

Convex Split-Face Polygon Cuts

January 8, 2022

Tools

For more compact, finite summations:

$$S(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{k=1}^N x_k \quad (1)$$

Introduction

There is a formula which counts the number of ways you can cut a convex polygon between all its faces. Given a convex polygon with N faces, this is

$$C = S(N - 1, \dots, 0) = \frac{N(N - 1)}{2} \quad (2)$$

This captures the idea that from one face, you can cut to $N - 1$ other faces and from the next you can cut to $N - 2$ other faces while being sure not to double count.

What if the polygon's faces were further divided into some number of co-linear segments? How can we count the number of ways you can cut it?

Equations

Let there be a convex polygon with N faces. Each face numbered by k with $1 \leq k \leq N$ and each divided into x_k segments. Let $C(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ be the number of cuts. For the simple case where each x is equal to 1, it's the familiar formula

$$C(1, \dots, 1) = S(N - 1, \dots, 0) = \frac{N(N - 1)}{2} \quad (3)$$

In the general case, the count function satisfies a couple relations. First, since the polygon in question has no set orientation and may be rotated, the arguments to the count function may also be rotated

$$C(x_1, \dots, x_k) = C(x_2, \dots, x_k, x_1) \quad (4)$$

Second, extending the cut process described for the simple case in the introduction, given a known count, dividing a face produces new cuts from each other faces' segments

$$C((x_1 + 1), \dots, x_k) = S(x_2, \dots, x_N) + C(x_1, \dots, x_N) \quad (5)$$

This can be applied in a reductive manner by subtracting 1 from x_1 and repeatedly applying the rule until the first parameter to the remaining application of C is reduced to 1

$$\begin{aligned} C(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= S(x_2, \dots, x_N) + C((x_1 - 1), \dots, x_N) \\ &= 2S(x_2, \dots, x_N) + C((x_1 - 2), \dots, x_N) \\ &= (x_1 - 1)S(x_2, \dots, x_N) + C(1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Using the two rules together, each parameter to C can be reduced to 1 and a fully reduced form can be derived

$$\begin{aligned} C(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= (x_1 - 1)S(x_2, \dots, x_N) \\ &\quad + (x_2 - 1)S(1, x_3, \dots, x_N) \\ &\quad + C(1, 1, x_3, \dots, x_N) \\ &= (x_1 - 1)S(x_2, \dots, x_N) \\ &\quad + (x_2 - 1)S(1, x_3, \dots, x_N) \\ &\quad + (x_3 - 1)S(1, 1, x_4, \dots, x_N) \\ &\quad + C(1, 1, 1, x_4, \dots, x_N) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N (x_k - 1)S(1, \dots, 1, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_N) + C(1, \dots, 1) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N (x_k - 1) [(k - 1) + S(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_N)] + C(1, \dots, 1) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

This derivation process is useful when calculating these counts on paper. The abbreviations can be expanded for the final result

$$C(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^N (x_k - 1) \left[(k - 1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^N x_j \right] + \frac{N(N - 1)}{2} \quad (8)$$

Computationally, the nested summations do not necessarily mean the calculation will take $O(N^2)$ steps since the inner summation can be pre-calculated. Then, for each k , reduce the calculated value by x_k .