# Precautionary Savings with Risky Assets: When Cash Is Not Cash

Ran Duchin, Thomas Gilbert, Jarrad Harford, and Chris Hrdlicka Journal of Finance (2017)

Alex von Hafften

**UW-Madison** 

January 29, 2022

#### Motivation

• Traditional assumption in corporate finance models:

Financial portfolios of industrial firms are only cash (or near-cash).

 But recent media coverage indicate that firms invest in a broader set of financial assets.

#### What do Duchin et al. do?

- Measure the financial portfolios of large U.S. industrial firms.
- Show how risky financial asset holdings change with firm characteristics.

# **Key Findings**

- U.S. industrial firms invest heavily in risky financial assets
  - ▶ 40% of aggregate financial asset portfolio
  - ▶ 6% of aggregate book value
- Investments in risky financial assets are higher for less financially constrained firms.

## Outline

- Summary of Duchin et al (2017)
  - Measurement
  - Empirical Analysis

- 2 Concluding Thoughts
- 3 Appendix

## Standard Approach to Measure Financial Portfolio

From the consolidated balance sheet,

- "Cash and cash equivalents" (CH in Compustat)
  - Financial assets with maturity of up to 90 days at issuance.
- "Short-term investments" (IVST)
  - ▶ Financial assets that the firm intends to liquidate within a year.
- The standard measure of financial assets is CHE = CH + IVST
- Problem: Underestimate because CHE omits financial assets in "long-term investments" and "other assets".

➤ Apple 2007 10-K

# Duchin et al. (2017) Approach

- In 2009, the SEC began requiring firms to disclose more information about their financial assets. >> Apple 2011 10-K
- Duchin et al. (2017) hand-collect data from the footnotes to the balance sheet of all industrial firms in the S&P 500
- They divide financial assets by riskiness and liquidity.



## Aggregates by Riskiness

	Amount (\$B)	% of Book Assets	% of CHE	% of Fin. Assets
Safe	983	9	77	62
Risky	611	6	48	38
Total	1,594	15	125	100

- Firms invest heavily in risky financial assets:
  - ▶ 40% of aggregate financial asset portfolio
  - 6% of aggregate book value
- CHE underestimates financial assets by 25%.

## Aggregates by Liquidity

	% Liquid	% Illiquid
Safe	86	14
Risky	21	79
Total	63	37

- A substantial fraction of financial assets are illiquid contradicting traditional assumption in corporate finance model.
- Negative, but imperfect, correlation of riskiness and liquidity.

## Outline

- Summary of Duchin et al (2017)
  - Measurement
  - Empirical Analysis

- 2 Concluding Thoughts
- 3 Appendix

## Main Question

- How does a firm's degree of financial constraint change the composition of its financial portfolio?
- Use the size of a firm's financial portfolio as proxy for the degree of financial constraint.
- Theoretical prediction is less financially constrained firms invest relatively more in risky and illiquid financial assets.

## **OLS** Results

Risky financial assets<sub>i,t</sub> = 
$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1$$
Financial assets<sub>i,t</sub> +  $\beta' X_{i,t} + \sum_s year_s + \sum_i industry_j + \varepsilon_{i,t}$ 

Model	OLS			
Dependent Variable	Risky Financial Assets/Financial Assets			
Column				
Financial assets	0.681***			
Market to book	0.00			
Size	[0.01 0.044***			
Cash flow	[0.009] 0.303* [0.165]			
Net working capital	0.103 [0.088]			
Capital expenditure	0.560** [0.226] 0.039			
Leverage	[0.065]			
Cash flow volatility	-0.377* [0.211]			
Dividend dummy	-0.017 [0.020]			
R&D expenditures	0.730*** [0.160]			
Acquisition expenditures	0.089 [0.113]			
Year fixed effects? Industry fixed effects? Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	Yes Yes 0.330			
N_obs	1,727			

## Endogeneity

- Firms likely jointly determine the size and composition of their financial portfolio.
  - ⇒ A violation of the conditional mean independence assumption.
- Use two-stage least squares to exploit the variation in the portfolio size due to unexpected cash flow shocks.

#### **2SLS** Results

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Financial assets}_{i,t} &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \textit{Unexpected cash flow}_{i,t} + \beta' \textit{X}_{i,t} + \sum_{s} \textit{year}_{s} + \sum_{j} \textit{industry}_{j} + \varepsilon_{i,t}^T \\ \textit{Risky financial assets}_{i,t}^* &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \textit{Financial assets}_{i,t}^* + \beta' \textit{X}_{i,t} + \sum_{s} \textit{year}_{s} + \sum_{i} \textit{industry}_{j} + \varepsilon_{i,t}^R \end{aligned}$$

		2SLS			
Model	OLS	First Stage	Second Stage Risky Financial Assets/Financial Assets		
Dependent Variable	Risky Financial Assets/Financial Assets	Financial Assets/Book Assets			
Column	(1)	(2)	(3)		
Financial assets	0.681*** [0.102]				
Unexpected cash flow		0.178***			
Financial assets*		(61000)	0.296***		
Market to book	0.001	0.035***	0.022		
Size	0.044***	-0.016*** [0.006]	0.035***		
Cash flow	0.303* [0.165]	0.159	0.416** [0.193]		
Net working capital	0.103 [0.088]	-0.286*** [0.058]	-0.045 [0.162]		
Capital expenditure	0.560** [0.226]	-0.590*** [0.116]	0.230		
Leverage	0.039 [0.065]	-0.162*** [0.036]	-0.036 [0.106]		
Cash flow volatility	-0.377* [0.211]	0.433**	-0.120** [0.055]		
Dividend dummy	-0.017 [0.020]	-0.024* [0.013]	-0.031 [0.025]		
R&D expenditures	0.730*** [0.160]	0.821***	1.184**		
Acquisition expenditures	0.089 [0.113]	-0.374*** [0.068]	-0.119 [0.218]		
Year fixed effects? Industry fixed effects?	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> N_obs	0.330 1,727	0.525 1,727	0.268 1,727		

# Other Findings

- Duchin et al. (2017) also find that firms invest more in risky financial assets if they have
  - Worse corporate governance
  - A overconfident CEO
- They also find that industrial firms cannot generate a positive alpha through their risky financial asset holdings.
- They also develop a theory of industrial firms investing in risky and illiquid financial assets with predictions that are consistent with their empirical analysis.

## **Takeaways**

- How we measure things is important. Make friends with accounts.
- Have RAs.
- Develop theory of industrial firms investing in risky and illiquid financial assets.

# Apple 10-K (2007) Consolidated Balance Sheet

	Septen	September 29, 2007	
ASSETS:			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	9,352	
Short-term investments		6,034	
Accounts receivable, less allowances of \$47 and \$52, respectively		1,637	
Inventories		346	
Deferred tax assets		782	
Other current assets		3,805	
		-	
Total current assets		21,956	
Property, plant, and equipment, net		1,832	
Goodwill		38	
Acquired intangible assets, net		299	
Other assets		1,222	
Total assets	\$	25,347	

## Apple 10-K (2007) Note 2 Financial Instruments

#### Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-Term Investments.

	September 29, 2007		
Cash	\$	256	
U.S. Treasury and Agency securities		670	
U.S. Corporate securities		5,597	
Foreign securities	_	2,829	
Total cash equivalents		9,096	
U.S. Treasury and Agency securities		358	
U.S. Corporate securities		4,718	
Foreign securities	_	958	
Total short-term investments		6,034	
Total cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	s	15,386	



# Apple 10-K (2011) Consolidated Balance Sheet

	Septer	mber 24, 2011
ASSETS:		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	9,815
Short-term marketable securities		16,137
Accounts receivable, less allowances of \$53 and \$55, respectively		5,369
Inventories		776
Deferred tax assets		2,014
Vendor non-trade receivables		6,348
Other current assets		4,529
Total current assets		44,988
Long-term marketable securities		55,618
Property, plant and equipment, net		7,777
Goodwill		896
Acquired intangible assets, net		3,536
Other assets		3,556
Total assets	\$	116,371

# Apple 10-K (2011) Note 2 Financial Instruments

#### Cash, Cash Equivalents and Marketable Securities.

	Adjusted Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Cash and Cash Equivalents	Term Marketable Securities	Long-Term Marketable Securities
Cash	\$ 2,903	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 2,903	\$ 2,903	\$ 0	\$ 0
Level 1:							
Money market funds	1,911	0	0	1,911	1,911	0	0
Mutual funds	1,227	0	(34)	1,193	0	1,193	0
Subtotal	3,138	0	(34)	3,104	1,911	1,193	0
Level 2:							
U.S. Treasury securities	10,717	39	(3)	10,753	1,250	2,149	7,354
U.S. agency securities	13,467	24	(3)	13,488	225	1,818	11,445
Non-U.S. government securities	5,559	11	(2)	5,568	551	1,548	3,469
Certificates of deposit and time deposits	4,175	2	(2)	4,175	728	977	2,470
Commercial paper	2,853	0	0	2,853	2,237	616	0
Corporate securities	35,241	132	(114)	35,259	10	7,241	28,008
Municipal securities	3,411	56	0	3,467	0	595	2,872
Subtotal	75,423	264	(124)	75,563	5,001	14,944	55,618
Total	\$81,464	\$ 264	\$ (158)	\$81,570	\$ 9,815	\$ 16,137	\$ 55,618

**≫** Back

# Classifying by Riskiness and Liquidity

- Riskiness is based on the Fed's money supply definitions:
  - ► Safe is money-like (M4 and L).
  - Risky is nonmoney-like (the rest).
- Liquidity is based on fair value levels:
  - Liquid is level 1 (market price available).
  - Illiquid is level 2 and 3 (no market price available).
- Example, equities are classified as risky and liquid.

#### More on Riskiness

#### Safe financial assets

- Cash
- Deposits
- Commercial paper
- Money market funds
- U.S. Treasuries



#### Risky financial assets

- Other government debt
  - Munis
  - Agency
  - Foreign
- Corporate
- ABS and MBS
- Equity
- Other

## **Unexpected Cash Flows Shocks**

• Unexpected cash flow shocks  $(e_{i,t})$  are estimated with the pooled corss-sectional time-series model below:

$$\Delta CF_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta_1 \Delta CF_{i,t-1} + \beta_2 \Delta CF_{i,t-2} + \beta_3 \Delta CF_{i,t-3} + e_{i,t}$$

where  $\Delta CF_{i,t} \equiv CF_{i,t} - CF_{i,t-1}$  and  $CF_{i,t}$  is the cash flow for firm i in year t.

- Identifying assumptions:
  - Inclusion restriction: Unexpected cash flow shocks affect the size of the firm's financial portfolio.
  - ► Exclusion restriction: Unexpected cash flow do not shocks affect the composition of the firm's financial portfolio.

