
VOTCA-CTP

CHARGE TRANSPORT SIMULATIONS

USER MANUAL



compiled from: 1.5 (gitid: fae2643)

February 9, 2019 www.votca.org

Disclamer

Open source projects are made available and contributed to under licenses that include terms that, for the protection of contributors, make clear that the projects are offered "as-is", without warranty, and disclaiming liability for damages resulting from using the projects.

Citations

Development of this software depends on academic research grants. If you are using the package, please cite the following papers

[1] Long-range embedding of molecular ions and excitations in a polarizable molecular environment, Carl Poelking and Denis Andrienko

```
J. Chem. Theory Comp. 12, 4516, 2016
```

[2] Modeling of spatially correlated energetic disorder in organic semiconductors, Pascal Kordt, Denis Andrienko

```
J. Chem. Theory Comput., 12, 36, 2016
```

[3] Microscopic simulations of charge transport in disordered organic semiconductors, Victor Rühle, Alexander Lukyanov, Falk May, Manuel Schrader, Thorsten Vehoff, James Kirkpatrick, Björn Baumeier and Denis Andrienko

```
J. Chem. Theor. Comp. 7, 3335, 2011
```

[4] Extracting nondispersive charge carrier mobilities of organic semiconductors from simulations of small systems, A. Lukyanov, D. Andrienko

```
Phys. Rev. B, 82, 193202, 2010
```

[5] Density-functional based determination of intermolecular charge transfer properties for large-scale morphologies, Björn Baumeier, James Kirkpatrick, and Denis Andrienko

```
Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 12, 11103, 2010
```

[6] Versatile Object-oriented Toolkit for Coarse-graining Applications, Victor Rühle, Christoph Junghans, Alexander Lukyanov, Kurt Kremer and Denis Andrienko

```
J. Chem. Theor. Comp. 5, 3211, 2009
```

[7] An approximate method for calculating transfer integrals based on the ZINDO Hamiltonian, James Kirkpatrick,

```
Int. J. Quantum Chem. 108, 51, 2007
```

Copyright

VOTCA-CTP is free software. The entire package is available under the Apache License. For details, check the LICENSE file in the source code. The VOTCA-CTP source code is available on our homepage, www.votca.org.

Contents

1	Intro	oduction	3
2	The	oretical background	5
	2.1	Workflow	5
	2.2	Material morphology	5
	2.3	Conjugated segments and rigid fragments	6
	2.4	Neighbor list	8
	2.5	Reorganization energy	8
		2.5.1 Intramolecular reorganization energy	8
		2.5.2 Outersphere reorganization energy	9
	2.6	Site energies	10
			10
			11
			11
			12
			14
	2.7		19
			19
			20
			23
	2.8	Charge transfer rate	24
			24
			24
			25
	2.9		25
		1	26
	2.10		26
			27
			27
			27
			28
			28
3	Inpu	ut and output files	31
	3.1	•	31
	3.2	Mapping file	33
	3.3		34
	3.4		35
	3.5		36
	3.6		37
	3.7	O Company of the comp	39
	3.8		40

iv CONTENTS

4	Kete	erence		43
	4.1	Progra	nms	43
		4.1.1	ctp_map	43
		4.1.2	ctp_dump	43
		4.1.3	ctp_tools	43
		4.1.4	ctp_run	44
		4.1.5	ctp_parallel	44
		4.1.6	moo_overlap	44
	4.2		ators	45
		4.2.1	coupling	45
		4.2.2	log2mps	46
		4.2.3	molpol	46
		4.2.4	pdb2map	46
		4.2.5	pdb2top	46
		4.2.6	ptopreader	47
		4.2.7	* *	47
			eanalyze	47
		4.2.8	eimport	
		4.2.9	einternal	47
		4.2.10	emultipole	47
		4.2.11	eoutersphere	48
		4.2.12	ewdbgpol	49
			ianalyze	49
			iimport	49
			izindo	49
			jobwriter	49
		4.2.17	neighborlist	50
		4.2.18	pairdump	50
		4.2.19	profile	50
		4.2.20	rates	50
		4.2.21	sandbox	51
		4.2.22	stateserver	51
		4.2.23	tdump	51
		4.2.24	vaverage	51
		4.2.25	zmultipole	52
		4.2.26	edft	52
			idft	53
		4.2.28	pewald3d	53
		4.2.29	qmmm	53
			xqmultipole	54
			energy2xml	
			integrals2xml	
			occupations2xml	
			pairs2xml	
			rates2xml	
			segments2xml	
			trajectory2pdb	
		1.2.07	tagetory 2 pab	50
Bi	bliog	raphy		57

Index

```
charge transfer rate, 22
    bimolecular, 22
    classical, 22
    semiclassical, 23
conjugated segment, 4
current, 25
    local, 25
diabatic states, 17
distributed multipoles, 9
electronic coupling, 17
    DFT, 18, 26
    ZINDO, 21
hopping site, 4
kinetic Monte Carlo, 23
Long-range interactions, 12
mobility, 25
neighbor list, 6
Pekar factor, 8
reorganization energy, 6
    intramolecular, 6
    outersphere, 7
rigid fragment, 5
site energy, 8
    distributed multipoles, 9
    Ewald, 12
    external field, 8
    internal, 9
    polarization, 10
    spatial correlation, 26
Thole model, 10
transfer integral, see electronic coupling
```

2 INDEX

Chapter 1

Introduction

secrintroduction

11

12

13

15

19

20

23

24

Charge carrier dynamics in an organic semiconductor can often be described in terms of charge hopping between localized states. The hopping rates depend on electronic coupling elements, reorganization energies, and site energies, which vary as a function of position and orientation of the molecules. The purpose of the VOTCA-CTP package [3] is to simplify the workflow for charge transport simulations, provide a uniform error-control for the methods, flexible platform for their development, and eventually allow *in silico* prescreening of organic semiconductors for specific applications.

The toolkit is implemented using modular concepts introduced earlier in the Versatile Objectoriented Toolkit for Coarse-graining Applications (VOTCA) [6]. It contains different programs, which execute specific tasks implemented in calculators representing an individual step in the workflow. Figure 1.1 summarizes a typical chain of commands to perform a charge transport simulation: First, the VOTCA code structures are adapted to reading atomistic trajectories, mapping them onto conjugated segments and rigid fragments, and substituting (if needed) rigid fragments with the optimized copies (ctp_map). The programs ctp_run and ctp_parallel (for heavy-duty tasks) are then used to calculate all bimolecular charge hopping rates (via precalculation of all required ingredients). Site energies (or energetic disorder) can be determined as a combination of internal (ionization potentials/electron affinities of single molecules) as well as electrostatic and polarization contributions within the molecular environment. The calculation of electronic coupling elements between conjugated segments from the corresponding molecular orbitals can be performed using a dimer-projection technique based on density-functional theory (DFT). This requires explicit calculations using quantum-chemistry software for which we provide interfaces to Gaussian, Turbomole, and NWChem. Alternatively, the molecular orbital overlap module calculates electronic coupling elements relying on the semi-empirical INDO Hamiltonian and molecular orbitals in the format provided by the Gaussian package.

The kinetic Monte Carlo module reads in the neighbor list, site coordinates, and hopping rates and performs charge dynamics simulations using either periodic boundary conditions or charge sources and sinks. The toolkit is written as a combination of modular C++ code and scripts. The data transfer be-

tween programs is implemented via a state file (sql database), which is also used to restart simulations. Analysis functions and most of the calculation routines are encapsulated by using the observer pattern [8] which allows the implementation of new functions as individual modules. In the following chapter 2, we summarize the theoretical background of the workflow of charge transport simulations and in particular its individual steps. Chapter 3 describes the structure and content of input and output files, while a full reference of programs and calculators is available in chapter 4. For a hands-on tutorial, the reader is referred to the VOTCA-CTP project page at http://code.google.com/p/votca-ctp/.

3

Input files:

conf.grc GROMACS trajectory topol.tpr GROMACS topology Mapping, generation of the sql database map.xml Converts and partitions atomistic GROMACS trajectory mapping and energies ctp_map -t topol.tpr -c traj.xtc -s map.xml -f state.sql Useful tools: options for calculators toolpdb2map **Output files:** state.sql sqlite3 database file for Neighbor list data transfer between Indentifies close molecular pairs between which charge transfer rates will be calculated ctp_run -o options.xml -f state.sql -e neighborlist Calculates electrostatic and polarization contribution to site energies ctp_run -o options.xml -f state.sql -e emultipole Internal site and reorganization energies Imports internal site energy (IP, EA) and reorganization energies for charging and discharging to state.sql ctp_run -o options.xml -f state.sql -e einternal ZINDO Transfer integrals Monomers with DFT Transfer integrals with ZINDO Calculate the relevant transport orbitals of monomers Calculate electronic coupling elements for all pairs in ctp_parallel -o options.xml -f state.sql -e edft -j "write run" the neighbor list ctp_run -o options.xml -f state.sql -e Transfer integrals with DFT One can choose between quantum-chemical Calculate electronic coupling elements for all pairs in (computationally expensive) or semi-empirical the neighbor list (fast, but not always sufficiently accurate) evaluation of transfer integrals. ctp_parallel -o options.xml -f state.sql -e idft -j "write run read" Outersphere reorganization energies Contribution to reorganization of surrounding molecules due to polarization. (optional for Marcus rates) ctp_run -o options.xml -f state.sql -e outersphere Charge transfer rates Calculates rates for charge transfer among all pairs in the neighborlist ctp_run -o options.xml -f state.sql -e rates Charge dynamics via kMC Hopping of charge carriers simulated via kinetic Monte Carlo kmc_run -o options.xml -f state.sql -e kmcmultiple

Figure 1.1: A practical workflow of charge transport simulations using VOTCA-CTP. The theoretical background of the individual steps is given in chapter 2. Chapter 3 describes the content of input and output files, while a full reference of programs and calculators is available in chapter 4. figsummary

Get help and list of options for a calculator: ctp_run/ctp_parallel/kmc_run -d neighborlist

Get list of available calculators: ctp_run/ctp_parallel/kmc_run -1

Chapter 2

. Theoretical background

sec:theor

2.1 Workflow

sec:wokf

A typical workflow of charge transport simulations is depicted in figure 2.1. The first step is the simulation of an atomistic morphology, which is then partitioned on hopping sites. The coordinates of the hopping sites are used to construct a list of pairs of molecules, or neighbor list.

fig:workflow



Figure 2.1: Workflow for microscopic simulations of charge transport.

- 45 For each pair an electronic coupling element, a reorganization energy, a driving force, and even-
- tually the hopping rate are evaluated. The neighbor list and hopping rates define a directed
- 47 graph. The corresponding master equation is solved using the kinetic Monte Carlo method,
- which allows to explicitly monitor the charge dynamics in the system as well as to calculate time
- 49 or ensemble averages of occupation probabilities, charge fluxes, correlation functions, and field-
- 50 dependent mobilities.

2.2 Material morphology

sec:morpholo

- There is no generic recipe on how to predict a large-scale atomistically-resolved morphology of
 - 5

an organic semiconductor. The required methods are system-specific: for ultra-pure crystals, for



Figure 2.2: The concept of conjugated segments and rigid fragments. Dashed lines indicate conjugated segments while colors denote rigid fragments. (a) Hexabenzocoronene: the π -conjugated system is both a rigid fragment and a conjugated segment. (b) Alq_3 : the Al atom and each ligand are rigid fragments while the whole molecule is a conjugated segment. (c) Polythiophene: each repeat unit is a rigid fragment. A conjugated segment consists of one or more rigid fragments. One molecule can have several conjugated segments.

fig:segment

example, density-functional methods can be used provided the crystal structure is known from experiment. For partially disordered organic semiconductors, however, system sizes much larger than a unit cell are required. Classical molecular dynamics or Monte Carlo techniques are then the methods of choice.

In molecular dynamics, atoms are represented by point masses which interact via empirical potentials prescribed by a force-field. Force-fields are parametrized for a limited set of compounds and their refinement is often required for new molecules. In particular, special attention shall be paid to torsion potentials between successive repeat units of conjugated polymers or between functional groups and the π -conjugated system. First-principles methods can be used to characterize the missing terms of the potential energy function.

Self-assembling materials, such as soluble oligomers, discotic liquid crystals, block copolymers, partially crystalline polymers, etc., are the most complicated to study. The morphology of such systems often has several characteristic length scales and can be kinetically arrested in a thermodynamically non-equilibrium state. For such systems, the time- and length-scales of atomistic simulations might be insufficient to equilibrate or sample desired morphologies. In this case, systematic coarse-graining can be used to enhance sampling [6]. Note that the coarse-grained representation must reflect the structure of the atomistic system and allow for back-mapping to the atomistic resolution.

Here we assume that the morphology is already known, that is we know how the topology and the coordinates of all atoms in the systems at a given time. VOTCA-CTP can read standard GROMACS topology files. Custom definitions of atomistic topology via XML files are also possible. Since the description of the atomistic topology is the first step in the charge transport simulations, it is important to follow simple conventions on how the system is partitioned on molecules, residues, and how atoms are named in the topology. Required input files are described in section atomistic topology.

2.3 Conjugated segments and rigid fragments

With the morphology at hand, the next step is partitioning the system on hopping sites, or conjugated segments, and calculating charge transfer rates between them. Physically intuitive arguments can be used for the partitioning, which reflects the localization of the wave function of a charge. For most organic semiconductors, the molecular architecture includes relatively rigid, planar π -conjugated systems, which we will refer to as rigid fragments. A conjugated segment can contain one or more of such rigid fragments, which are linked by bonded degrees of freedom.

The dynamics of these degrees of freedom evolves on timescales much slower than the frequency of the internal promoting mode. In some cases, e.g. glasses, it can be 'frozen' due to non-bonded interactions with the surrounding molecules.

To illustrate the concept of conjugated segments and rigid fragments, three representative molec-89 ular architectures are shown in figure 2.2. The first one is a typical discotic liquid crystal, hex-90 abenzocoronene. It consists of a conjugated core to which side chains are attached to aid selfassembly and solution processing. In this case the orbitals localized on side chains do not partic-92 ipate in charge transport and the conjugated π -system is both, a rigid fragment and a conjugated 93 segment. In Alq₃, a metal-coordinated compound, a charge carrier is delocalized over all three ligands. Hence, the whole molecule is one conjugated segment. Individual ligands are relatively rigid, while energies of the order of k_BT are sufficient to reorient them with respect to each other. 96 Thus the Al atom and the three ligands are rigid fragments. In the case of a conjugated polymer, 97 one molecule can consist of several conjugated segments, while each backbone repeat unit is a rigid fragment. Since the conjugation along the backbone can be broken due to large out-of-plane twists between two repeat units, an empirical criterion, based on the dihedral angle, can be used 100 to partition the backbone on conjugated segments [9]. However, such intuitive partitioning is, to 101 some extent, arbitrary and shall be validated by other methods [10–12].

After partitioning, an additional step is often required to remove bond length fluctuations intro-103 duced by molecular dynamics simulations, since they are already integrated out in the deriva-104 tion of the rate expression. This is achieved by substituting respective molecular fragments with 105 rigid, planar π -systems optimized using first-principles methods. Centers of mass and gyration 106 tensors are used to align rigid fragments, though a custom definition of local axes is also possible. Such a procedure also minimizes discrepancies between the force-field and first-principles-based ground state geometries of conjugated segments, which might be important for calculations of 109 electronic couplings, reorganization energies, and intramolecular driving forces. 110

To partition the system on hopping sites and substitute rigid fragments with the corresponding 111 ground-state geometries ctp_map program is used:

Mapping the GROMACS trajectory

ctp_map -t topol.tpr -ctraj.xtc -s map.xml -f state.sql

It reads in the GROMACS topology (topol.tpr) and trajectory (traj.xtc) files, definitions of conjugated segments and rigid fragments (map.xml) and outputs coordinates of conjugated segments (hopping sites) and rigid fragments (as provided in the MD trajectory and after rigidification) to the state file (state.sql). In order to do this, a mapping file map.xml has to be 116 provided, which specifies the corresponding atoms in the different representations. After this step, all information (frame number, dimensions of the simulation box, etc) are stored in the state file and only this file is used for further calculations.



115

117

Attention

VOTCA-CTP requires a wrapped trajectory for mapping the segments and fragments, so all molecules should be whole in the frame.

In order to visually check the mapping one can use either the tdump calculator or the programm ctp_dump with the calculator trajectory2pdb.



Writing a mapped trajectroy with ctp_dump

ctp_dump -fstate.sql -etrajectory2pdb

It reads in the state file created by ctp_map and outputs two trajectory files corresponding to the original and rigidified atom coordinates. To check the mapping, it is useful to superimpose the three outputs (original atomistic, atomistic stored in the state file, and rigidified according to ground state geometries), e.g., with VMD.

Writing a mapped trajectroy with tdump

ctp_run -f state.sql -o options.xml -e tdump

It also reads in the state file but appends the coordinates to a pdb. file. So make sure to delete old QM.pdb and MD.pdb if you want to create a new imagef

Neighbor list 2.4

sec:neighborlist A list of neigboring conjugated segments, or neighbor list, contains all pairs of conjugated seg-129 ments for which coupling elements, reorganization energies, site energy differences, and rates 131

Two segments are added to this list if the distance between centers of mass of any of their rigid 132 fragments is below a certain cutoff. This allows neighbors to be selected on a criterion of min-133 imum distance of approach rather than center of mass distance, which is useful for molecules 134 with anisotropic shapes.

The neighbor list can be generated from the atomistic trajectory by using the neighborlist calculator. This calculator requires a cutoff, which can be specified in the options.xml file. The list is saved to the state.sql file:

Generating a neighbor list

ctp_run -o options.xml -f state.sql -e neighborlist

Reorganization energy 2.5

The reorganization energy λ_{ij} takes into account the change in nuclear (and dielectric) degrees of freedom as the charge moves from donor i to acceptor j. It has two contributions: intramolecular, $\lambda_{ij}^{\rm int}$, which is due to reorganization of nuclear coordinates of the two molecules forming the charge transfer complex, and intermolecular (outersphere), $\lambda_{ij}^{\text{out}}$, which is due to the relaxation of 143 the nuclear coordinates of the environment. In what follows we discuss how these contributions 144 can be calculated. 145

Intramolecular reorganization energy

If intramolecular vibrational modes of the two molecules are treated classically, the rearrangement of their nuclear coordinates after charge transfer results in the dissipation of the internal reorganization energy, λ_{ii}^{int} . It can be computed from four points on the potential energy surfaces (PES) of both molecules in neutral and charged states, as indicated in figure 2.3.

Adding the contributions due to discharging of molecule *i* and charging of molecule *j* yields [13]

$$\lambda_{ij}^{\rm int} = \lambda_i^{cn} + \lambda_j^{nc} = U_i^{nC} - U_i^{nN} + U_j^{cN} - U_j^{cC} \,. \tag{2.1} \quad \text{equ:lambdas}$$

Here U_i^{nC} is the internal energy of the neutral molecule i in the geometry of its charged state (small n denotes the state and capital C the geometry). Similarly, U_i^{cN} is the energy of the charged molecule j in the geometry of its neutral state. Note that the PES of the donor and acceptor are not identical for chemically different compounds or for conformers of the same molecule. In this




Figure 2.3: Potential energy surfaces of (a) donor and (b) acceptor in charged and neutral states. After the change of the charge state both molecules relax their nuclear coordinates. If all vibrational modes are treated classically, the total internal reorganization energy and the internal energy difference of the electron transfer reaction are $\lambda_{ij}^{\text{int}} = \lambda_i^{cn} + \lambda_j^{nc}$ and $\Delta E_{ij}^{\text{int}} = \Delta U_i - \Delta U_j$, respectively.

fig:parabolas

case $\lambda_i^{cn} \neq \lambda_j^{cn}$ and $\lambda_i^{nc} \neq \lambda_j^{nc}$. Thus λ_{ij}^{int} is a property of the charge transfer complex, and not of a single molecule.

Intramolecular reorganization energies for discharging (λ^{cn}) and charging (λ^{nc}) of a molecule 158 need to be determined using quantum-chemistry and given in map.xml. The values are written 159 to the state.sql using the calculator einternal (see also internal energy): 160

163

168

169

Intramolecularl reorganization energies

| ctp_run -ooptions.xml -fstate.sql -eeinternal

Outersphere reorganization energy

During the charge transfer reaction, also the molecules outside the charge transfer complex reorient and polarize in order to adjust for changes in electric potential, resulting in the outersphere contribution to the reorganization energy. $\lambda_{ij}^{\text{out}}$ is particularly important if charge transfer occurs in a polarizable environment. Assuming that charge transfer is much slower than electronic polarization but much faster than nuclear rearrangement of the environment, $\lambda_{ij}^{\text{out}}$ can be calculated from the electric displacement fields created by the charge transfer complex [14]

$$\lambda_{ij}^{\text{out}} = \frac{c_p}{2\epsilon_0} \int_{V^{\text{out}}} dV \left[\vec{D}_I(\vec{r}) - \vec{D}_F(\vec{r}) \right]^2 , \qquad (2.2) \text{ equilambda_outer1}$$

where ϵ_0 is the the permittivity of free space, $\vec{D}_{I,F}(\vec{r})$ are the electric displacement fields created by the charge transfer complex in the initial (charge on molecule i) and final (charge transferred to molecule j) states, V^{out} is the volume outside the complex, and $c_p = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\text{opt}}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\text{s}}}$ is the Pekar factor, which is determined by the low (ϵ_s) and high (ϵ_{opt}) frequency dielectric permittivities. Eq. (2.2) can be simplified by assuming spherically symmetric charge distributions on molecules

172 i and j with total charge e. Integration over the volume V^{out} outside of the two spheres of radii R_i 173 and R_j centered on molecules i and j leads to the classical Marcus expression for the outersphere 174 reorganization energy 175

$$\lambda_{ij}^{\rm out} = \frac{c_p e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{1}{2R_i} + \frac{1}{2R_j} - \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \right) \,, \tag{2.3} \quad \text{equ:lambda_outer2}$$

where r_{ij} is the molecular separation. While eq. (2.3) captures the main physics, e.g. predicts smaller outer-sphere reorganization energies (higher rates) for molecules at smaller separations,

it often cannot provide quantitative estimates, since charge distributions are rarely spherically symmetric.

Alternatively, the displacement fields can be constructed using the atomic partial charges. The difference of the displacement fields at the position of an atom b_k outside the charge transfer complex (molecule $k \neq i, j$) can be expressed as

$$\vec{D}_{I}(\vec{r}_{b_{k}}) - \vec{D}_{F}(\vec{r}_{b_{k}}) = \sum_{a_{i}} \frac{q_{a_{i}}^{c} - q_{a_{i}}^{n}}{4\pi} \frac{(\vec{r}_{b_{k}} - \vec{r}_{a_{i}})}{|\vec{r}_{b_{k}} - \vec{r}_{a_{i}}|^{3}} + \sum_{a_{j}} \frac{q_{a_{j}}^{n} - q_{a_{j}}^{c}}{4\pi} \frac{(\vec{r}_{b_{k}} - \vec{r}_{a_{j}})}{|\vec{r}_{b_{k}} - \vec{r}_{a_{j}}|^{3}},$$
(2.4)

where $q_{a_i}^n$ ($q_{a_i}^c$) is the partial charge of atom a of the neutral (charged) molecule i in vacuum. The partial charges of neutral and charged molecules are obtained by fitting their values to reproduce the electrostatic potential of a single molecule (charged or neutral) in vacuum. Assuming a uniform density of atoms, the integration in eq. (2.2) can be rewritten as a density-weighted sum over all atoms excluding those of the charge transfer complex.

The remaining unknown needed to calculate $\lambda_{ij}^{\mathrm{out}}$ is the Pekar factor, c_p . In polar solvents $\epsilon_{\mathrm{s}}\gg \epsilon_{\mathrm{opt}}\sim 1$ and c_p is of the order of 1. In most organic semiconductors, however, molecular orientations are fixed and therefore the low frequency dielectric permittivity is of the same order of magnitude as ϵ_{opt} . Hence, c_p is small and its value is very sensitive to differences in the permittivities.

Outersphere reorganization energies for all pairs of molecules in the neighbor list can be computed from the atomistic trajectory by using the eoutersphere calculator.

Two methods can be used to compute $\lambda_{ij}^{\text{out}}$. The first method uses the atomistic partial charges of neutral and charged molecules from files specified in map.xml and eq. (2.2). The Pekar factor c_p and a cutoff radius based on molecular centers of mass have to be specified in the options.xml file.

If this method is computationally prohibitive, $\lambda_{ij}^{\text{out}}$ can be computed using eq. (2.3), which assumes spherical charge distributions on the molecules. In this case the radii of these spheres are specified in segments.xml, while the Pekar factor c_p is given in the options.xml file and no cutoff radius is needed.

The outer sphere reorganization energies are saved to the state.sql file:

Outersphere reorganization energy

ctp_run -o options.xml -f state.sql -e outersphere

2.6 Site energies

A charge transfer reaction between molecules i and j is driven by the site energy difference, $\Delta E_{ij} = E_i - E_j$. Since the transfer rate, ω_{ij} , depends exponentially on ΔE_{ij} (see eq. (2.31)) it is important to compute its distribution as accurately as possible. The total site energy difference has contributions due to externally applied electric field, electrostatic interactions, polarization effects, and internal energy differences. In what follows we discuss how to estimate these contributions by making use of first-principles calculations and polarizable force-fields.

2.6.1 Externally applied electric field

sec:ext_fiel

The contribution to the total site energy difference due to an external electric field \vec{F} is given by $\Delta E_{ij}^{\rm ext} = q \vec{F} \cdot \vec{r}_{ij}$, where $q = \pm e$ is the charge and $\vec{r}_{ij} = \vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j$ is a vector connecting molecules i and j. For typical distances between small molecules, which are of the order of 1 nm, and moderate fields of $F < 10^8 \, {\rm V/m}$ this term is always smaller than $0.1 \, {\rm eV}$.

2.6. SITE ENERGIES 11

Internal energy 2.6.2

217

219

221

222

223

225

228

230

231

232


237

The contribution to the site energy difference due to different internal energies (see figure 2.3) can be written as

$$\Delta E_{ij}^{\rm int} = \Delta U_i - \Delta U_j = \left(U_i^{cC} - U_i^{nN} \right) - \left(U_j^{cC} - U_j^{nN} \right) , \tag{2.5} \quad \text{equiconformational}$$

where $U_i^{cC(nN)}$ is the total energy of molecule i in the charged (neutral) state and geometry. ΔU_i corresponds to the adiabatic ionization potential (or electron affinity) of molecule i, as shown in figure 2.3. For one-component systems and negligible conformational changes $\Delta E_{ii}^{\rm int}=0$, while it is significant for donor-acceptor systems.

Internal energies determined using quantum-chemistry need to be specified in map.xml. The values are written to the state.sql using the calculator einternal (see also intramolecular reorganization energy):



Internal energies

| ctp_run -ooptions.xml -fstate.sql -eeinternal

2.6.3 **Electrostatic interaction energy**

sec:distributed multipole

We represent the molecular charge density by choosing multiple expansion sites ("polar sites") per molecule in such a way as to accurately reproduce the molecular electrostatic potential (ESP), with a set of suitably chosen multipole moments $\{Q_{lk}^a\}$ (in spherical-tensor notation) allocated to each site. The expression for the electrostatic interaction energy between two molecules A and Bin the multi-point expansion includes an implicit sum over expansion sites $a\epsilon A$ and $b\epsilon B$,

$$U_{AB} = \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in B} \hat{Q}_{l_1 k_1}^a T_{l_1 k_1 l_2 k_2}^{a,b} \hat{Q}_{l_2 k_2}^b \equiv \hat{Q}_{l_1 k_1}^a T_{l_1 k_1 l_2 k_2}^{a,b} \hat{Q}_{l_2 k_2}^b, \tag{2.6}$$

where we have used the Einstein sum convention for the site indices a and b on the right-hand side of the equation, in addition to the sum convention that is in place for the multipole-moment components $t \equiv l_1 k_1$ and $u \equiv l_2 k_2$. The $T_{l_1 k_1 l_2 k_2}^{a,b}$ are tensors that mediate the interaction between a multipole component l_1k_1 on site a with the moment l_2k_2 on site b. If we include the molecular environment into a perturbative term W to enter in the single-molecule Hamiltonian, the above expression is exactly the first-order correction to the energy where the quantum-mechanical detail has been absorbed in classical multipole moments.

The are a number of strategies how to arrive at such a collection of distributed multipoles. They can be classified according to whether the multipoles are derived (a) from the electrostatic potential generated by the SCF charge density or (b) from a decomposition of the wavefunction itself. Here, we will only draft two of those approaches, CHELPG [15] from category (a) and DMA [16] from category (b).

The CHELPG (CHarges from ELectrostatic Potentials, Grid-based) method relies on performing a least-squares fit of atom-placed charges to reproduce the electrostatic potential as evaluated from the SCF density on a regularly spaced grid [15]. The fitted charges result from minimizing the Lagrangian function [17]

$$z(\{q_i\}) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(\phi(\vec{r}_k) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q_i}{|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_k|} \right) + \lambda \left(q_{\text{mol}} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i \right), \tag{2.7}$$

with M grid points, N atomic sites, the set of atomic partial charges $\{q_i\}$ and the SCF potential ϕ . The Lagrange multiplier λ constrains the sum of the fitted charges to the molecular charge q_{mol} . The main difference from other fitting schemes [18] is the algorithm that selects the positions at which the potential is evaluated (we note that the choice of grid points can have substantial effects especially for bulky molecules). Clearly, the CHELPG method can be (and has been) extended to include higher atomic multipoles. It should be noted, however, how already the inclusion of atomic dipoles hardly improves the parametrization, and can in fact be harmful to its conformational stability.

The Distributed-Multipole-Analysis (DMA) approach [16, 19], developed by A. Stone, operates directly on the quantum-mechanical density matrix, expanded in terms of atom- and bondcentered Gaussian functions $\chi_{\alpha} = R_{LK}(\vec{x} - \vec{s}_{\alpha}) \exp[-\zeta(\vec{x} - \vec{s}_{\alpha})^2]$,

$$\rho(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \rho_{\alpha\beta} \chi_{\alpha}(\vec{x} - \vec{s}_{\alpha}) \chi_{\beta}(\vec{x} - \vec{s}_{\beta}). \tag{2.8}$$

The aim is to compute multipole moments according in a distributed fashion: If we use that the overlap product $\chi_{\alpha}\chi_{\beta}$ of two Gaussian basis functions yields itself a Gaussian centered at $P = (\zeta_{\alpha}\vec{s}_{\alpha} + \zeta_{\beta}\vec{s}_{\beta})/(\zeta_{\alpha} + \zeta_{\beta})$, it is possible to proceed in two steps: First, we compute the multipole moments associated with a specific summand in the density matrix, referred to the overlap center \vec{P} :

$$Q_{LK}[\vec{P}] = -\int R_{LK}(\vec{x} - \vec{P})\rho_{\alpha\beta}\chi_{\alpha}\chi_{\beta}d^3x.$$
 (2.9)

Second, we transfer the resulting $Q_{lk}[\vec{P}]$ to the position \vec{S} of a polar site according to the rule [16]

$$Q_{nm}[\vec{S}] = \sum_{l=0}^{L} \sum_{k=-l}^{l} \left[\binom{n+m}{l+k} \binom{n-m}{l-k} \right]^{1/2} R_{n-l,m-k}(\vec{S} - \vec{P}) \cdot Q_{lk}[\vec{P}].$$
 (2.10)

Note how this requires a rule for the choice of the expansion site to which the multipole moment should be transferred. In the near past [19], the nearest-site algorithm, which allocates the multipole moments to the site closest to the overlap center, was replaced for diffuse functions by an algorithm based on a smooth weighting function in conjunction with grid-based integration methods in order to decrease the basis-set dependence of the resulting set of distributed multipoles.

One important advantage of the DMA approach over fitting algorithms such as CHELPG or 253 Merz-Kollman (MK) is that higher-order moments can also be derived without too large an am-254 255

The 'mps' file format used by VOTCA for the definition of distributed multipoles (as well as point polarizabilities, see subsequent section) is based on the GDMA punch format of A. Stone's GDMA program [19] (the punch output file can be immediately plugged into VOTCA without any conversions to be applied). Furthermore the log-file of different QM packages (currently Gaussian, Turbomole and NWChem) may be fed into the log2mps tool, which will subsequently generate the appropriate mps-file.

Read in ESP charges from a QM log file | ctp_tools -ooptions.xml -elog2mps

Induction energy - the Thole model

257

If we in addition to the permanent set of multipole moments $\{Q_a^a\}$ allow for induced moments $\{\Delta Q_t^a\}$ and penalize their generation with a bilinear form (giving rise to a strictly positive contribution to the energy),

$$U_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A} \Delta Q_t^a \eta_{tt'}^{aa'} \Delta Q_{t'}^{a'}, \tag{2.11}$$

2.6. SITE ENERGIES 13

it can be shown that the induction contribution to the site energy evaluates to an expression where all interactions between induced moments have cancelled out, and interactions between permanent and induced moments are scaled down by 1/2 [20]:

$$U_{pu} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A} \sum_{B>A} \left[\Delta Q_t^a T_{tu}^{ab} Q_u^b + \Delta Q_t^b T_{tu}^{ab} Q_u^a \right]. \tag{2.12}$$

This term can be viewed as the second-order (induction) correction to the molecular interaction energy. The sets of $\{Q_t^a\}$ are solved for self-consistently via

$$\Delta Q_t^a = -\sum_{B \neq A} \alpha_{tt'}^{aa'} T_{t'u}^{a'b} (Q_u^b + \Delta Q_u^b), \tag{2.13}$$
 equiself_consistent_dQ

where the polarizability tensors $\alpha_{tt'}^{aa'}$ are given by the inverse of $\eta_{tt'}^{aa'}$.

263

264

265

266

268

269

272

273

274

275

276

277

With eqs. 2.13 and 2.12 we have at hand expressions that allow us to compute the induction energy contribution to site energies in an iterative manner based on a set of molecular distributed multipoles $\{Q_t^a\}$ and polarizabilities $\{\alpha_{tt'}^{aa'}\}$. We have drafted in the previous section how to obtain the former from a wavefunction decomposition or fitting scheme (GDMA, CHELPG). The $\{\alpha_{tt'}^{aa'}\}$ can be derived formally (or rather: read off) from a perturbative expansion of the molecular interaction. In this work we make use of the Thole model [21, 22] as a semi-empirical approach to obtain the sought-after point polarizabilities in the local dipole approximation, that is, $[\alpha_{tt'}^{aa'}] = \alpha_{tt'}^{aa'} \delta_{t\beta} \delta_{t'\beta} \delta_{aa'}$, where $\beta \epsilon \{x,y,z\}$ references the dipole-moment component.

The Thole model is based on a modified dipole-dipole interaction, which can be reformulated in terms of the interaction of smeared charge densities. This has been shown to be necessary due to the divergent head-to-tail dipole-dipole interaction that otherwise results at small interseparations on the Å scale [21–23]. Smearing out the charge distribution mimics the nature of the QM wavefunction, which effectively guards against this unphysical polarization catastrophe. Since the point dipoles however only react individually to the external field, any correlation effects as were still accounted for in the $\{\alpha_{tt'}^{aa'}\}$ are lost, except perhaps those correlations that are due to the mere classical field interaction.

The smearing of the nuclei-centered multipole moments is obtained via a fractional charge density $\rho_f(\vec{u})$ which should be normalized to unity and fall off rapidly as of a certain radius $\vec{u}=\vec{u}(\vec{R})$. The latter is related to the physical distance vector \vec{R} connecting two interacting sites via a linear scaling factor that takes into account the magnitude of the isotropic site polarizabilities α^a . This isotropic fractional charge density gives rise to a modified potential

$$\phi(u) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int\limits_0^u 4\pi u' \rho(u') du' \tag{2.14}$$

We can relate the multipole interaction tensor $T_{ij...}$ (this time in Cartesian coordinates) to the fractional charge density in two steps: First, we rewrite the tensor in terms of the scaled distance vector \vec{u} ,

$$T_{ii...}(\vec{R}) = f(\alpha^a \alpha^b) t_{ii...}(\vec{u}(\vec{R}, \alpha^a \alpha^b)), \tag{2.15}$$

where the specific form of $f(\alpha^a \alpha^b)$ results from the choice of $u(\vec{R}, \alpha^a \alpha^b)$. Second, we demand that the smeared interaction tensor $t_{ij...}$ is given as usual by the appropriate derivative of the potential in eq. 2.14,

$$t_{ij\dots}(\vec{u}) = -\partial_{u_i}\partial_{u_i}\dots\phi(\vec{u}). \tag{2.16}$$

It turns out that for a suitable choice of $\rho_f(\vec{u})$, the modified interaction tensors can be rewritten in such a way that powers n of the distance $R = |\vec{R}|$ are damped with a damping function $\lambda_n(\vec{u}(\vec{R}))$ [24].

299

301

302

305

308

309

There is a large number of fractional charge densities $\rho_f(\vec{u})$ that have been tested for the purpose of giving best results for the molecular polarizability as well as interaction energies. Note how a great advantage of the Thole model is the exceptional transferability of the atomic polarizabilities to compounds not used for the fitting procedure [22]. In fact, for most organic molecules, a fixed set of atomic polarizabilities ($\alpha_C=1.334,\,\alpha_H=0.496,\,\alpha_N=1.073,\,\alpha_O=0.873,\,\alpha_S=2.926\,\,\mathring{\rm A}^3$) based on atomic elements yields satisfactory results.

VOTCA implements the Thole model with an exponentially-decaying fractional charge density

$$\rho(u) = \frac{3a}{4\pi} \exp(-au^3),\tag{2.17}$$

where $\vec{u}(\vec{R},\alpha^a\alpha^b)=\vec{R}/(\alpha^a\alpha^b)^{1/6}$ and the smearing exponent a=0.39 (which can however be changed from the program options), as used in the AMOEBA force field [24]. Even though the Thole model performs very well for many organic compounds with only the above small set of element-based polarizabilities, conjugated molecules may require a more intricate parametrization. The simplest approach is to resort to scaled polarizabilities to match the effective molecular polarizable volume $V\sim\alpha_x\alpha_y\alpha_z$ as predicted by QM calculations (here $\alpha_x,\alpha_y,\alpha_z$ are the eigenvalues of the molecular polarizability tensor). The molpol tool assists with this task, it self-consistently calculates the Thole polarizability for an input mps-file and optimizes (if desired) the atomic polarizabilities in the above simple manner.

Generate Thole-type polarizabilites for a segment

ctp_tools -ooptions.xml -e molpol

The electrostatic and induction contribution to the site energy is evaluated by the emultipole calculator. Atomistic partial charges for charged and neutral molecules are taken from mps-files (extended GDMA format) specified in map.xml. Note that, in order to speed up calculations for both methods, a cut-off radius (for the molecular centers of mass) can be given in options.xml. Threaded execution is advised.

PElectrostatic and induction corrections

ctp_run -o options.xml -f state.sql -e emultipole

Furthermore available are zmultipole, which extends emultipole to allow for an electrostatic buffer layer (loosely related to the z-buffer in OpenGL, hence the name) and anisotropic point polarizabilities. For the interaction energy of charged clusters of any user-defined composition (Frenkel states, CT states, ...), xqmultipole can be used.

1 Interaction energy of charged molecular clusters embedded in a molecular environment

I ctp_parallel -o options.xml -f state.sql -e xqmultipole

2.6.5 Long-range Coulomb iteractions

This section is a practical guide for doing electrostatic calculations in slabs using aperiodic Ewald scheme described in [1].

First, you will need to generate the required quantum mechanical reference, comprising molecular charge density and polarizability for all charge states (neutral, cationic, anionic). For example, you can use GAUSSIAN to do this:

```
313 ... #p b3lyp/6-31+g(d,p) pop(full,chelpg) polar(dipole) nosymm test 315 ... 316
```

2.6. SITE ENERGIES 15

Afterwords, you have to generate all required *mps*-files with distributed multipoles and polarizabilities. The options file should point to the QM *log*-files, as well as contain the target molecular polarizability tensor in upper-diagonal order xx xy xz yy yz zz in units of Å³.

```
$ ctp_tools -e log2mps -o options.xml
320
   $ ctp_tools -e molpol -o options.xml
322
   <options>
323
        <log2mps>
324
            <package>gaussian</package>
            <logfile>input.log</logfile>
326
            <mpsfile></mpsfile>
327
        </log2mps>
328
        <molpol>
329
            <mpsfiles>
330
                 <input>input.mps</input>
331
                 <output>output.mps</output>
332
                 <polar>output.xml</polar>
            </mpsfiles>
334
            <induction>
335
                 <expdamp>0.39000</expdamp>
336
                 <wsOR>0.30000</wsOR>
                 <maxiter>1024</maxiter>
338
                 <tolerance>0.00001</tolerance>
339
            </induction>
            <target>
                 <optimize>true</optimize>
342
                 <molpol>77 0 0 77 0 77</molpol>
343
                 <tolerance>0.00001</tolerance>
344
345
            </target>
        </molpol>
346
   </options>
347
```

349

351

359

Next, generate the *mps* table, which relates the state of the molecule (neutral, cation, anion) with a corresponding electrostatic representation. This is provided by the *stateserver*. The resulting output file has the default name "mps.tab":

Next, generate the job file. This job file lists the electrostatic configurations that are to be investigated. It can either be composed by hand or generated automatically from the *sql* file via the *jobwriter*, which at present takes either "mps.chrg", "mps.single" or "mps.ct" as key, where the latter resorts to a neighbor list. The resulting *xml*-file has the default name "jobwriter.mps.chrg.xml".

The input string in the job file for the long-range corrected calculators has the same format as for the *xqmultipole* calculator, "id1:name1:mps1 id2:name2:mps2 ...". See sample below.

```
<jobs>
374
        <job>
375
             <id>1</id>
376
             <tag>1e:2h</tag>
377
             <input>1:spl:MP_FILES/spl_e.mps 2:spl:MP_FILES/spl_h.mps</input>
             <status>AVAILABLE</status>
379
        </job>
380
        <job>
381
        </job>
383
384
        . . .
   </jobs>
385
```

Next, generate the *ptop*-file that stores the induction state of the neutral *background*. The responsible *ewdbgpol* calculator can be run in a threaded fashion, depending on system size. The resulting *ptop*-file has the default name "bgp_main.ptop".

```
$ ctp_run -e ewdbgpol -o options.xml -f state.sql -t 8
390
   <options>
391
        <ewdbgpol>
392
            <multipoles>system.xml</multipoles>
393
            <control>
394
                 <mps_table>mps.tab</mps_table>
395
                 <pdb_check>1</pdb_check>
396
            </control>
            <coulombmethod>
398
                 <method>ewald</method>
399
                 <cutoff>6</cutoff>
                 <shape>xyslab</shape>
            </coulombmethod>
402
            <polarmethod>
403
                 <method>thole</method>
404
                 < wSOR_N > 0.350 < / wSOR_N >
                 <aDamp>0.390</aDamp>
406
            </polarmethod>
407
            <convergence>
                 <energy>1e-05</energy>
409
                 <kfactor>100</kfactor>
410
                 <rfactor>6</rfactor>
411
            </convergence>
412
        </ewdbgpol>
   </options>
414
```

Finally, run the energy computation using *pewald3d*. This job calculator is wrapped by the ctp_parallel executable, which allows for communication between different processes via the job and state file.

Unfortunately, communication, though guarded by a file lock, may fail on some architectures in the event of frequent accesses to the job file. This frequency can be controlled by the -c/-cache

2.6. SITE ENERGIES 17

argument, which defines the number of jobs that are loaded in *one* batch by a specific process/n-ode.

```
$ ctp_parallel -e pewald3d -o options.xml -f /absolute/path/to/state.sql -s 0 -t 8 -c
421
422
   <options>
423
       <ewald>
            <jobcontrol>
425
                <job_file>/absolute/path/to/jobs.xml</job_file>
426
            </jobcontrol>
            <multipoles>
                <mapping>system.xml</mapping>
429
                <mps_table>mps.tab</mps_table>
430
                <polar_bg>bgp_main.ptop</polar_bg>
431
                <pdb_check>0</pdb_check>
432
            </multipoles>
433
            <coulombmethod>
                <method>ewald</method>
                <cutoff>8</cutoff>
                <shape>xyslab</shape>
437
                <save nblist>false/save nblist>
438
            </coulombmethod>
439
            <pol>polarmethod>
440
                <method>thole</method>
441
                <induce>1</induce>
                <cutoff>4</cutoff>
                <tolerance>0.001</tolerance>
                <radial_dielectric>4.0</radial_dielectric>
445
            </polarmethod>
446
            <tasks>
447
                <calculate_fields>true</calculate_fields>
448
                <polarize_fg>true</polarize_fg>
449
                <evaluate_energy>true</evaluate_energy>
                <apply_radial>false</apply_radial>
            </tasks>
452
            <coarsegrain>
453
                <cg_background>false</cg_background>
454
                <cg_foreground>false</cg_foreground>
455
                <cg_radius>3</cg_radius>
456
                <cg_anisotropic>true</cg_anisotropic>
457
            </coarsegrain>
            <convergence>
                <energy>1e-05</energy>
460
                <kfactor>100</kfactor>
461
                <rfactor>6</rfactor>
462
            </convergence>
463
       </ewald>
464
   </options>
```

Parse the output. The results from the computation are stored in the same job file that was supplied to the calculator. The key data is provided in the *output/summary* section and consists of the electrostatic and induction contributions *output/summary/eindu* and *output/summary/estat*. Note that only configuration energy *differences* carry meaning. The parsing is best done by script, as the "-j/-jobs read" option for pewald3d is not yet implemented.

```
<jobs>
471
        <job>
472
            <id>1</id>
473
474
            <tag>1e:2h</tag>
            <input>1:spl:MP_FILES/spl_e.mps 2:spl:MP_FILES/spl_h.mps</input>
475
            <status>COMPLETE</status>
476
            <host>thop76:5476</host>
477
            <time>17:22:56</time>
            <output>
479
                 <summary>
480
                     <type>neutral</type>
                      <xyz unit="nm">-0.1750000 -0.1750000 -5.4250000</xyz>
                     <total unit="eV">-3.2112834</total>
483
                     <estat unit="eV">-2.3753255/estat>
484
                      <eindu unit="eV">-0.8359579</eindu>
485
                 </summary>
486
                 <terms_i>
487
                      <F-00-01-11>-3.32999e+00 -3.32481e-01 +4.06829e-02</F-00-01-11>
488
                      <M-00-11--->+1.33689e-01 +4.74490e-01</M-00-11--->
                      <E-PP-PU-UU>-2.37533e+00 -7.37812e-01 -2.73212e-18</E-PP-PU-UU>
490
                 </terms i>
491
                 <terms_o>
492
                     < R-pp-pu-uu > -1.89357e-01 = +2.69583e-08 ... < / R-pp-pu-uu >
493
                     <K-pp-pu-uu>-7.31703e-03 = -2.69583e-08 ...</K-pp-pu-uu>
494
                     <0-pp-pu-uu>+0.00000e+00 = +0.00000e+00 ...</0-pp-pu-uu>
495
                     \langle J-pp-pu-uu \rangle +5.14048e-04 = -2.99187e-17 ... \langle /J-pp-pu-uu \rangle
                      <C-pp-pu-uu>+1.51186e-03 = +0.00000e+00 ...</C-pp-pu-uu>
                      <Q-pp-pu-uu>+0.00000e+00 = +0.00000e+00 ...</Q-pp-pu-uu>
498
                 </terms o>
499
                 <shells>
500
                     <FGC>1874</FGC>
501
                     <FGN>1874</FGN>
502
                     <MGN>54429</MGN>
503
                     <BGN>36</BGN>
504
                     <BGP>52</BGP>
505
                     <QM0>2</QM0>
506
                      <MM1>1872</MM1>
507
                     <MM2>0</MM2>
508
                 </shells>
509
                 <timing>
510
                      <t total unit="min">5.29</t total>
511
                      <t_wload unit="min">0.00 2.24 0.88 2.18</t_wload>
512
                 </timing>
513
            </output>
514
        </job>
515
        <job>
516
517
         . . .
        </job>
518
519
   </jobs>
520
```

2.7 Transfer integrals

sec:transfer_integrals

The electronic transfer integral element J_{ij} entering the Marcus rates in eq. (2.31) is defined as

$$J_{ij} = \left\langle \phi_i \left| \hat{H} \right| \phi_j \right\rangle,$$
 (2.18) equ:TI

where ϕ_i and ϕ_j are diabatic wavefunctions, localized on molecule i and j respectively, participating in the charge transfer, and \hat{H} is the Hamiltonian of the formed dimer. Within the frozencore approximation, the usual choice for the diabatic wavefunctions ϕ_i is the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) in case of hole transport, and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) in the case of electron transfer, while \hat{H} is an effective single particle Hamiltonian, e.g. Fock or Kohn-Sham operator of the dimer. As such, J_{ij} is a measure of the strength of the electronic coupling of the frontier orbitals of monomers mediated by the dimer interactions. Intrinsically, the transfer integral is very sensitive to the molecular arrangement, i.e. the distance and the mutual orientation of the molecules participating in charge transport. Since this arrangement can also be significantly influenced by static and/or dynamic disorder [25–29], it is essential to calculate J_{ij} explicitly for each hopping pair within a realistic morphology. Considering that the number of dimers for which eq. (2.18) has to be evaluated is proportional to the number of molecules times their coordination number, computationally efficient and at the same time quantitatively reliable schemes are required.

2.7.1 Projection of monomer orbitals on dimer orbitals (DIPRO)

sec:dipr

An approach for the determination of the transfer integral that can be used for any single-particle electronic structure method (Hartree-Fock, DFT, or semiempirical methods) is based on the projection of monomer orbitals on a manifold of explicitly calculated dimer orbitals. This dimer projection (DIPRO) technique including an assessment of computational parameters such as the basis set, exchange-correlation functionals, and convergence criteria is presented in detail in ref. [5]. A brief summary of the concept is given below.

We start from an effective Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} \hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i} + \sum_{j \neq i} J_{ij} \hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{j} + c.c. \tag{2.19}$$

where \hat{a}_i^\dagger and \hat{a}_i are the creation and annihilation operators for a charge carrier located at the molecular site i. The electron site energy is given by ϵ_i , while J_{ij} is the transfer integral between two sites i and j. We label their frontier orbitals (HOMO for hole transfer, LUMO for electron transfer) ϕ_i and ϕ_j , respectively. Assuming that the frontier orbitals of a dimer (adiabatic energy surfaces) result exclusively from the interaction of the frontier orbitals of monomers, and consequently expand them in terms of ϕ_i and ϕ_j . The expansion coefficients, $\bar{\mathbf{C}}$, can be determined by solving the secular equation

$$(\mathbf{H} - E\mathbf{S})\bar{\mathbf{C}} = 0$$
 (2.20) equidipro_eq2

where \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{S} are the Hamiltonian and overlap matrices of the system, respectively. These matrices can be written explicitly as

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} e_i & H_{ij} \\ H_{ij}^* & e_j \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & S_{ij} \\ S_{ij}^* & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.21} \quad \text{equidipro_eq3}$$

555 with

$$e_{i} = \langle \phi_{i} | \hat{H} | \phi_{i} \rangle \qquad \qquad H_{ij} = \langle \phi_{i} | \hat{H} | \phi_{j} \rangle$$

$$e_{j} = \langle \phi_{j} | \hat{H} | \phi_{j} \rangle \qquad \qquad S_{ij} = \langle \phi_{j} | \phi_{j} \rangle$$

$$(2.22) \text{ equidipro_eq4}$$

¹we use following notations: a - number, $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ - vector, \mathbf{A} - matrix, \hat{A} - operator

The matrix elements $e_{i(j)}$, H_{ij} , and S_{ij} entering eq. (2.21) can be calculated via projections on the dimer orbitals (eigenfunctions of \hat{H}) $\{|\phi_n^{\rm D}\rangle\}$ by inserting $\hat{1} = \sum_n |\phi_n^{\rm D}\rangle \langle \phi_n^{\rm D}|$ twice. We exemplify this explicitly for H_{ij} in the following

$$H_{ij} = \sum_{nm} \left\langle \phi_i \mid \phi_n^{\rm D} \right\rangle \left\langle \phi_n^{\rm D} \mid \hat{H} \mid \phi_m^{\rm D} \right\rangle \left\langle \phi_m^{\rm D} \mid \phi_j \right\rangle. \tag{2.23}$$

The Hamiltonian is diagonal in its eigenfunctions, $\left\langle \phi_{n}^{\mathrm{D}} \right| \hat{H} \left| \phi_{m}^{\mathrm{D}} \right\rangle = E_{n} \delta_{nm}$. Collecting the projections of the frontier orbitals $\left| \phi_{i(j)} \right\rangle$ on the n-th dimer state $\left(\mathbf{\bar{V}}_{(i)} \right)_{n} = \left\langle \phi_{i} \right| \phi_{n}^{\mathrm{D}} \right\rangle$ and $\left(\mathbf{\bar{V}}_{(j)} \right)_{n} = \left\langle \phi_{i} \right| \left\langle \phi_{n}^{\mathrm{D}} \right\rangle$ respectively, into vectors we obtain

$$H_{ij} = \bar{\mathbf{V}}_{(i)} \mathbf{E} \bar{\mathbf{V}}_{(j)}^{\dagger}.$$
 (2.24) eq:dipro_eq17

What is left to do is determine these projections $\bar{\mathbf{V}}_{(k)}$. In all practical calculations the molecular orbitals are expanded in basis sets of either plane waves or of localized atomic orbitals $|\varphi_{\alpha}\rangle$. We will first consider the case that the calculations for the monomers are performed using a counterpoise basis set that is commonly used to deal with the basis set superposition error (BSSE). The basis set of atom-centered orbitals of a monomer is extended to the one of the dimer by adding the respective atomic orbitals at virtual coordinates of the second monomer. We can then write the respective expansions as

$$|\phi_k\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha}^{(k)} |\varphi_{\alpha}\rangle$$
 and $|\phi_n^{\rm D}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} D_{\alpha}^{(n)} |\varphi_{\alpha}\rangle$ (2.25) eq:dipro_eq18

where k=i,j. The projections can then be determined within this common basis set as

where S is the overlap matrix of the atomic basis functions. This allows us to finally write the elements of the Hamiltonian and overlap matrices in eq. (2.21) as:

$$H_{ij} = \bar{\lambda}_{(i)}^{\dagger} \mathcal{S} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{D}^{\dagger} \mathcal{S}^{\dagger} \bar{\lambda}_{(j)}$$

$$S_{ij} = \bar{\lambda}_{(i)}^{\dagger} \mathcal{S} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D}^{\dagger} \mathcal{S}^{\dagger} \bar{\lambda}_{(j)}$$
(2.27) eq:dipro_eq20

Since the two monomer frontier orbitals that form the basis of this expansion are not orthogonal in general ($S \neq 1$), it is necessary to transform eq. (2.20) into a standard eigenvalue problem of the form

$$\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{eff}}\bar{\mathbf{C}}^{\mathrm{eff}} = E\bar{\mathbf{C}}^{\mathrm{eff}}$$
 (2.28) eq.dipro_eq7

to make it correspond to eq. (2.19). According to Löwdin such a transformation can be achieved by

$$\mathbf{H}^{\text{eff}} = \mathbf{S}^{-1/2} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{S}^{-1/2}$$
. (2.29) eqidipro eq9

This then yields an effective Hamiltonian matrix in an orthogonal basis, and its entries can directly be identified with the site energies ϵ_i and transfer integrals J_{ij} :

$$\mathbf{H}^{\text{eff}} = \begin{pmatrix} e_i^{\text{eff}} & H_{ij}^{\text{eff}} \\ H_{ij}^{*,\text{eff}} & e_j^{\text{eff}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_i & J_{ij} \\ J_{ij}^{*} & \epsilon_j \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.30) eq:dipro_eq11

2.7.2 DFT-based transfer integrals using DIPRO

The calculation of one electronic coupling element based on DFT using the DIPRO method requires the overlap matrix of atomic orbitals \mathcal{S} , the expansion coefficients for monomer $\bar{\lambda}_{(k)} = \{\lambda_{\alpha}^{(k)}\}$ and dimer orbitals $\bar{\mathbf{D}}_{(n)} = \{D_{\alpha}^{(n)}\}$, as well as the orbital energies E_n of the dimer are




Figure 2.4: Schematics of the DIPRO method. (a) General workflow of the projection technique. (b) Strategy of the efficient noCP+noSCF implementation, in which the monomer calculations are performed independently form the dimer configurations (noCP), using the edft calculator. The dimer Hamiltonian is subsequently constructed based on an initial guess formed from monomer orbitals and only diagonalized once (noSCF) before the transfer integral is calculated by projection. This second step is performed by the idft calculator.

fig:dipro_scheme

required as input. In practical situations, performing self-consistent quantum-chemical calculations for each individual monomer and one for the dimer to obtain this input data is extremely demanding. Several simplifications can be made to reduce the computational effort, such as using non-Counterpoise basis sets for the monomers (thereby decoupling the monomer calculations from the dimer run) and performing only a single SCF step in a dimer calculation starting from an initial guess formed from a superposition of monomer orbitals. This "noCP+noSCF" variant of DIPRO is shown in figure 2.4(a) and recommended for production runs. A detailed comparative study of the different variants can be found in [5].

The code currently contains supports evaluation of transfer integrals from quantum-chemical calculations performed with the Gaussian, Turbomole, and NWChem packages. The interfacing procedure consists of three main steps: generation of input files for monomers and dimers, performing the actual quantum-chemical calculations, and calculating the transfer integrals.

Monomer calculations

First, hopping sites and a neighbor list need to be generated from the atomistic topology and trajectory and written to the state.sql file. Then the parallel edft calculator manages the calculation of the monomer properties required for the determination of electronic coupling elements. Specifically, the individual steps it performs are:

1. Creation of a job file containing the list of molecules to be calculated with DFT

602

604

606

609

610

611

613

615

616

617

618

619

620

623

624

```
Writing job file for edft
```

ctp_parallel -ooptions.xml -fstate.sql -eedft -jwrite

2. Running of all jobs in job file

Running all edft jobs

ctp_parallel -ooptions.xml -fstate.sql -eedft -jrun

which includes

• creating the input files for the DFT calculation (using the package specified in options.xml) in the directory

```
OR_FILES/package/frame_F/mol_M
```

where F is the index of the frame in the trajectory, M is the index of a molecule in this frame,

- executing the DFT run, and
- after completion of this run, parsing the output (number of electrons, basis set, molecular orbital expansion coefficients), and saving it in compressed form to

```
OR_FILES/molecules/frame_F/molecule_M.orb
```

612 Calculating the transfer integrals

After the momomer calculations have been completed successfully, the respective runs for dimers from the neighborlist can be performed using the parallel idft calculator, which manages the DFT runs for the hopping pairs and determines the coupling element using DIPRO. Again, several steps are required:

1. Creation of a job file containing the list of pairs to be calculated with DFT

```
Writing job file for idft

| ctp_parallel -o options.xml -f state.sql -e idft -j write
```

2. Running of all jobs in job file

```
Running all idft jobs
```

```
ctp_parallel -ooptions.xml -fstate.sql -eidft -jrun
```

which includes

creating the input files (including the merged guess for a noSCF calculation, if requested) for the DFT calculation (using the package specified in options.xml) in the directory

```
OR_FILES/package/frame_F/pair_M_N
```

where M and N are the indices of the molecules in this pair,

• executing the DFT run, and

630

63

633

635

637

638

639

641

642

644

645

646

650

651

 after completion of this run, parsing the output (number of electrons, basis set, molecular orbital expansion coefficients and energies, atomic orbital overlap matrix), and saving the pair information in compressed form to

```
OR_FILES/pairs/frame_F/pair_M_N.orb
```

- loading the monomer orbitals from the previously saved *.orb files.
- calculating the coupling elements and write them to the job file
- 3. Reading the coupling elements from the job file and saving them to the state.sql file

```
Saving idft results from job file to state.sql
ctp_parallel -ooptions.xml -fstate.sql -eidft -jread
```

ZINDO-based transfer integrals using MOO 2.7.3

An approximate method based on Zerner's Intermediate Neglect of Differential Overlap (ZINDO) has been described in Ref. [7]. This semiempirical method is substantially faster than firstprinciples approaches, since it avoids the self-consistent calculations on each individual monomer and dimer. This allows to construct the matrix elements of the ZINDO Hamiltonian of the dimer from the weighted overlap of molecular orbitals of the two monomers. Together with the introduction of rigid segments, only a single self-consistent calculation on one isolated conjugated segment is required. All relevant molecular overlaps can then be constructed from the obtained molecular orbitals.

The main advantage of the molecular orbital overlap (MOO) library is fast evaluation of electronic coupling elements. Note that MOO is based on the ZINDO Hamiltonian which has limited applicability. The general advice is to first compare the accuracy of the MOO method to the DFT-based calculations.

MOO can be used both in a standalone mode and as an izindo calculator of VOTCA-CTP. 647

Since MOO constructs the Fock operator of a dimer from the molecular orbitals of monomers by translating and rotating the orbitals of rigid fragments, the optimized geometry of all conjugated segments and the coefficients of the molecular orbitals are required as its input in addition to the state file (state.sql) with the neighbor list. Coordinates are stored in geometry.xyz files with four columns, first being the atom type and the next three atom coordinates. This is a standard xyz format without a header. Note that the atom order in the geometry.xyz files can be different from that of the mapping files. The correspondence between the two is established in the map.xml file.



Attention

Izindo requires the specification of orbitals for hole and electron transport in map.xml. They are the HOMO and LUMO respectively and can be retrieved from the log file from which the zindo.orb file is generated. The number of alpha electrons is the HOMO, the LUMO is HOMO+1

The calculated transfer integrals are immediately saved to the state.sql file.

```
Transfer integrals from izindo
  ctp_run -o options.xml -f state.sql -e izindo
```

2.8 Charge transfer rate

sec:rate 658

657

659

661

662

663

664

665

Charge transfer rates can be postulated based on intuitive physical considerations, as it is done in the Gaussian disorder models [25, 30–32]. Alternatively, charge transfer theories can be used to evaluate rates from quantum chemical calculations [3, 5, 13, 33–35]. In spite of being significantly more computationally demanding, the latter approach allows to link the chemical and electronic structure, as well as the morphology, to charge dynamics.

2.8.1 Classical charge transfer rate

ec:rate_clas

The high temperature limit of classical charge transfer theory [36, 37] is often used as a tradeoff between theoretical rigor and computational complexity. It captures key parameters which influence charge transport while at the same time providing an analytical expression for the rate. Within this limit, the transfer rate for a charge to hop from a site i to a site j reads

$$\omega_{ij} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \frac{J_{ij}^2}{\sqrt{4\pi \lambda_{ij} k_{\rm B} T}} \exp\left[-\frac{\left(\Delta E_{ij} - \lambda_{ij}\right)^2}{4\lambda_{ij} k_{\rm B} T}\right],\tag{2.31}$$

where T is the temperature, $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ij}^{\text{int}} + \lambda_{ij}^{\text{out}}$ is the reorganization energy, which is a sum of intraand inter-molecular (outersphere) contributions, ΔE_{ij} is the site-energy difference, or driving force, and J_{ij} is the electronic coupling element, or transfer integral.

671 Z

672

673

674

675

676

679

2.8.2 Semi-classical bimolecular rate

scc.ratc_bimol

The main assumptions in eq. (2.31) are non-adiabaticity (small electronic coupling and charge transfer between two diabatic, non-interacting states), and harmonic promoting modes, which are treated classically. At ambient conditions, however, the intramolecular promoting mode, which roughly corresponds to C-C bond stretching, has a vibrational energy of $\hbar\omega\approx0.2\,\mathrm{eV}\gg k_\mathrm{B}T$ and should be treated quantum-mechanically. The outer-sphere (slow) mode has much lower vibrational energy than the intramolecular promoting mode, and therefore can be treated classically. The weak interaction between molecules also implies that each molecule has its own, practically independent, set of quantum mechanical degrees of freedom.

A more general, quantum-classical expression for a bimolecular multi-channel rate is derived in the Supporting Information of ref. [3] and has the following form

$$\omega_{ij} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \frac{|J_{ij}|^2}{\sqrt{4\pi\lambda_{ij}^{\text{out}}k_{\text{B}}T}} \sum_{l',m'=0}^{\infty} |\langle \chi_{i0}^c | \chi_{il'}^n \rangle|^2 |\langle \chi_{j0}^n | \chi_{jm'}^c \rangle|^2 \exp\left\{-\frac{\left[\Delta E_{ij} - \hbar(l'\omega_i^n + m'\omega_j^c) - \lambda_{ij}^{\text{out}}\right]^2}{4\lambda_{ij}^{\text{out}}k_{\text{B}}T}\right\}. \tag{2.32}$$

If the curvatures of intramolecular PES of charged and neutral states of a molecule are different, that is $\omega_i^c \neq \omega_i^n$, the corresponding reorganization energies, $\lambda_i^{cn} = \frac{1}{2}[\omega_i^n(q_i^n-q_i^c)]^2$ and $\lambda_i^{nc} = \frac{1}{2}[\omega_i^c(q_i^n-q_i^c)]^2$, will also differ. In this case the Franck-Condon (FC) factors for discharging of molecule i read [38]

$$|\langle \chi_{i0}^{c} | \chi_{il'}^{n} \rangle|^{2} = \frac{2}{2^{l'} l'!} \frac{\sqrt{\omega_{i}^{c} \omega_{i}^{n}}}{(\omega_{i}^{c} + \omega_{i}^{n})} \exp\left(-|s_{i}|\right) \left[\sum_{\substack{k=0\\k \text{ even}}}^{l'} \binom{l'}{k} \left(\frac{2\omega_{i}^{c}}{\omega_{i}^{c} + \omega_{i}^{n}}\right)^{k/2} \frac{k!}{(k/2)!} H_{l'-k} \left(\frac{s_{i}}{\sqrt{2S_{i}^{cn}}}\right) \right]^{2},$$
(2.33)

where $H_n(x)$ is a Hermite polynomial, $s_i = 2\sqrt{\lambda_i^{nc}\lambda_i^{cn}}/\hbar(\omega_i^c + \omega_i^n)$, and $S_i^{cn} = \lambda_i^{cn}/\hbar\omega_i^c$. The FC factors for charging of molecule j can be obtained by substituting $(s_i, S_i^{cn}, \omega_i^c)$ with $(-s_j, S_j^{nc}, \omega_j^n)$. In order to evaluate the FC factors, the internal reorganization energy λ_i^{cn} can be computed from the intramolecular PES.

689

690

69

693

697

698

699

2.8.3 Semi-classical rate

One can also use the quantum-classical rate with a common set of vibrational coordinates [14]

$$\omega_{ij} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \frac{|J_{ij}|^2}{\sqrt{4\pi\lambda_{ij}^{\text{out}}k_{\text{B}}T}} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} \left(\frac{\lambda_{ij}^{\text{int}}}{\hbar\omega^{\text{int}}}\right)^N \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_{ij}^{\text{int}}}{\hbar\omega^{\text{int}}}\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{\left[\Delta E_{ij} - \hbar N\omega^{\text{int}} - \lambda_{ij}^{\text{out}}\right]^2}{4\lambda_{ij}^{\text{out}}k_{\text{B}}T}\right\}. \tag{2.34}$$

Numerical estimates show that if $\lambda_{ij}^{\rm int} \approx \lambda_{ij}^{\rm out}$ and $|\Delta E_{ij}| \ll \lambda_{ij}^{\rm out}$ the rates are similar to those of eq. (2.31). In general, there is no robust method to compute $\lambda_{ij}^{\rm out}$ [39] and both reorganization energies are often assumed to be of the same order of magnitude. In this case the second condition also holds, unless there are large differences in electron affinities or ionization potentials of neighboring molecules, e.g. in donor-acceptor blends.

To calculate rates of the type specified in options.xml for all pairs in the neighbor list and to save them into the state.sql file, run the rates calculator. Note that all required ingredients (reorganization energies, transfer integrals, and site energies have to be calculated before).



Calculation of transfer rates


ctp_run -ooptions.xml -fstate.sql -erates

2.9 Master equation

Having determined the list of conjugated segments (hopping sites) and charge transfer rates between them, the next task is to solve the master equation which describes the time evolution of the system

$$\frac{\partial P_{\alpha}}{\partial t} = \sum_{\beta} P_{\beta} \Omega_{\beta\alpha} - \sum_{\beta} P_{\alpha} \Omega_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{2.35}$$

where P_{α} is the probability of the system to be in a state α at time t and $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ is the transition rate from state α to state β . A state α is specified by a set of site occupations, $\{\alpha_i\}$, where $\alpha_i = 1(0)$ 701 for an occupied (unoccupied) site i_i and the matrix Ω can be constructed from rates ω_{ij} . The solution of eq. (2.35) is be obtained by using kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) methods. KMC explicitly simulates the dynamics of charge carriers by constructing a Markov chain in state space and can find both stationary and transient solutions of the master equation. The main advantage of KMC is that only states with a direct link to the current state need to be considered at each step. 706 Since these can be constructed solely from current site occupations, extensions to multiple charge 707 carriers (without the mean-field approximation), site-occupation dependent rates (needed for 708 the explicit treatment of Coulomb interactions), and different types of interacting particles and processes, are straightforward. To optimize memory usage and efficiency, a combination of the 710 variable step size method [40] and the first reaction method is implemented. 711 To obtain the dynamics of charges using KMC, the program kmc_run executes a specific calculator after reading its options (charge carrier type, runtime, numer of carriers etc.) from options.xml.



715

717

718

719

720

72

722

723

725

726

727

728

729

730

734

735

738



KMC for multiple carriers of the same type in periodic boundary conditions

kmc_run -o options.xml -f state.sql -e kmcmultiple

Extrapolation to nondispersive mobilities

Predictions of charge-carrier mobilities in partially disordered semiconductors rely on charge transport simulations in systems which are only several nanometers thick. As a result, simulated charge transport might be dispersive for materials with large energetic disorder [41, 42] and simulated mobilities are system-size dependent. In time-of-flight (TOF) experiments, however, a typical sample thickness is in the micrometer range and transport is often nondispersive. In order to link simulation and experiment, one needs to extract the nondispersive mobility from simulations of small systems, where charge transport is dispersive at room temperature. Such extrapolation is possible if the temperature dependence of the nondispersive mobility is known in a wide temperature range. For example, one can use analytical results derived for onedimensional models [43-45]. The mobility-temperature dependence can then be parametrized by simulating charge transport at elevated temperatures, for which transport is nondispersive even for small system sizes. This dependence can then be used to extrapolate to the nondispersive mobility at room temperature [4]. For Alq₃, the charge carrier mobility of a periodic system of 512 molecules was shown to be more than three orders of magnitude higher than the nondispersive mobility of an infinitely large system [4]. Furthermore, it was shown that the transition between the dispersive and nondispersive transport has a logarithmic dependence on the number of hopping sites N. Hence, a brute-force increase of the system size cannot resolve the problem for compounds with large energetic disorder σ , since N increases exponentially with σ^2 .

Macroscopic observables 2.10

Spatial distributions of charge and current densities can provide a better insight in the microscopic mechanisms of charge transport. If O is an observable which has a value O_{α} in a state α , its ensemble average at time t is a sum over all states weighted by the probability P_{α} to be in a state α at time t

$$\langle O \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} O_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}. \tag{2.36}$$

If O does not explicitly depend on time, the time evolution of $\langle O \rangle$ can be calculated as

$$\frac{d\langle O\rangle}{dt} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \left[P_{\beta} \Omega_{\beta\alpha} - P_{\alpha} \Omega_{\alpha\beta} \right] O_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} P_{\beta} \Omega_{\beta\alpha} \left[O_{\alpha} - O_{\beta} \right]. \tag{2.37}$$

If averages are obtained from KMC trajectories, $P_{\alpha} = s_{\alpha}/s$, where s_{α} is the number of Markov chains ending in the state α after time t, and s is the total number of chains. Alternatively, one can calculate time averages by analyzing a single Markov chain. If the total

occupation time of the state α is τ_{α} then

$$\overline{O} = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{\alpha} O_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha} \,, \tag{2.38}$$

where $\tau = \sum_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}$ is the total time used for time averaging.

For ergodic systems and sufficient sampling times, ensemble and time averages should give identical results. In many cases, the averaging procedure reflects a specific experimental technique. 744 For example, an ensemble average over several KMC trajectories with different starting condi-745 tions corresponds to averaging over injected charge carriers in a time-of-flight experiment. In 746 what follows, we focus on the single charge carrier (low concentration of charges) case.

2.10.1 Charge density

750

762

763

770

For a specific type of particles, the microscopic charge density of a site i is proportional to the occupation probability of the site, p_i

$$\rho_i = e p_i / V_i \,, \tag{2.39}$$

where, for an irregular lattice, the effective volume V_i can be obtained from a Voronoi tessellation of space. For reasonably uniform lattices (uniform site densities) this volume is almost independent of the site and a constant volume per cite, $V_i = V/N$, can be assumed. In the macroscopic limit, the charge density can be calculated using a smoothing kernel function, i.e. a distance-weighted average over multiple sites. Site occupations p_i can be obtained from eq. (2.36) or eq. (2.38) by using the occupation of site i in state α as an observable.

If the system is in thermodynamic equilibrium, that is without sources or sinks and without

If the system is in thermodynamic equilibrium, that is without sources or sinks and without circular currents (and therefore no net flux) a condition, known as detailed balance, holds

$$p_j \omega_{ji} = p_i \omega_{ij},$$
 (2.40) equivalent equivalen

It can be used to test whether the system is ergodic or not by correlating $\log p_i$ and the site energy E_i . Indeed, if $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ji}$ the ratios of forward and backward rates are determined solely by the energetic disorder, $\omega_{ji}/\omega_{ij} = \exp(-\Delta E_{ij}/k_{\rm B}T)$ (see eq. (2.31)).

2.10.2 Current

If the position of the charge, \vec{r} , is an observable, the time evolution of its average $\langle \vec{r} \rangle$ is the total current in the system

$$\vec{J} = e \langle \vec{v} \rangle = e \frac{d \langle \vec{r} \rangle}{dt} = e \sum_{i,j} p_j \omega_{ji} (\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j). \tag{2.41}$$

765 Symmetrizing this expression we obtain

$$\vec{J} = \frac{1}{2}e\sum_{i,j} \left(p_j\omega_{ji} - p_i\omega_{ij}\right)\vec{r}_{ij},\tag{2.42}$$

where $\vec{r}_{ij} = \vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j$. Symmetrization ensures equal flux splitting between neighboring sites and absence of local average fluxes in equilibrium. It allows to define a local current through site i as

$$\vec{J}_i = \frac{1}{2}e\sum_j \left(p_j\omega_{ji} - p_i\omega_{ij}\right)\vec{r}_{ij}. \tag{2.43}$$

A large value of the local current indicates that the site contributes considerably to the total current. A collection of such sites thus represents most favorable charge pathways [46].

2.10.3 Mobility and diffusion constant

For a single particle, e.g. a charge or an exciton, a zero-field mobility can be determined by studying particle diffusion in the absence of external fields. Using the particle displacement squared, Δr_i^2 , as an observable we obtain

$$2dD_{\gamma\delta} = \frac{d\left\langle \Delta r_{i,\gamma} \Delta r_{i,\delta} \right\rangle}{dt} = \sum_{\substack{i,j\\i \neq j}} p_j \omega_{ji} \left(\Delta r_{i,\gamma} \Delta r_{i,\delta} - \Delta r_{j,\gamma} \Delta r_{j,\delta} \right) = \sum_{\substack{i,j\\i \neq j}} p_j \omega_{ji} \left(r_{i,\gamma} r_{i,\delta} - r_{j,\gamma} r_{j,\delta} \right) \,. \tag{2.44}$$

Here $\vec{r_i}$ is the coordinate of the site i, $D_{\gamma\delta}$ is the diffusion tensor, $\gamma, \delta = x, y, z$, and d = 3 is the system dimension. Using the Einstein relation,

$$D_{\gamma\delta} = k_{\rm B}T\mu_{\gamma\delta}\,,\tag{2.45}$$

787

790

802

803

804

807

810

811

812

one can, in principle, obtain the zero-field mobility tensor $\mu_{\gamma\delta}$. Eq. (2.44), however, does not take into account the use of periodic boundary conditions when simulating charge dynamics. In this case, the simulated occupation probabilities can be compared to the solution of the Smoluchowski equation with periodic boundary conditions (see the supporting information for details). Alternatively, one can directly analyze time-evolution of the KMC trajectory and obtain the diffusion tensor from a linear fit to the mean square displacement, $\Delta r_{i,\gamma} \Delta r_{i,\delta} = 2dD_{\gamma\delta}t$.

The charge carrier mobility tensor, $\hat{\mu}$, for any value of the external field can be determined either from the average charge velocity defined in eq. (2.41)

$$\langle \vec{v} \rangle = \sum_{i,j} p_j \omega_{ji} (\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j) = \hat{\mu} \vec{F} , \qquad (2.46)$$

or directly from the KMC trajectory. In the latter case the velocity is calculated from the unwrapped (if periodic boundary conditions are used) charge displacement vector divided by the total simulation time. Projecting this velocity on the direction of the field \vec{F} yields the charge carrier mobility in this particular direction. In order to improve statistics, mobilities can be averaged over several KMC trajectories and MD snapshots.

2.10.4 Spatial correlations of energetic disorder

Long-range, e.g. electrostatic and polarization, interactions often result in spatially correlated disorder [47], which affects the onset of the mobility-field (Poole-Frenkel) dependence [43, 48, 49]. To quantify the degree of correlation, one can calculate the spatial correlation function of E_i and E_j at a distance r_{ij}

$$C(r_{ij}) = \frac{\langle (E_i - \langle E \rangle) (E_j - \langle E \rangle) \rangle}{\langle (E_i - \langle E \rangle)^2 \rangle}, \tag{2.47}$$

where $\langle E \rangle$ is the average site energy. $C(r_{ij})$ is zero if E_i and E_j are uncorrelated and 1 if they are fully correlated. For a system of randomly oriented point dipoles, the correlation function decays as 1/r at large distances [50]. For systems with spatial correlations, variations in site energy differences, ΔE_{ij} , of pairs of molecules from the neighbor list are smaller than variations in site energies, E_i , of all individ-

molecules from the neighbor list are smaller than variations in site energies, E_i , of all individual molecules. Since only neighbor list pairs affect transport, the distribution of ΔE_{ij} rather than that of individual site energies, E_i , should be used to characterize energetic disorder.

Note that the eanalyze calculator takes into account *all* contributions to the site energies

Analyze distribution and correlations of site energeies

| ctp_run -o options.xml -f state.sql -e eanalyze

2.10.5 DFT-based transfer integrals using DIPRO

The calculation of one electronic coupling element based on DFT using the DIPRO method requires the overlap matrix of atomic orbitals \mathcal{S} , the expansion coefficients for monomer $\bar{\lambda}_{(k)} = \{\lambda_{\alpha}^{(k)}\}$ and dimer orbitals $\bar{\mathbf{D}}_{(n)} = \{D_{\alpha}^{(n)}\}$, as well as the orbital energies E_n of the dimer are required as input. In practical situations, performing self-consistent quantum-chemical calculations for each individual monomer and one for the dimer to obtain this input data is extremely demanding. Several simplifications can be made to reduce the computational effort, such as using non-Counterpoise basis sets for the monomers (thereby decoupling the monomer calculations from the dimer run) and performing only a single SCF step in a dimer calculation starting from an initial guess formed from a superposition of monomer orbitals. This "noCP+noSCF" variant of DIPRO is shown in figure 2.4(a) and recommended for production runs. A detailed comparative study of the different variants can be found in [5].

The code currently contains supports evaluation of transfer integrals from quantum-chemical calculations performed with the Gaussian, Turbomole, and NWChem packages. The interfacing procedure consists of three main steps: generation of input files for monomers and dimers, performing the actual quantum-chemical calculations, and calculating the transfer integrals.

Monomer calculations

818

823

824

825

826

828

829

83

832

833

835

836

837

839

840

841

First, hopping sites and a neighbor list need to be generated from the atomistic topology and trajectory and written to the state.sql file. Then the parallel edft calculator manages the calculation of the monomer properties required for the determination of electronic coupling elements. Specifically, the individual steps it performs are:

1. Creation of a job file containing the list of molecules to be calculated with DFT

```
Writing job file for edft

| ctp_parallel -o options.xml -f state.sql -e edft -j write
```

2. Running of all jobs in job file

```
Running all edft jobs

I ctp_parallel -o options.xml -f state.sql -e edft -j run
```

which includes

• creating the input files for the DFT calculation (using the package specified in options.xml) in the directory

```
OR_FILES/package/frame_F/mol_M
```

where F is the index of the frame in the trajectory, M is the index of a molecule in this frame,

- executing the DFT run, and
- after completion of this run, parsing the output (number of electrons, basis set, molecular orbital expansion coefficients), and saving it in compressed form to

```
{\tt OR\_FILES/molecules/frame\_F/molecule\_M.orb}
```

Calculating the transfer integrals

After the momomer calculations have been completed successfully, the respective runs for dimers from the neighborlist can be performed using the parallel idft calculator, which manages the DFT runs for the hopping pairs and determines the coupling element using DIPRO. Again, several steps are required:

1. Creation of a job file containing the list of pairs to be calculated with DFT

```
Writing job file for idft

| ctp_parallel -o options.xml -f state.sql -e idft -j write
```

2. Running of all jobs in job file

845

846

849

850

851

852

853

854

855 856

Running all idft jobs

I ctp_parallel -ooptions.xml -fstate.sql -eidft -jrun

which includes

creating the input files (including the merged guess for a noSCF calculation, if requested) for the DFT calculation (using the package specified in options.xml) in the directory

```
OR_FILES/package/frame_F/pair_M_N
```

where M and N are the indices of the molecules in this pair,

- executing the DFT run, and
- after completion of this run, parsing the output (number of electrons, basis set, molecular orbital expansion coefficients and energies, atomic orbital overlap matrix), and saving the pair information in compressed form to

```
OR_FILES/pairs/frame_F/pair_M_N.orb
```

- loading the monomer orbitals from the previously saved *.orb files.
- calculating the coupling elements and write them to the job file
- 3. Reading the coupling elements from the job file and saving them to the state.sql file
 - Saving idft results from job file to state.sql

 | ctp_parallel -o options.xml -f state.sql -e idft -j read

Chapter 3

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

867

Input and output files

3.1 Atomistic topology

If you are using GROMACS for generating atomistic configurations, it is possible to directly use the topology file provided by GROMACS (topology.tpr). In this case the GROMACS residue and atom names should be used to specify the coarse-grained topology and conjugated segments. A custom topology can also be defined using an XML file. Moreover, it s possible to partially overwrite the information provided in, for example, GROMACS topology file. We will illustrate how to create a custom topology file using DCV2T. The structure of DCV2T, together with atom type definitions, is shown in fig. 3.1. DCV2T has two thiophene (THI) and two dicyanovinyl (NIT) residues. The pdb file which contains residue types, residue numbering, atom names, atom types, and atom coordinates is shown in listing 3.1.



Figure 3.1: (a) DCV2T with atoms labelled according to residue_number:residue_name:atom_name. There are four residues and two residue types: thiophene (THI) and dicyanovinyl (NIT). The corresponding pdb file is shown in listing 3.1. Atom numbering is used to split conjugated segments on rigid fragments and to link atomistic ((b) from GROMACS topology) and quantum descriptions (c).

fig:dcv2t

Listing 3.1: pdb file of DCV2T.

	Eisting 5.1. pub life of De v21.									
869 870	HETATM	1	N1 NI	T 1	2.388	8.533	11.066	1.00	4.14	N
871	HETATM	2	CN1 N	T 1	1.984	9.553	10.718	1.00	2.54	С
872	HETATM	3	N2 N	T 1	-1.138	10.872	10.087	1.00	3.24	N
873	HETATM	4	CN2 N	T 1	0.003	10.871	10.213	1.00	2.37	С
874	HETATM	5	CC1 N	T 1	1.441	10.824	10.327	1.00	1.91	C
875	HETATM	6	C1 NI	T 1	2.193	11.939	10.071	1.00	1.61	C
876	HETATM	7	HN1 N	T 1	1.715	12.710	9.872	1.00	1.97	H
877	HETATM	8	S1 TH	HI 2	4.758	10.743	10.130	1.00	1.52	S
878	HETATM	9	CA1 TH	II 2	3.613	12.024	9.948	1.00	1.22	С
879	HETATM	10	CA2 TH	HI 2	6.099	11.836	9.997	1.00	1.30	C
880	HETATM	11	CB1 TF	II 2	4.251	13.243	9.782	1.00	1.39	С
881	HETATM	12	CB2 TF	II 2	5.658	13.131	9.818	1.00	1.45	С
882	HETATM	13	HC1 TH	II 2	3.800	14.047	9.660	1.00	1.66	H
883	HETATM	14	HC2 TH		6.230	13.860	9.731	1.00	1.74	H
884	HETATM	15	S1 TH	II 3	8.803	12.414	9.882	1.00	1.38	S
885	HETATM	16	CA1 TH		7.456	11.347	10.094	1.00	1.37	С
886	HETATM	17	CA2 TH		9.940	11.122	10.152	1.00	1.42	С
887	HETATM	18	CB1 TF		7.873	10.048	10.355	1.00	1.73	С
888	HETATM	19	CB2 TF		9.267	9.926	10.399	1.00	1.82	С
889	HETATM	20	HC1 TH		7.288	9.335	10.487	1.00	2.05	Н
890	HETATM	21	HC2 TH	II 3	9.704	9.123	10.576	1.00	2.21	Н
891	HETATM	22	N1 NI		11.235	14.572	9.094	1.00	3.08	N
892	HETATM	23	CN1 N		11.665	13.566	9.441	1.00	2.04	C
893	HETATM	24	N2 N		14.733	12.005	10.009	1.00	2.17	N
894	HETATM	25	CN2 N		13.590	12.149	9.933	1.00	1.77	C
895	HETATM	26	CC1 N		12.156	12.282	9.861	1.00	1.71	С
896	HETATM	27	C1 N		11.363		10.154	1.00	1.59	C
897	HETATM	28	HN1 N	T 4	11.813	10.440	10.389	1.00	1.89	H

3.2. MAPPING FILE 33

3.2 Mapping file

sec:xmlmap
900 The mapping file (referr

The mapping file (referred here as map.xml) is used by the program ctp_map to convert an atomistic trajectory to a trajectory with conjugated segments and rigid fragments. This trajectory is stored in a state file and contains positions, names, types of atoms belonging to rigid fragments. The description of the mapping options is given in table 3.1. An example of map.xml for a DCV2T molecule is shown in listing 3.2.

The file map.xml contains the whole electrostatic information about the molecules as well as the structural information. The toolpdb2map creates a map.xml from a pdb file and is a good starting point for further refinement.

Table 3.1: Description of the XML mapping file (map.xml).

907 list:map

901

903

904

905

Listing 3.2: Examle of map.xml for DCV2T. Each rigid fragment (coarse-grained bead) is defined by a list of atoms. Atom numbers, names, and residue names should correspond to those used in GROMACS topology (see the corresponing listing 3.1 of the pdb file).

```
this file is used to conver an atomistic trajectory to conjugated segments -->
     <topology> <!--
910
     <molecules>
     <molecule>
911
         <name>DCV2T-MOL</name> <!-- name of the conjugated molecule</pre>
912
         <mdname>Protein</mdname> <!-- corresponding name of this molecule in the MD trajectory , should be</pre>
914
               the same as the name given at the end of topol.top-->
915
         <seaments>
916
         <segment>
               <name>DCV</name> <!-- name of the conjugated segment within the molecule --->
<qmcoords>QC_FILES/DCV2T.xyz</qmcoords> <!-- QM coordinates of the conjugated segment --->
917
918
919
                     <!-- IZINDO INPUT -->
921
               <basisset>INDO</basisset>
922
                $$ \ensuremath{$<$}$ orbitals>QC_FILES/DCV2T.orb</r> $$ \ensuremath{$<$}$ orbital_h>50</torbital_h><!-- Number of the HOMO Orbital (e.g. alpha electrons, can be alpha electrons). $$
923
924
                     found in the log-file belonging to DCV2T.orb) -->
925
926
                    <!-- EMULTIPOLE INPUT -->
927
               <multipoles_n>MP_FILES/DCV2T_h.mps</multipoles_n><!-- Multipole file for neutral state -->
<multipoles_h>MP_FILES/DCV2T_h.mps</multipoles_h><!-- Multipole file for hole state -->
928
929
               930
931
933
934
935
                         <!-- EINTERNAL INPUT --->
936

    <U_cC_nN_h>0.0</U_cC_nN_h> <!-- Site energy -->
    <U_nC_nN_h>0.1</U_nC_nN_h> <!-- Reorg. discharge -->

937
               <U_cN_cC_h>0.1</U_cN_cC_h> <!-- Reorg. charge
940
                    <!-- MD QM MP Mapping -->
941
               <fragments>
942
943
                <fragment>
                 <name>NI1</name> <!-- name of the rigid fragment within the segment --->
945
                 <!-- list of atoms in the fragment resnum:resname:atomname -
                 <mdatoms>1:NIT:N1 1:NIT:CN1 1:NIT:N2 1:NIT:CN2 1:NIT:CC1 1:NIT:C1 1:NIT:HN1</mdatoms>
946
                 <!-- corresponding ground state geometry atomnumber:atomtype read from .xyz file-->
<qmatoms> 20:N 19:C 14:N 13:C 12:C 11:C 23:H </qmatoms>
<!-- corresponding group state geometry multipoles read from .mps files --->
947
948
949
                <mpoles> 20:N 19:C 14:N 13:C 12:C 11:C
<!-- weights to determine the fragment center (here CoM is used) -</pre>
950
                                                                                                         23:H </mpoles>
               952
953
954
                 <localframe> 20 19 14 </localframe>
955
                <!-- Optional parameters (if not set <localframe> is used): used when atom labels in the .mps
                       and .xyz file differ or more sites in the .mps file are used, so refers to <mpoles>
957
                 <localframe_mps> 20 19 14 </localframe_mps>
                <!-- Optional parameters (if not set <localframe> is used): weights to determine the fragment center (here CoM is used), used when atom labels in the .mps and .xyz file differ or additional sites in the .mps file are used -->
959
960
961
                <weights_mps>
                                     14
                                                 12
                    weights mps>
```

```
<\!\!!-- Optional\ flag:\ says \quad if\ a\ site\ is\ virtual\ or\ not\ ,\ (virtual=1,\ real=0)--\!\!>
964
             <virtual_mps>
                 virtual_mps>
967
            </fragment>
968
969
           <fragment>
              <name>TH1</name>
970
              <mdatoms>2:THI:S1 2:THI:CA1 2:THI:CA2 2:THI:CB1 2:THI:B2 2:THI:HC1 2:THI:HC2</mdatoms>
971
                                    8:C 6:C 9:C 10:C 24:H
8:C 6:C 9:C 10:C 24:H
                                                                                24:H 25:H </qmatoms>
24:H 25:H </mpoles>
1 1 </weights>
              <qmatoms>
                          7:S
              <mpoles>
973
                                   8:C
                          32
                                     12
974
              <weights>
                                              12
                                                                               1
              <localframe> 7 8 6 </localframe>
975
           </fragment>
976
979
              <name>TH2</name>
              <mdatoms>3:THI:S1 3:THI:CA1 3:THI:CA2 3:THI:CB2 3:THI:BC3 3:THI:HC1 3:THI:HC2/mdatoms>
980
              <qmatoms> 3:S 4:C 2:C
<weights> 32 12 12
                                                               1:C 26:H 27:H 
                                                      5:C
981
                                                           12
982
              <localframe> 3 4 2 </localframe>
983
           </fragment>
985
986
           <fragment>
              <name>NT2</name>
987
              <mdatoms>4:NIT:N1 4:NIT:CN1 4:NIT:N2 4:NIT:CN2 4:NIT:CC1 4:NIT:C1 4:NIT:HN1//mdatoms>
988
                                    21:C
                                              18:N 17:C 16:C
18:N 17:C 16:C
                                                                             15:C
15:C
              <qmatoms> 22:N
989
              <mpoles> 22:N
                                             18:N
                                                                                       28:H </mpoles>
              <weights>
                                                                                           1 </weights>
              <localframe> 22 21 18 </localframe>
992
           </fragment>
993
           </fragments>
994
        </segment>
995
        </segments>
996
     </molecule>
998
     </molecules>
     </topology>
1888
```

3.3 Conjugated segments

The file describing hopping sites, or conjugated segments, is used by practically all programs and calculators. It links the coarse-grained trajectory (positions and orientations of rigid fragments) and quantum-mechanical descriptions of all conjugated segments. The description of this XML file (segments.xml) is given in table 3.2. An example for DCV2T is shown in listing 3.3.

Table 3.2: Description of conjugated segments (segments.xml).

tab:segments

1005 list:segments

1001

Listing 3.3: XML file describing conjugated segments. Note that the mapping and weights for each segment are separated by a colon.

```
1006
1007
       <segments>
1008
                  <segment> <!-- DCV2T here is one conjugated segment -
                             <coordinates>DCV2T.xyz</coordinates> <!--</pre>
                             <orbitals>DCV2T.fort.7</orbitals> <!-- ZINDO orbitals [GAUSSIAN] -->
1010
1011
                             <basisset>INDO</basisset>
                             <torbital>50</torbital> <!-- HOMO for hole conduction --->
1012
                             <edischarging>0.084</edischarging><echarging>0.086</pr
1013
1014
                             <qneutral>DCV2T_neutr esp</qneutral> <!-- partial charges of a neutral molecule -->
<qcharged>DCV2T_catio.esp</qcharged> <!-- partial charges of a cation -->
1015
                             <energy>0.0</energy> <!-- cite energy -->
<name>DCV2T</name> <!-- name of the conjugated segment -->
<map> <!-- rigid fragments separated by a colon -->
1017
1018
1019
                                       22 21 18 17 16 15 28:

3 2 4 1 5 27 26:

7 6 8 10 9 25 24:
1020
1021
1022
1023
                                       20 19 14 13 12 11 23
1024
                             <weights> <!--
                                                  for centers of rigid fragments -->
1025
                                       14 12 14 12 12 12 1:
1026
1027
                                        32 12 12 12 12 1 1:
```

```
14 12 14 12 12 12 1
1029
                        </weights>
1030
              </segment>
1031
     </segments>
1833
```

3.4 Molecular orbitals

If the semi-empirical method is used to calculate electronic coupling elements, molecular orbitals of all molecules must be supplied. They can be generated using Gaussian program. The Gaussian input file for DCV2T is shown in listing 3.4. Provided with this input, Gaussian will generate fort. 7 file which contains the molecular orbitals of a DCV2T. This file can be renamed to DCV2T.orb. Note that the order of the atoms in the input file and the order of coefficients should always match. Therefore, the coordinate part of the input file must be supplied together with the orbitals. We will assume the coordinates, in the format atom type: x y z, is saved to the DCV2T.xyz file.



Attention

Izindo requires the specification of orbitals for hole and electron transport in map.xml. They are the HOMO and LUMO respectively and can be retrieved from the log file from which the DCV2T.orb file is generated. The number of alpha electrons is the HOMO, the LUMO is HOMO+1

list:zindo_orbitals

1035

1036

1037

1038

1041

1042

Listing 3.4: Gaussian input file get_orbitals.com used for generating molecular orbitals. The first line contains the name of the check file, the second the requested RAM. int=zindos requests the method ZINDO, punch=mo states that the molecular orbitals ought to be written to the fort. 7 file, nosymm forbids use of symmetry and is necessary to ensure correct position of orbitals with respect to the provided coordinates. The two integer numbers correspond to the charge and multiplicity of the system: 01 corresponds to a neutral system with a multiplicity of one. They are followed by the types and coordinates of all atoms in the molecule.

```
1043
     %chk=DCV2T.chk
     %mem=100Mb
1045
1046
     #p int=zindos punch=mo nosymm
    DCV2T molecular orbitals
1048
1049
1050
               -1.44650
                                 2.12185
                                                  0.00135
    S
1051
    C
               -2.43098
                                 0.58936
                                                 -0.00048
1052
               -1.59065
                                 -0.51859
                                                 -0.00146
1053
               -0.21222
                                -0.22233
                                                 -0.00095
1054
                0.07761
                                 1.13376
                                                  0.00040
1055
    S
                2.87651
                                 0.79316
                                                  0.00148
1056
1057
                3.86099
                                 2.32565
                                                  0.00235
                3.02066
                                 3.43359
                                                  0.00231
1058
                 1.64223
                                 3.13733
                                                  0.00162
    C
1059
                1.35240
                                 1.78125
                                                  0.00114
1060
1061
               -3.85350
                                 0.52245
                                                 -0.00081
                                                 -0.00008
               -4.79569
                                 1.52479
1062
               -6.18500
                                 1.18622
                                                 -0.00117
1063
    С
               -4.47544
                                 2.91565
                                                  0.00081
1064
1065
                5.28350
                                 2.39256
                                                  0.00296
                 6.22569
                                 1.39020
                                                  0.00327
1066
                7.61500
                                 1.72876
                                                  0.00432
1067
1068
                5.90542
                                -0.00064
                                                  0.00333
1069
                -7.32389
                                 0.89743
                                                 -0.00195
               -4.21872
                                 4.06274
    Ν
                                                  0.00142
1070
1071
                8.75389
                                 2.01754
                                                  0.00510
                 5.64864
                                -1.14772
1072
                -1.98064
                                -1.52966
                                                 -0.00256
1073
    H
               0.55785
                               -0.98374
                                                 -0.00169
```

```
4.44466 0.00272
    Н
               3.41065
1075
              0.87216
                             3.89874
                                            0.00147
1076
    H
1077
    Н
              -4.24640
                             -0.49192
                                           -0.00188
    Н
               5.67641
                             3.40692
                                            0.00337
1879
```

3.5 Monomer calculations for DFT transfer integrals

list:edft_gaussian_xm

Listing 3.5: Example package.xml file for the Gaussian package required in the options of the edft calculator for the monomer calculations as preparation for the determination of transfer integrals using DIPRO.

```
1081
     <package>
1082
       <name>gaussian</name>
1083
       <executable>g09</executable>
1084
       <checkpoint></checkpoint>
1085
       <scratch></scratch>
1086
1087
1088
       <charge>0</charge>
       <spin>1</spin>
1089
       <options># pop=minimal pbepbe/6-311g** scf=tight punch=mo nosymm test/options>
1090
1091
       <memory>1Gb</memory>
       <threads>2</threads>
1092
1093
1094
       <cleanup></cleanup>
     </package>
1895
```

list:edft_turbomole_xml

Listing 3.6: Example package.xml file for the Turbomole package required in the options of the edft calculator for the monomer calculations as preparation for the determination of transfer integrals using DIPRO.

```
1097
      <package>
1098
        <name>turbomole</name>
1099
1100
        <executable>ridft</executable>
        <scratch>/tmp</scratch>
1101
1102
1103
        <options>
     TITLE
1104
1105
     a coord
1106
     no
1107
1108
     b all def-TZVP
1109
     eht
1110
1111
     0
1112
1113
     dft
1114
1115
     on
1116
     func
     pbe
1117
1118
     grid
1119
1120
1121
     ri
1122
     on
     m 300
1123
1124
1125
     scf
1126
     conv
1127
     iter
1128
     200
1129
```

```
1131 marij
1132
1133 q
1134 </options>
1135
1136 <cleanup></cleanup>
1/package>
```

list:edft_nwchem_xm

Listing 3.7: Example package.xml file for the NWChem package required in the options of the edft calculator for the monomer calculations as preparation for the determination of transfer integrals using DIPRO.

```
<package>
1140
1141
       <name>nwchem</name>
       <executable>nwchem</executable>
1142
       <checkpoint></checkpoint>
1143
1144
       <scratch>/tmp/nwchem</scratch>
       <charge>0</charge>
1145
1146
       <spin>1</spin>
       <threads>1</threads>
1147
       <memory></memory>
1148
       <options>
1149
     start
1150
1151
     basis
      * library 6-311gss
1152
1153
     end
     memory 1500 mb
1154
1155
     dft.
1156
1157
      xc xpbe96 cpbe96
      direct
1158
     iterations 100
1159
      noprint "final vectors analysis"
     end
1161
1162
     task dft
1163
     </options>
       <cleanup></cleanup>
1164
     </package>
1165
```

3.6 Pair calculations for DFT transfer integrals

list:idft_gaussian_xm

Listing 3.8: Example package.xml file for the Gaussian package required in the options of the idft calculator for the pair calculations and the determination of transfer integrals using DIPRO.

```
<package>
       <name>gaussian</name>
1170
1171
       <executable>g09</executable>
       <checkpoint></checkpoint>
1172
       <scratch></scratch>
1173
1174
       <charge>0</charge>
1175
       <spin>1</spin>
1176
       <options># pop=minimal pbepbe/6-311g** nosymm IOp(3/33=1,3/36=-1) punch=mo guess=cards scf=(maxcycle=1,
       <memory>1Gb</memory>
1178
       <threads>1</threads>
1179
1180
       <cleanup></cleanup>
1181
     </package>
1183
```

list:idft_turbomole_xml

Listing 3.9: Example package.xml file for the Turbomole package required in the options of the idft calculator for the pair calculations and the determination of transfer integrals using DIPRO.

```
1184 | The state of the state o
```

```
<name>turbomole</name>
1186
        <executable>ridft</executable>
1187
1188
        <scratch>/tmp</scratch>
1189
        <options>
1190
1191
     $intsdebug cao
     a coord
1192
1193
1194
     no
     b all def-TZVP
1195
     eht
1197
1198
     0
1199
1200
     dft
1201
1202
     on
     func
1203
1204
     pbe
     grid
1205
1206
    m3
1207
     ri
1208
1209
     on
1210
     m 300
1211
1212
    scf
1213
     conv
1214
     iter
1215
1216
     diis
1217
1218
1219
     damp
1220
     0.00
1221
1222
1223
     marij
1224
1225
1226
       </options>
1227
1228
1229
       <cleanup></cleanup>
     </package>
1239
```

list:idft_nwchem_xml

Listing 3.10: Example package.xml file for the NWChem package required in the options of the idft calculator for the pair calculations and the determination of transfer integrals using DIPRO.

```
1232
1233
     <package>
1234
       <name>nwchem</name>
       <executable>nwchem</executable>
1235
       <checkpoint></checkpoint>
1236
       <scratch>/tmp/nwchem</scratch>
1237
       <charge>0</charge>
1238
1239
       <spin>1</spin>
       <memory></memory>
1240
1241
       <threads>1</threads>
1242
       <options>
    start
1243
1244 basis
     * library 6-311gss
1245
1246 end
1247
   memory 1500 mb
1248
1249 dft.
    print "ao overlap"
```

```
xc xpbe96 cpbe96
1251
1252
      direct
1253
      iterations 1
     convergence nodamping nodiis
1254
      noprint "final vectors analysis"
1255
1256
      vectors input system.movecs
1257
    end
1258
    task dft
     </options>
1259
      <cleanup></cleanup>
1260
    </package>
1361
```

3.7 DFT transfer integrals

list:TI_xml

Listing 3.11: Example TI.xml file created as the output of a DIPRO calculation. Due to slightly different implementations, the orbitals indices refer to monomer indices in a Gaussian run but to indices in the merged dimer guess in a Turbomole run.

```
<pair name="pair_100_155">
1265
         <parameters>
1266
1267
            <HOMO_A>162</HOMO_A>
            <NoccA>1</NoccA>
1268
1269
            <LUMO_A>164</LUMO_A>
            <NvirtA>1</NvirtA>
            <hOMO_B>161</hOMO_B>
1271
            <NoccB>1</NoccB>
1272
            <LUMO_B>163</LUMO_B>
1273
            <NvirtB>1</NvirtB>
1274
1275
         </parameters>
          <transport name="hole">
1276
              <channel name="single">
1277
                   <J>1.546400416750696E-003</J>
1278
                   <e_A>-6.30726450715697</e_A>
1279
                   <e_B>-6.36775613794166</e_B>
1280
               </channel>
1281
               <channel name="multi">
1282
1283
                  <molecule name="A">
                      <e_HOMOm0>-6.30726450715697</e_HOMOm0>
1284
                  </molecule>
1285
                  <molecule name="B">
1286
                      <e_HOMOm0>-6.36775613794166</e_HOMOm0>
1287
1288
                  </molecule>
                      <dimer name="integrals">
1289
                            <T_00>1.546400416750696E-003</T_00>
1290
1291
                            <J_sq_degen>2.391354248926727E-006</J_sq_degen>
                            <J_sq_boltz>2.391354248926727E-006</J_sq_boltz>
1292
                      </dimer>
1293
               </channel>
1294
          </transport>
1295
          <transport name="electron">
1296
               <channel name="single">
1297
                   <J>-2.797473760331286E-003</J>
1298
1299
                   <e_A>-4.50318366770689</e_A>
                   <e_B>-4.53143397059021</e_B>
1300
1301
               </channel>
               <channel name="multi">
                      <molecule name="A">
1303
                            <e_LUMOp0>-4.50318366770689</e_LUMOp0>
1304
1305
                      </molecule>
                      <molecule name="B">
1306
1307
                            <e_LUMOp0>-4.53143397059021</e_LUMOp0>
                      </molecule>
1308
                      <dimer name="integrals">
1309
                           <T_00>-2.797473760331286E-003</T_00>
```

3.8 State file

```
1318
sec:statefil
```

132

All data structures are saved to the state.sql file in sqlite3 format, see http://www.sqlite.org/. They are available in form of tables in the state.sql file as can be seen by the command sqlite3 state.sql " .tables "

An example of such a table are molecules. The full table can be displayed using the command (similar for the other tables)

sqlite3 state.sql " SELECT * FROM molecules "

The meaning of all the entries in the table can be displayed by a command like

1326 sqlite3 state.sql " .SCHEMA molecules "

The first and second entry are integers for internal and regular id of the molecule and the third entry is the name. A single field from the table like the name of the molecule can be displayed by a command like

1330 sqlite3 state.sql " SELECT name FROM molecules "

Besides molecules, the following tables are stored in the state.sql:

1332 conjseq_properties:

Conjugated segments are stored with id, name and x,y,z coordinates of the center of mass in nm. conjsegs:

Reorganization energies for charging or discharging a conjugated segment are stored together with the coulomb energy and any other user defined energy contribution (in eV) and occupation probabilities.

1338 pairs:

The pairs from the neighborlist are stored with the pair id, the id of the first and second segment, the rate from the first to the second , the rate from the second to the first (both in s^{-1}) and the x,y,z coordinates in nm of the distance between the first and the second segment.

1342 pairintegrals:

Transfer integrals for all pairs are stored in the following way: The pair id , the number for counting possible different electronic overlaps (e.g if only the frontier orbitals are taken into account this is always zero, while an effective value is stored in addition to the different overlaps of e.g. HOMO-1 and HOMO-1 if more frontier orbitals are taken into account) and the integral in eV.

1347 pairproperties:

The outer sphere reorganization energy of all pairs is stored by an id, the pair id, a string lambda_outer and the energy in eV.

1350 conjsegs:

Conjugated segments are saved in the following way: The id, the name, the type, the molecule id, the time frame, the x,y,z coordinates in nm and the occupation probability.

1353 conjseg_properties:

Properties of the conjugated segments like reorganization energies for charging or discharging a charge unit or the coulomb contribution to the site energy are stored by: id, conjugated segment id, a string like lambda_intra_charging, lambda_intra_discharging or energy_coulomb and a corresponding value in eV.

The tables rigidfrag_properties, rigidfrags and frames offer information about rigid fragments and time frames including periodic boundary conditions.

The data in the state.sql file can also be modified by the user. Here is an example how to modify the transfer integral between the conjugated segments number one and two assuming that they are in the neighborlist. Their pair id can be found by the command

3.8. STATE FILE 41

```
pair_ID='sqlite3state.sql"SELECT _id FROM pairs WHERE conjseq1=1 AND conjseq2=2"'
1363
    The old value of the transfer integral can be deleted using
1364
    sqlite3 state.sql "DELETE FROM pair_integrals WHERE pair=$pair_ID"
    Finally the new transfer integral J can be written to the state.sql file by the command
    sqlite3 state.sql "INSERT INTO pair_integrals (pair,num, J) VALUES ($pair_ID,0,$J)"
1367
    Here the num=0 indicates that only the effective transfer integrals is written to the file, while other
1368
    values of num would correspond to overlap between other orbitals than the frontier orbitals.
1369
    In a similar way the coulomb contribution to the site energy of the first conjugated segment can
    be overwritten by first getting its id
1371
    c_ID='sqlite3 state.sql "SELECT _id from conjseg_properties where conjseg=1 AND
1372
    key =\"energy_coulomb\""
1373
    Then deleting the old value
    sqlite3 state.sql "DELETE FROM from conjseq_properties WHERE _id=$c_ID"
1375
    Then the new coulomb energy E can be written to this id
1376
    sqlite3 state.sql "INSERT INTO conjseg_properties (_id,conjseg,key,value)
1377
    VALUES ($c_ID,1,\"energy_coulomb\",$E)"
1378
    Finally the resulting coulomb contribution to all conjugated segments can be displayed by
1379
    sqlite3 state.sql "SELECT * from conjseq_properties WHERE key=\"energy_coulomb\""
1380
```

Chapter 4

sec:reference

Reference

```
Programs
   1384
       Programs execute specific tasks (calculators).
       4.1.1 ctp_map
   1386
       Generates QM | MD topology
   1387
             -h [ --help ] display this help and exit
   1388
             -v [ --verbose ] be loud and noisy
   1389
             -t [ --topology ] arg topology
   1390
                [ --coordinates ] arg coordinates or trajectory
             -s [ --segments ] arg definition of segments and fragments
   1392
             -f [ --file ] arg state file
   1393
             --man output man-formatted manual pages
   1394
             --tex output tex-formatted manual pages
       4.1.2 ctp_dump
       Extracts information from the state file
             -h [ --help ] display this help and exit
   1398
             -v [ --verbose ] be loud and noisy
   1399
             -o [ --options ] arg calculator options
   140
                [ --file ] arg sqlight state file, *.sql
             -i [ --first-frame ] arg (=1) start from this frame
   1402
             -n [ --nframes ] arg (=1) number of frames to process
   1403
             -t [ --nthreads ] arg (=1) number of threads to create
   1404
             -s [ --save ] arg (=1) whether or not to save changes to state file
             -e [ --extract ] arg List of extractors separated by ',' or ''
   1406
             -l [ --list ] Lists all available extractors
   1407
             -d [ --description ] arg Short description of an extractor
             --man output man-formatted manual pages
   1409
             --tex output tex-formatted manual pages
   1410
       4.1.3 ctp_tools
   1411
prog:ctp_tools
       Runs charge transport tools
   1412
             -h [ --help ] display this help and exit
   1413
             -v [ --verbose ] be loud and noisy
```

1461

```
-t [ --nthreads ] arg (=1) number of threads to create
     1415
               -o [ --options ] arg calculator options
     1416
               --man output man-formatted manual pages
               --tex output tex-formatted manual pages
               -e [ --execute ] arg List of tools separated by ',' or ''
     1419
               -1 [ --list ] Lists all available tools
     1420
               -d [ --description ] arg Short description of a tool
     142
          4.1.4 ctp_run
     1422
          Runs charge transport calculators
               -h [ --help ] display this help and exit
                     --verbose ] be loud and noisy
     1425
               -o [ --options ] arg calculator options
     1426
               -f [ --file ] arg sqlight state file, *.sql
     1427
               -i [ --first-frame ] arg (=1) start from this frame
     1428
               -n [ --nframes ] arg (=1) number of frames to process
     1429
                  [ --nthreads ] arg (=1) number of threads to create
     1430
                  [ --save ] arg (=1) whether or not to save changes to state file
                   [ --execute ] arg List of calculators separated by ',' or ''
     1432
               -1 [ --list ] Lists all available calculators
     1433
               -d [ --description ] arg Short description of a calculator
     1434
               --man output man-formatted manual pages
     1435
               --tex output tex-formatted manual pages
     1436
          4.1.5 ctp_parallel
     1437
prog;ctp_paralle
          Runs job-based heavy-duty calculators
     1438
               -h [ --help ] display this help and exit
     1439
               -v [ --verbose ] be loud and noisy
     1440
               -o [ --options ] arg calculator options
               -f [ --file ] arg sqlite state file, *.sql
     1442
               -i [ --first-frame ] arg (=1) start from this frame
     1443
               -n [ --nframes ] arg (=1) number of frames to process
                  [ --nthreads ] arg (=1) number of threads to create
               -s [ --save ] arg (=1) whether or not to save changes to state file
     1446
               -r [ --restart ] arg restart pattern: 'host(pc1:234) stat(FAILED)'
     1447
               -c [ --cache ] arg (=8) assigns jobs in blocks of this size
     1448
               -j [ --jobs ] arg (=run) task(s) to perform: input, run, import
     1449
               -m [ --maxjobs ] arg (=-1) maximum number of jobs to process (-1 = inf)
     1450
               -e [ --execute ] arg List of calculators separated by ',' or '
     1451
               -1 [ --list ] Lists all available calculators
               -d [ --description ] arg Short description of a calculator
               --man output man-formatted manual pages
     1454
               --tex output tex-formatted manual pages
     1455
          4.1.6 moo_overlap
prog:moo_overlap
               -h [ --help ] display this help and exit
     1457
               -v [ --verbose ] be loud and noisy
               --man output man-formatted manual pages
     1459
               --tex output tex-formatted manual pages
     1460
```

--conjseg arg xml file describing two conjugated segments

--pos1 arg position and orientation of molecule 1

```
    --pos2 arg position and orientation of molecule 2
    --pdb arg (=geometry.pdb) pdb file of two molecules
```

4.2 Calculators

sæd:calculato

1465

Calculator is a piece of code which computes specific system properties, such as site energies, transfer integrals, etc. ctp_run, kmc_run are wrapper programs which executes such calculators. The generic syntax is

```
1469 ctp_run -e "calc1, calc2, ..." -o options.xml
```

File options.xml lists all options needed to run a specific calculator. The format of this file is explained in listing 4.1. A complete list of calculators is given in the calculators reference section.

list:calc

Listing 4.1: A part of the options.xml file with options for the calculator_name {1,2} calculators.

```
1472
     <calculator_name1>
1473
                <option1>value1</option1>
                <option2>value2</option2>
1475
1476
     </calculator_name1>
1477
1478
1479
     <calculator_name2>
                <option1>value1</option1>
1480
                <option2>value2</option2>
1481
     </calculator_name2>
1483
1484
```

A list of all calculators and their short descriptions can be obtain using

```
1487 ctp_run --list
```

A detailed description of all options of a specific calculator(s) is available via

```
ctp_run --desc calc1,calc2,...
```

4.2.1 coupling

1490 calc:coupling 1491

Electronic couplings from log and orbital files (GAUSSAIN, TURBOMOLE, NWChem)

option	default	unit	description
package			First-principles package
output	coupling.out.x	n	Output file
degeneracy	0	eV	Criterium for the degeneracy of two levels
moleculeA			
log	A.log		Log file of molecule A
orbitals	A.orb		Orbitals file
levels	3		Output HOMO,, HOMO-levels; LUMO,, LUMO+levels
trim	2		
moleculeB			
log	B.log		Log file of molecule B
orbitals	B.orb		Orbitals file
levels	3		Output HOMO,, HOMO-levels; LUMO,, LUMO+levels
trim	2		
dimerAB			
log	AB.log		Log file of dimer AB
orbitals	A.orb		Orbitals file

Return to the description of coupling.

4.2.2 log2mps

1493 alc:log2mps

Generates an mps-file (with polar-site definitions) from a QM log-file

option	default	unit	description
package			QM package
logfile			Log-file generated by QM package, with population/esp-fit data

Return to the description of log2mps.

4.2.3 molpol



Molecular polarizability calculator (and optimizer)

option	default	unit	description
mpsfiles			
input			mps input file
output			mps output file
polar			xml file with infos on polarizability tensor
induction			
expdamp			Thole sharpness parameter
wSOR			mixing factor for convergence
maxiter			maximum number of iterations
tolerance			rel. tolerance for induced moments
target			
optimize			if 'true', refine atomic polarizabilities to match molecular polarizable volume specified in target.molpol
molpol			target polarizability tensor in format $xx xy xz yy yz zz$ (this should be in the eigen-frame, hence $xy = xz = yz = 0$), if optimize=true the associated polarizable volume will be matched iteratively and the resulting set of polar sites written to mpsfiles.output
tolerance			relative tolerance when optimizing the polarizable volume

1498 Return to the description of molpol.

4.2.4 pdb2map



Converts MD + QM files to VOTCA mapping. Combinations: pdb+xyz,gro+xyz,pdb

option	default	unit	description
pdb	conf.pdb		Input pdb file
gro	conf.gro		Input gro file
xyz	conf.xyz		Input xyz file
xml	conf.xml		Resulting xml file

¹⁵⁰¹ Return to the description of pdb2map.

4.2.5 pdb2top



Generates fake Gromacs topology file .top

option	default	unit	description
num	1		Num of mols in the box
pdb	conf.pdb		Input pdb file
gro	conf.gro		Input gro file

Return to the description of pdb2top.

4.2.6 ptopreader

reader

1505

1509

1511

1515

1517

Reads binary .ptop-files (serialized from ewdbgpol) and processes them into something readable

option	default	unit	description
ptop_file			Binary archive .ptop-file

¹⁵⁰⁷ Return to the description of ptopreader.

4.2.7 eanalyze

Histogram and correlation function of site energies and pair energy differences

option	default	unit	description
resolution_sites		eV	Bin size for site energy histogram
resolution_pairs		eV	Bin size for pair energy histogram
resolution_space		eV	Bin size for site energy correlation
states			?

1510 Return to the description of eanalyze.

4.2.8 eimport

Imports site energies from the output file of emultipole and writes them to the state file

1513 Return to the description of eimport.

4.2.9 einternal

Reads in site and reorganosation energies and writes them to the state file

option	default	unit	description
energiesXML			XML input file with vacuum site, reorganization (charging, discharging) energies

516 Return to the description of einternal.

4.2.10 emultipole

Evaluates polarization contribution based on the Thole model

option	default	unit	description
multipoles			Polar Site Definitions in GDMA punch-file format
control			Control options for induction computation
induce	1		Enter '1' / '0' to toggle induction on / off
first			First segment for which to compute site energies
last			Last segment for which to compute site energies
output			File to write site energies to. Site energies are also stored in the state file
check			Check mapping of polar sites to fragment
tholeparam			Thole parameters required for charge-smearing
cutoff		nm	Cut-off beyond which all interactions are neglected

cutoff2	nm	Cut-off beyond which polarization is neglected
expdamp		Damping exponent used in exponential damping func-
		tion
scaling		1-n interaction scaling, currently not in use
esp		Control options for potential calculation
calcESP		Enter '1' / '0' to toggle on / off. If '1', site energies will not be evaluated
cube		
grid		XYZ file specifying grid points for potential evaluation
output		File to write grid-point potential to
esf		Control options for field calculation
calcESF		Enter '1' / '0' to toggle on / off. If '1', site energies will not be evaluated
grid		XYZ file specifying grid points for field evaluation
output		File to write grid-point field to
alphamol		Control options for molecular-polarizability calculation
calcAlpha		Enter '1' / '0' to toggle on / off. If '1', site energies will not be evaluated
output		File to write polarizability tensor in global frame and in diagonal form to
convparam		Convergence parameters for self-consistent field calculation
wSOR_N		Mixing factor for successive overrelaxation of neutral system, usually between 0.3 and 0.5
wSOR_C		Mixing factor for successive overrelaxation of charged system, usually between 0.3 and 0.5
tolerance		Convergence criterion, fulfilled if relative change smaller than tolerance
maxiter		Maximum number of iterations in the convergence loop

Return to the description of emultipole.

4.2.11 eoutersphere

calc:eoutersphere
1521 Evaluates outersphere reorganization energy

option	default	unit	description
multipoles			XML allocation polar sites
method			Type of the method: **constant** - all pairs have value **lambda**. **spheres** - molecules are treated as spheres with radii **radius** and Pekar factor **pekar**. **dielectric** - with Pekar factor **pekar** and partial charges from resulting dielectric fields
lambdaconst		eV	The value for all pairs in the **constant** method
pekar			Pekar factor used for methods **spheres** and **dielectric**
segment			
type			
radius			
segment			
type			
radius			
cutoff		nm	Cutoff radius in between pair and the exterior molecule. Can be used in **spheres** and **dielectric**

 $_{\mbox{\scriptsize 1522}}$ Return to the description of $\mbox{\scriptsize eoutersphere.}$

4.2.12 ewdbgpol

1523 alc:ewdbgpo 1524

Calculates background polarisation needed for ewald calc

option	default	unit	description
multipoles			
control			
mps_table			
pdb_check			
coulombmethod			
method			
cutoff			
shape			
polarmethod			
method			
induce			
cutoff			
convergence			
energy			
kfactor			
rfactor			

Return to the description of ewdbgpol.

4.2.13 ianalyze

1526 alc:ianalyz 1527

Evaluates a histogram of a logarithm of squared couplings

option	default	unit	description
resolution_logJ2			Bin size of histogram log(J2)
states			States for which to calculate the histogram. Example: 1 -1

1528 Return to the description of ianalyze.

1529 **4.2.14** iimport

alc:iimpo 1530 Imports electronic couplings from xml of ctp-dipro using folders of pairdump

option	default	unit	description
idft jobs file			idft jobs file

Return to the description of iimport.

4.2.15 izindo

1532 c:izindo

Semiempirical electronic coupling elements for all neighbor list pairs

option	default	unit	description
orbitalsXML			File with paths to .orb files

Return to the description of izindo.

4.2.16 jobwriter



Writes list of jobs for a parallel execusion

option	default	unit	description	
--------	---------	------	-------------	--

keys			job type
states	n e h		hole, electron, nuetral: mps file is required
single_id			Segment ID as argument for mps.single
kmc_cutoff		nm	Pair-interaction cut-off as argument for mps.kmc

Return to the description of jobwriter.

4.2.17 neighborlist

calc:neighborlist

1538

Constructs a list of neighboring conjugated segments

option	default	unit	description
constant	0.5	nm	If provided, this value is used for all segment types
segments			A pair of segment types
type			Types of two segments. For types A and B this can be A A, A B or B B
cutoff		nm	Cutoff radius for centers of mass of rigid fragments

Return to the description of neighborlist.

4.2.18 pairdump

calc:pairdump 1542

Coordinates of molecules and pairs from the neighbor list

option	default	unit	description
molecules			If **true** outputs single molecules, otherwise only pairs

Return to the description of pairdump.

4.2.19 profile

1544 calc:profile 1545

Density and site energy profiles

option	default	unit	description
axis			Axis along which to calculate density and energy profiles
direction	0 0 1		Axis direction
min		nm	Minimal projected position for manual binning
max		nm	Maximal projected position for manual binning
bin	0.1	nm	Spatial resolution of the profile
auto	1		'0' for manual binning using min and max, '1' for automated
particles			
type	segments		What centers of mass to use: 'segments' or 'atoms'
first	1		ID of the first segment
last	-1		ID of the last segment, -1 is the list end
output			
density	density.dat		Density profile file
energy	energy.dat		Energy profile file

Return to the description of profile.

4.2.20 rates

1547 llc:rate

Hopping rates using classical or semi-classical expression

option	default	unit	description
--------	---------	------	-------------

field			Field in x y z direction
temperature		K	Temperature for rates
method			Method chosen to compute rates. Can either be **marcus** or **jortner**. The first is the high temperature limit of Marcus theory, the second is the rate proposed by Jortner and Bixon
nmaxvib	20		If the method of choice is **jortner**, the maximal number of excited vibrations on the molecules has to be specified as an integer for the summation
omegavib	0.2	eV	If the method of choice is **jortner**, the vibration frequency of the quantum mode has to be given in units of eV. The default value is close to the CC bond-stretch at 0.2eV

Return to the description of rates.

1550

4.2.21 sandbox

Sandbox to test ctp classes

option	default	unit	description
ID			Not in use

Return to the description of sandbox.

1553 calc:stateserve

4.2.22 stateserver

Export SQLite file to human readable format

option	default	unit	description
out			Output file name
pdb			PDB coordinate file name
keys			Sections to write to readable format (topology, segments, pairs, coordinates)

Return to the description of stateserver.

1556 4

4.2.23 tdump

Coarse-grained and back-mapped (using rigid fragments) trajectories

option	default	unit	description
md	MD.pdb		Name of the coarse-grained trajectory
qm	QM.pdb		Name of the trajectory with back-substituted rigid fragments
frames	1		Number of frames to output

Return to the description of tdump.

4.2.24 vaverage

alc:vaverage

Computes site-centered velocity averages from site occupancies

option	default	unit	description
carriers			Carrier types for which to compute velocity averages
tabulate			Tabulate 'atoms' or 'segments'

Return to the description of vaverage.

1562 multipole

4.2.25 zmultipole

Evaluates polarization contribution based on the Thole model

option	default	unit	description
multipoles			Polar Site Definitions in GDMA punch-file format
control			Control options for induction computation
induce	1		Enter '1' / '0' to toggle induction on / off
first			First segment for which to compute site energies
last			Last segment for which to compute site energies
output			File to write site energies to. Site energies are also stored in the state file
check			Check mapping of polar sites to fragment
tholeparam			Thole parameters required for charge-smearing
cutoff		nm	Cut-off beyond which all interactions are neglected
cutoff2		nm	Cut-off beyond which polarization is neglected
expdamp			Damping exponent used in exponential damping function
scaling			1-n interaction scaling, currently not in use
esp			Control options for potential calculation
calcESP			Enter '1' / '0' to toggle on / off. If '1', site energies will not be evaluated
cube			
grid			XYZ file specifying grid points for potential evaluation
output			File to write grid-point potential to
esf			Control options for field calculation
calcESF			Enter '1' / '0' to toggle on / off. If '1', site energies will not be evaluated
grid			XYZ file specifying grid points for field evaluation
output			File to write grid-point field to
alphamol			Control options for molecular-polarizability calculation
calcAlpha			Enter '1' / '0' to toggle on / off. If '1', site energies will not be evaluated
output			File to write polarizability tensor in global frame and in diagonal form to
convparam			Convergence parameters for self-consistent field calculation
wSOR_N			Mixing factor for successive overrelaxation of neutral system, usually between 0.3 and 0.5
wSOR_C			Mixing factor for successive overrelaxation of charged system, usually between 0.3 and 0.5
tolerance			Convergence criterion, fulfilled if relative change smaller than tolerance
maxiter			Maximum number of iterations in the convergence loop

Return to the description of zmultipole.



4.2.26 edft

A wrapper for first principles based single site calculations

option	default	unit	description
job			Job options
tasks	input,run,parse		What to run
store	orbitals		What to store

Return to the description of edft.

1568 4.2.27 idft

Projection method for electronic couplings. Requires edft otput

option	default	unit	description
tasks	input,run,parse		What to do
store	orbitals,overlap		What to store
degeneracy	0	eV	Criterium for the degeneracy of two levels
levels	3		Output between HOMO,, HOMO-levels; LUMO,, LUMO+levels
trim	2		Use trim*occupied of virtual orbitals

Return to the description of idft.

4.2.28 pewald3d

1571

Evaluates site energies in a periodic setting

option	default	unit	description
jobcontrol			
job_file			
multipoles			
mapping			
mps_table pdb_check			
pdb_check			
coulombmethod			
method			
cutoff			
shape			
polarmethod			
method			
induce			
cutoff			
tasks			
calculate_fields			
polarize_fg			
evaluate_energy			
coarsegrain			
cg_background			
cg_foreground			
cg_radius			
cg_anisotropic			
convergence			
energy			
kfactor			
rfactor			

 1573 Return to the description of pewald3d.

4.2.29 qmmm

QM/MM with the Thole MM model

option default	unit	description

control			
pdb_check			PDB file of polar sites
write_chk	dipoles.xyz		XYZ file with dipoles split onto point charges
format_chk	xyz		format, gaussian or xyz
split_dpl	1		'0' do not split dipoles onto point charges, '1' do split
dpl_spacing	1e-3	nm	Spacing to be used when splitting dipole onto point charges: d = q * a
qmpackage			geo. u - 1
package			QM package to use for the QM region
gwbse			Specify if GW/BSE excited state calculation ist needed
gwbse_options			GW/BSE options file
state			Number of excited state, which is to be calculated
type			Character of the excited state to be calculated
			Filter with which to find the excited state after each calcu-
filter			lation
oscilla-			Oscillator strength filter, only states with higher oscillator
tor_strength			strength are considered
_			Charge transfer filter , only states with charge transfer
charge_transfer			above threshold are consdered
qmmmconvg			convergence criteria for the QM/MM
dR	0.001	nm	RMS of coordinates
dQ	0.001	e	RMS of charges
dE_QM	0.0001	eV	Energy change of the QM region
dE_MM	0.0001	eV	Energy change of the MM region
max_iter	10		Number of iterations
coulombmethod			Options for the MM embedding
method	cut-off		Method for evaluation of electrostatics
cutoff1			Cut-off for the polarizable MM1 shell
cutoff2			Cut-off for the static MM2 shell
tholemodel			Parameters for teh Thole model
induce			'1' - induce '0' - no induction
induce_intra_pair			'1' - include mutual interaction of induced dipoles in the QM region. '0' - do not
exp_damp	0.39		Sharpness parameter
scaling			Bond scaling factors
9			Convergence parameters for the MM1 (polarizable) re-
convergence			gion
wSOR_N			Mixing factor for the succesive overrelaxation algorithm for a neutral QM region
wSOR_C			Mixing factor for the succesive overrelaxation algorithm for a charged QM region
max_iter	512		Maximal number of iterations to converge induced dipoles
tolerance			Maximum RMS change allowed in induced dipoles
tolerance	[maximum ravio change anowed in modeled dipoles

Return to the description of qmmm.

4.2.30 xqmultipole

1578 Electrostatic interaction and induction energy of charged molecular clusters

option	default	unit	description
multipoles			Polar-site mapping definition
control			
job_file			Job file
emp_file			Polar-background definition, allocation of mps-files to segments

pdb_check		Whether or not to output a pdb-file of the mapped polar sites
format_chk		Format for check-file: 'xyz' or 'gaussian'
split_dpl		Split dipoles onto point charges in check-file
dpl_spacing	nm	Spacing between point charges for check-file output
coulombmethod		
method		Currently only cut-off supported
cutoff1	nm	Full-interaction radius cut-off
cutoff2	nm	Radius of electrostatic buffer
tholemodel		
induce		Induce - or not
induce_intra_pair		Induce mutually within the charged cluster
exp_damp		Thole sharpness parameter
scaling		Bond scaling parameters, currently not used
convergence		
wSOR_N		SOR mixing factor for overall neutral clusters
wSOR_C		SOR mixing factor for overall charged clusters
max_iter		Maximum number of iterations
tolerance		Relative tolerance as convergence criterion

¹⁵⁷⁹ Return to the description of xqmultipole.

4.2.31 energy2xml

calc:energy2xml 1581

Write out energies from SQL file

option default unit description	
---------------------------------	--

Return to the description of energy2xml.

4.2.32 integrals2xml

caic:integrais2xm 1584 Write out transfer integrals from SQL file

option default unit description	
---------------------------------	--

Return to the description of integrals2xml.

4.2.33 occupations2xml

calc:occupations2xm 1587

Write out site occupation probabilities from SQL file

			1 4
option	default	unit	description

Return to the description of occupations2xml.

4.2.34 pairs2xml

calc:pairs2xm

1589

Write out neighbourlist from SQL file

op	tion	default	unit	description	

Return to the description of pairs2xml.

1592 calc:rates2xm 1593

4.2.35 rates2xml

Write out charge transfer rates from SQL file

option | default | unit | description

Return to the description of rates2xml.

4.2.36 segments2xml

calc:segments2xml 1596

1595

Write out segment data from SQL file

option | default | unit | description

Return to the description of segments2xml.

4.2.37 trajectory2pdb

1599

1598

Generate PDB files for the mapped MD/QM topology

option | default | unit | description

Return to the description of trajectory2pdb.

Bibliography

mcmahon_organic_2010 [28] D. P. McMahon and A. Troisi, ChemPhysChem 11, 2067 (2010).

poelking_long-range_2016

```
[2] P. Kordt and D. Andrienko, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 12, 36 (2016). ii
     kordt_modeling_2016
                      [3] V. Rühle et al., Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 7, 3335 (2011). ii, 3, 24
   ruhle_microscopic_2011
                      [4] A. Lukyanov and D. Andrienko, Physical Review B 82, 193202 (2010). ii, 26
  lukyanov extracting 2010
                      [5] B. Baumeier, J. Kirkpatrick, and D. Andrienko, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 12, 11103 (2010). ii, 19, 21, 24,
meier density-functional 2010
                      [6] V. Rühle et al., Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 5, 3211 (2009). ii, 3, 6
     ruhle versatile 2009
                      [7] J. Kirkpatrick, International Journal of Quantum Chemistry 108, 51 (2008). ii, 23
kirkpatrick_approximate_2008
                      [8] E. Gamma, R. Helm, and R. E. Johnson, Design Patterns. Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software., 1st ed., reprint.
     gamma_design_1995
                           ed. (Addison-Wesley Longman, Amsterdam, ADDRESS, 1995). 3
                      [9] V. Rühle, J. Kirkpatrick, and D. Andrienko, The Journal of Chemical Physics 132, 134103 (2010). 7
    ruhle multiscale 2010
   vukmirovic_charge_2008 [10] N. Vukmirović and L.-W. Wang, The Journal of Chemical Physics 128, 121102 (2008). 7
   vukmirovic_charge_2009 [11] N. Vukmirović and L.-W. Wang, Nano Letters 9, 3996 (2009).
      mcmahon_ad_2009 [12] D. P. McMahon and A. Troisi, Chemical Physics Letters 480, 210 (2009). 7
                     [13] J.-L. Brédas, D. Beljonne, V. Coropceanu, and J. Cornil, Chemical Reviews 104, 4971 (2004). 8, 24
       may_charge_2011 [14] V. May and O. Kühn, Charge and Energy Transfer Dynamics in Molecular Systems, 3rd, revised and enlarged edition ed.
                           (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2011). 9, 25
breneman_determining_1990 [15] C. M. Breneman and K. B. Wiberg, Journal of Computational Chemistry 11, 361 (1990). 11
    stone_distributed_1985 [16] A. Stone and M. Alderton, Molecular Physics 56, 1047 (1985). 11, 12
     chirlian atomic_1987 [17] L. E. Chirlian and M. M. Francl, Journal of Computational Chemistry 8, 894 (1987). 11
     singh_approach_1984 [18] U. C. Singh and P. A. Kollman, Journal of Computational Chemistry 5, 129 (1984). 11
    stone_distributed_2005 [19] A. J. Stone, Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 1, 1128 (2005). 12
                     [20] A. J. Stone, The Theory of intermolecular forces (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1997). 13
     thole_molecular_1981 [21] B. T. Thole, Chemical Physics 59, 341 (1981). 13
van_duijnen_molecular_1998 [22] P. T. van Duijnen and M. Swart, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A 102, 2399 (1998). 13, 14
    applequist_atom_1972 [23] J. Applequist, J. R. Carl, and K.-K. Fung, Journal of the American Chemical Society 94, 2952 (1972). 13
     ren_polarizable_2003 [24] P. Ren and J. W. Ponder, The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 107, 5933 (2003). 13, 14
    baessler_charge_1993 [25] H. Baessler, Physica Status Solidi B 175, 15 (1993). 19, 24
troisi charge-transport 2006 [26] A. Troisi and G. Orlandi, Physical Review Letters 96, 086601 (2006).
      troisi_charge_2009 [27] A. Troisi, D. L. Cheung, and D. Andrienko, Physical Review Letters 102, 116602 (2009).
```

[1] C. Poelking and D. Andrienko, Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 12, 4516 (2016). ii, 14

- vehoff_charge_2010 [29] T. Vehoff, B. Baumeier, A. Troisi, and D. Andrienko, Journal of the American Chemical Society 132, 11702 (2010). 19 walker_electrical_2002 [30] A. B. Walker, A. Kambili, and S. J. Martin, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 14, 9825 (2002). 24 borsenberger_charge_1991 [31] P. M. Borsenberger, L. Pautmeier, and H. Bässler, The Journal of Chemical Physics 94, 5447 (1991). pasveer_unified_2005 [32] W. F. Pasveer et al., Physical Review Letters 94, 206601 (2005). 24 bredas_molecular_2009 [33] J.-L. Brédas, J. E. Norton, J. Cornil, and V. Coropceanu, Accounts of Chemical Research 42, 1691 (2009). 24 coropceanu_charge_2007 [34] V. Coropceanu et al., Chemical Reviews 107, 926 (2007). nelson_modeling_2009 [35] J. Nelson, J. J. Kwiatkowski, J. Kirkpatrick, and J. M. Frost, Accounts of Chemical Research 42, 1768 (2009). 24 marcus_electron_1993 [36] R. A. Marcus, Reviews of Modern Physics 65, 599 (1993). 24 hutchison_hopping_2005 [37] G. R. Hutchison, M. A. Ratner, and T. J. Marks, Journal of the American Chemical Society 127, 2339 (2005). 24 chang_new_2005 [38] J.-L. Chang, Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy 232, 102 (2005). 24 hoffman_reorganization_1996 [39] B. M. Hoffman and M. A. Ratner, Inorganica Chimica Acta 243, 233 (1996). 25 bortz_new_1975 [40] A. B. Bortz, M. H. Kalos, and J. L. Lebowitz, Journal of Computational Physics 17, 10 (1975). 25 scher_anomalous_1975 [41] H. Scher and E. Montroll, Physical Review B 12, 2455 (1975). 26 borsenberger_role_1993 [42] P. M. Borsenberger, E. H. Magin, M. D. Van Auweraer, and F. C. D. Schryver, Physica Status Solidi A 140, 9 (1993). 26 derrida_velocity_1983 [43] B. Derrida, Journal of Statistical Physics 31, 433 (1983). 26, 28 cordes_one-dimensional_2001 [44] H. Cordes et al., Physical Review B 63, 094201 (2001). seki_electric_2001 [45] K. Seki and M. Tachiya, Physical Review B 65, 014305 (2001). 26 van_der_holst_modeling_2009 [46] J. J. M. van der Holst et al., Physical Review B 79, 085203 (2009). 27
 - ${\color{blue} {\tt novikov_essential_1998}} \quad [48] \; \text{ S. V. Novikov } \textit{et al., Physical Review Letters 81, 4472 (1998). 28}$
 - nagata_atomistic_2008 [49] Y. Nagata and C. Lennartz, The Journal of Chemical Physics 129, 034709 (2008). 28

dunlap_charge-dipole_1996 [47] D. Dunlap, P. Parris, and V. Kenkre, Physical Review Letters 77, 542 (1996). 28

novikov_cluster_1995 [50] S. V. Novikov and A. V. Vannikov, The Journal of Physical Chemistry 99, 14573 (1995). 28