beta_4$. library(tidyverse)

```
cps09mar <- read_delim("cps09mar.txt",</pre>
                        delim = "\t",
                        col_names = c("age", "female", "hisp", "education", "earnings",
                                       "hours", "week", "union", "uncov", "region", "race",
                                       "maritial"),
                        col_types = "dddddddddddd") %>%
  mutate(experience = age - education - 6,
         experience_2 = (experience^2)/100,
         wage = earnings / (hours*week),
         l_wage = log(wage),
         constant = 1) \%
  filter(race == 4,
         maritial == 7,
         female == 0,
         experience < 45)
y <- cps09mar$1_wage
x <- cps09mar %>%
  select(education, experience, experience_2, constant) %>%
  as.matrix() %>%
  unname()
n \leftarrow dim(x)[1]
i <- diag(nrow = n, ncol = n)</pre>
```

(a) Report the coefficient estimates and robust standard errors.

```
# OLS regression
beta <- solve(t(x) %*% x) %*% (t(x) %*% y)

# residuals
p_x <- x %*% solve(t(x) %*% x) %*% t(x)
m_x <- i - p_x
e_hat <- m_x %*% y</pre>
```

^{*}I worked on this problem set with a study group of Michael Nattinger, Andrew Smith, and Ryan Mather. I also discussed problems with Emily Case, Sarah Bass, and Danny Edgel.

 $^{^{1}}$ Use the subsample of the CPS that you used for problems 3.24 and 3.25 (instead of the subsample requested in the problem)

```
# heteroskedastic asymptotic variance
omega_hat <- solve(t(x) %*% x) %*%
  (t(x) %*% diag(as.numeric(e hat^2)) %*% x) %*%
  solve(t(x) %% x)
robust_se <- t(t(sqrt(diag(omega_hat))))</pre>
print(beta)
##
               [,1]
## [1,] 0.14430729
## [2,] 0.04263326
## [3,] -0.09505636
## [4,] 0.53089068
print(robust_se)
## [1,] 0.01172552
## [2,] 0.01242217
## [3,] 0.03379572
## [4,] 0.20005051
```

(b) Let θ be the ratio of the return to one year of education to the return to one year of experience for experience = 10. Write θ as a function of the regression coefficients and variables. Compute $\hat{\theta}$ from the estimated model.

Taking partial derivatives of the regression equation with respect to *education* and *experience*, the return to one year of education is β_1 and the return to one year of experience is $\beta_2 + 2\beta_3 experience/100$. Thus the ratio at *experience* = 10 is $\theta = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2 + \beta_3/5}$.

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{\beta}_3/5} \approx \frac{0.1443}{0.0426 + (-0.0951)/5} \approx 6.1090$$

```
theta_hat <- beta[1]/(beta[2]+beta[3]/5)
print(theta_hat)</pre>
```

[1] 6.109023

(c) Write out the formula for the asymptotic standard error for $\hat{\theta}$ as a function of the covariance matrix for $\hat{\beta}$. Compute $s(\hat{\theta})$ from the estimated model.

Use the delta method. Define $h(\beta) = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2 + \beta_3/5}$. Thus,

$$H(\beta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta'} h(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\beta_2 + \beta_3/5} & \frac{-\beta_1}{(\beta_2 + \beta_3/5)^2} & \frac{-\beta_1/5}{(\beta_2 + \beta_3/5)^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, the asymptotic variance of $\theta = g(\beta)$ is $H(\beta)\Omega H(\beta)'$. We can estimate it with $H(\hat{\beta})\hat{\Omega}H(\hat{\beta})'$.

```
## [,1]
## [1,] 1.617848
```

(d) Construct a 90% asymptotic confidence interval for θ from the estimated model.

The confidence interval is $[\hat{\theta} - CV_{\alpha}s(\hat{\theta}), \hat{\theta} + CV_{\alpha}s(\hat{\theta})]$:

```
cv <- qnorm(p = .95)
theta_ci <- c(theta_hat - cv * theta_se, theta_hat + cv * theta_se)
print(theta_ci)</pre>
```

[1] 3.447899 8.770147

2. 8.1 In the model $y = X_1'\beta_1 + X_2'\beta_2 + e$, show directly from definition (8.3) that the CLS estimate of $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ subject to the constraint that $\beta_2 = 0$ is the OLS regression of y on X_1 .

The CLS estimator is

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\beta} &= \arg\min_{\beta_2=0} SSE(\beta) \\ &= \arg\min_{\beta_2=0} (y - X_1\beta - X_2\beta_2)'(y - X_1\beta - X_2\beta_2) \end{split}$$

Define Legrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = (y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2)'(y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) - \lambda'(\beta_2 - 0)$$

$$= y'y - \beta_1'X_1'y - \beta_2'X_2'y - y'X_1\beta_1 + \beta_1'X_1'X_1\beta_1 + \beta_2'X_2'X_1\beta_1 - y'X_2\beta_2 + \beta_1'X_1'X_2\beta_2 + \beta_2'X_2'X_2\beta_2 + \lambda'\beta_2$$

FOC $[\beta_1]$:

$$0 = -X_1'y - X_1'y + 2X_1'X_1\tilde{\beta}_1 + X_1'X_2\tilde{\beta}_2' + X_1'X_2\tilde{\beta}_2$$

$$\implies 0 = -2X_1'y + 2X_1'X_1\tilde{\beta}_1 + 2X_1'X_2\tilde{\beta}_2'$$

FOC $[\lambda]$:

$$\tilde{\beta}_2 = 0$$

Combining FOCs:

$$0 = -2X_1'y + 2X_1'X_1\tilde{\beta}_1$$

 $\implies \tilde{\beta}_1 = (X_1'X_1)^{-1}X_1'y$

3. 8.3 In the model $y = X_1'\beta_1 + X_2'\beta_2 + e$, with β_1 and β_2 each $k \times 1$, find the CLS estimate of $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ subject to the constraint that $\beta_1 = -\beta_2$.

The CLS estimator is

$$\tilde{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta_1 = -\beta_2} (y - X_1 \beta - X_2 \beta_2)' (y - X_1 \beta - X_2 \beta_2)$$

Define Legrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = (y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2)'(y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) - \lambda'(\beta_2 - \beta_1)$$

$$= y'y - \beta_1'X_1'y - \beta_2'X_2'y - y'X_1\beta_1 + \beta_1'X_1'X_1\beta_1 + \beta_2'X_2'X_1\beta_1 - y'X_2\beta_2 + \beta_1'X_1'X_2\beta_2 + \beta_2'X_2'X_2\beta_2 + \lambda'(\beta_2 + \beta_1)$$

FOC $[\beta_1]$:

$$0 = -X_1'y - X_1'y + 2X_1'X_1\tilde{\beta}_1 + X_1'X_2\tilde{\beta}_2' + X_1'X_2\tilde{\beta}_2 + \lambda$$

$$\implies 0 = -2X_1'y + 2X_1'X_1\tilde{\beta}_1 + 2X_1'X_2\tilde{\beta}_2' + \lambda$$

FOC $[\lambda]$:

$$\tilde{\beta}_1 = -\tilde{\beta}_2$$

These FOCs imply a value for lambda:

$$0 = -2X_1'y + 2X_1'X_1\tilde{\beta}_1 - 2X_1'X_2\tilde{\beta}_1' + \lambda$$
$$\lambda = 2X_1'y - 2X_1'X_1\tilde{\beta}_1 + 2X_1'X_2\tilde{\beta}_1'$$

FOC $[\beta_2]$:

$$0 = -X_2'y - X_2'y + 2X_2'X_2\tilde{\beta}_2 + X_2'X_1\tilde{\beta}_1' + X_2'X_1\tilde{\beta}_1 + \lambda$$

$$\implies 0 = -2X_2'y + 2X_2'X_2\tilde{\beta}_2 + 2X_2'X_1\tilde{\beta}_1' + \lambda$$

Thus, the estimator is

$$0 = -2X_2'y - 2X_2'X_2\tilde{\beta}_1 + 2X_2'X_1\tilde{\beta}_1' + 2X_1'y - 2X_1'X_1\tilde{\beta}_1 + 2X_1'X_2\tilde{\beta}_1'$$

$$(X_1'X_1 - X_2'X_1 - X_1'X_2 + X_2'X_2)\tilde{\beta}_1 = X_1'y - X_2'y$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_1 = (X_1'X_1 - X_2'X_1 - X_1'X_2 + X_2'X_2)^{-1}(X_1'y - X_2'y)$$

$$= ((X_1 - X_2)'(X_1 - X_2))^{-1}(X_1 - X_2)'y$$

$$= -\tilde{\beta}_2$$

4. 8.4(a) In the linear projection model $y = \alpha + X'\beta + e$ consider the restriction $\beta = 0$. Find the constrained least squares (CLS) estimator of α under the restriction $\beta = 0$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \arg\min_{\beta=0} (y - \alpha - X\beta)'(y - \alpha - X\beta)$$

Define legrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = (y - \alpha - X\beta)'(y - \alpha - X\beta) + \lambda'\beta$$

FOC $[\alpha]$:

$$0 = \vec{1}(y - \tilde{\alpha} - X\tilde{\beta})$$

FOC $[\lambda]$:

$$\tilde{\beta} = 0$$

$$\implies \tilde{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$$

- 5. 8.22 Take the linear model $y = X_1\beta_1 + X_2\beta_2 + e$ with E[Xe] = 0. Consider the restriction $\beta_1/\beta_2 = 2$
- (a) Find an explicit expression for the constrained least squares (CLS) estimator $\tilde{\beta} = (\tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2)$ of $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ under the restriction. Your answer should be specific to the restriction. It should not be a generic formula for an abstract general restriction.

We can rewrite the constraint as a linear constraint:

$$\beta_1/\beta_2 = 2 \implies = \beta_1 - 2\beta_2 = 0$$

Thus, the definition of the estimator is

$$\tilde{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta_1 - 2\beta_2 = 0} (y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2)' (y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2)$$

Define a legrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = (y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2)'(y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2) + \lambda'(\beta_1 - 2\beta_2)$$

= $y'y + \beta_1^2 X_1' X_1 + \beta_2^2 X_2' X_2 - 2\beta_1 y' X_1 - 2\beta_2 y' X_2 + 2\beta_1 \beta_2 X_1' X_2 + \lambda'(\beta_1 - 2\beta_2)$

FOC $[\theta]$:

$$\tilde{\beta}_1 = 2\tilde{\beta}_2$$

FOC $[\beta_1]$:

$$0 = -2X_1'y + 2X_1'X_1\tilde{\beta}_1 + 2X_1'X_2\tilde{\beta}_2 + \tilde{\lambda}$$

$$\implies \tilde{\lambda} = 2X_1'y - 4X_1'X_1\tilde{\beta}_2 - 2X_1'X_2\tilde{\beta}_2$$

FOC $[\beta_2]$:

$$0 = -2X_{2}'y + 2X_{2}'X_{2}\tilde{\beta}_{2} + 2X_{2}'X_{1}\tilde{\beta}_{1} - 2\tilde{\lambda}$$

$$0 = -X_{2}'y + X_{2}'X_{2}\tilde{\beta}_{2} + 2X_{2}'X_{1}\tilde{\beta}_{2} - (2X_{1}'y - 4X_{1}'X_{1}\tilde{\beta}_{2} - 2X_{1}'X_{2}\tilde{\beta}_{2})$$

$$2X_{1}'y + X_{2}'y = X_{2}'X_{2}\tilde{\beta}_{2} + 4X_{2}'X_{1}\tilde{\beta}_{2} + 4X_{1}'X_{1}\tilde{\beta}_{2}$$

$$(2X_{1} + X_{2})'y = (X_{2}'X_{2} + 4X_{2}'X_{1} + 4X_{1}'X_{1})\tilde{\beta}_{2}$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_{2} = ((2X_{1} + X_{2})'(2X_{1} + X_{2}))^{-1}(2X_{1} + X_{2})'y$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_{1} = 2\tilde{\beta}_{2} = 2((2X_{1} + X_{2})'(2X_{1} + X_{2}))^{-1}(2X_{1} + X_{2})'y$$

(b) Derive the asymptotic distribution of $\tilde{\beta}_1$ under the assumption that the restriction is true.

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta}_1 - \beta_1) = \sqrt{n}(2\tilde{\beta}_2 - \beta_2) = 2\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta}_2 - \beta_2)$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta}_2 - \beta_2) = \sqrt{n}((2X_1 + X_2)'(2X_1 + X_2))^{-1}(2X_1 + X_2)'e = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n (2X_{i1} + X_{2i})e_i}{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (2X_{i1} + X_{2i})^2}$$

By WLLN,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2X_{i1} + X_{2i})^2 \to_p E[(2X_{i1} + X_{2i})^2]$$

By CLT,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(2X_{i1} + X_{2i})e_i \to_d N(0, E[(2X_{i1} + X_{2i})^2 e_i^2])$$

Thus,

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta}_1 - \beta_1) \to N\left(0, \frac{E[(2X_{i1} + X_{2i})^2 e_i^2]}{E[(2X_{i1} + X_{2i})^2]^2}\right)$$

6. 9.1 Prove that if an additional regressor X_{k+1} is added to X, Theil's adjusted \bar{R}^2 increases if and only if $|T_{k+1}| > 1$, where $T_{k+1} = \hat{\beta}_{k+1}/s(\hat{\beta}_{k+1})$ is the t-ratio for $\hat{\beta}_{k+1}$ and $s(\hat{\beta}_{k+1}) = (s^2[(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{1/2}$ is the homoskedasticity-formula standard error.

Regressing y on X results in $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$, $\hat{\varepsilon} = y - X\hat{\beta}$, and \bar{R}_{k+1}^2 . Regressing y on X with the restriction that $\beta_{k+1} = 0$ results in

$$\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta} - (X'X)^{-1}[0_k \ 1]'([0_k \ 1](X'X)^{-1}[0_k \ 1]')^{-1}\hat{\beta}_{k+1}$$

The regression also results in $\tilde{\varepsilon} = y - X\tilde{\beta}$ and \bar{R}_k^2 . We can rewrite $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ as the following:

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} = y - X\tilde{\beta} = y - X\hat{\beta} - X(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) = \hat{\varepsilon} - X(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})$$

Thus, because $X\hat{\varepsilon} = 0$,

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}'\tilde{\varepsilon} = (\hat{\varepsilon} - X(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}))'(\hat{\varepsilon} - X(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})) = \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} + (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})'(X'X)(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})$$

Therefore, the difference between the squared residuals is

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\varepsilon}'\tilde{\varepsilon} - \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} &= (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})'(X'X)(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) \\ &= \hat{\beta}_{k+1}([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}[0_k \ 1](X'X)^{-1}(X'X)(X'X)^{-1}[0_k \ 1]'([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}\hat{\beta}_{k+1} \\ &= \hat{\beta}_{k+1}([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}[0_k \ 1](X'X)^{-1}[0_k \ 1]'([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}\hat{\beta}_{k+1} \\ &= \hat{\beta}_{k+1}([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}[(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1}([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}\hat{\beta}_{k+1} \\ &= \frac{\hat{\beta}_{k+1}^2}{[(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1}} \end{split}$$

Thus, the adjusted R-squared is higher iff the t-statistic is at least 1.

$$\begin{split} \bar{R}_{k+1}^2 > \bar{R}_k^2 \\ \iff 1 - \frac{(n-1)\hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}}{(n-k-1)\sum_i(y_i - \bar{y})} > 1 - \frac{(n-1)\hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}}{(n-k)\sum_i(y_i - \bar{y})} \\ \iff \frac{1}{n-k}\hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} > \frac{1}{n-k-1}\hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} \\ \iff (n-k-1)(\hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} - \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}) > \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} \\ \iff \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}'\tilde{\varepsilon} - \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}}{\frac{1}{n-k-1}\hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}} > 1 \\ \iff \frac{\hat{\beta}_{k+1}^2}{\frac{1}{n-k-1}\hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}[(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1}} > 1 \\ \iff \frac{\hat{\beta}_{k+1}^2}{s(\hat{\beta}_{k+1})^2} > 1 \\ \iff \left| \frac{\hat{\beta}_{k+1}}{s(\hat{\beta}_{k+1})} \right| > 1 \\ \iff \left| \frac{\hat{\beta}_{k+1}}{s(\hat{\beta}_{k+1})} \right| > 1 \end{split}$$

9.2 You have two independent samples (Y_{1i}, X_{1i}) and (Y_{2i}, X_{2i}) both with sample sizes n which satisfy $Y_1 = X_1\beta_1 + e_1$ and $Y_2 = X_2\beta_2 + e_2$, where $E[X_1e_1] = 0$ and $E[X_2e_2] = 0$. Let $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_2$ be the OLS estimates of $\beta_1 \in R_k$ and $\beta_2 \in R_k$.

(a) Find the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{n}((\hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta}_1) - (\beta_2 - \beta_1))$ as $n \to \infty$.

$$\sqrt{n}((\hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta}_1) - (\beta_2 - \beta_1)) = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2) - \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1) \to N(0, V_1)$$

$$V_1 = E(X'_{1i}X_{1i})^{-1}E(X'_{1i}X_{1i}e_1^2)E(X'_{1i}X_{1i})^{-1}$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2) \rightarrow_d N(0, V_2)$$

$$V_2 = E(X'_{2i}X_{2i})^{-1}E(X'_{2i}X_{2i}e_2^2)E(X'_{2i}X_{2i})^{-1}$$

By the continuous mapping theorem and the independence of the subsamples:

$$\sqrt{n}((\hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta}_1) - (\beta_2 - \beta_1)) \to_d N(0, V_1 + V_2)$$

(b) Find an appropriate test statistic for $H_0: \beta_2 = \beta_1$.

We can use a Wald test statistic:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\theta} &= \hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \theta_0 &= 0 \\ \hat{V}_{\hat{\theta}} &= \hat{V}_1 + \hat{V}_2 \\ \hat{V}_1 &= n(X_1'X_1)^{-1}(X_1'diag(\hat{e}_1^2)X_1)(X_1'X_1)^{-1} \\ \hat{V}_2 &= n(X_2'X_2)^{-1}(X_2'diag(\hat{e}_2^2)X_2)(X_2'X_2)^{-1} \\ W &= (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)'\hat{V}_{\hat{\theta}}^{-1}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \\ &= (\hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta}_1)'(\hat{V}_1 + \hat{V}_2)^{-1}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta}_1) \end{split}$$

(c) Find the asymptotic distribution of this statistic under H_0 . From (a), we know that

$$\sqrt{n}((\hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta}_1) - (\beta_2 - \beta_1)) \to_d N(0, V_1 + V_2)$$

By the WLLN,

$$\begin{split} \hat{V}_1 \to_p V_1 \\ \hat{V}_2 \to_p V_2 \\ \Longrightarrow \hat{V}_1 + \hat{V}_2 \to_p V_1 + V_2 \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$W \to_d \chi_k^2$$

- 7. 9.4 Let W be a Wald statistic for $H_0: \theta = 0$ versus $H_1: \theta \neq 0$, where θ is $q \times 1$. Since $W \to_d \chi_q^2$ under H_0 , someone suggests the test "Reject H_0 if $W < c_1$ or $W > c_2$ where c_1 is the $\alpha/2$ quantile of χ_q^2 and c_2 is the $1 \alpha/2$ quantile of χ_q^2 ."
- (a) Show that the asymptotic size of the test is α .

The asymptotic size of the test is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(W < c_1 | H_0 \text{ true}) + P(W > c_2 | H_0 \text{ true}) = \alpha/2 + (1 - (1 - \alpha/2)) = \alpha$$

(b) Is this a good test of H_0 versus H_1 ? Why or why not?

No, a lower point estimate $\hat{\theta}$ will result in rejection even though it is closer to the null hypothesis. Thus, this test has low power.

8. 9.7 Take the model $y = X\beta_1 + X^2\beta_2 + e$ with E[e|X] = 0 where y is wages (dollars per hour) and X is age. Describe how you would test the hypothesis that the expected wage for a 40-year-old worker is \$20 an hour.

The expected wage for a 40-year-old worker is \$20 an hour $\implies 20 = 40\beta_1 + 1600\beta_2 \implies 0 = 2\beta_1 + 80\beta_2 - 1$. Define $\theta = 2\beta_1 + 80\beta_2 - 1$. We construct a test with $H_0: \theta = 0$ and $H_1: \theta \neq 0$. Let $\hat{\theta} = 2\hat{\beta}_1 + 80\hat{\beta}_2 - 1$. Now, we find the asymptotic variance of $\hat{\theta}$:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) = \sqrt{n}((2\hat{\beta}_1 + 80\hat{\beta}_2 - 1) - (2\beta_1 + 80\beta_2 - 1))$$
$$= 2\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1) + 80\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2)$$

Thus, $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \rightarrow_d N(0, V_{\theta})$ where

$$V_{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 80 \end{pmatrix} V_{\beta} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 80 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 2V_{\beta}^{11} + 80V_{\beta}^{21} & 2V_{\beta}^{12} + 80V_{\beta}^{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 80 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= 4V_{\beta}^{11} + 160V_{\beta}^{21} + 160V_{\beta}^{12} + 6400V_{\beta}^{22}$$

Thus, we can estimate the standard error of θ as $s(\hat{\theta}) = \sqrt{4\hat{V}_{\beta}^{11} + 160\hat{V}_{\beta}^{21} + 160\hat{V}_{\beta}^{12} + 6400\hat{V}_{\beta}^{22}}$ where:

$$\hat{V}_{\beta} = n(X'X)^{-1}(X'diag(\hat{e}^2)X)(X'X)^{-1}$$

Define the test statistic as $T = \theta/s(\hat{\theta})$. Over the null hypothesis, the test statistic is asymptotically standard normal, so we reject if $|T| > c_{1-\alpha/2}$ where $c_{1-\alpha/2}$ is the $1-\alpha/2$ percentile of a standard normal distribution a pre-specified α .