

---

# Applied Data Analysis

---

## R-Laboratory 11

---

### Count Data - Penalized Regression

---

Useful packages and functions:

- |                             |                              |                                  |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| • <code>addmargins()</code> | • <code>vcd</code>           | • <code>glmnet</code>            |
| • <code>rstandard()</code>  | • <code>vcd::mosaic()</code> | • <code>glmnet::cv.glmnet</code> |
| • <code>chisq.test()</code> | • <code>logistf</code>       | • <code>ISLR</code>              |

#### Remark (Quasi-Likelihood Approach)

An alternative to modelling count data using a negative binomial GLM or a zero inflated GLM for taking possible sources of overdispersion into account is given by the *quasi-likelihood* (QL) approach. Assume a random sample  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}(Y_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . For a GLM with link function  $g$  and a linear predictor for the mean  $g(\mu_i) = \eta_i = \sum_{j=1}^p x_{ij}\beta_j$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the QL estimation approach, instead of assuming a distribution for the response and deriving the variance  $v(\mu_i) = \text{Var}(Y_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , directly assumes an appropriate variance function  $v(\mu_i)$  to formulate the estimating equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(Y_i - \mu_i)x_{ij}}{v(\mu_i)} \cdot \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \eta_i} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, p. \quad (1)$$

Any solution  $\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p$  of (1) is called a quasi-likelihood estimate of  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ . While assuming  $Y_i \sim \mathcal{P}(\mu_i)$  leads to  $v(\mu_i) = \mu_i$  in ML estimation, we can also set  $v(\mu_i) = \phi\mu_i$ , where  $\phi > 1$  represents overdispersion and allow a robust estimation of the standard errors. Notice that if it seems to be appropriate, we can also set any other relationship like  $v(\mu_i) = \phi$  (as in the normal linear model with  $\phi = \sigma^2$ ) or  $v(\mu_i) = \phi\mu_i^2$ , if even an inflated quadratic relation seems appropriate.

### Task 35

Load the dataset *Basketball.csv* into your workspace. Let  $y_1, \dots, y_{514}$  be realizations of independent random variables, where  $y_i$  is representing the number of field goals (FG) to the  $i$ th player,  $i = 1, \dots, 514$ . Further, denote with  $x_i$  the number of games G of the  $i$ th player,  $i = 1, \dots, 514$ . Assume that  $y_i$  is the realization of a Poisson distributed random variable  $Y_i \sim \mathcal{P}(\mu_i)$  and that the GLM

$$\log(\mu_i) = \alpha + \beta x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, 514,$$

with unknown  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , holds. Estimate the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  using the data set and the R-function `glm` with parameter `family=poisson(link='log')`. Create a scatterplot

$(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{514}, y_{514})$  of **G** against **FG**.

Denote with  $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$  the MLEs of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Draw a sample of the random variables  $Y_i^* \sim \mathcal{P}(\exp(\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_i))$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 514$ , which are conditionally independent given  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\beta}$ . Create a scatterplot  $(x_1, y_1^*), \dots, (x_{514}, y_{514}^*)$  of the simulated sample. Compare both scatterplots. Repeat this a few times to get a better feeling of how scatterplot behaves, if the Poisson GLM truly holds. Is the assumed GLM a plausible model?

## Task 36

Load the dataset *Crabs.dat* into your workspace and construct a model for the horseshoe crab satellite counts, using the QL approach and weight, color and spine condition as possible explanatory variables. Compare with the results obtained from zero-inflated GLMs in the example II.6.18 of the lecture.

*Hint:* You can solve the equations (1) using the `glm` function with `family=quasi(link, variance)`. Since we want to model overdispersed Poisson data, `link='log'` is appropriate for holding the connection. Possible values for the variance are for example `variance='mu'` for  $v(\mu_i) = \phi\mu_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  or `variance='mu^2'` for  $v(\mu_i) = \phi\mu_i^2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

## Task 37

In Remark II.3.30, the penalized likelihood approach of Firth was mentioned (Firth, *Biometrika* 1993). The idea of Firth's logistic regression is to take the logistic model

$$\pi_i = (1 + \exp(-\sum_{r=1}^k x_{ir}\beta_r)) \quad (2)$$

and replace the score equations  $\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \pi_i)x_{ir} = 0$  by modified score equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \pi_i + h_i(1/2 - \pi_i))x_{ir} = 0 \quad (3)$$

for  $r = 1, \dots, k$ . Here,  $h_i$  is the  $i$ -th diagonal element of the hat matrix. Having that, the Firth-type estimates  $\hat{\beta}$  are computed by solving the modified score equations until convergence is attained.

- Load the data *funga.dat* into your workspace.
- Transform `center` as factor variable. Fit a logistic regression model predicting `my/m` based on the explanatory variables `treatment` and `center` and comment the resulting fit.
- Using the `logistf` package, fit a model with Firth's logistic regression predicting `my/m` based on the explanatory variables `treatment` and `center`. Compare the fit with the fit in (b).

## Task 38

Load the dataset *prostate* from RWTH moodle into your workspace. You can find the description of the dataset in the dataset documentation in RWTH moodle.

- (a) Split the data into test and training data where the training data contains all rows of *prostate* where `train == TRUE`.
- (b) Fit a linear model which predicts `lpsa` based on the explanatory variables `lcavol`, `lweight`, `age`, `lbph`, `svi`, `lcp`, `gleason` and `ppg45`.
- (c) Fit the penalized regression model with Lasso. Plot the resulting coefficient paths.
- (d) Set the seed to 2021. Again, fit the penalized regression model with Lasso using cross-validation. What is the minimum value of  $\lambda$  ? What is the value of  $\lambda$  suggested by one-standard-error rule?
- (e) Extract the corresponding coefficient estimates for the values of  $\lambda$  in (d).

```
#####
```

```
#
```

```
# Task 35
```

```
#
```

```
#####
```

```
BB=read.csv("Basketball.csv")
```

```
model=glm(FG~G,data=BB, family=poisson)
```

```
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
```

```
plot(BB$G,BB$FG) #scatterplot of G against FG
```

```
#curve(exp(model$coefficients[1]+x*model$coefficients[2]), from=0, to =80,add=TRUE,col='red')
```

```
for (i in 1:3){
```

```
    random.model.sample=rpois(length(model$fitted.values),model$fitted.values)
```

```
    plot(BB$G,random.model.sample)
```

```
}
```

```
par(mfrow=c(1,1))
```

### # Task 36

```
crabs.data=read.table("Crabs.dat", sep=" ",dec=".",header = TRUE)
#crabs2.data=read.table("Crabs2.dat", sep=" ",dec=".",header = TRUE) #aus Vorlesung

#variance=mu
QL.glm.1<-glm(y~weight+color+spine,family=quasi(link='log',variance = 'mu'),data=crabs.data)
summary(QL.glm.1)

#variance=mu^2
QL.glm.2<-glm(y~weight+color+spine,family=quasi(link='log',variance = 'mu^2'),data=crabs.data)
summary(QL.glm.2)

# Example 6.1.18 - zero-inflated negative binomial glm
library(pscl)
ZINB.glm <- zeroinfl(y ~ weight | weight + color, dist="negbin",data=crabs.data)
summary(ZINB.glm)

# classical Negative Binomial glm
library("MASS")
NB.glm <- glm.nb(y~weight+color+spine,data=crabs.data)
summary(NB.glm)

# Classical Poisson glm
P.glm<-glm(y~weight+color+spine,family=poisson(link='log'),data=crabs.data)
summary(P.glm)

# some plots
plot(ZINB.glm$fitted.values,QL.glm.1$fitted.values) #fitted values for QL (var=mu) and Zero Infl NB
abline(0,1,col="red")

plot(ZINB.glm$fitted.values,QL.glm.2$fitted.values) #fitted values for QL (var=mu^2) and Zero Infl NB
abline(0,1,col="red")

plot(NB.glm$fitted.values,QL.glm.2$fitted.values) #fitted values for QL (var=mu^2) and NB
abline(0,1,col="red")

### Task 37
library(logistf)
#(a)
fungal <- read.csv("fungal.dat", sep=" ")

#(b)
fit<-glm(my/m~ treatment +factor(center),weights=m,family=binomial,data=fungal)
summary(fit)

#(c)
fit.pen<-logistf(my/m~ treatment +factor(center),weights=m,family=binomial,data=fungal)
summary(fit.pen)
```

```
#####
```

```
#
```

```
### Task 38
```

```
#
```

```
#####
```

```
library("glmnet")
```

```
 #(a)
```

```
prostate <- read.delim("prostate")
```

```
# split into training and test data
```

```
data=prostate
```

```
data.training = data[data$train==TRUE,]
```

```
data.test =data[-data$train==TRUE,]
```

```
 #(b)
```

```
model1<-lm(lpsa~lcavol+lweight+age+lbph+svi+lcp+gleason+pgg45, data=data.training)
```

```
model1
```

```
#lcavol, lweight, svi show strongest fit
```

```
 #(c)
```

```
model.lasso<-
```

```
glmnet(as.matrix(data.training[,c("lcavol", "lweight", "age", "lbph", "svi", "lcp", "gleason", "pgg45")]),  
        y=data.training$lpsa,alpha=1,family="gaussian")
```

```
#glmnet expects matrix of predictors
```

```
plot(model.lasso)
```

```
 #(d)
```

```
set.seed(2021)
```

```
cv<-cv.glmnet(as.matrix(data.training[,c("lcavol", "lweight", "age", "lbph", "svi", "lcp", "gleason", "pgg45")]),  
              y=data.training$lpsa,alpha=1,family="gaussian")
```

```
cv$lambda.min
```

```
cv$lambda.1se
```

```
 #(e)
```

```
coef(glmnet(as.matrix(data.training[,c("lcavol", "lweight", "age", "lbph", "svi", "lcp", "gleason", "pgg45")]),  
           y=data.training$lpsa,alpha=1,family="gaussian",lambda=cv$lambda.min)) #lambda from  
lambda.min
```

```
coef(glmnet(as.matrix(data.training[,c("lcavol", "lweight", "age", "lbph", "svi", "lcp", "gleason", "pgg45")]),  
           y=data.training$lpsa,alpha=1,family="gaussian",lambda=cv$lambda.1se)) #cv lambda.1se
```