

Part I: Linear Models

Chapter I.4

Linear Models – BLUEs & MLEs

Topics

➤ To be discussed...

- Theorem of Gauß-Markov & BLUEs
- Maximum Likelihood estimation under normal assumptions

I.4.26 Definition

Let $\mathbf{Y} \sim P_{\beta}$, $\beta \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$, be a statistical model and let $g : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be a known function. Then,

- An estimator $\hat{\xi} = \hat{\xi}(\mathbf{Y})$ is called an **unbiased estimator** of $g(\beta)$ if $E\hat{\xi}(\mathbf{Y}) = g(\beta)$ for any $\beta \in \Theta$.
- An estimator $\hat{\xi}(\mathbf{Y}) = A\mathbf{Y}$ with a known matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ is called a **linear estimator**.

I.4.27 Remark

- Given a LM with design matrix B , the LSE $\hat{\beta}^+ = (B'B)^+B'\mathbf{Y}$ is a linear estimator.
- Given a LM with design matrix B , a linear unbiased estimator $\hat{\xi}(\mathbf{Y}) = A\mathbf{Y}$ of β with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ has to satisfy the condition:

$$E\hat{\xi}(\mathbf{Y}) = E(A\mathbf{Y}) = E(AB\beta + A\epsilon) = AB\beta = \beta \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p.$$

Hence, $AB = I_p$ must hold.

- Further, it should be noticed that the assumption of an existing linear unbiased estimator ensures both identifiability and uniqueness of the LSE.

Suppose that a linear unbiased estimator exists. Then, $AB = I_p$ so that

$p = \text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(B)$. Therefore, B has full rank and $B'B$ is a regular matrix. According to Corollary I.4.12, the LSE is unique. Furthermore, it is unbiased due to Theorem I.4.25.

► I.4.28 Theorem (Gauß-Markov)

Consider a LM with design matrix B . Let $\hat{\xi} = \hat{\xi}(Y) = AY$ be a linear unbiased estimator of β with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$. Then,

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\xi}) - \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}) \geq 0.$$

In particular, this yields $\text{Var}(c'\hat{\beta}) \leq \text{Var}(c'\hat{\xi})$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and, thus,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_j) \leq \text{Var}(\hat{\xi}_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, p.$$

► I.4.28 Theorem (Gauß-Markov)

Consider a LM with design matrix B . Let $\hat{\xi} = \hat{\xi}(Y) = AY$ be a linear unbiased estimator of β with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$. Then,

$$\text{Cov}(\widehat{\xi}) - \text{Cov}(\widehat{\beta}) \geq 0.$$

In particular, this yields $\text{Var}(\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \leq \text{Var}(\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}})$ for any $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and, thus,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_j) \leq \text{Var}(\hat{\xi}_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, p.$$

Proof: Notice that $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}(Y) = AY$ is an unbiased estimator so that $A \cdot B = I_p$.
 So \hat{B} is unique and it is unbiased estimator of B . In particular,
 $B^T B$ is a regular matrix.

Now, recall that a covariance matrix is always non-definite.

$$\eta \leq c' \cdot \text{Cor}(\hat{\xi} - \hat{\beta}) \cdot c \quad \forall c \in \overline{RP}.$$

$$= \text{Cor}(\underbrace{c'(\cdot \tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})}_{\in \mathbb{R}}) = \text{Var}(c'(\cdot \tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})) = \text{Var}(c' \tilde{\beta} - c' \tilde{\beta})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{Var}(c' \hat{\beta}) + \underbrace{\text{Var}(c' \hat{\beta})}_{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta})} - 2 \cdot \text{Cov}(c' \hat{\beta}, c' \hat{\beta}) \\
&= \text{Var}(c' \hat{\beta}) + \sigma^2 \cdot c' (B' B)^{-1} c - 2 \cdot \text{Cov}(c' A \gamma, \underbrace{c' (B' B)^{-1} B' \gamma}_{\text{Cov}(\gamma) \cdot B (B' B)^{-1} c}) \\
&= \text{Var}(c' \hat{\beta}) + \sigma^2 c' (B' B)^{-1} c - 2 \cdot c' A \underbrace{\text{Cov}(\gamma) \cdot B (B' B)^{-1} c}_{= \sigma^2 \cdot I_n} \\
&= \text{Var}(c' \hat{\beta}) + \sigma^2 c' (B' B)^{-1} c - \underbrace{[2\sigma^2 c' A B (B' B)^{-1} c]}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I_P} \\
&= \text{Var}(c' \hat{\beta}) - \sigma^2 c' (B' B)^{-1} c \\
&= \text{Var}(c' \hat{\beta}) - \text{Var}(c' \hat{\beta}) \\
&= c' \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}) c - c' \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}) c = \underbrace{c' [\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}) - \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta})] c}_{\geq 0} \\
&\quad \forall c \in \mathbb{R}^P.
\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}) - \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}) \geq 0$. The remaining result follows directly by choosing $c \in \mathbb{R}^P$ or $c = e_i, p$.

BLUEs and MLEs

► I.4.29 Definition

In the situation of Theorem I.4.28, the LSE $\hat{\beta}$ is called **best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE)** of β . For $c \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $c'\hat{\beta}$ is called BLUE of $c'\beta$.

► I.4.30 Remark

Notice that the derivation of LSEs and BLUEs as well as their means and variances do not depend on the particular distributional assumption. However, their distributions depend on the distribution of the error term ε .

► I.4.31 Theorem

Given a NoLM with a regular matrix $B'B$ and unknown variance parameter $\sigma^2 > 0$, $\hat{\beta}$ is also the **Maximum-Likelihood-Estimator (MLE)** of β .

With $P = I_n - B(B'B)^{-1}B'$, the MLE of σ^2 is given by $\widetilde{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{n}Y'PY$.

► I.4.32 Theorem

Let $n \geq p$. Given a NoLM as in Definition I.4.3 and $\text{rank}(B) = r \leq p$, we have:

- ① $\hat{\beta}^+$ and $Y - B\hat{\beta}^+$ are stochastically independent.
- ② $\|Y - B\hat{\beta}^+\|^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n - r)$
- ③ $\|B(\hat{\beta}^+ - \beta)\|^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(r)$
- ④ If $r = p$ then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{c}'(B'B)^{-1}\mathbf{c}}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{c}'(\hat{\beta} - \beta)}{\sqrt{\|Y - B\hat{\beta}\|^2/(n - p)}} \sim t(n - p)$ for any $\mathbf{c} \neq 0$.

► I.4.33 Remark

The condition $\text{rank}(B) = p$ in Theorem I.4.32 ④ can be replaced by the condition $\mathbf{c} \notin \text{Ker}((B'B)^+)$.

Proof: Recall $\gamma \sim N_n(\beta\beta^T, \sigma^2 I_m)$. Given an observation y , the likelihood function is given by (see Theorem I.2.5) :

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n \cdot \sigma^{2n}}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|y - \beta\beta^T\|^2\right) = \psi(\beta)$$

Then, the log-likelihood function reads

$$\begin{aligned} l(\beta, \sigma^2) &= -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \cdot \ln(\sigma^2) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \cdot \psi(\beta)}_{\geq \psi(\hat{\beta})} \\ &\leq -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \cdot \psi(\hat{\beta}) \stackrel{``\Leftarrow"}{=} \beta = \hat{\beta} \\ &= l(\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2) \quad (\text{e.g. } \Leftrightarrow \beta = \hat{\beta} \text{ for any } \sigma^2 > 0) \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward optimization of $l(p, \sigma^2)$ shows that

$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \mathbf{Y}' \mathbf{P} \mathbf{Y}$ maximises the likelihood, that is,

$$l(\beta, \sigma^2) \leq l(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$$

with equality iff $\beta = \hat{\beta}$, $\sigma^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2$

$\rightarrow \text{MLE } \hat{\beta}, \tilde{\sigma^2}$.

Proof : First, $\gamma \sim N_m(\beta, \sigma^2 \cdot I_m)$, $\gamma = B\beta + \varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow Y - B\hat{\beta}^+ &= Y - B(B'B)^+B'Y = (I_n - \underbrace{B(B'B)^+B}_P) \cdot Y \\ &= P \cdot Y = \underbrace{P B}_{=0} \beta + P\varepsilon = P\varepsilon \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 P) \\ (B - B(B'B)^+B'B = 0) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $P^2 = P$, $P' = P$, $\text{rank}(P) = n - r$.

prove (1).

$$\vec{\beta}'^+ = (\vec{\beta}' \cdot \vec{\beta})^+ \vec{\beta}' \vec{\beta} \beta + \underbrace{(\vec{\beta}' \cdot \vec{\beta})^+ \vec{\beta}'}_{=: A} \varepsilon = (\vec{\beta}' \cdot \vec{\beta})^+ (\vec{\beta}' \cdot \vec{\beta}) \beta + A \varepsilon$$

constant.

$$\gamma \cdot B \tilde{\beta}^+ = P_E.$$

$$L_B A \cdot P^1 = (B^1 B)^+ B^1 (I_m - B(B^1 B)^+ B^1) = \dots = 0$$

We find that $\hat{\beta}^+$ and $4 - 3\hat{\beta}^+$ with $\Sigma = 5 I_m$ are stock. indep.
 (see Theorem I. 2.15).

Furthermore, \hat{p}^+ and $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{B}\hat{\beta}^+\|^2$ are independent. $\Rightarrow ①$

(2) + (3) : Using $Q^2 = P$, $P = P'$, $Q^2 = Q$, $Q = Q'$ ($Q = B(B'B)^+B'$)

$$\text{we get } \|Y - B\hat{\beta}^+\|^2 = \varepsilon' P\varepsilon \quad , \quad \|B(\hat{\beta} - \beta)\|^2 = \varepsilon' Q\varepsilon$$

$$\text{Then, } I_m = I_m - Q + Q = P + Q, \quad \text{rank}(Q) < r \\ \text{rank}(P) = n - r$$

$$\text{so } \text{rank } (\alpha) + \text{rank } (\beta) = n.$$

Therefore, Cochran's theorem I.3.6 tells us

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \|Y - B\hat{\beta}\|^2 \sim \chi^2(u-r), \quad \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \|B(\hat{\beta} - \beta)\|^2 \sim \chi^2_{ISW}(r)$$

Finally, I prove ④ :

From Theorem I. 4. 25, we have

$$\frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{c'(B'B)^{-1}c}} \cdot c'(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \sim N(0, 1) \quad c \neq 0$$

The result follows from ① and ②. and the construction
of a t-distribution.

Part I: Linear Models

Chapter I.4

Linear Models –Testing

Topics

➤ To be discussed...

- Parametrization of LMs
- Full & reduced LM
- Variance decomposition
- Testing statistical hypothesis
- F-test

Testing in LM

I.4.34 Remark

- Consider a LM $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ as in Definition I.4.2 with $\text{rank}(\mathbf{B}) = p \leq n$ and orthogonal projection $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}'\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{B}'$. Then, $\text{Im}(\mathbf{Q}) = \text{Im}(\mathbf{B})$ is called the estimation space.
- If two LM have the same estimation space, then the LSE of $E\mathbf{Y}$ are identical:

Let $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{B}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1$ and $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{B}_2\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2$ be two LM with $\text{Im}(\mathbf{B}_1) = \text{Im}(\mathbf{B}_2)$. Then, \mathbf{Q} is identical for both \mathbf{B}_1 and \mathbf{B}_2 since it depends only on $\text{Im}(\mathbf{B}_1) = \text{Im}(\mathbf{B}_2)$. Thus, the (unique) LSE of $E\mathbf{Y}$ is given by (see Remark I.4.11)

$$\mathbf{B}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 = \mathbf{B}_2\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Y}.$$

- In this sense, one may write for the LM

$$E\mathbf{Y} \in \text{Im}(\mathbf{B}), \quad \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n,$$

so that it is independent of the particular chosen parametrization.

Testing in LM

► I.4.35 Example

Consider a simple linear regression with $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)'$ and

- $Y_j = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_j + \varepsilon_j, j = 1, \dots, n$. Then, $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ with parameter $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta_1)'$ and
design matrix $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{pmatrix} = [\mathbb{1}_n | \mathbf{x}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2}$.
- $Y_j = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1(x_j - \bar{x}) + \varepsilon_j, j = 1, \dots, n$. Then, $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{B}_2\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ with parameter $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1)'$ and
design matrix $B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 - \bar{x} \\ 1 & x_2 - \bar{x} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n - \bar{x} \end{pmatrix} = [\mathbb{1}_n | \mathbf{x} - \bar{x}\mathbb{1}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2}$.
- Then, $\text{Im}(B_1) = \text{Im}(B_2)$. Furthermore, provided $\text{rank}(B_1) = 2$, $\gamma_0 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \bar{x}$, $\gamma_1 = \beta_1$ and the respective LSEs are

$$\hat{\gamma}_1 = \hat{\beta}_1, \quad \hat{\gamma}_0 = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}.$$

► I.4.36 Remark

Testing in LMs means that a restriction is put on the estimation space, that is, we consider a matrix B_0 with

$$\text{Im}(B_0) \subseteq \text{Im}(B).$$

Thus, we have

- the **full NoLM**:

$$Y = B\beta + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I_n). \quad (I.3)$$

- the **reduced NoLM**:

$$Y = B_0\gamma + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I_n), \quad \text{Im}(B_0) \subseteq \text{Im}(B). \quad (I.4)$$

- Then, Model I.4 implies Model I.3. Hence, one has to ask whether Model I.4 is true.

From Corollary I.4.10, we get immediately the following identity.

► I.4.37 Corollary (variance decomposition formula)

Let $\hat{\beta}$, and $\hat{\gamma}$ be LSEs in a LM with design matrix B and B_0 such that $\text{Im}(B_0) \subseteq \text{Im}(B)$. Then,

$$\psi(\hat{\gamma}) = \psi(\hat{\beta}) + \|B(\hat{\gamma} - \hat{\beta})\|^2$$

► I.4.38 Example

Consider a regression model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \varepsilon_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

We would like to test whether variable x_2 contributes significantly to the regression model. The reduced model is

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \varepsilon_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then,

- the design matrices are given by

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{12} \\ 1 & x_{21} & x_{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} & x_{n2} \end{pmatrix} = [\mathbb{1}_n \mid \mathbf{x}_1 \mid \mathbf{x}_2] = [B_0 \mid \mathbf{x}_2] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 3}, \quad B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{11} \\ 1 & x_{21} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} \end{pmatrix} = [\mathbb{1}_n \mid \mathbf{x}_1] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2}$$

- Clearly $\text{Im}(B_0) \subseteq \text{Im}(B)$.
- Consider LSE under both models with $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)', \boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\beta_0, \beta_1)'$:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (B'B)^+ B'Y, \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = (B_0'B_0)^+ B_0'Y.$$

Orthogonal projectors & quadratic forms

I.3.4 Lemma

Let $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ be an orthogonal projector. Then, an eigenvalue λ of Q satisfies $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$. Furthermore, $\text{rank}(Q) = \text{trace}(Q)$.

I.3.5 Theorem

Let $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_p(\mu, I_p)$ be a random vector and Q be an orthogonal projector. Then,

$$\mathbf{Y}' Q \mathbf{Y} \sim \chi^2(\text{rank}(Q), \frac{1}{2} \mu' Q \mu).$$

Proof: let $r = \text{rank}(Q)$, consider SVD $Q = U \Lambda V'$, $\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Define $X := V' Y \sim N_p(V' \mu, V' V) = N_p(V' \mu, I_p)$

Then: $Y' Q Y = \underbrace{Y' V}_{=X'} \underbrace{\Lambda}_{=I_r} \underbrace{V' Y}_{=X} = X' \Lambda X = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i^2$

► I.4.39 Theorem

Consider a full NoLM as in (I.3), that is,

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)$$

and a reduced NoLM as in (I.4), that is,

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{B}_0\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n), \quad \text{Im}(\mathbf{B}_0) \subsetneq \text{Im}(\mathbf{B}).$$

Furthermore, let $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}'\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{B}'$ and $\mathbf{Q}_0 = \mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{B}'_0\mathbf{B}_0)^{-1}\mathbf{B}'_0$ be the orthogonal projections on the images of \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{B}_0 , respectively. Furthermore, let $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{Q})$ and $\text{rank}(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0) = r - r_0$.

Then:

- ① If the full Modell (I.3) is true then

$$\frac{\mathbf{Y}'(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0)\mathbf{Y}/(r - r_0)}{\mathbf{Y}'(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{Q})\mathbf{Y}/(n - r)} \sim F(r - r_0, n - r, \boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0)\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\beta}/(2\sigma^2)).$$

- ② If the reduced Modell (I.4) is true then

$$\frac{\mathbf{Y}'(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0)\mathbf{Y}/(r - r_0)}{\mathbf{Y}'(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{Q})\mathbf{Y}/(n - r)} \sim F(r - r_0, n - r, 0) = F(r - r_0, n - r).$$

Proof: Since Q and Q_0 are orth. projectors on $\text{Im}(B)$ and $\text{Im}(B_0)$, $Q - Q_0$ is the orth. projector on the image $\text{Im}(B - B_0)$.

Since $\text{Im}(B_0) \subseteq \text{Im}(B)$, $Q_0 Q = Q_0$ and, by symmetry, $Q_0 Q = Q$.
Then, by checking the conditions in Lemma I.1.9, we get $(Q - Q_0)^2 = Q - Q_0$.

$$(Q - Q_0)^2 = \underbrace{Q^2}_{=Q} - \underbrace{QQ_0}_{=Q_0} - \underbrace{Q_0Q}_{=Q_0} + \underbrace{Q_0^2}_{=Q_0} = Q - Q_0.$$

Then, we find $(I_n - Q)(Q - Q_0) = Q - Q_0 - \underbrace{Q^2}_{=Q} + \underbrace{QQ_0}_{=Q_0} = 0$

From I.2.14, $(I_n - Q)Y \sim N_n((I_n - Q)B\beta, \sigma^2(I_n - Q))$,

$$(Q - Q_0)Y \sim N_n((Q - Q_0)B\beta, \sigma^2(Q - Q_0))$$

are independent, normally distributed random vectors.

Since $(I_n - Q)B = B - \underbrace{QB}_{=B} = 0$, we have $Y'(I_n - Q)W \stackrel{\text{N(0, } \sigma^2 B^2 \text{)}}{\sim}$

Further, with Remark I.1.20, we get

$$\gamma'(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0) \gamma / \gamma_2 \sim \chi^2(r - r_0, \beta' \beta' (\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0) \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} / (2\omega^2)).$$

Using the definition of the non-central F-distr., we get the desired result.

(2) The result follows by proving $\beta' \beta' (\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0) \beta \beta = 0 \Leftrightarrow EY = \beta_0 y$ for some y .

" \Leftarrow " let $EY = \beta_0 y$ for some y . Then, from $\text{Im}(\mathbf{B}_0) \subseteq \text{Im}(\mathbf{B})$, it exists β with $\mathbf{B}\beta = \beta_0 y$.

$$\Rightarrow \beta' \beta' (\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0) \mathbf{B} \beta = y' \beta_0' (\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0) \mathbf{B} \beta = 0$$

because $(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0) \mathbf{B}_0 = \underbrace{\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{B}_0}_{= \mathbf{B}_0} - \underbrace{\mathbf{Q}_0 \mathbf{B}_0}_{= \mathbf{B}_0} = 0$

" \Rightarrow " Let $\beta' \underbrace{B'(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0)B\beta}_{(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0)^2} = 0 \Rightarrow \|(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0)B\beta\|^2 = 0$

$$(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0)^2 = (\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0)'(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0)$$

Hence, $(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}_0)B\beta = 0$. Therefore,

$$B\beta = \mathbf{Q}B\beta = \mathbf{Q}_0B\beta = B_0(B_0'B_0)^+B_0'B\beta - B_0\gamma$$

by defining $\gamma = (B_0'B_0)^+B_0'B\beta$.



Non-central χ^2 - and F-distribution

I.1.20 Remark

- ➊ Given independent random variables X_1, \dots, X_p with $X_i \sim N(\mu_i, 1)$, $\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, the distribution of

$$\sum_{i=1}^p X_i^2$$

is called non-central χ^2 -distribution $\chi^2(p, \delta)$ with $p \in \mathbb{N}$ degrees of freedom and non-centrality parameter $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i^2 \geq 0$.

Clearly, $\chi^2(p) = \chi^2(p, 0)$.

- ➋ Let $X \sim \chi^2(p, \delta)$ and $Z \sim \chi^2(q)$ be independent random variables. Then,

$$\frac{\frac{1}{p}X}{\frac{1}{q}Z} \sim F(p, q, \delta),$$

that is, the ratio has a non-central F-distribution $F(p, q, \delta)$ with $p \in \mathbb{N}$ numerator and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ denominator degrees of freedom and non-centrality parameter $\delta \geq 0$.

Clearly, $F(p, q) = F(p, q, 0)$.

Testing

From Theorem I.4.39, we construct the following testing procedure.

► I.4.40 Testing procedure (F-test)

In the situation of Theorem I.4.39, consider the hypothesis

$$H_0 : EY = B_0\gamma \text{ for some } \gamma.$$

Then, an α -level statistical test for H_0 is given by the decision rule

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } \frac{Y'(Q - Q_0)Y/(r - r_0)}{Y'(I_n - Q)Y/(n - r)} > F_{1-\alpha}(r - r_0, n - r)$$

where $F_{1-\alpha}(r - r_0, n - r)$ denotes the $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of the $F(r - r_0, n - r)$ -distribution.