### Coulting Court in Proceeding Court in Testing Court in Section Court in Court in Proceeding Court in Proceding Court in Proceeding Court in Proceding Court in Proceding Court in Proceding Court in Proceeding Court in Proceding Court in Proced	VVSG 2.0 Sectio	n Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
The State of	1			Related Requirements	Tiow vasuite weets	now votingworks lests	151 Reference
All Descriptions Comparison per life apply provide and control from the comparison of the comparison per life apply provide and comparison of the comparison per life apply per life							
Section Company and Compan	1.1						
Processing of the content of the c					-		
Control Cont	1.1.1	Election Bennition	The voting system must provide the capability to import, define, maintain, and export the information necessary		1		
Total	1.1.1-A	Election Definition	to define ballots and hold an election, including for:		VxSuite supports importing an election definition in the	VotingWorks testing staff manually tests importing	
Margin control of the control of t							
1						•	
The improvement of the control of						••	System Overview > Flection Package
Second Company of the Company of t	1.1.1-A.4		'		To programming precinct components.	testing.	System Overview > Election Fackage
E Course Control of the control of			· ·				
Part							
All to Seed Seed and product and described and of seed before the state of special control and con	U	Discussion	common data formats for importing and exporting election definition information.		+		
The content of the							
1115 Security Company of the c	1 1 1-R	Serve multiple or split precincts and election districts					
Process of the proc		Serve maniple of spire precinets and election districts					
Description Security Securi					-		System Overview > Election Package
The ending primary and contributions of the contributions of contributions							
Addigo Exercision	D	Discussion			4		
Author principles Auth							
11-10 Included included from the company of the control of of the c	1.1.1-C	Multiple identifiers				VotingWorks testing staff manually tests ballot definitions	
Security of the control process of the control of t					VxSuite supports importing ballot definitions per the ballot	·	
The control state of the contr						•	
Decisions Operating Control grant control process and contexts. 11.10.1 Control control of participation of	1.1.1-C.3				referencing of subdivisions, districts, etc.	used in automated testing.	System Overview > Election Package
The ording operation and maintaining and an electrical tell efficiency and extracting the extraction of the extrac	D	Discussion					
1.1 1.1			The second section of the second seco				
1.1 1.1							
Initiative or to the participant or both participants of the coupling to the coupling of the		Definition of parties and contests			4	VotingWorks testing staff manually tests ballot definitions	
1.1.1.0.1.0.1.0.1.0.1.0.1.0.1.0.1.0.1.0	1.1.1-D.1				7 ''	·	
on the state include allong portionness. It is writing a poster many provides the capability to define and dentity contests, contest options, candidates, and under control to the contro	1.1.1-D.2					•	
The sotting graftern most provide the capability to define and issertive contents options, candidates, and ballet appearance and provides and provides to the indicated and the manufacture provided registeric contents options, candidates, and ballet appearance and provides and p							System Overview > Election Package
No. Wisting system must provide the capability for all of the worting system must provide the capability for define and identify contrast, carried options, anadidates, and possible system must provide the capability for all of the worting system must provide the capability for all of the worting system must provide the capability for all of the worting system must provide the capability of special decision of maintained must be maintained and provide in the mission of the street of maintained must be maintained and provide in the mission of maintained must be maintained and provide in the street of maintained must be maintained and provided to a genitical must be maintained and provided in the street of maintained must be maintained and provided to a genitical must be maintained and provided to the maintained must be maintained and provided to the maintained must be maintained and provided to the maintained must be maintaine						· ·	
The voting system must provide the capability to define an identify conded may be requirementally to define an interval to the manufacture provided in permetal to a statement. After leading the election definition action a piece Violage May be requirementally as a final to a statement of the comparent, the recording is confirmed by allowing the associated election definition action a piece Violage May be requirementally as a final to a statement of the comparent, the recording of electron definition and automative definition action a piece Violage May be requirementally as a final to a statement of the comparent, the recording of electron definition and automative definition of the statement of the comparent of the compare					VySuite supports this canability for all of the voting		
1.1.1 Wing variation Septembers in sections 1.1.4 - Cating and 1.1.3 - Tabulation for voting variations most commonly used in the translation of the U.S. 1.1.4 Confirm recording of election definition on a given Votice associated election definition in many provided election definition in many provided election definition in this is deal in commonly used in the voting system must druck and confirm that its deal is correctly recorded to a pensionent surge system. 1.1.5 Confirm recording of election definition in the system was check and confirm that its deal is correctly recorded to a pensionent surge system. 1.1.6 Dectro Definition Distribution The voting system must dender to must growth for the exclusion of all contests in a given ballot style, in which the voter is provided for the exclusion of party-specific Contests in published from noting increases and provided for the exclusion of all contests in a given ballot style, in which the voter is provided by the voter access to an orate that the specified in a given ballot style in which the voter is provided by the voter access to any contest in published from noting increases and public style in which the voter is provided by the voter access to any contest in published from noting increases and			The voting system must provide the capability to define and identify contests, contest options, candidates, and				
After loading the election definition onto a given Visible composent, the recording of election definition onto a given Visible composent, the recording of election definition onto a given Visible composent, the recording of election definition onto a given Visible composent, the recording of election definition onto a given Visible composent, the recording of election definition onto a given Visible composent, the recording of election definition in the southern than and summary metallal and so screen and in resilients report. In eviting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each visible givene in the voting government provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each visible givene in the voting government and the recording of election definition to USa configure each visible givene in the voting government and the recording election definition to USa configure each visible givene in the voting government and the recording of election definition to USa configure each visible givene in the voting government and provide for recording of election definition of the decision of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each visible givene in the voting government and provide for recording evernment and the recording of election definition to USa configure each visible given as a significant provided in a given based to visible expensive in the voting government must provide for the enclosion of any cortest in a given ballot style of expension and provided in the voting government provide for the enclosion of any cortest from a given ballot style of expension and provided in the voting expension of place of the expension of the voting expension must provide for the exclusion of any cortest from a given ballot style of expension provided in the voting expension must provide for the exclusion of any cortest from a given ballot style of expension provided in the voting	1.1.1-E	Voting variation	ballot questions using all voting variations indicated in the manufacturer-provided implementation statement.			definitions are also used in automated testing.	System Overview > Election Package
After loading the electrion definition and a given Visule component, the recogning to confirmed by browing the associated decision definition hash and summary metabolic excision definition hash is shown on a given component. The recogning conditions to provide four creation of master capies of electron definition to the story of t		200					
2.1.1.2 Colim recording of election definition 3.1.2 Colim recording of election definition 4.1.2 Colim recording of election definition 4.1.2 Colim recording of election definition 4.1.2 Colim recording of election definition 4.1.3 Colim recording of election definition 4.1.4 Colim recording of election definition in sam summary readables 4.1.4 Colim recording of election definition in sam summary readables 4.1.4 Colim recording of election definition in sam summary readables 4.1.4 Colim recording of election definition in sam summary readables 4.1.4 Colim recording of election definition in sam summary readables 4.1.4 Colim recording of election definition in sam summary readables 4.1.4 Colim recording of election definition in sam summary readables 4.1.4 Colim recording of election definition in sam summary readables 4.1.4 Colim recording of election definition in sam sum supports and summary readables 4.1.4 Colim recording of election definition in sam summary readables 4.1.4 Colim recording of election definition in sam sum sum sum sum sum sum sum sum sum su	U	Discussion	the U.S.		After loading the election definition onto a given VySuite		
Decision Persistent storage includes storage systems such as non-volatile memory, hard disks, and optical disks. Decision Persistent storage includes storage systems such as non-volatile memory, hard disks, and optical disks. The voting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each voting device in the voting system. The voting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each voting device in the voting system. The voting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each voting device in the voting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each voting device in the voting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each voting device in the voting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each voting device in the voting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each voting device in the voting system must provide for the inclusion of all contests in a given ballot style. The voting system must provide for the inclusion of all contests in a given ballot style, in which the voter is entitled to vote. The voting system must provide for the eclusion of any contest from a given ballot style, in which the voter is only included in their ballot style of the eclusion of any contest from a given ballot style, in which the voter is only included in their ballot style of the eclusion of any contests and style of voting the contests with grant and state						VotingWorks testing staff manually confirms the expected	
Discussion Description The voting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each voting device in the voting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each voting device in the voting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each voting device. Vaderain saves a digitally signed election definition to USB drives that are used to program each voting device. Vaderain saves a digitally signed election definition to USB drives that are used to program each voting device. Vaderain saves a digitally signed election definition to USB drives that are used to program each voting device. Vaderain saves a digitally signed election definition to USB drives that are used to program each voting device. Vaderain saves a digitally signed election definition to USB drives that are used to program each voting device. Vaderain saves a digitally signed election definition to USB drives that are used to program each voting device. Vaderain saves a digitally signed election definition to USB drives that are used to program each voting device. Vaderain saves a digitally signed election definition to USB drives that are used to program each voting device. Vadir an include content save and the accompanying build under the exception of each great save and the accompanying to the common and the program and the prog					•		
The voting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each voting device in the voting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each voting device. 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition distribution 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition distribution 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition is provided in a grid based common data formal specification. 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition is provided in a grid based common data formal specification. 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition is provided in a grid based common data formal specification. 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition is provided in a grid based common data formal specification. 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition is provided in a grid based common data formal specification. 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition is provided in a grid based common data formal specification. 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition is provided in a grid based common data formal specification. 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition is provided in a grid based common data formal specification. 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition is provided in a grid based common data formal specification. 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition is provided in a grid based common data formal specification. 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition is distribution. 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition is distributed. 1.1.1-H Jurisdiction definition distribution. 1.1.1-H Juris					on screen and in readiness reports.	after loading the package.	User Manual > Configure [Component]
The voting system must provide for creation of master copies of election definition information as needed to configure each voting device in the voting system. **Notine supports any priorial critical part of the accuracy in provided for the accuracy in provided the voter access to any contest from a given ballot style, in which the voter is not included in their ballot style ger the election definition is provided that their ballot style ser the election definition is provided that their ballot style ser the election definition is provided to a provided that the subject of the voter access to contests included in their ballot style ser the election definition is provided in a girch ballot style sor in the voter access to contest included in their ballot style sor in the voter access to any contest in a given ballot style, in which the voter is not included in their ballot style per the election definition is provided that their ballot style per the election definition is provided that their ballot style per the election definition is provided to a provided that the voter access to contests included in their ballot style sor in included in their ballot style sor included in their ballot style sor in included in their ballot style sor in included in their ballot style sor in included in their ballot style sor included in their ballot style sor in success to any contests on supprise. **Solite supports election defin	ט	Discussion	Persistent storage includes storage systems such as non-volatile memory, nard disks, and optical disks.		-	VotingWorks testing staff manually exports signed election	
### 1.1.1-16 Gettion Distribution Configure each voting device. Authorition distribution Configure each voting device. Authorition distribution Visual supports any jurisdiction-dependent, text, line art, logos and images on ballot styles in a given based common data formation is provided in a pair-based common data formation is provided in the control of provides the voter access to contests included in a ballot style per the election definition ballot style or the election definition style or the election definition shall style or contest mapping. 1.1.1-15 Exclude contests D D Discussion The voting system must provide for the exclusion of any contest from a given ballot style, in which the voter is provided from voting because of place of residence or other administrative criteria. D D Discussion The voting system must support the association of different contests with different political parties as provided to different political parties as specified in the election definitions with contests mapping. Visually support subtributed lection definition on the contest mapping. Visual supports bection definitions with contests mapping. Visual supports bection definitions with contests mapping and the voting and the voting							
The voting system must enable election officials to update jurisdiction-dependent text, line art, logos, and logos and mages to ballot styles if the accompanying ballot definition is provided in a grid-based common data formation in ages to ballot styles. The voting system must provide for the inclusion of all contests in a given ballot style, in which the voter is entitled to vote. The voting system must provide for the inclusion of all contests in a given ballot style, in which the voter is entitled to vote. The voting system must provide for the exclusion of any contest from a given ballot style, in which the voter is prohibited from voting because of place of residence or other administrative criteria. D Discussion The voting system must support the association of different contests with different political parties when administering primary elections. The voting system must support the association of different contests with different political parties when administering primary elections. The voting system must support the association of different contests with different political parties when administering primary elections capture devices by including relevant metadata in distributed election definitions in voter contest mapping. Visual to support selection definitions with specified ballot or capture devices by including relevant metadata in distributed election definitions in voter capture devices by including relevant metadata in distributed election definitions in voter capture devices by including relevant metadata in distributed election definitions for voters from two or visual supports and ballot styles. Visual to support selection definitions with specified ballot styles for a given politic parties as specified in the ballot of the election definitions with specified in the election definitions with contests mapped to definition CDF. Visual to support selectio						, ,	User Manual > Save Election Package;
The voting system must enable election officials to update jurisdiction-dependent text, line art, logos, and images to ballot styles if the accompanying ballot definition is provided in a grid-based common data forms the specification. **Notite only provides the voter access to contests included in a ballot style per the election definition ballot style per the election definitions ballot styles only including contests specified in the election definitions ballot styles on the election definitions ballot style on the election definitions ballot styles on the election definitions on the election definitions and	1.1.1-G	Election Definition Distribution	configure each voting device in the voting system.			authenticated.	System Overview > VxAdmin Function
The voting system must enable election officials to update jurisdiction-dependent text, line art, logos, and mages to ballot styles. 1.1.1 Jurisdiction definition is provided in a grid-based common data formus specification. Specificat						VotingWorks testing staff manually creates ballot styles	
VSuite only provides the voter access to contests included in a ballot style per the election definition ballot style sonly including contests specified in entitled to vote. 1.1.1-1 Include contests			The voting system must enable election officials to update jurisdiction-dependent text, line art, logos, and				
In clude contests In contest mapping. In system must provide for the exclusion of any contest from a given ballot style, in which the voter is pornibited from voting because of place of residence or other administrative criteria. In system supporting primary elections, sins requirement would include the exclusion of party-specific contests for rwinkin voters in a particular political party are not eligible to vote. In contest mapping. In system supporting primary elections, associate contests with parties In contest mapping. In system must provide from the voting system must provide for the exclusion of any contest from a given ballot style, in which the voter is a particular political party-specific contests on contests mapped for which voters in a particular political party-specific contests on contest mapping. In system support primary election of party-specific contests on contest mapping. In system overview > Election Package Visual to supports election definitions with contests mapped to definition swith contests mapped to definition swith contests mapped to definition swith specified in the ballot configuration of the exclusion of different contests with different political parties as specified in the ballot style on the contest mapping. Visual to supports election definitions with contests mapped to definition swith contests mapped to definition of the exclusion of the election definition and ballot style on the parties of the election definition swith specified in the election definition and ballot style on the parties	1.1.1-H	Jurisdiction definition distribution	images to ballot styles.		-1 '		System Overview > Election Package
1.1.1-1 Include contests entitled to vote. Contest mapping. Value supports election definition shallot style on the election definition specified in the election definition			The voting system must provide for the inclusion of all contests in a given hallot style, in which the voter is				
A substitute of the voting system must provide for the exclusion of any contest from a given ballot style, in which the voter is prohibited from voting because of place of residence or other administrative criteria. D	1.1.1-l	Include contests					
The voting system must provide for the exclusion of any contest from a given ballot style, in which the voter is prohibited from voting because of place of residence or other administrative criteria. D Discussion					1	VotingWorks testing staff confirms during functional	, and the second
1.1.1-J Exclude contests prohibited from voting because of place of residence or other administrative criteria. D Discussion for which voters in a particular political party are not eligible to vote. Valite supports election definitions with contests mapped to different political parties as specified in the ballot administering primary elections, associate contests with parties administering primary elections. 1.1.1-K Primary elections, associate contests with parties administering primary elections. Ballot rotation, Election definition 1.1.1-L Ballot rotation, Election definition The voting system must support the production of rotated ballots or activating ballot rotation functions in vote-capture devices by including relevant metadata in distributed election definitions and ballot styles. The voting system must include the capability of creating distinct ballot configurations for voters from two or voters from two or votens from two or votens from two or votens from two or votens from two or voters mapping. Vasite supports election definitions with contests mapped to different political parties as specified in the ballot of different political parties as specified in the ballot definition CDF. Voting Works testing staff confirms during functional testing that contests are associated with the proper party. Voting Works testing staff confirms during functional testing that ballots are rotated per the election definition specification. Vasuite supports election definition CDF specification. Voting Works testing staff confirms during functional testing that ballots are rotated per the election definition specification. Voting Works testing staff confirms during functional testing that ballots are rotated per the election definition specification. Voting Works testing staff confirms during functional testing that ballots are rotated per the election definition specification. Voting Works testing staff confirms during functional testing that confirms during functional voting per devices by including			The voting system must provide for the evaluation of any contact from a given halles study in which the contact				
D Discussion for which voters in a particular political party are not eligible to vote. VXSuite supports election definitions with contests mapped to different political parties as specified in the ballot definitions with specified ballot rotation, Election definition 1.1.1-L Ballot rotation, Election definition The voting system must support the production of rotated ballots or activating ballot tonfigurations and ballot styles The voting system must include the capability of creating distinct ballot configurations for voters from two or The voting system must include the capability of creating distinct ballot configurations for voters from two or The voting system must include the capability of creating distinct ballot configurations for voters from two or The voting ballot contests with parties of primary elections, this requirement would include the exclusion of party-specific contests of party-specific contests of party-specific contests of providing primary election definitions with contests mapped to different political parties as specified in the ballot of different political parties as specified in the ballot of definition CDF. VSuite supports election definitions with contests mapped to different political parties as specified in the ballot of definition CDF. Voting Works testing staff confirms during functional testing that ballots are rotated per the election definition system of parties as specified in the ballot definition System Overview > Election Package Variable of the proper party. VXSuite supports election definitions with specified ballot testing that ballots are rotated per the election definition system of parties as specified in the ballot definition CDF specification. VXSuite supports election definitions with specified ballot testing that ballots are rotated per the election package of packa	1.1.1-J	Exclude contests				·	System Overview > Election Package
VxSuite supports election definitions with contests mapped to different political parties as specified in the ballot administering primary elections, associate contests with parties 1.1.1-K Primary elections, associate contests with parties The voting system must support the association of different political parties when administering primary elections. The voting system must support the production of rotated ballots or activating ballot rotation functions in vote-capture devices by including relevant metadata in distributed election definitions and ballot styles. The voting system must support the production of rotated ballots or activating ballot rotation functions in vote-capture devices by including relevant metadata in distributed election definitions and ballot styles. The voting system must include the capability of creating distinct ballot configurations for voters from two or VxSuite supports election definitions with contests mapped to different political parties as specified in the ballot official parties as specified in the ballot definition CDF. VxSuite supports election definitions with contests mapped to different political parties as specified in the ballot voting Works testing staff confirms during functional testing that duallots are associated with the proper party. VotingWorks testing staff confirms during functional testing that ballots are rotated per the election definition specification. VxSuite supports election definitions with specified ballot testing that ballots are rotated per the election election election package voting definition CDF specification. VxSuite supports multiple ballot styles for a given polling testing that multiple ballot styles for a given polling can be						5	,
The voting system must support the association of different contests with different political parties when administering primary elections, associate contests with parties and ministering primary elections. The voting system must support the production of rotated ballots or activating ballot rotation functions in vote- 1.1.1-L Ballot rotation, Election definition Ballot rotation, Election definition The voting system must support the production of rotated ballots or activating ballot rotation functions in vote- and primary elections, associate contests with parties The voting system must support the production of rotated ballots or activating ballot rotation functions in vote- and primary elections, associate contests with parties The voting system must support the production of rotated ballots or activating ballot rotation functions in vote- and primary elections, associate on the ballot definition CDF. VSuite supports election definition with specified ballot to voting works testing staff confirms during functional testing that ballots are associated with the proper party. VotingWorks testing staff confirms during functional testing that ballots are associated with the proper party. VotingWorks testing staff confirms during functional testing that ballots are rotated per the election definition cDF specification. The voting system must include the capability of creating distinct ballot configurations for voters from two or VSuite supports multiple ballot styles for a given polling testing that multiple ballot styles for a given polling can be	D	Discussion	for which voters in a particular political party are not eligible to vote.				
1.1.1-K Primary elections, associate contests with parties administering primary elections. definition CDF. definition CDF. testing that contests are associated with the proper party. VotingWorks testing staff confirms during functional testing that ballots are rotated per the election definition The voting system must support the production of rotated ballots or activating ballot rotation per the ballot definition CDF specification. 1.1.1-L Ballot rotation, Election definition Ballot rotation, Election definition The voting system must include the capability of creating distinct ballot configurations for voters from two or VSSuite supports multiple ballot styles for a given polling VSSuite supports multiple ballot styles for a given polling can be			The voting system must support the association of different contacts with different political parties when			Voting Works testing staff confirms during functional	
VotingWorks testing staff confirms during functional testing that ballots are rotated per the election definition capture devices by including relevant metadata in distributed election definitions and ballot styles. 1.1.1-L Ballot rotation, Election definition 2.1.1-L Ballot rotation, Election definition 3.1.1-L Ballot rotation, Election definition 4.2.2.3.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.	1.1.1-K	Primary elections, associate contests with parties					System Overview > Election Package
1.1.1-L Ballot rotation, Election definition capture devices by including relevant metadata in distributed election definitions and ballot styles. rotation per the ballot definition CDF specification. specification. specification. System Overview > Election Package VotingWorks testing staff confirms during functional testing that multiple ballot styles for a given polling can be		, c process					
VotingWorks testing staff confirms during functional The voting system must include the capability of creating distinct ballot configurations for voters from two or VSuite supports multiple ballot styles for a given polling testing that multiple ballot styles for a given polling to the composition of the compositio		Dellar materials Florida J. C. W.					Sustain Outside to Electric St. 1
The voting system must include the capability of creating distinct ballot configurations for voters from two or VxSuite supports multiple ballot styles for a given polling testing that multiple ballot styles for a given polling can be	1.1.1-L	ballot rotation, Election definition	capture devices by including relevant metadata in distributed election definitions and ballot styles.		Totation per the ballot definition CDF specification.	•	System Overview > Election Package
			The voting system must include the capability of creating distinct ballot configurations for voters from two or		VxSuite supports multiple ballot styles for a given polling		
	1.1.1-M	Ballot configuration in combined or split precincts	more election districts that are served by a given polling place or vote center.			created, marked, and tabulated properly.	System Overview > Election Package

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
1.1.1-N	Ballot style identification	The voting system must include the capability to generate codes or marks to uniquely identify the ballot style associated with any ballot.		VxSuite encodes ballot style in the QR code on every ballot used by the system. Ballot styles are also presented visually on ballots in a manner that can be customized.	VotingWorks testing staff confirms during functional testing that QR codes on ballots uniquely identify the ballot style associated with ballots.	System Overview > Election Package
1.1.2	Pre-Election Testing					-,
1.1.2-A	Built-in self-test and diagnostics	The voting system must include built-in measurement, self-testing, and diagnostic software and hardware for monitoring and reporting the system's status.		Every VxSuite component includes system diagnostics that test all key functions to produce a component readiness report during pre-election testing.	VotingWorks testing staff perform all system diagnostics during functional testing to confirm component readiness reports are produced that reflect the state of the system's readiness.	System Overview > Diagnostics; User Manual > [Component] Diagnostics
		The system must include the capability to verify that software and ballot styles have been properly selected and		Every VxSuite component validates the complete election definition is loaded upon import and provides a notification upon failed import if any issues arise. A log with the LogEventId "election-configured" will be made, if there was an error it will have the disposition "failure" and a	VotingWorks testing staff import correctly formatted and inaccurately formatted ballot packages into all system components during functional testing to confirm they are accepted/rejected as expected with error messaging and	User Manual > Configure [Component]; System Security, Auditing, Logging >
1.1.2-B	Installation of software and ballot styles	to provide notification of any errors that occur while selecting or installing software and ballot styles.		description of the error.	logging in place.	Logging
D	Discussion	At a minimum, notification means an error message and a log entry. Examples of detectable errors include use of software or data intended for a different type of device or operational failures in transferring the software or data.				
	Discussion	The voting system must provide the capability to submit test ballots for use in verifying the integrity of the		VxSuite supports the production of test ballots that can be	VotingWorks functional testing staff perform end-to-end	User Manual > Logic & Accuracy Pre-
1.1.2-C	Use of test ballots	system.		used within a test mode across all system components. VxSuite vote capture devices can scan ballots that reflect all	testing of the system in test mode. VotingWorks functional testing staff performs scanning	Election Testing
1.1.2-D	Testing all ballot positions	Vote-capture devices must allow for testing that uses all potential ballot positions in the election as active positions.		positions during testing. The ballots can be manually marked or produced as part of automated test deck generation.		User Manual > Logic & Accuracy Pre- Election Testing
1.1.2-0	Testing an Danot positions	positions.		VxSuite supports saving digitally signed CVRs to USB drives	definitions.	Liection resting
		The voting system must include the ability to verify that cast vote records (CVRs) are created and tabulated		from tabulators that election officials can compare to the test ballots directly. Tabulators also prepare a result reports that can be compared in aggregate to the expected test	VotingWorks functional testing staff performs scanning and tabulation of all ballot positions for various election definitions and compares the tabulated summary & CVRs	
1.1.2-E	Testing cast vote record creation	correctly by permitting election officials to compare the created CVRs with the test ballots.		ballot counts.	to the test ballots used.	System Overview > Cast Vote Records
D	Discussion	This requires providing a capability such as an export of CVRs and a tabulated summary that can be compared manually against their test ballot counterparts.				
		The voting system must include the capability to verify that encoded versions or images of voter selections on a		1		
1.1.2-F	Testing codes and image creation	ballot and any other encoded information on a ballot are created correctly by permitting election officials to compare the encodings and images with the test ballots.	1.1.2-C – Use of test ballots	VotingWorks publicly publishes the format of BMD QR codes that include voter selections for election officials to use to		
1.1.2 1	resting codes and image creation	The purpose of this requirement is to give election officials the capability, prior to opening the polls, to audit	bunces	decode the QR code value and compare to the text on the		
		encoded versions of voter selections. This process may include the review of created ballots and encoded		printed ballot or corresponding ballot image. During pre-		
		information on each ballot to ensure that the images correctly match the ballot, thus validating accuracy in ballot creation, and that the ballot was created accurately, will include such as provided by a ballot marking		election testing, election officials can also compare the interpreted values of these QR codes on tabulator tally	VotingWorks functional testing staff compares the	
		device (BMD) using QR codes and gain assurance that the QR codes and any encoded data represented by the		reports to the expected values printed as the voter-	encoded values in BMD QR codes manually to the voter-	
		QR codes contains the voter's selections exactly as made. Likewise, to audit any image of the ballot made by a		verifiable text on the BMD ballot. Cast vote records have a	verifiable text on the ballot. Testing also manually	System Overview > Hand Marked
D	Discussion	scanner to gain assurance that the image correctly matches the ballot. And, to audit any encoded information on the ballot to gain assurance it is being created correctly.		shared unique identifier between the interpreted data and the ballot images for manual comparison.	compares the ballot images and to the interpreted values in the cast vote record files.	Ballots; System Overview > Machine Marked Ballots
_				System settings in the election packages enable	VotingWorks functional testing staff tests various mark	
1.1.2-G	Testing equipment calibration	Scanners must support testing the calibration of the paper-to-digital conversion (such as the calibration of optical sensors, the density threshold, and the logical reduction of scanned images to binary values, as applicable).		customization of mark thresholds on the tabulators to determine what is considered a valid mark when interpreting the ballot image.	thresholds in system settings files and compares that the expected changes to tabulated values are applied in cast vote record files for given ballot images.	System Overview > Election Package
		Pre-election testing must introduce no lasting effects in regard to the operation of the voting system during the		1		
1.1.2-H 1.1.2-H.1	No side-effects from pre-election testing	election other than:		-		
1.1.2-H.1 1.1.2-H.2		audit log entries status changes to note that the tests have been run with a successful or failed result		Do Floring to the company of the com		
1.1.2-H.3		separate storage of test results		Pre-Election testing maintains no lasting effects to all VxSuite applications other then audit log entries, status	VotingWorks functional testing staff tests regular pre-	
1.1.2-H.4		changes in counters that record ballots cast		changes reflecting any calibration or hardware diagnostic	election testing procedures and verifies that there are no	
1.1.2-H.5		normal wear and tear It should be impossible (by design) for the pre-election testing to have any influence on the operation of the		tests that have been run.	unexpected lasting changes to the system.	Election Testing
		device(s) during the election or on the results that are reported for the election. Most notably, election results				
		can never include any test votes that were counted during pre-election testing. If a test election is run on the				
D	Discussion	voting system as a means of providing pre-election testing, an election official should be able to remove all artifacts of the test election except as noted in items 1 through 5 of this requirement.				
		The voting system must provide the capability to produce equipment readiness reports that show the readiness		1		
1.1.2-1	Equipment status and readiness reports	of the equipment, including:		4		
1.1.2-I.1 1.1.2-I.2		whether calibration is needed consumable supplies such as toner or paper are sufficient for use		All Veting Works components	VotingWorks functional testing staff tests producing an	
1.1.2-1.3		batteries are fully charged		All VotingWorks components provide the ability to create an equipment readiness report that reports on the status of	equipment readiness report on each application and verifying its accuracy before and after performing various	System Overview > Diagnostics; User
1.1.2-1.4		the status of other election-sensitive aspects of the equipment		any pieces of hardware associated with that application.	actions such as calibration, refilling toner and paper, etc.	Manual > [Component] Diagnostics
1.1.2-J 1.1.2-J.1	Ballot style readiness report	The voting system must provide the capability to produce pre-election reports that include: the allowable number of votes in each contest		_		
1.1.2-J.1 1.1.2-J.2		the allowable number of votes in each contest the tabulation method for each contest		1	VotingWorks functional testing confirms VxAdmin provides	
1.1.2-J.3		the inclusion or exclusion of contests as the result of precinct splits		VxAdmin includes a readiness report with this information	accurate and complete information in the readiness report	
1.1.2-J.4		samples of all final ballot styles		when configured for an election.	when configured.	User Manual > VxAdmin Diagnostics
1.1.2-K	Precinct-based voting devices readiness reports	Precinct-based voting devices must have the capability of generating readiness reports that include:		VxScan and VxMarkScan, the precinct based voting devices,		
1.1.2-K.1 1.1.2-K.2		the election's identification data the identification of the precinct and polling place		provide readiness reports that include an ID identifying the election configured, the currently configured precinct(s) and	VotingWorks functional testing staff generates readiness	System Overview > Diagnostics: User
1.1.2-K.3		the identification of all ballot styles used in that precinct		currently configured ballot style(s)	precinct and verifies this information is listed as expected.	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	•	

1

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text Re	elated Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
1.1.2-L	All vote-capture devices readiness reports	Vote-capture devices must have to capability to generate a report that includes the following:	-	Vote-capture devices, provide a readiness report that	-	
1.1.2-L.1		the election's identification data		includes all of the listed information. The polls open and polls closed reports will include all of the current tabulation		
1.1.2-L.2		the identification of the precinct and polling place, if applicable		data and can be used in combination with the readiness		
1.1.2-L.3 1.1.2-L.4		the identification of the device the identification of all ballot styles loaded		report to confirm all zero contest data upon polls opening.		
1.1.2-L.5		the contents of each active contest option register at all storage locations		1.1.2-L.2 is N/A to VxCentralScan as it is only programmed for all ballot styles within a jurisdiction for central scanning.		
		confirmation that no hardware or software failures were detected during setup and testing, or a record of those		1.1.2-L.5 is N/A to any component as contest option	VotingWorks functional testing staff generates readiness	
1.1.2-L.6		that occurred		registers are not part of the VotingWorks system	reports and polls open reports on all vote capture devices	System Overview > Diagnostics; User
1.1.2-L.7		any other information needed to confirm the readiness of the equipment		archictecture.	and ensures the expected information is present.	Manual > [Component] Diagnostics
1.1.3	Opening the Polls			Precinct-based systems, VxMarkScan and VxScan, will be in a		
				"closed" poll state when first configured for an election. Voting is not permitted in this state. Once authenticated with a poll worker smartcard, the poll worker will see on screen instructions to open the polls. can open the polls and move the machine into a "open" polls state. A polls open report will automatically print verifying the status of the polls, and "0" counts for all contest and ballot data. The screen will then additionally confirm in text that the Polls are Opened. Once polls are open, voting is permitted and ballots can be marked on VxMarkScan or scanned on VxScan. The VotingWorks User Manual provides detailed	VotingWorks functional testing involves opening and	User Manual > Opening Polls; System Overview > VxScan Function; System Overview > VxMarkScan Function; User Manual > VxMarkScan > Open and Close
1.1.3-A	Opening the polls	The voting system must provide functions to enter a mode in which voting is permitted.		instructions on opening and closing of polls.	closing of polls through testing standard workflows.	Polls
_		This and following requirements cover the process of enabling voting to occur by placing the voting system in a				
D	Discussion	voting mode. More information about the activated stage is defined in Table 11-1.				
1.1.3-B 1.1.3-B.1	Non-zero totals	The voting system must not enter the voting mode until all steps necessary to isolate test data from election data have been performed successfully and all vote counters have been zeroed. An attempt to open polls with non-zero counters: must be recorded in the audit log		Whenever polls are opened, in either live or test mode, there is a check to make sure all data is zero. If it is not an error will be shown to the user. A PollsOpened log will be made to the audit log with the disposition of success if polls		
1.1.3-B.1		an election worker must be clearly notified of the event		were successfully opened and failure if the "zero check" failed or the polls failed to open for any other reason. When	opening the polls and the appropriate log made. Automated testing is maintained to ensure that data is	
		Jurisdictions that allow early voting before the traditional election day should document that a distinction is		any application is toggled between "live" and "test" mode all	<u> </u>	
D	Discussion	made between the opening and closing of the polls. This can occur only once per election, and the suspension and continuance of voting between days of early voting. The open-polls operation, which requires zeroed counters, is performed only when early voting commences; the continuation of voting that was suspended overnight does not require that counters be zeroed again.		data is cleared. If there is an error in this process it will be shown to the user. The audit log will contain a "toggled-test-mode" log with the disposition success or failure as appropriate.	VotingWorks staff performs functional testing to ensure that after completing L&A end to end in test mode that data is zeroed upon entering "live" mode, or configuring with a new election.	Security, Auditing, Logging > Logging; System Overview > VxScan Function
1.1.4	Casting - This section describes the requirements of the ballot issued to the voter and the types of contests that appear on the ballot. This includes characteristics that the voter must be aware of in order to accurately reflect the intent of their choices and the requirements of the voting system when the ballot is cast.					
1.1.4-A 1.1.4-B	Voting and casting the ballot Control ballot configuration	The voting system must provide a ballot to each voter containing contests and contest choices using all voting variations that are indicated in the voting system implementation statement. The voting system must, where applicable:		VxMarkScan allows poll workers to select any ballot style configured for the precinct that VxMarkScan is configured for to present to voters. This supports contests with the voting variations: N-of-M Contest and Ballot Measures for general elections and partisan closed primary elections as indicated in the implementation statement.		System Overview > Election Package; System Performance & Specifications > Supported Voting Variations
1.1.4-B.1	Control sunot comigaration	activate all portions of the ballot the voter is entitled to vote on				
1.1.4-B.2		disable all portions of the ballot the voter is not entitled to vote on				
11453		enable the selection of the ballot configuration that is appropriate to the party affiliation declared by the voter				
1.1.4-B.3	Discussion	in a primary election This requirement does not apply to pre-printed paper ballots. For on-demand ballot printing systems, item 3 requires that the proper ballot style be selected for the voter and the appropriate ballot be printed for the voter's suse. For an electronic display or ballot marking device, items 1-3 would be required, where poll workers may control the ballot configuration by using an activation device, issuing a token, or following other jurisdictional procedures to select the appropriate ballot style.		When activated for a given ballot style VxMarkScan will only show the contests appropriate for that ballot style. In a primary election the ballot style will be specific to the party affiliation declared by the voter and only include contests for that selection.	voting variations declared in the implementation	User Manual > VxMarkScan > Voting Sessions
5	Discussion	Each ballot that is issued to a voter must include contests that are associated with a district that the voter's		ioi diacocción.	voter for their bandt style, including in a primary election.	563510113
1.1.4-C	Precinct splits, Casting	residential address falls within.				
D	Discussion	If a precinct is not entirely contained in the district associated with the precinct, multiple ballot styles must be available to ensure that each voter in the precinct receives a ballot that only contains contests for which they are eligible to vote.		Ballot style to contest mapping is defined in the election definition per the Common Data Format specification.	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that a voter's ballot style only includes the contests explicitly mapped to the ballot style per the election definition.	System Overview > Election Package
1.1.4-D	Ballot rotation, Casting	The order of contest options listed on each ballot must be in the order prescribed. The voting system must be able to correctly associate a voter's choice with the associated contest choice independent of where it appears on a specific voter's ballot.		The order of contests on each ballot and ballot marking	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that the order of	2, and 2 and
D	Discussion	Many states require contest choice position order to be rotated on different ballots to prevent bias for or against a choice based on position listed.		device follows the order prescribed in the election definition.	ballots matches the order defined in the election definition.	System Overview > Election Package
1.1.4-E	Partisan closed primary ballot	The voting system must provide a type of ballot, used in a partisan primary election. to the voter that only contains contests associated with a specific party to which the voter is registered in addition to any nonpartisan contests that the voter is eligible to make choices.				
D	Discussion	This type of ballot is used in states that run closed primary elections (voter is issued a ballot based on party of registration), partially closed primary elections (voter can receive a party-specific ballot that is different from their registration or an unaffiliated voter can choose a party ballot) and partially open primary elections (voters do not register by party and choose a party-specific ballot for the election).		VxSuite supports partisan primary elections as an election type. Whether the primary is open or closed is dependent upon voter registration procedure outside of the voting system.	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that partisan primary type elections support all voting system functionality by party.	System Overview > Election Package; System Performance & Specifications > Supported Voting Variations
	5.000.0001	Last register any party and choose a party specific bande for the elections.		1975	.aoc.oancy by parcy.	supported voting variations

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
		The voting system must provide a type of ballot, used in a partisan primary election, to the voter that contains partisan contests from all parties and any nonpartisan contests in which the voter is eligible to make choices.		W.Cuita cupports partical principal delication and a second secon		
1.1.4-F	Partisan open primary ballot	Only choices associated with one party will be permitted.		VxSuite supports partisan primary elections as an election type. Whether the primary is open or closed is dependent	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that partisan	System Overview > Election Package;
1.1.41	Turcisan open primary sance	This type of ballot is used in states that run open primary elections, where voters do not register by party but		upon voter registration procedure outside of the voting	primary type elections support all voting system	System Performance & Specifications >
D	Discussion	choose the party for which they wish to vote.		system.	functionality by party.	Supported Voting Variations
1.1.4-G	Indicate party affiliations and endorsements	The voting system must provide a type of ballot associated with:			VotingWorks functional testing confirms that party	
		a partisan primary election that identifies the party associated with each listed primary election contest (all			affiliations and endorsements are properly shown on	System Overview > Election Package;
1.1.4-G.1		listed contest options are affiliated with the listed party)		VxSuite supports these types of ballots as specified in the	ballots for primary or general election contests as specified	·
1.1.4-G.2 1.1.4-H	Write in contact entions	a partisan general election that identifies the affiliated/endorsing party of each contest choice. The voting system must be capable of enabling and recording the voter's write-in of desired candidate names.		election definition.	in the election definition.	Supported Voting Variations
1.1.4-П	Write-in contest options	A write-in is a contest option on the ballot that permits the voter to identify a candidate of choice that is not				
		already listed as a contest option and is captured when the ballot is cast. State rules determine when a write-in				
		candidate option may be placed as a contest option on the ballot and what qualifies as a valid write-in selection		Write-ins are enabled when specified in the election	VotingWorks functional testing that write-ins are properly	
D	Discussion	that may be counted.		definition for a given contest.	tabulated for contests where write-ins are enabled.	System Overview > Election Package
		The voting system must be capable of gathering and recording write-in votes within a voting process that allows		VxAdmin provides a workflow to adjudicate write-ins that		
1.1.4-I	Write-in reconciliation	for reconciliation of aliases and double votes.		allows an election official to adjudicate a write-in as a	William Information Consider Walter	
		Reconciliation of aliases means allowing election officials to declare two different spellings of a candidate's name to be equivalent (or not). Reconciliation of double votes means handling the case where, in an N-of-M contest, a		candidate alias. If the adjudication would create a double vote an error message is shown and the adjudication is not	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that VxAdmin write-in adjudication properly reconciles aliases and	User Manual > Write-in Adjudication;
D	Discussion	voter has attempted to cast multiple votes for the same candidate using the write-in mechanism.		allowed.	presents an error in the case of potential double votes.	System Overview > VxAdmin Function
	51500551011	For the N-of-M contest, the voting system must be capable of gathering and recording votes in a contest where		anowes:	presente an error in the case of potential abable votes.	System Sterrien - The lemm - unionen
		the voter may choose up to a specified number of choices from a list of contest options. These selections are				
1.1.4-J	N-of-M contest, Casting	independent of selections in any other contest.				
		A baseline N-of-M contest is one where a voter is allowed N contest choices from a list of M choices and where			A constitution of the Book of the	
		votes are tallied independently of any other contest options on the ballot. N includes 1 (vote for 1 contest or typically a measure) or any larger number. If N is larger than M, all choices listed will be selected. It can be used		11 1 7 9	Automated tests of application logic ensure proper display and recording of N-of-M contests. VotingWorks functional	,
		for approval voting by setting N equal to M. It can also be used for limited voting by setting N to be less than the		to select a N+1th choice they will be shown an error that	testing ensures N-of-M contests can be voted on as	Supported Voting Variations; System
D	Discussion	number of seats being elected.		they can not select another choice.	expected.	Overview > VxMarkScan Function
		For straight-party voting, the voting system must be able to provide a contest in which a voter may select		,		
		political party contest choices that result in the selection of all partisan contests on their ballot. In this instance,				
		a selection of a political party choice automatically selects all contest choices associated with that party. The				
		voting system must be capable of gathering and recording votes for both this contest and all partisan contests				
1.1.4-K	Straight-party voting, Casting	associated with it.				
		Straight-party voting is a voting variation used in a general election. It provides the voter with the ability to select all candidates affiliated with a desired party in all partisan contests on the ballot by selecting one contest				
		option. When a party is selected, the system must not prevent the selection of individual candidate options that				
		may negate the original straight-party choice, nor must it require that voters utilize the straight-party voting				
		option. Rules for determining the candidate choices resulting from the combination of direct option selections				
D	Discussion	and straight-party option selections are determined by the rules in states that use straight-party voting.		N/A - Not included in implementation statement.		
		For a cumulative voting contest, the voting system must be capable of gathering and recording votes in a contest				
1.1.4-L	Cumulative voting contest, Casting	where the voter may allocate no more than the allowed number of votes to one or more contest selections in whole vote increments.				
1.1.4-L	Cumulative voting contest, Casting	When a cumulative voting contest is on a ballot, the system must allow the voter to assign all allowed votes to				
		any desired contest selection or to any set of contest selections in whole vote increments. The total of all				
		selection assignments must not exceed the total votes allowed. (See 1.1.4-Q - Proportional voting contest				
		(equal-and-even cumulative voting contest), Casting for an alternate method of assigning multiple votes to a				
D	Discussion	candidate.)		N/A - Not included in implementation statement.		
		For a ranked choice voting (RCV) contest, the voting system must be capable of gathering and recording votes in				
1.1.4-M	Ranked choice voting contest, Casting	a contest where the voter must be able to rank contest selections in order of preference, as first choice, second choice, etc.				
1.1.4-101	named thoice voting tontest, casting	The ballot presentation of a RCV contest is independent of the number of seats being elected. Depending on				
		jurisdictional rules, the number of choice options provided may vary from a minimum of 3 to the number of				
		contest choices on the ballot. Contest outcome determination requires cast vote records (CVR) to be processed				
D	Discussion	post-election.		N/A - Not included in implementation statement.		
		For a party preference contest, the voting system must be capable of gathering and recording votes for a contest				
		containing a list of political party choices. In this instance, the voting system uses a valid selection of a party in				
1.1.4-N	Party preference contest	the contest, which limits gathering and recording of votes in all partisan contests on the ballot to those associated with the selected party.				
1.1.4-IV	rary preference contest	A party preference contest only appears on an open primary ballot when required by state rules. Its purpose is		1		
		to allow the voter to select the party they intend to vote contests for and prevent the voter from spoiling the				
D	Discussion	partisan section of the ballot by, for example, marking contests in a different party's section of the ballot.		N/A - Not included in implementation statement.		
		For a top-2 primary contest, the voting system must be capable of assigning candidates of all relevant parties to]		
1.1.4-0	Top-2 primary contest (blanket primary contest)	a single seat contest which is also assigned to all partisan ballots.				
		In some states, this method is required to be used to fill designated partisan offices. The contest, also called a				
		blanket primary contest, appears on all party-specific primary ballots. All candidates are listed as contest options				
D	Discussion	including their party affiliation. The 2 candidates who receive the most votes will be on the general election ballot independent of their party affiliation.		N/A - Not included in implementation statement.		
	DISCUSSION I	For a presidential delegate contest, the voting system must be capable of gathering and recording votes for only		14/7 140t included in implementation statement.		
1.1.4-P	Presidential delegate contest, Casting	those delegates that are affiliated with the voter's choice in the presidential preference contest.				
		Presidential delegate voting is a voting variation that only is used in a presidential primary election on a party-		1		
		specific primary ballot where delegates to the convention are selected by the voter when the method is selected				
		by a state's political party. With this method, only contest option selections in delegate contests that are				
		pledged to the voter's presidential candidate selection will be recorded. If the voter does not make a selection		l.,, ., .,		
D	Discussion	in the presidential preference contest, selections for presidential delegates will not be recorded.		N/A - Not included in implementation statement.		
1	Proportional voting contest logged and over sumulative veti	For a proportional voting contest, the voting system must be capable of gathering and recording votes for a				
1.1.4-Q	Proportional voting contest (equal-and-even cumulative voting contest), Casting	contest which allow multiple votes to be assigned to a candidate. This is accomplished by prorating the number of allowed votes proportionally to the number of validly selected candidates.				
1.1.4-U	contest), Casting	To anowed votes proportionally to the number of validity selected candidates.	1			

The voting system must support asking a halfor, correctly as indicated by the voter subject 1.1.5-8. Ballot orientation The voting system, when using pre-printed ballots, must either: 1.1.5-8. The voter system of the control orientation The voting system, when using pre-printed ballots, must either: 1.1.5-9. Record contest selection information The voting system must record white a positive or in the ballot associated with records or the system of the control orientation. The voting system must record white a positive or in the ballot associated with control orientation. The voting system must record white a ballot or white must be recorded. The voting system must record white a ballot or white must be recorded. The voting system must record white a ballot or white must be recorded. The voting system must record white a ballot or white must be recorded. The voting system must record white a ballot or white must be recorded. The voting system must record white must record whi	Vorks Tests TDP Reference	How VotingWorks Tests	How VxSuite Meets	Related Requirements	Requirement/Discussion Text	Title	VVSG 2.0 Section
Descriptions De							
Decision Control (Control							
Bookston Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section S							
1.14 a. Gog a sterng content. Custing substitutibility sectors, gather and of records chosen and content of any of any of any step and of catalogists of the content of any of the content of an			N/A - Not included in implementation statement.			Discussion	D
1.4.4 Supple printing corresp. Certified proposed printing content and an article proposed by the content contains content that are proposed by the content contains content that are proposed by the content contains contains and article proposed by the content contains and article proposed by the contains and article proposed by the content contains and article proposed by the content contains and article proposed by the content contains and article proposed by the contai							
A consistence of the second control to another lowester in selection and the second control to a long control to the second control to a long control to the second control to t						Group voting contest. Casting	1 1 4-R
or the second decreases of according with a single selection. In the greatest wheel are grown selection and all consistions or to grow and the control of the production of th						Group voting contest, casting	212.11
Begover serviced alone common growing and in the process of serviced and the common growing and in the common growing and in the common growing and the common g							
and in the State of Massachusetts to option Ward of Ward own party committee growth on all specified and preference party of the state of the preference of the state of the preference of the party of the state of the preference of the party of the state of the party of the part							
Description Security Securi							
Section 2 1/14 A to 2 1/10 control (supproversity of content) on the control and one of the			N/A - Not included in implementation statement.			Discussion	D
1.1.4 (a) Experimentary or contingent vale content. Lam 16V type content and standard life content. All indights content and standard life content. 1.1.5 Content guitare Contents 1.1.5 Content guitare Contents 1.1.5 Experimental contents and standard life contents and standard life contents and standard life contents and standard life contents. All indights contents and standard life con							
The top 3 filt content, also have as a suppressentative or content, can all the process of the content of the c						Ton-2 IPV contact (cumplementary or contingent vote contact)	1 1 <i>1</i> - S
provides the wort in features dependence in the came fashion as standard for more. Allowage the same an an Provides and register counts and septimes records to be a feature and provides and register of the control of						10p-2 livy contest (supplementary or contingent vote contest)	1.1.4-3
Discussion Since Section							
1.1.5 Record united selection selection and but the control selection selection and but the control selection selection and but the control selection select							
Conting where Choices Recording Wester Choices Recording where Choices Recording a ballot, recording and where onlying of the whole of the whole of the where onlying code where proceeding a said offer recording a ballot, recording a code where resoluted and auditored and auditored in the control of the whole of the where onlying the process of the whole of the where where the part included pulse down, right side up, forward, or control of the process of the whole of the whole where where the part included pulse down, right side up, forward, or control of the participation of the whole where the participation is the participation of the whole where the participation is the participation of the participation						Discussion	D
The voting system must support casting a bailot, recording each vote precisely as indicated by the voter subject 1.15.4 Cacting and recording to the hudes of the objection jurisdiction, and creating a cast voter record that can be tabulated and audited. 1.15.6 Second contents selection information The voting system, when value eithers 1.15.6 Cacting and executing the position of the bailot stage and executing the voter of the selection and audited. 1.15.6 Cacting and executing the voter of the selection made by the voter 1.15.6 Cacting and executing system must record context selection information The voting system must record context selection information in the CVR that includes. 1.15.6 Cacting and executing system must record context selection and bailot specific and properly abablished and orientation. 1.15.6 Cacting and executing system must record context selection and bailot specification are system to execution of the selection and bailot specification are system to execution of the selection of the			N/A - Not included in implementation statement.		wiii.		
1.15.6 Cating and morating of the feature jurisdiction, and creating a cast out recent that can be tabulated and audied. 1.15.6 Is also concentation The voting system, when using any egranted ballost, may report that all condend update drawn, right old up, forward, or any other concentration of the control of the party of the control of the contro	confirms that cast vote	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that cast vote				-	
1.5-9 ablot orientation The voting system, when using pre-printed ballots, must either correctly mark pre-pared ballots reprinted ballots, must either the year bloaded upplied down, right side up, forward, or reversed. 1.5-11 creation or reversed. 1.5-22 detect and rigic pre-printed ballots that are oriented incorrectly orientation. 1.5-23 detect and rigic pre-printed ballots that are oriented incorrectly. 1.5-24 detect and rigic pre-printed ballots that are oriented incorrectly. 1.5-25 detect and rigic pre-printed ballots that are oriented incorrectly. 1.5-26 detect and rigic pre-printed ballots that are oriented incorrectly. 1.5-26 detect and rigic pre-printed ballots that are oriented incorrectly. 1.5-27 detect of the voting system must record detection information in the VVII that includes: 1.5-28 detect of the review or the conditions of the conditions and while the colorest selection information in the VVII that includes: 1.5-29 detect while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the party for partial building contests in while a votine than analyse a contest system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting system must record while in information in the voting sy		records properly reflect selections made by the voter by				C. Marcollows III	4
Correctly mark pre-printed ballots regardless whether they are based upside down, right side up, forward, or reversed	t vote record files. System Overview > Cast Vote Records	comparing ballot images to cast vote record files.	Cast vote records reflect the selections made by the voter.		-	0 0	
1.15-8.1 memory and detect and respect per-phride ballots that are oriented incorrectly orientation. 1.15-C Record contest selection information	confirms that hallots are	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that ballots are				Ballot orientation	1.1.5-в
11.5-C.1 1.5-C.1 1.5-C.2 1.5-C.3 1.5-C		accepted and properly tabulated by ballot scanners in any	All tabulating devices can accept pre-printed ballots in any				1.1.5-B.1
all context selections and by the voter for all supported vote variations. positions on the build associated with each context selection made by the voter when multiple selections are permitted, if applicable permitted, if applicable For fitem 2, some contexts such as for RCV may place andidate choices on the same line of the ballot, therefore the positions of the candidates may need to be recorded. The VOR includes all context selections and ballot position made by the voter. ODIS include the context selection and ballot position made by the voter. ODIS include the context selection and ballot position made by the voter. ODIS include the context selection and ballot position made by the voter. ODIS include the context selection and ballot position made by the voter or agiven write in selection associated with corresponding ballot mapse. Ballot marking devices additionally record the text of the write in when using a BMD or other device that marks the ballot for the voter and the voter of the context in the CVR. CVB with a bin includes the context information in the CVR which all on includes the total all write in intermation in the CVR which all on includes the context information in the CVR which all on includes the total all write in intermation is promoted to the context in the CVR which all on includes the total all write in intermation is promoted to the context in the CVR which all on includes the context information in the CVR that includes: 11.5-6.1 11.5-1 12.5-1 13.5-1 1	System Overview > Hand Marked Ballots				detect and reject pre-printed ballots that are oriented incorrectly		1.1.5-B.2
1.1.5 C positions on the ballot associated with each context selection made by the voter when multiple selections are permitted, if applicable						Record contest selection information	
1.15-0 Discussion For times, 2, some contests such as for RCV may place candidate choices on the same line of the ballot, therefore the CVR includes all contest selections and ballot positions that CVR contest selection and ballot positions and the position of the candidates may need to be recorded. 1.15-0 Record write-in information The voting system must record write-in information in the CVR that includes: 1.15-0.2 The text of the write in, when using a SMD or other device that marks the ballot for the voter or a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter or a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter or a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter or a given write-in selection and ballot position was additionally record the text of the write-in in the CVR. The voter for a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter or a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter or a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter or a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter or a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter or a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter or a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter of a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter of a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter of a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter of a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter of a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter of a given write-in selection and ballot position made by the voter of a given write-in selection and ballot position write-in selection an			_				1.1.5-C.1
For fixen 2, some contests such as for RCV may place and didate choices on the same line of the ballot, therefore the positions of the condidates way need to be recorded. 11.5-0.1 11.5-0.1 11.5-0.2 Record write-in information The voting system must record write-in information in the CVR that includes: Vision table to contest selection and ballot position made by the voter of payen writters in selection ascolated with 11.5-0.1 Vision table to contest selection and position of write-in selections made by the voter of additionally recorded for given ballot or separation of the voting system must record write-in information in the CVR that includes: Vision table to contest selection and ballot position made by the voter of a place writter in the foundation of the voting system must record write-in information in the CVR that marking believe selection and payen written selection and ballot position made by the voter of a place written in the CVR. CVRs and the position of the voting system must record written in secretary and provided in the contest selection and ballot position made by the voter of a place written in the CVR. CVRs and the place written in the CVR. CVRs and the part place written in the CVR. CVRs and additionally recorded the text of the written in the total corresponding ballot mages sallot marking and autor and autor or written in the CVR that includes: Vising Works functional and autor written in the CVR that includes: Vising Works functional and autor written in the CVR that includes: Vising Works functional and autor written in the CVR that includes: Vising Works functional and autor written in the CVR that includes: Vising Works functional and autor written in the CVR that includes: Vising Works functional and autor written information of the party per the CVR CDF specification. Vising Works functional and autor written information of the party per the CVR CDF specification. Vising Works functional and written of written in section of the party per the CVR CDF specific	tomated testing confirms	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms					1.1.5-C.2
1.15-D Record write-in information The voting system must record write-in information in the CVR that includes: 1.15-D.1 1.15-D.2 1.15-D.2 1.15-D.3 1.15-D.3 1.15-D.4 1.15-D.3 1.15-D.4 1.15-D.5 1.15-D.4 1.15-D.5 1.15-D.4 1.15-D.5 1.15-D.4 1.15-D.5 1.15-D.4 1.15-D.5 1.15-D.4 1.15-D.5 1.15-D.		that CVR contest selections and ballot positions are	The CVR includes all contest selections and ballot positions				
1.15-D Record write-in information The voting system must record write-in information in the CVIX that includes: 1.15-D S I destrict of the write-in, when using a BMD or other device that marks the ballot for the voter 1.15-D S I and a part of the feat of the write-in, when using a BMD or other device that marks the ballot for the voter 1.15-D S I and a part of the record additional or the vertex in marking. 1.15-D S I and a part of the feat of the write-in, when using a BMD or other device that marks the ballot for the voter 1.15-D S I and the text of the write-in in the CVIX. CVIX and part of the voter in marking. 1.15-D S I and the text of the write-in in the CVIX. CVIX and part of the voter in marking between the voter in	lot images. System Overview > Cast Vote Records	properly recorded for given ballot images.	made by the voter.		the positions of the candidates may need to be recorded.	Discussion	D
1.15-0.1 Identification of write-in selections made by the over 1.15-0.2 the text of the write-in, when using a BMD or other device that marks the ballot for the voter 1.15-0.3 an image or other indication of the voter's write-in markings 1.15-0.4 the total number of write-ins in the CVR 1.15-1.5 Record election and contest information 1.15-1.1 identification of all contests in which a voter has made a contest selection 1.15-1.2 identification of all contests in which a voter has made a contest selection 1.15-1.2 identification of all contests in which a voter has made a contest selection 1.15-1.5 Record ballot selection override information D D Discussion The voting system must be capable of recording audit related information in the CVR or collection of CVR as included to a marked of the contest selection involved the selection of the contest selection involved in the contest selection or contest rules in effect, must record information in the party, a ballot in a partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for dientification of the party for partisan ballots or partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for dientification of the party for partisan ballots or partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for equipment. The voting system, if recording voter selections differently than as marked due to election or contest rules in effect, must record information in the collection of the changes voter selections of the contest selection or require other activations. D Discussion The voting system must be capable of recording audit related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs a the contest marking on require other activated includes: The voting system must be capable of recording audit related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs a thread of the voter of the contest markings or require other activation of the exercising device such as a serial numb			· ·		The voting system must record write-in information in the CVR that includes:	Record write-in information	1.1.5-D
1.15-0.2 the text of the write-in, when using a RMD or or the device that marks the ballot for the voter 1.15-0.3 an image or other indication of the voter's write-in markings are exported in the CVR CDF which also includes the total an image or other indication of the voter's write-in markings are exported in the CVR CDF which also includes the total and write-in information is pro CVR. 1.15-0.4 the total number of write-ins in the CVR and information in the CVR that includes: 1.15-1.1 identification of all contests in which a voter has made a contest selection of all contests in which the voter is not all contests in which the voter is not allowed to make contest selection or contest rules in the CVR CDF specification. 1.15-1.1 identification of the party is not enabled by the voter and information in the CVR that includes: 1.15-1.2 identification of the party as plants or partisan plant							
1.15-0.4 the total number of write-ins in the CVR 1.15-1. Record election and contest information 1.15-1. Identification of all contests in which a voter has made a contest selection 1.15-1. Identification of all contests in which a voter has made a contest selection 1.15-1. Identification of all contests in which a voter has made a contest selection 1.15-1. Identification of all contests in which a voter has made a contest selection 1.15-1. Identification of all contests in which a voter has made a contest selection 1.15-1. Identification of the party for partisan ballots or partisan contests 1.15-1. Identification of the party for partisan ballots or partisan contests 1.15-1. Identification of the party for partisan ballots or partisan contests or different parties. Thus, an indication as to partisanship of the contests is required. 1.15-1. Identification of the party per the CVR CDF specification. The voting system, if recording voter selections differently than as marked due to election or contest rules in effect, must record information in the CVR that includes: 1.15-1. Identification of the changes of voter may vote in contests in which the voter is not allowed to make contest selections in contests which differ ment the political particular votes tables, becomes a sealing to particular votes tables, because on the contest selections are recorded as marked. In the voter pays to the straight-party, but then make contest selections in contests which differ from the political point selection or contest rules may cause a scanner to invalidate the contest markings or require other actions. The voting system, must be capable or forcording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: 1.15-6.1 Identification of the pegoraphical location of the device.	comated testing confirms	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms			the text of the write-in, when using a BMD or other device that marks the ballot for the voter		1.1.5-D.2
1.1.5-E. Record election and contest information The voting system must record additional contest information in the CVR that includes: 1.1.5-E. identification of all occrute the smade a contest selection 1.1.5-E. identification of all occrute the smade a contest selection 1.1.5-E. identification of all occrute the number of write-ins recorded for the contests 1.1.5-E. the number of write-ins recorded for the contest 1.1.5-E. identification of the party is a ballot to partisan ballots or partisan contests Discussion For identification of the party is a ballot in a partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for different parties. Thus, an indication as to partisanship of the contests is required. 1.1.5-F. Record ballot selection override information effect, must record information in the CVR that includes: 1.1.5-F. identification of the original ballot selections maked due to election or contest rules in effect, must record information in the CVR that includes: 1.1.5-F. identification of the reasons for the changes voter selections in the changes voter may vote in contests in which the voter is not allowed to make contest selections in contests which differ from the political yout contest form the political yout contest from the political yout contest form the political yout contest from the political yout contest form the political yout contest formation in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: 1.1.5-G. Identification of the specific creating device such as a serial number 1.1.5-G. Identification of the specific creating device such as a serial number 1.1.5-G. Identification of the specific creating device such as a serial number 1.1.5-G. Identification of the specific creating device such as a serial number 1.1.5-G. Identification of the specific creating device such as a serial number		that all write-in information is properly recorded in the			, ,		
1.1.5-E.1 Identification of all contests in which a voter has made a contest selection 1.1.5-E.2 Identification of all overvoted and undervoted contests 1.1.5-E.3 Identification of the party for partisan ballots or partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for different parties. Thus, an indication as to partisanship of the contests is required. D Discussion The voting system, if recording voter respections of the changes	System Overview > Cast Vote Records	CVR.	number of write-ins.			Book Latin and the state of the	
1.1.5-E.2 Identification of all overvoted and undervoted contests 1.1.5-E.4 Identification of the party for partisan ballots or partisan rountests CVRs include identification of all contests, all votingWorks functional and autor overvotes/undervotes, number of write-ins, and identification of the party, a ballot in a partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for different parties. Thus, a partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for different parties. Thus, a partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for different parties. Thus, a partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for different parties. Thus, a partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for different partises. Thus, a partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for different partises. Thus, a partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for different partises. Thus, and dientification of the party, a ballot to partisan partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for different partises. Thus, and dientification of the party a ballot partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for different partises. Thus, and dientification of the party a ballot partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests in dentification of the party as marked due to election or contest rules in effect, must record ballot selection or contest rules in effect, must record ballot selection or contest rules in effect, must record ballot selection or contest rules in effect, must record ballot selection or for the changes which differ from the policiation of the enable or contest subject to one straight-party, but then make contest selections in contests that all contest information in the CVR or collection of the party per the CVR CDF specification. 1.1.5-F.2 Identification of the changes Vasing the party per the CVR CDF specification. The voting variety in the CVR that includes: 1.1.5-G. Identific			-		• • •	Record election and contest information	
1.1.5-E.3 the number of write-ins recorded for the contest identification of the party for partisan ballots or partisan contests D Discussion The voting system marking a ballot by hard, a voter may election or contest rules in which differ from the political party contest or which differ from the political party contest contest so which differ from the political party contest contest so they are created, that includes: 1.1.5-G. The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as the contest in identification of the geographical location of the device The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or a partial party contest with a part in a partial party contest in the contest in t							
D Discussion For identification of the party, a ballot in a partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for different parties. Thus, an indication as to partisanship of the contests is required. 1.1.5-F. Record ballot selection override information effect, must record information in the CVR that includes: 1.1.5-F.2 identification of the original ballot selections made by the voter identification of the reasons for the changes 1.1.5-F.3 identification of the reasons for the changes When marking a ballot to yhand, a voter may elect to vote straight-party, but then make contests is elections in contests which differ from the political party contest choices. Election or contest rules may cause a scanner to invalidate the contest markings or require other actions. The voting system must be capable of recording adult-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: 1.1.5-G. identification of the party, a ballot in a partisan primary election may in some cases contain contests for differently than as marked due to election or contest rules in effect, must record information in the CVR that includes: 1.1.5-F.2 identification of the party, a ballot in a partisan primary election or contest rules in effect, must record information in the CVR contest rules in effection or contest ru					the number of write-ins recorded for the contest		
D Discussion different parties. Thus, an indication as to partisanship of the contests is required. The voting system, if recording voter selections differently than as marked due to election or contest rules in effect, must record information in the CVR that includes: 1.1.5-F.1 Record ballot selection override information identification of the original ballot selections made by the voter identification of the original ballot selections made by the voter identification of the reasons for the changed voter selections identification of the reasons for the changed voter selections When marking a ballot by hand, a voter may vote in contests in which the voter is not allowed to make contest selections in contests which differ from the political party contest choices. Election or contest rules may cause a scanner to invalidate the contest markings or require other actions. D Discussion The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: 1.1.5-G.1 Record audit information dientification of the party per the CVR CDF specification. Per the CVR CDF specification. Per the CVR CDF specification. The voting system fire recording voters elections of the oleven. The voting system may be incontests and a voter may vote in contests in which the voter is not allowed to make contests selections in contests which are precised as marked under the contest selections in contests which differently when make contest selections in contests which are precised as marked under the contest selections in contests and a serial number in the contest selection of CVRs as the contest selection of the specific creating device such as a serial number in the contest selection of the specific and in the contest selection or contest rules in a serial number in the contest selection of the party per the cvR CDF specification. Vessult tabulators do not record voter selections of vered voter selections of the contest selections in contests which t	comated testing confirms	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms	CVRs include identification of all contests, all		identification of the party for partisan ballots or partisan contests		1.1.5-E.4
The voting system, if recording voter selections differently than as marked due to election or contest rules in effect, must record information in the CVR that includes: 1.1.5-F.1 1.1.5-F.2 1.1.5-F.3 1.1.5	·	that all contest information is properly recorded in the CVR	lea de la			·	_
1.1.5-F. Record ballot selection override information effect, must record information in the CVR that includes: 1.1.5-F.1 identification of the original ballot selections made by the voter 1.1.5-F.2 identification of the changed voter selections 1.1.5-F.3 identification of the reasons for the changes When marking a ballot by hand, a voter may vote in contests in which the voter is not allowed to make contest selections in contests which differ from the political party contest choices. Election or contest rules may cause a scanner to invalidate the contest markings or require other actions. Discussion The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: 1.1.5-G.1 identification of the specific creating device such as a serial number 1.1.5-G.2 identification of the geographical location of the device	System Overview > Cast Vote Records	per the CVR CDF specification.	identification of the party per the CVR CDF specification.			Discussion	ט
1.1.5-F.2 identification of the changed voter selections 1.1.5-F.3 identification of the reasons for the changes When marking a ballot by hand, a voter may vote in contests in which the voter is not allowed to make contest selections in contests which differ from the political party contest choices. Election or contest rules may cause a scanner to invalidate the contest markings or require other actions. D Discussion The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: 1.1.5-G.1 identification of the geographical location of the device identification of the geographical location of the device identification of the geographical location of the device VXSuite tabulators do not record voter selections differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so which differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so selections are recorded as marked modified values. VXSuite tabulators do not record voter selections differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so selections are recorded as marked modified values. VXSuite tabulators do not record voter selections differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so selections are recorded as marked modified values. VXSUITE tabulators do not record voter selections differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so votingWorks functional testing co selections are recorded as marked modified values. VXSUITE tabulators do not record voter selections differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so votingWorks functional testing co selections are recorded as marked and the cord voter selections are recorded as marked and the cord voter selections are recorded as marked and the cord voter selections are recorded as marked and the cord voter selections are recorded as marked and the cord voter selections are recorded as marked and the cord voter selections are recorded						Record ballot selection override information	1.1.5-F
1.1.5-F.3 identification of the reasons for the changes When marking a ballot by hand, a voter may vote in contests in which the voter is not allowed to make contest selections in contests selections. For example, a voter may elect to vote straight-party, but then make contest selections in contests which differ from the political party contest choices. Election or contest rules may cause a scanner to invalidate the contest markings or require other actions. The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: 1.1.5-G.1 identification of the geographical location of the device VxSuite tabulators do not record voter selections differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so based on CVR snapshots that represent the original and modified values. VxSuite tabulators do not record voter selections differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so based on CVR snapshots that represent the original and modified values. VxSuite tabulators do not record voter selections differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so based on CVR snapshots that represent the original and modified values. VxSuite tabulators do not record voter selections differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so based on CVR snapshots that represent the original and modified values. VxSuite tabulators do not record voter selections differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so based on CVR snapshots that represent the original and modified values. VxSuite tabulators do not record voter selections differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so based on CVR snapshots that represent the original and modified values. VxSuite tabulators do not record voter selections differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so based on CVR snapshots that represent the original and modified values.					identification of the original ballot selections made by the voter		1.1.5-F.1
When marking a ballot by hand, a voter may vote in contests in which the voter is not allowed to make contest selections in contests selections. For example, a voter may elect to vote straight-party, but then make contest selections in contests which differ from the political party contest choices. Election or contest rules may cause a scanner to invalidate the contest markings or require other actions. The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: 1.1.5-G.1 Identification of the geographical location of the geographical location of the device We namking a ballot by hand, a voter may vote in contests in which the voter is not allowed to make contest the original and selections differently than as marked, but support the future ability to do so based on CVR snapshots that represent the original and selections are recorded as marked and includes. The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: 1.1.5-G.1 Identification of the geographical location of the device We not a support the future ability to do so voting Works functional testing co based on CVR snapshots that represent the original and selections are recorded as marked and the contest marked, but support the future ability to do so based on CVR snapshots that represent the original and selections are recorded as marked and the contest marked, but support the future ability to do so voting Works functional testing co based on CVR snapshots that represent the original and selections are recorded as marked and the contest mark					•		
selections. For example, a voter may elect to vote straight-party, but then make contest selections in contests which differ from the political party contest choices. Election or contest rules may cause a scanner to invalidate D Discussion The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as 1.1.5-G Record audit information 1.1.5-G.1 identification of the geographical location of the device identification of the geographical location of the device							1.1.5-F.3
based on CVR snapshots that represent the original and the contest markings or require other actions. The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: 1.1.5-G.1 1.1.5-G.2 Identification of the geographical location of the geographical location of the device	confirms that voter	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that voter	,				
Discussion the contest markings or require other actions. The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as 1.1.5-G. Record audit information the specific creating device such as a serial number 1.1.5-G.2 Identification of the geographical location of the geographical location of the device the contest markings or require other actions. The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: 1.1.5-G.1 Identification of the specific creating device such as a serial number identification of the geographical location of the device 1.1.5-G.2 Identification of the geographical location of the device The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as the collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes: The voting system must be capable of recording audit-related information in the CVR or collection of CVRs as the collection of CVRs as they are created, that includes in the CVR or collection of CVRs as the collection of CVRs as the collection of CVRs as the collecti		selections are recorded as marked and that original and					
1.1.5-GRecord audit informationthey are created, that includes:1.1.5-G.1identification of the specific creating device such as a serial number1.1.5-G.2identification of the geographical location of the device	properly recorded. System Overview > Cast Vote Records	modified values in the CVR are properly recorded.	modified values.			Discussion	D
1.1.5-G.1 identification of the specific creating device such as a serial number 1.1.5-G.2 identification of the geographical location of the device					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Pacard audit information	1156
1.1.5-G.2 identification of the geographical location of the device			+			necora addit information	
			_				
					identification of the ballot style corresponding to the CVR		1.1.5-G.3
1.1.5-G.4 identification of the corresponding voted ballot			_		· •		1.1.5-G.4
for multi-sheet ballots, identification of the individual sheet corresponding to the CVR, along with the 1.1.5-G.5 identification of the ballot style							11565
1.1.5-G.5 identification of the ballot style 1.1.5-G.6 identification of the batch containing the corresponding voted ballot, when applicable			-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1.1.5-G.7 sequence of the corresponding voted ballot in the batch, when applicable			†				
Item 2 can be any identification scheme that is preferential in the jurisdiction, e.g., polling place name, address,							
geographical coordinates, etc. Item 4 can be satisfied by printing a unique ID on the ballot as it is scanned and							
including that ID in the corresponding CVR. Item 5 ensures that every sheet of a multi-sheet ballot contains the sheet number as well as the ballot style ID. This way, a ballot style ID could be defined to include all sheets, or							
each sheet could be defined with a unique ballot style. Items 6 and 7 are necessary when ballot batching is in Cast vote record report metadata contains the identification VotingWork functional and autom	omated testing confirms	VotingWork functional and automated testing confirms	Cast vote record report metadata contains the identification				
	lated information. System Overview > Cast Vote Records	that all CVRs record all audit-related information.	of all required audit information			Discussion	D

9/11/2025 5

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
11511	Stare and link corresponding image	The voting system must be capable of storing an image of a paper ballot and linking this image to the specific			Makin al Manula for a skin and a skin and a skin a san firm	
1.1.5-H	Store and link corresponding image	associated CVR. The image could be linked to the CVR by, for example, creating a filename for the image that is the same as the		Cast vote records may include a corresponding ballot image	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that the unique identifier shared between ballot images	
D	Discussion	identifier from item 4 in Requirement 1.1.5-G – Record audit information.		with a shared unique identifier.	and CVRs corresponds to the same ballot.	System Overview > Cast Vote Records
1.1.6	Ballot Handling for Vote-Capture Devices					
1.1.6-A	Detect and prevent ballot style mismatches	The voting system must detect ballot style mismatches and prevent votes from being tabulated or reported incorrectly due to a mismatch.				
21210 / 1	Secretaria prevene sanot style mismatories	For example, if the ballot styles loaded on a scanner disagree with the ballot styles that were used by vote-				
		capture devices, the system will raise an alarm and prevent the incorrect ballot styles from being used during		An error message is presented to the voter or election		System Overview > Ballot Interpretation;
		tabulation. Otherwise, votes could be credited to the wrong contest options. Such a mismatch should have been detected and prevented during L&A testing but if it was not, it needs to be detected and prevented before		official when a ballot style is scanned that does not correspond with the ballot styles included in the scanner	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that an error message is presented when a ballot style is	User Manual > VxScan Error Messages; User Manual > VxMarkScan Error
D	Discussion	tabulation begins.		configuration.	scanned that is not included in the scanner configuration.	Messages
1.1.6-B	Detect and reject ballots that are oriented incorrectly	The voting system must either:				
1.1.6-B.1		correctly count ballots regardless of whether they are fed upside down, right side up, forward, or reversed			VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms	
1.1.6-B.2		detect and reject ballots that are oriented incorrectly Batch-fed scanners, in response to unreadable ballots, write-ins, and other designated conditions, must do one		VxSuite tabulators accept ballots in all orientations.	that ballots can be interpreted in all orientations.	System Overview > Ballot Interpretation
1.1.6-C	Ballot separation when batch feeding	of the following:				
1.1.6-C.1		out stack the ballot (that is, divert to a stack separate from the ballots that were normally processed)		VxCentralScan can be configured via system settings to stop		
1.1.6-C.2		stop the ballot reader and display a message prompting the election official to remove the ballot		in response to ballot adjudication conditions and prompts		
1.1.6-C.3 1.1.6-C.4		mark the ballot with an identifying mark to facilitate its later identification if the ballot image uniquely identifies its corresponding ballot, use electronic adjudication to segregate the ballot	,	the election official to remove the ballot. An imprinter may		
1.1.0-0.4		Item 4 allows the ballot image to be segregated if, for example, an identifier is printed on the ballot as it is		also be used with VxCentralScan to facilitate its later identification. Write-in adjudication is later completed on	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that the	
		scanned, so that the image of the ballot also contains this identifier. Without a unique identifier or other		VxAdmin through electronic adjudication as the ballot image	VxCentralScan responds as expected based on the system	User Manual > Central Scanning; System
D	Discussion	marking, the ballot image itself does not facilitate finding the corresponding paper ballot.		uniquely identifies its corresponding ballot.	settings configuration in the election package.	Overview > VxCentralScan Function
		Voter-facing scanners must provide a function that can be activated by election officials to stop the scanning process and display a message which will enable the removal and correction of the ballot in response to the	7.3-H - Overvotes; 7.3-I			
1.1.6-D	Overvotes, undervotes, blank ballots	following ballot conditions:	- Undervotes			
1.1.6-D.1		ballots containing overvotes in a designated contest		Voter facing scanners provide a message to voters in these	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms	
1.1.6-D.2 1.1.6-D.3		ballots containing undervotes in a designated contest ballots containing contests that were not voted		conditions that enable the removal and correction of the	that a message is presented in these conditions when the	Hand Manual V. Ansistina Vatana Contant
1.1.6-D.3 1.1.6-D.4		blank ballots		ballot based on the adjudication reasons specified in the system settings within an election package.	associated adjudication reason is enabled in the election package.	User Manual > Assisting Voters; System Overview > VxScan Function
1.1.6-E	Write-ins, Ballot handling for vote-capture devices	Voter-facing scanners, when scanning a ballot containing a write-in vote, must either:			F	
1.1.6-E.1		segregate the ballot in a manner that facilitate its later identification				
1.1.6-E.2		if the ballot image uniquely identifies its corresponding ballot, use electronic adjudication to segregate the ballot	i e			
		The requirement to separate ballots containing write-in votes is not applicable to systems in which a BMD encodes write-in votes in a machine-readable form. In this instance, and a scanner generates individual tallies for	r	VotingWorks tabulators use electronic adjudication to	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that all write-in	
		all written-in candidates automatically. Separation of ballots containing write-in votes is only necessary in		segregate the ballot when a write-in vote is made. Write-in	selections are presented on screen for write-in	
D	Discussion	systems that require the allocation of write-in votes to specific candidates to be performed manually.		adjudication takes place on VxAdmin after CVR import.	adjudication on VxAdmin.	User Manual > Write-In Adjudication
1.1.6-F	Ability to clear mis-fed ballots	If multiple feed or misfeeding (jamming) occurs, batch-fed scanners must: permit the operator to remove the ballots causing the error and reinsert them in the input hopper (if unread) or	+	VxCentralScan presents an error message when a scan is	Nation Nation to the street of	
1.1.6-F.1		insert them in the ballot box (if read)		unreadable due to misfeeding specifying that the ballot shown on screen has not been counted. An operator may	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that centrally scanned ballots are not duplicated when following	
1.1.6-F.2		prevent duplicate scanning of the ballots		then compare the ballot on screen to the misfed ballot to	instructions on screen in response to misfed or jammed	User Manual > Central Scanning; System
D	Discussion	Number 2 deals with whether CVRs have been created for the ballots that were jammed.		confirm it is not double counted when rescanned.	ballots.	Overview > VxCentralScan Function
1.1.6-G	Scan to manufacturer specifications	The voting system must have the capability to provide a report of the mark detection thresholds that have been used to program the scanner so that the information is available upon request.		VxScan and VxCentralScan produce readiness reports that include the mark thresholds set on the scanner. This data is	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that the mark thresholds specified in the election package are reflected	System Overview > Diagnostics; User
	·	Manufacturers must not make their specifications proprietary; auditors must be able to understand what and		also included in the election package used to program the	in readiness reports and the CVR produced for a given	Manual > VxScan Diagnostics; User
D	Discussion	what does not constitute a valid voter mark on a particular scanner.		scanners.	scanner.	Manual > VxCentralScan Diagnostics
1.1.6-H 1.1.6-H.1	Accurately detect imperfect marks	The voting system must detect a 1 mm thick line that: is made with a #2 pencil that crosses the entirety of the contest option position on its long axis		_		
1.1.6-H.2		is centered on the contest option position				
1.1.6-H.3		is as dark as can practically be made with a #2 pencil				
		Different optical scanning technologies will register imperfect marks in different ways. Variables include: the				
		size, shape, orientation, and darkness of the mark; the location of the mark within the voting target; the wavelength of light used by the scanner; the size and shape of the scanner's aperture; the color of the ink; the				
		sensed background-white and maximum-dark levels; and the calibration of the scanner. The mark specified in				
		this requirement is intended to be less than 100% perfect, but reliably detectable. In plain language: scanning technologies may vary, but as a minimum requirement, all of them should be capable of reliably reading this		Default mark thresholds accurately detect this type of mark	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that marks of this	System Performance & Specifications >
D	Discussion	mark.		and consider it a voting selection.	programmed with default mark threshold values.	Reliably Detectable Marks
			1.1.6-G – Scan to]		System Performance & Specifications >
1.1.6-I	Ignore extraneous marks inside veting targets	The voting system must include a capability to recognize any imperfections in the ballot stock, folds, and similar insignificant marks appearing inside the voting targets and not record them as votes.	manufacturer specifications		Vating Works functional tasting confirms that the to	Reliably Detectable Marks
1.1.0-1	Ignore extraneous marks inside voting targets	Insignificant marks appearing inside the voting targets and not record them as votes. Insignificant marks appearing inside of the voting targets could be detected as votes, thus the capability to	specifications	+	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that these types of insignificant marks are not considered votes when	
		recognize the ballot folds or imperfections must be included as a part of the voting system. It may not be		These types of insignificant marks are not considered votes	scanners are programmed with default mark threshold	
D 1161	Discussion Magazinal marks, without high	possible to completely eliminate this problem in all cases depending on scanner thresholds for detecting marks.		when scanning ballots using default mark thresholds.	values.	
1.1.6-J	Marginal marks, without bias	The detection of marginal marks from manually marked paper ballots must not show a bias. Bias errors are not permissible in any system. An example of bias would be if marginal marks in the first ballot		+	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that the same mark for two given ballot positions are	
D	Discussion	position were detected differently than marginal marks in the second ballot position.		Marks for all ballot positions are interpreted identically.	interpreted equivalently.	System Overview > Ballot Interpretation
		The determination of a vote on a manually marked paper ballot must be repeatable, such that it never changes				
1.1.6-K	Repeatability	from a vote to a non-vote or from non-vote to a vote. Since it is technically impossible to achieve repeatable readings of ballots containing marks that fall precisely on		A given mark on a ballot will be interpreted identically on	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that a given ballot mark is repeatedly interpreted the same	
		the scanning threshold, changing between a non-vote and a marginally machine-readable mark is allowed.		successive scans given the mark threshold value is not	when the mark threshold for the scanner remains the	System Overview > Ballot Interpretation
D	Discussion	Similarly, changing from a valid vote and a marginally machine-readable mark is allowed.		changed.	same.	
1.1.7	Exiting or Suspending Voting	The voting system must provide designated functions for exiting or suspending an election mode is which write-		-		Hear Manual > Clasing Palls Hear
1.1.7-A	Exiting or suspending election mode	The voting system must provide designated functions for exiting or suspending an election mode in which voting is permitted.				User Manual > Closing Polls; User Manual > Additional Poll Worker
		- ' '	•	_		

9/11/2025 6

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
		When voting is conducted across multiple days, for example, during early voting, these requirements are still				Actions; System Overview > VxMarkScan
		applicable even though the election itself may not be over; this is with the exception of requirement 1.1.7-E – Prevent re-entering election mode, which deals with preventing re-opening of the polls once they have been		Authenticated poll workers can "close polls" to exit or	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that voting is not permitted when polls are closed or suspended by a poll	Function; System Overview > VxScan Function; User Manual > VxMarkScan >
D	Discussion	closed on election day.		"pause polls" to suspend voting.	worker.	Open and Close Polls
		The voting system must prevent the further activation, marking, or casting of ballots by any device once the		The state of the s		
1.1.7-B	No voting when voting is stopped	voting has stopped.				
		This requirement is applicable to voter-facing scanners, batch-fed scanners and any other device that enables the activation or tabulation of the voting process. However, a BMD cannot prevent a voter from marking a paper		Ballots cannot be marked on VxMarkScan nor cast on	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that voting is not permitted when polls are closed or suspended by a poll	System Overview > VxMarkScan; System
D	Discussion	ballot with a writing utensil after polls have closed. This needs to be prevented through jurisdictional procedure.		VxScan when polls are closed or suspended.	worker.	Overview > VxScan
				VxSuite software verifies that the polls report is printed, cast		
ĺ				vote records are exported to the USB drive, and the internal	confirms that voting is not permitted when polls are closed	i
1 4470	Water to the State of the Land	The voting system must provide an internal test that verifies that the prescribed closing or suspension		state has been updated after a poll worker selects the	or suspended by a poll worker.	the Maria In Chaire Bull
1.1.7-C	Voting stop integrity check	procedures have been followed.		function on screen.		User Manual > Closing Polls User Manual > Opening Polls; User
ĺ		The voting system must provide a means to produce a diagnostic test record that verifies the sequence of		A polls closed and polls open report is generated when	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that this report is	
1.1.7-D	Report on voting stop process	events, which indicate that the voting mode has been deactivated or suspended.		voting is stopped.	generated when voting is stopped.	> VxScan Polls Reports
ĺ		The voting system must not be capable of re-entering an election mode, in which voting is permitted, once the	11.3.1-B – Multi-factor			
1.1.7-E	Prevent re-entering election mode	closing procedures have been completed for an election without an explicit override authorized by an administrator.	authentication for critical operations	The veting system does not enable to eneming nells once	Vating Warks functional tasting confirms that the only	User Manual > Additional VxScan
1.1.7-L	Frevent re-entering election mode	When early voting is conducted across multiple days, this requirement does not prevent reopening of the polls	critical operations	The voting system does not enable re-opening polls once closed other than providing a system administrator the	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that the only means of voting after closing polls is after a system	Settings; System Overview > VxScan Function; System Overview >
D	Discussion	on the following day.		ability to reset the polls to a paused state.	administrator resets the polls to a paused state.	VxMarkScan Function
1.1.8	Tabulation					
	- L L	The voting system must support the tabulation function for all voting variations indicated in the implantation				
1.1.8-A 1.1.8-A.1	Tabulation	statement. This function includes:		-		
1.1.8-A.1		extracting the valid votes from each ballot cast according to the defined rules creating and storing a CVR that contains the disposition of each contest selection as well as the disposition of		-		
1.1.8-A.2		each contest choice that is eligible to be cast				
1.1.8-A.3		accumulation and aggregation of contest results and ballot statistics				
		Results accumulation and aggregation takes place at multiple levels within the voting system. Each tabulation		Tabulation on VxScan and VxCentralScan and aggregation on		
D	Discussion	unit must perform this function and must have the ability to transmit the CVRs and results to the election management system (EMS) for jurisdiction wide accumulation and aggregation.		VxAdmin is supported for all voting variations in the implementation statement.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms	System Performance and Specifications
U	Discussion	In partisan primary elections, the voting system must be capable of reporting separate totals for the number of		Implementation statement.	that all votes are tabulated and aggregated as expected.	> Supported Voting Variations
Í		ballots read and the number of ballots counted for each political party. This is independent of whether the				
1.1.8-B	Partisan Primary Elections	primary type is closed or open.				
		From a tabulation perspective, there are two types of partisan primary election ballots. A closed primary ballot is	5			
		one in which a ballot is limited to contests associated with one political party and any nonpartisan contests. An open primary ballot is one which contains contests from all parties on the same ballot, but the voter may only		All primary election tabulation reports and broken down by	Voting Works functional and automated testing confirms	System Overview > VxScan Polls Reports; System Overview > VxAdmin Results
D	Discussion	select contest choices applicable to a single party.		party.	that all primary reports and broken down by party.	Exports > Tally Reports
				For ballots specific to one party (but are inclusive of		
Í				nonpartisan contests), the voting system reports on the	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms	System Overview > VxScan Polls Reports;
1.1.8-B.1	Tabulation of a closed primary ballot	The voting system must support the tabulation of ballots that are specific to a party or are nonpartisan and must be able to report combined totals for nonpartisan contests no matter what party ballot the contest appears on.		combined totals of nonpartisan contests across all party ballots.	nonpartisan contests across primary ballot styles are tabulated and aggregated properly.	System Overview > VxAdmin Results Exports > Tally Reports
1.1.6-6.1	labulation of a closed primary ballot	When tabulating ballots from an open primary, the voting system must limit tabulation of votes to contests of		ballots.	tabulated and aggregated property.	Exports > raily Reports
1.1.8-B.2	Tabulation of an open primary ballot	one political party.		In a primary election on VxSuite, ballots are specific to a		
		In an open primary, a voter may select partisan contest choices that are associated with more than one political		given party and VxSuite assumes that election officials are		
D	Discussion	party. Therefore, tabulation of a ballot during an open primary will void the partisan content of the ballot and only contest selections in nonpartisan contests are tabulated. The ballot is treated like a nonpartisan ballot.		procedurally limiting voter access to one party ballot to support open primaries.		
<u>_</u>	Discussion	If the ballot contains a party preference contest and a party preference contest choice is selected, the voting		support open primaries.		
ĺ		system must only tabulate partisan contest option selections from contests that are of the same party as is	1.1.4-N – Party			
1.1.8-B.3	Open primary ballot with party preference contest	selected in the party preference contest.	preference contest	N/A - not included in implementation statement		
		A party preference contest provides the voter with the ability to select their intended party and avoid cross- party selections voiding the partisan selection of the ballot. If a party preference contest option is not selected,				
D	Discussion	party selections voiding the partisan selection of the ballot. If a party preference contest option is not selected, partisan contests on the ballot are tabulated as if the party preference contest was not present.				
1.1.8-C	Write-ins, Tabulation	The voting system must be capable of				
1.1.8.C.1		tabulating votes for write-in candidates with separate totals for each contest choice				
1.1.8.C.2		tabulating valid individual write-in candidate totals in each contest		_		
		Tabulation of candidate names that are manually written in on a hand voted paper ballot can only be tabulated				
		as an aggregate total in each contest. Each name must be adjudicated from graphical images of the contest write-in area or from the ballot itself to determine the name of the candidate. When names are typed on an				
		electronic voting unit such as a BMD, although the entered names must be recorded, only aggregate contest				
		write-in totals are tabulated. Each individual write-in name must be adjudicated for validity before they can be		VxSuite tabulates write-in votes for each contest choice and		User Manual > Write-In Adjudication;
		aggregated. In most states, a write-in candidate must be registered to be valid. State rules also determine acceptable variations in the written name for the candidate to be credited with the vote. State rules also		provides a write-in adjudication interface on VxAdmin to tabulate valid individual write-in candidate totals in each	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms	System Overview > VxAdmin Function; System Overview > VxAdmin Results
D	Discussion	determine treatment of a written name for the candidate to be credited with the vote. State rules also		contest.	that write-in votes are tabulated properly post- adjudication.	System Overview > VXAdmin Results Exports
		When the order of contest choices within a contest varies by ballot style, the voting system must tabulate votes			,	F-5
1.1.8-D	Ballot rotation, Tabulation	for each contest selection independent of a contest selections location in the contest on the ballot.		_	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms	
	,	This means that ballot rotation will not impact the correctness of the count.		Ballot rotation does not impact tabulation in VxSuite.	that votes are counted accurately regardless of rotation.	System Overview > Election Package
	Discussion					
	,	When tabulating a partisan general election ballot, which includes a validly selected straight-party contest				
1.1.8-E	Discussion	When tabulating a partisan general election ballot, which includes a validly selected straight-party contest option in a straight-party contest, the voting system must select each candidate contest choice that is endorsed				
1.1.8-E	,	When tabulating a partisan general election ballot, which includes a validly selected straight-party contest				
1.1.8-E	Discussion	When tabulating a partisan general election ballot, which includes a validly selected straight-party contest option in a straight-party contest, the voting system must select each candidate contest choice that is endorsed by the selected party in every contest on the ballot unless the contest is specifically exempted. There are currently two different tabulation rule sets for handling a ballot with both a straight-party selection and a selection in a contest of a candidate not endorsed by the selected party, known as party crossover. In one,				
1.1.8-E	Discussion	When tabulating a partisan general election ballot, which includes a validly selected straight-party contest option in a straight-party contest, the voting system must select each candidate contest choice that is endorsed by the selected party in every contest on the ballot unless the contest is specifically exempted. There are currently two different tabulation rule sets for handling a ballot with both a straight-party selection and a selection in a contest of a candidate not endorsed by the selected party, known as party crossover. In one, any selection of a contest choice in a partisan contest eliminates any straight-party selection in that contest. In				
1.1.8-E	Discussion	When tabulating a partisan general election ballot, which includes a validly selected straight-party contest option in a straight-party contest, the voting system must select each candidate contest choice that is endorsed by the selected party in every contest on the ballot unless the contest is specifically exempted. There are currently two different tabulation rule sets for handling a ballot with both a straight-party selection and a selection in a contest of a candidate not endorsed by the selected party, known as party crossover. In one, any selection of a contest choice in a partisan contest eliminates any straight-party selection in that contest. In the other, straight-party option selections in a contest are eliminated if the number of candidates selected				
1.1.8-E	Discussion	When tabulating a partisan general election ballot, which includes a validly selected straight-party contest option in a straight-party contest, the voting system must select each candidate contest choice that is endorsed by the selected party in every contest on the ballot unless the contest is specifically exempted. There are currently two different tabulation rule sets for handling a ballot with both a straight-party selection and a selection in a contest of a candidate not endorsed by the selected party, known as party crossover. In one, any selection of a contest choice in a partisan contest eliminates any straight-party selection in that contest. In				

9/11/2025 7

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
		For straight-party tabulation, if a listed candidate option is endorsed by more than one political party, the voting			•	
1.1.8-F	Cross-party endorsement with straight-party voting	system must be capable of tabulating votes for that candidate independent of which party option is validly selected.		N/A - not included in implementation statement		
1.1.0-F	Cross-party endorsement with straight-party voting	When multiple ballot styles are associated with a specific precinct, the voting system must be capable of keeping		VxSuite supports precinct splits as modeled in the election		
		separate totals for the number of ballots read and counted for each ballot style or split. Tabulation must not be		definition and tabulation is not affected by variation of	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms	
1.1.8-G	Precinct splits, Tabulation	affected by variation of contest selection locations from one ballot style to another.		contest selection locations from one ballot or another.	that tabulation of precincts split ballots is accurate.	System Overview > Election Package
1.1.8-H	N-of-M contest, Tabulation	For N-of-M voting, the voting system must be capable of tabulating votes, overvotes, and undervotes in contests where the voter is permitted to select up to a specified number of contest choices.				
1.1.o-n	N-01-IVI COITIEST, TABUIATION	An N-of-M contest is one where a voter is allowed N contest selections from a list of M choices and where votes				
		are tallied independent of any other contest choices. N includes 1 vote (1 vote for 1 contest or typically a				
		measure) or any larger number. Contest choices include those where the contest choices are candidates for a				
		specific office or measures/referenda where there are usually only two contest choices (Yes/No, For/Against) but may also be a list of choices (Tax rate A, Tax rate B, Tax rate C). An N-of-M contest is used for approval voting by				
		setting N to be equal to M. This type of contest is used for limited voting by setting N to be less than the number		N-of-M tabulation follows the tabulation rules for votes,		
		of seats being elected. An N-of-M contest is also is used for top-2 primary contests (blanket primary contests),		overvotes, and undervotes as described in the discussion of		System Performance and Specifications
D	Discussion	where N is always 1 but the 2 candidates with the most votes will be on the general election ballot.		this requirement.	that tabulation of N-of-M contests follows these rules.	> Supported Voting Variations
		For cumulative voting, the voting system must be capable of tabulating votes, overvotes, and undervotes in contests where the voter may allocate up to a specified number of votes over a list of contest choices in any				
1.1.8-l	Cumulative voting contest, Tabulation	manner they choose. This may result in possibly giving more than one vote to a given contest selection.		N/A - not included in implementation statement		
1.1.8-J	Ranked choice voting contest, Tabulation	For ranked choice voting (RCV), the voting system must				
1.1.8-J.1		capture the voter's ranking of each contest selection and store it in the CVR associated with the ballot style				
1.1.8-J.2		aggregate 1st choice totals of each contest selection		-		
1.1.8-J.3		process the collection of CVRs round-by-round according to the method specified in the implementation statement				
11210 010		Ranked choice voting (RCV) tabulation methods are different for single seat and multi-seat contests.				
		Jurisdictional rules vary even when using the same basic method. A voting unit or precinct tabulating unit cannot				
		perform RCV tabulation. RCV tabulation requires the concurrent availability of all CVRs associated with an RCV contest and is a post-voting accumulation/aggregation process. Some jurisdictional rules may only require use				
		of the RCV tabulation process if aggregated first choice selections do not produce the total needed to exceed the				
		threshold of votes required to win. Other jurisdictional rules do not use tabulated and aggregated 1st choice				
		selections and require the RCV tabulation process to be used for all winners. Single winner RCV is also known as				
D	Discussion	IRV (Instant Runoff Voting). STV (Single Transferable Vote) is a method used for multi-winner RCV. Another multi-winner process (Sequential At-Large IRV) uses successive IRV passes, one pass to determine each winner.		N/A - not included in implementation statement		
5	21504331011	When tabulating group voting contest choices, the voting system must automatically select each contest choice		14/7 Hot medded in implementation statement		
		that is affiliated with the selected group as if the voter manually selected each of those candidate choices. Any				
1104	Construction and the delice	selection of a contest choice outside of the group will constitute as an overvote if the number of candidates in				
1.1.8-K	Group voting contest, Tabulation	the group selected is equal to the votes allowed. There may be multiple candidate groups in a contest. The ballot normally places contest options for all		1		
		candidates in a group sequentially, with the group contest option first. If a contest is not fully voted by utilizing				
_		the group voting contest option, a voter can select additional contest options outside of the group, as long as the				
D	Discussion	total does not exceed the votes allowed. When tabulating a presidential delegate contest, the voting system must prevent votes for any delegate in the		N/A - not included in implementation statement		
		contest that is not representing the president candidate chosen by the voter's contest option selection in the				
1.1.8-L	Presidential delegate contest, Tabulation	presidential contest.				
		Most states that directly elect presidential delegates do not have a tabulation associated with the presidential				
		candidate selection. However, as of 2020, Alabama has included this association on both the democratic and republican ballots, while Rhode Island has the association on the democratic ballot. When used, if there is no				
		presidential candidate selection or the presidential candidate and no affiliated delegate in the contest, no vote				
D	Discussion	will be counted for any delegate contest option selection.		N/A - not included in implementation statement		
1.1.8-M	Decall contest pair	When tabulating a recall/replace contest pair, the voting system must only tabulate the replace contest				
1.1.0-IVI	Recall contest pair	(controlled contest) if there is a vote selection in the recall contest (controlling contest). The recall contest in the contest pair is typically a question used to determine whether an elected official should				
		be recalled and the replace contest allows selection of the desired replacement. If the question is not voted, the				
		replacement contest is not processed. However, the contest pair has been used for other purposes such as				
	Discussion	annexations and determination of tax rates. Votes selections in a proportional voting contest (also known as an equal-and-even cumulative voting contest)		N/A - not included in implementation statement		
		must be tabulated for the selected contest option or options by dividing the allowed votes by the number of				
	Proportional voting contest (equal-and-even cumulative voting	contest option selections; this may occur as long as the number of selections do not exceed the number of				
1.1.8-N	contest), Tabulation	allowed votes.		-		
D	Discussion	This may produce a fractional number of votes tabulated for a candidate. However, it is not possible to tabulate undervotes in this contest.		N/A - not included in implementation statement		
1.1.9	Reporting Results			- '/' · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
] .	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms	System Overview > VxAdmin Results
1.1.9-A	Post Election Paparts	The voting system must have the capability to create post-election reports that contain cast ballot counts and		VxAdmin reports contain cast ballot counts and vote counts	cast ballot counts and vote counts in VxAdmin contain	Exports; System Overview > VxAdmin
1.1.9-A	Post-Election Reports	vote counts for contests on the ballot types served by precincts or splits of precincts. The voting system must have the capability to report the number of ballots cast in total and broken down by	1	for ballots from precincts or split precincts.	accurate cast ballot counts and vote counts.	Function
		ballot style. This is in addition to the associated units of political geography for the following categories of ballots				
1.1.9-B	Report categories of cast ballots	cast:				
1.1.9-B.1		All read ballots and all counted ballots		-		
1.1.9-B.2 1.1.9-B.3		For multi-page ballots, the number of different pages read, and number counted Read ballots and counted ballots that require review		 		
1.1.9-B.3 1.1.9-B.4		Absentee read and counted ballots	-	VxAdmin reports have the capability to report on number of		
1.1.9-B.5		Blank ballots (ballots containing no votes)		ballots cast in total and broken down by ballot style, district (political geography), sheet, ballot type (absentee vs.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms	System Overview > VxAdmin Results
		Associated units of political geography may also include state, county, city, town or township, ward, and		precinct) amongst other possible reports. VxAdmin also	these types of reports are available for generation in	Exports; System Overview > VxAdmin
D	Discussion	districts.		reports on the total number of blank ballots.	VxAdmin and that the reports are accurate.	Function
1.1.9-C	Report categories of votes	The voting system must have the capability to report the following categories of votes:				

Second Second Secon	VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
1915 1915					VxAdmin provides reports for in-person voting (precinct		
Control of the property of the of the pr							
Control Cont						•	•
Part					-	, .	
A continue of the continue o	1.1.9-D	Reporting combined or split precincts	The voting system must be capable of generating reports that consolidate vote data from selected precincts.			•	System Overview > VxAdmin Results
1.5			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1 1		
Section Sect	D	Discussion			precincts included in the report filter.	precincts.	Function
Second Process Proce	1.1.9-E	Report counted ballots by contest					
The state of the coloring age The state of the coloring ag			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•	
Process of the continued or specific continued to the continued to th	D	Discussion			1 ' '		•
1914 Section of the content of t	В	DISCUSSION	71		Contest.		Exports
Process Proc	1.1.9-F	Report votes for each contest option			Tally reports include the vote totals for each option in an N-	•	System Overview > VxAdmin Results
Supplied the second to the column of the col	D	Discussion	N-of-M in this requirement includes the most common type of contest, 1-of-M.		of-M contest.		Exports
The second contract tension for the contract tension for a contract tension for a contract tension for a contract tension for the contract tensi						•	
The company of the command company of the company of the command company of the company of			The voting system must have the capability to report the number of overvotes for each relevant N-of-M or		Tally reports include the number of overvotes for each N-of-		System Overview > VxAdmin Results
1.10 Notes convented teal and institution of the second of the second of the control of the second of th	1.1.9-G	Report overvotes for each contest			M contest.		Exports
Discussion Control Services and Control would be control to the control to the control to the control to the control control to the control control to the control control to the contro	1 1 9-H	Report undervotes for each contest			Tally reports include the number of underviotes for each N-	•	System Overview > VyAdmin Pesults
Provided the property of the control of the contr					= ' '	·	•
The confidence of temporal since created device register and process of the policy gooding created and process of the policy goods. 11.1.3.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.			The voting system must have the capability to report the contest choice vote totals for each ranked choice		1		•
Description of the property devices commissions to the property and the property of the proper	1.1.9-l	Ranked choice voting, report results			-		
When men the man or shop charged and sequential devices a positive growing according agreement to be concepted. The control program of the product of the control program of the product of the control program of the product of the	D	Discussion			N/A - not included in implementation statement		
The requested executively reached present pure or schemed under spring feed of the purpose of th					1		
Set to provide the same produce plants or the color policy for the color policy plant. 1.15 Money and statistication plants color the color policy plant. 1.16 Money and statistication plants color the color policy plant. 1.17 Money and statistication plants color the color policy plants. 1.18 Money and statistication plants color the color policy plants. 1.19 Money and statistication plants color the color policy plants. 1.19 Money and statistication plants color the color policy plants are produced by the color policy plants are produced by the color policy plants. 1.19 Money and statistication plants color the color policy plants are produced by the color policy plants are produced by the color policy plants the color policy plants are produced by the color policy plants the color policy plants are produced by the color polic	1.1.9-J	Precinct reporting devices, reporting device consolidation				•	•
The definition of the particular plants the	D	Discussion			- · · · · ·		
Processor Proc							
Part Continue of the conti	1.1.9-K	Precinct reporting devices, no tallies before polls close	'			•	5 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
The string option must have the capability of regorting signante trads for each party in primary plettines wise prompt gradings regorted and districtly aparty prompting calgoring or disposed of mist and counted cell places. The string option can be stringed. Association of a factoring conditions are stringed. Association of a factoring conditions are stringed. Associated of Actuarity. The string operand and associated before the counter of the c	D	Discussion			1 ' '	·	
1.1-1.4 region read tallists by party composing calegories of read and consted cat hallors. 1.1-2 Per control greaters in deep set to function connectly under reads 1.2-3 Per control greaters in deep set to function connectly under reads 1.2-4 Accountment of Accuracy 1.2-5 Accountment of Accuracy 1.2-5 Accountment of Accuracy 1.2-6 Accountment of Accuracy 1.2-6 Accountment of Accuracy 1.2-7 Accountment of Accuracy 1.2-8 Accountment of Accuracy 1.2-8 Accountment of Accuracy 1.2-9 Accountment of Accuracy 1.2-1 Accountment of Accuracy 1.2-1 Accountment of Accuracy 1.2-2 A						·	·
1.1.9.0 Seports active attended tearned of the sport's generated by the young sporters in deepend on function connectly under read- 1.2.0 Seports active active active conditions	4401	Description I will be a second					Exports
Figure 1 Figure 2	1.1.9-L	Report read ballots by party	reporting categories of read and counted cast ballots.		Primary election reports separate totals for each party.	accurate totals for each party.	System Overview > VyAdmin Results
## Proprose and included the date and time of the reports generolars, including focus, minutes, and seconds. 1							•
The voting system is designed to function correctly under real- displayed pertain condition of membrane departing conditions of evidence terms gathered during the displayed pertain course of setting, including: 12-A.1 12-A.2 12-A.3 12	11014	December on the second					
12.4 Assessment of Accuracy	1.1.9-101	·	All reports must include the date and time of the report's generation, including nodrs, minutes, and seconds.		with date and time.	that all reports are timestamped.	Diagnostics
1.2 A. Josesment of Accuracy entire course of testing, including: A measurement of how accurately worter marks are recipited as solid or not valid according to manufacturer young control of the accurated specifications A assessment of whether the remaining VISG requirements are stableted and reported as creatly A assessment of whether the remaining VISG requirements are stableted and reported as creatly A lives are tabulated and reported accurately. All VISG requirements applicituation to the implementation statement are next. A lives are tabulated and reported accurately. All VISG requirements are stableted and reported accurately. All VISG requirements are next. A lives are tabulated and reported accurately. All VISG requirements are not according to the minimum number of both ball or constitution with the presentation of the ball or constitution of the control of the visit specification and all control of the presentation of the ball or constitution of the presentation of the ball or constitution of the presentation of the ball or constitution of the presentation of th	1.2						
2.4.1 A measurement of how accurately voter marks are targogined as well or not valid according to manufacturer specifications 1.2.4.2 A measurement of how accurately voter marks are tabulated and reported as results All votes are tabulated and reported accurately, All VXSG An assissment of whether the remaining VXSG requirements are statisfied All votes are tabulated and reported accurately, All VXSG Voting VXHS functional and automated testing confirms that all votes are tabulated and votes are tabulated and reported accurately, All VXSG Voting VXHS functional and automated testing confirms that all votes are tabulated and votes are tabulated accurately. All votes are tabulated and votes are tabul	124	Assessment of Assuracy					
12-A.2 A measurement of how accurately where marks are tabulated and reported as results 12-A.3 A measurement of how accurately where marks are stabilisted and reported as results 12-B. A measurement of how accurately where marks are stabilisted and reported as results 12-B. The data collected during the testing of this requirement such substantially to the evaluations of relability accuracy, and misdeed rate. 12-B. Reliability describe marks 12-B. Reliability described marks 12-C. Minimum balled positions 12-D. Discussion 12-D. Discussi	1.2-A	Assessment of Accuracy	<u> </u>		-		
1.2.4.3 An other same tabulated and reported accurately, All Votes are tabulated accurately, and the table to the accurate accurately and the table to the september of the properties of t	1.2-A.1		, ,				
The data collected during the testing of this requirement contributes substantially to the evaluations of rebiblity, accuracy, and misled reface from the properties of respirate properties of respirate properties of respirate properties. The voting system must detect marks on the ballot consistent with system mark specifications and differentiate between voter-made maragina names or other marks on the ballot. 1.2-B. Reliability detectable marks D. Discussion D. Discussion The voting system must detect marks on the ballot consistent with system must be read by the voting system detects marks consistent with mark specifications. In the Discussion of the post of the po			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4		
Discussion reliability, accuracy, and misfeed rate. 1.2. Beliability detectable marks Detween vote-made marks constituting votes versus voter-made marginal marks or other marks on the ballot. Discussion Discussion uncertainty. 1.2-C Minimum ballot positions 1.2-C Minimum ballot positions 1.2-C Minimum ballot positions 1.2-D Handle maximum volume 1.2-D Handle maximum volume 1.2-D Handle maximum volume 1.2-D Discussion 1.2-C C Minimum ballot positions 1.2-D Handle maximum volume 1.2-D Discussion 1.2-D Handle maximum volume 1.2-D Handle maximum volume 1.2-D Handle maximum volume 1.2-D Discussion 1.2-D Discussion 1.2-D Discussion 1.2-D Discussion 1.2-D Discussion 1.2-D Discussion 1.2-D The voting system mand teacher specifications. 1.2-D Discussion 1.2-D The voting volume maximum volume of a land positions is teal through operational testing the limit is practically testable. 1.2-D Discussion 1.2-D Discu	1.2-A.3		· ·				
Reliability detectable marks Detween outer-made marks constituting votes versus voter-made marks constituting votes versus voter-made marks constituted and the positions of the voting system and tabulated accurately. Discussion	D	Discussion				•	Quality Assurance Manual
The specification may have parameters for different configuration values. It should also state the degree of uncertainty. 1.2 C Minimum ballot positions A minimum of 10,000,000 ballot positions in text but now the read by the voting system and tabulated accurately. The value of 10,000,000 ballot positions is taken from VSG 1.0 (VSG2005), however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VSG 1.0 (VSG2005), however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions to text without error. If a large number of ballot positions is taken from VSG 1.0 (VSG2005), however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions to text without error. If a large number of ballot positions is taken from VSG 1.0 (VSG2005), however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VSG 1.0 (VSG2005), however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VSG 1.0 (VSG2005), however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VSG 1.0 (VSG2005), however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VSG 1.0 (VSG205), however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VSG 1.0 (VSG205), however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VSG 1.0 (VSG205), however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VSG 1.0 (VSG205), however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VSG 1.0 (VSG205), however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions of the voting system must be able to handle the maximum volume of used in the voting system must be able to handle the maximum volume of activities in conditions approximating normal used in the volume of ballot positions. Certain conditions the verbug operational testing if it is bill process more than the expected number of precincts, and to process more than the expected number of precincts, and to process more than the exp		D. P. L. P. L.					
D Discussion Uncertainty. 1.2-C Minimum ballot positions A minimum of 1,0000,000 ballot positions must be read by the voting system and tabulated accurately. The value of 1,0000,000 ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is used, there as the minimum number of ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions is used, there as the minimum number of ballot positions is used, there as the minimum number of ballot positions is used here as the minimum number of ballot positions. The voting system must be able to respond to the above conditions then the position of precincts, and to process more than the expected number of precincts, and to process more than the expected number of precincts, and to process more than the expected number	1.2-B	Reliability detectable marks			The voting system detects marks consistent with mark		System Performance and Specifications
1.2-C Minimum ballot positions A minimum of 10,000,000 ballot positions must be read by the voting system and bablated accurately. The value of 10,000,000 ballot positions is taken from VVSG 10 [VVSG2005], however it is used, three minimum number of ballot positions is text without error. If a larger number of ballot positions is used, three still can be no error. 1.2-D Handle maximum volume 1.2-D Sicussion 1.2-E Respond gracefully to stress of system limits 1.2-E Respond gracefully to stress of system limits 1.2-E Respond gracefully to stress of system must be added to workload the system's capacity, be resurring that the voting system must be added to workload the system's capacity, be resurring that the voting system must be added to workload the system's capacity, be resurring that the voting system must be added to workload the system's capacity, be resurring that the voting system must be able to respond to the above conditions that of the voting system must give adequate warning if it is to fail or halt usedenly. 1.2-E Respond gracefully to stress of system limits 1.2-F No single point of failure 1.2-F No single point of failur	D	Discussion					·
Discussion minimum number of ballot positions to test without error. If a larger number of ballot positions is used, there still can be no error. All votes are tabulated accurately. All votes are tabulated accurate	1.2-C	Minimum ballot positions	A minimum of 10,000,000 ballot positions must be read by the voting system and tabulated accurately.				
D Discussion still can be no error. The voting system must be able to handle the maximum volume of activities in conditions approximating normal use in an entire election process according to manufacturer specifications. D Discussion This requirement should be verified through operational testing if the limit is practically testable. Certain conditions enter to use in an entire election process according to manufacturer specifications. Certain conditions enter to verificat from the expected number of precincts, and to process, store, or report data. These conditions include attempts to process more than the expected number of precincts, and to process more than the expected volume or ballotation rate. Therefore, the voting system must be able to respond to the above conditions that overload the system's capacity, by ensuring that the voting system does not fail or halt suddenly. 1.2-E Respond gracefully to stress of system limits D Discussion The voting system must give adequate warning if it is to fail or halt for any reason. D Discussion The voting system must protect against a single point of failure The voting system must protect against a single point of failure The voting system must protect against a single point of failure The voting system must protect against a single point of failure The voting system must protect against a single point of failure The voting system must protect against a single point of failure The voting system prevents against a single point of failure The voting system must protect against a single point of failure The voting system must protect against a single point of failure The voting system must protect against a single point of failure The voting system prevents against a single point of failure The voting system prevents against a single point of failure The voting system prevents against a single point of failure The voting system must protect against a single point of failure The voting system prevents against a single point of failure The voting sy						Vating Works functional tasting tasts tabulation a	
1.2-D Handle maximum volume use in an entire election process according to manufacturer specifications. D Discussion The voting system must be able to handle the maximum volume of activities in conditions approximating normal use in an entire election process according to manufacturer specifications. D Discussion The voting system handles the maximum volume of Discussion The voting system handles the maximum volume of Activities according to system limits specified in the TDP. Certain conditions tend to overload the system's capacity to process, store, or report data. These conditions include attempts to process some than the expected volume or blot abulation rank to report of the above conditions that overload the system's capacity, by ensuring that the voting system must be able to respond to the above conditions that overload the system's capacity, by ensuring that the voting system must be able to respond to the above conditions that overload the system's capacity, by ensuring that the voting system must be able to report data. These conditions include attempts to process, store, or report data. These conditions include attempts to process, store, or report data. These conditions include attempts to process, store, or report data. These conditions include attempts to process, store, or report data. These conditions when system limits specified in the TDP. VotingWorks functional testing estite the complete system is at the volume limits defined in the TDP system limits. System Performance and Specifications of failure to conditions when system component fails gracefully in response to conditions when system limits are exceeded. The voting system must protect against a single point of failure and interpretations are exceeded. The voting system must protect against a single point of failure place. The voting system must protect against a single point of failure place. The voting system must protect against a single point of failure place. The voting system must protect against a single point of fa	D	Discussion			All votes are tabulated accurately.		Quality Assurance Manual
D Discussion This requirement should be verified through operational testing if the limit is practically testable. Certain conditions tend to overload the system's capacity to process, store, or report data. These conditions include attemptites to process more than the expected number of precincts, and to process more than the expected volume or ballot tabulation rate. Therefore, the voting system must be able to respond to the above conditions that overload the system's capacity, by ensuring that the voting system must be able to respond to the above conditions that overload the system's capacity, by ensuring that the voting system does not fail or halt suddenly. 1.2-E Respond gracefully to stress of system limits D Discussion The voting system must protect against a single point of failure The voting system must protect against a single point of failure The voting system must protect against a single point of failure of a component would prevent voting. This can be addressed in various ways, including being able to swap in/out devices D Discussion The voting system must protect against a single point of failure of a component would prevent voting. This can be addressed in various ways, including being able to swap in/out devices The voting system or events against a single point of failure or a component would prevent voting. This can be addressed in various ways, including being able to swap in/out devices The voting system or events against a single point of failure or a component would prevent voting. This can be addressed in various ways, including being able to swap in/out devices The voting system must protect against a single point of failure or a component would prevent voting. This can be addressed in various ways, including being able to swap in/out devices The voting system component fails gracefully in response to conditions when system limits are exceeded. The voting system must protect against a single point of failure in several ways including: redundant data storage, continuously	_		The voting system must be able to handle the maximum volume of activities in conditions approximating normal		1	, ,	,
Certain conditions tend to overload the system's capacity to process, store, or report data. These conditions include attempts to process more than the expected number of precincts, and to process more than the expected volume or ballot tabulation rate. Therefore, the voting system must be able to respond to the above conditions that overload the system's capacity, by ensuring that the voting system does not fail or halt suddenly. 1.2-E Respond gracefully to stress of system limits D Discussion The voting system must give adequate warning if it is to fail or halt for any reason. The voting system must give adequate warning if it is to fail or halt for any reason. The voting system must give adequate warning if it is to fail or halt for any reason. The voting system must give adequate warning if it is to fail or halt suddenly. The voting system component fails gracefully in resonable to conditions when system limits are exceeded. The voting system prevents against a single point of failure The voting system prevents against a single point of failure in several ways including: redundant data storage, without loss of data. VotingWorks functional testing exceeds system limits to confirm all components always fail gracefully and can recover. **The voting system prevents against a single point of failure in several ways including: redundant data storage, Continuously writing cast ballots to external disk, uninterruptible power supplies, and hardware features for without loss of data. **VotingWorks functional testing exceeds system limits to confirm all components always fail gracefully and can recover. **Voting Works functional testing exceeds system limits to confirm all components always fail gracefully and can recover. **Voting Works functional testing confirms that ballots and prevent voting.** **Voting Works functional testing confirms that ballots and prevent voting.** **Voting Works functional testing confirms that ballots and prevent voting.** **Voting Works functional testing confirms tha					9 1		·
include attempts to process more than the expected number of precincts, and to process more than the expected volume or ballot tabulation rate. Therefore, the voting system must be able to respond to the above expected yolume or ballot tabulation shat overload the system's capacity, by ensuring that the voting system must give adequate warning if it is to fail or halt suddenly. 1.2-E Respond gracefully to stress of system limits D Discussion This requirement should be verified through operational testing if the limit is practically testable. The voting system must protect against a single point of failure place. The voting system must protect against a single point of failure place. The intent of this requirement is to prevent, at the polling place, a situation in which failure of a component would prevent voting. This can be addressed in various ways, including being able to swap in/out devices D Discussion D D D Discussion D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	υ	DISCUSSION			activities according to system limits specified in the TDP.	at the volume limits defined in the TDP system limits.	> System Limits
Conditions that overload the system's capacity, by ensuring that the voting system does not fail or halt suddenly. 1.2-E Respond gracefully to stress of system limits Discussion This requirement should be verified through operational testing if the limit is practically testable. The voting system must protect against a single point of failure 1.2-F No single point of failure The intent of this requirement is to prevent, at the polling place, a situation in which failure of a component would prevent voting. This can be addressed in various ways, including being able to swap in/out devices Discussion To biscussion The voting system component fails gracefully in response to conditions when system limits are exceeded. The voting system component fails gracefully in response to conditions when system limits are exceeded. The voting system performance and Specifications confirm all components always fail gracefully and can recover. The voting system performance and Specifications confirm all components always fail gracefully and can recover. The voting system performance and Specifications confirm all components always fail gracefully and can recover. The voting system performance and Specifications confirm all components always fail gracefully and can recover. The voting system performance and Specifications confirm all components always fail gracefully and can recover. The voting system performance and Specifications confirm all components always fail gracefully and can recover. The voting system performance and Specifications confirm all components always fail gracefully and can recover. The voting system performance and Specifications confirm all components always fail gracefully and can recover. The voting system pervents against a single point of failure in several ways including: redundant data storage in several ways including: redundant data storage in various system failure in several ways including several ways including several ways including several ways including several ways includin							
1.2-E Respond gracefully to stress of system limits The voting system must give adequate warning if it is to fail or halt for any reason. Discussion This requirement should be verified through operational testing if the limit is practically testable. The voting system must protect against a single point of failure place. The voting system prevents against a single point of failure place. The intent of this requirement is to prevent, at the polling place, a situation in which failure of a component would prevent voting. This can be addressed in various ways, including being able to swap in/out devices Discussion The voting system component fails gracefully in response to conditions when system limits are exceeded. The voting system prevents against a single point of failure in several ways including: redundant data storage, continuously writing cast ballots to external disk, uninterruptible power supplies, and hardware features for emergency casting of ballots. Discussion The voting system must protect against a single point of failure in several ways including a confirm all components always fail gracefully and can recover. The voting system prevents against a single point of failure in several ways including: redundant data storage, continuously writing cast ballots to external disk, uninterruptible power supplies, and hardware features for emergency casting of ballots. VotingWorks functional testing confirms that ballots may be continued in the event of various system failures. System Security, Auditing & Logging			expected volume or ballot tabulation rate. Therefore, the voting system must be able to respond to the above				
D Discussion This requirement should be verified through operational testing if the limit is practically testable. The voting system must protect against a single point of failure that would prevent further voting at the polling place. The voting system prevents against a single point of failure in several ways including: redundant data storage, continuously writing cast ballots to external disk, would prevent voting. This can be addressed in various ways, including being able to swap in/out devices D Discussion This requirement should be verified through operational testing if the limit is practically testable. The voting system prevents against a single point of failure in several ways including: redundant data storage, continuously writing cast ballots to external disk, uninterruptible power supplies, and hardware features for emergency casting of ballots. VotingWorks functional testing confirms that ballots may be continued in the event of various system failures. System Security, Auditing & Logging	1 2-F	Respond gracefully to stress of system limits			Every voting system component fails gracefully in respect		•
The voting system must protect against a single point of failure 1.2-F No single point of failure 1.2-F No single point of failure The voting system must protect against a single point of failure that would prevent further voting at the polling place. The intent of this requirement is to prevent, at the polling place, a situation in which failure of a component would prevent voting. This can be addressed in various ways, including being able to swap in/out devices D Discussion The voting system prevents against a single point of failure in several ways including: redundant data storage, continuously writing cast ballots to external disk, uninterruptible power supplies, and hardware features for emergency casting of ballots. VotingWorks functional testing confirms that ballots may be continued in the event of various system failures. System Security, Auditing & Logging		, , , , ,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	> System Limits
The intent of this requirement is to prevent, at the polling place, a situation in which failure of a component would prevent voting. This can be addressed in various ways, including being able to swap in/out devices uninterruptible power supplies, and hardware features for emergency casting of ballots. Discussion The intent of this requirement is to prevent, at the polling place, a situation in which failure of a component uninterruptible power supplies, and hardware features for emergency casting of ballots. VotingWorks functional testing confirms that ballots may be continued in the event of various system failures. System Security, Auditing & Logging			The voting system must protect against a single point of failure that would prevent further voting at the polling		7		
would prevent voting. This can be addressed in various ways, including being able to swap in/out devices Discussion would prevent voting. This can be addressed in various ways, including being able to swap in/out devices uninterruptible power supplies, and hardware features for without loss of data. VotingWorks functional testing confirms that ballots may be continued in the event of various system failures. System Security, Auditing & Logging	1.2-F	No single point of failure			1		
D Discussion without loss of data. emergency casting of ballots. be continued in the event of various system failures. System Security, Auditing & Logging					, ,	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that hallots may	
	D	Discussion					System Security, Auditing & Logging
	1.2-G	Misfeed rate benchmark	The voting system misfeed rate must not exceed 0.002 (1 / 500).			VotingWorks manual functional testing and automated	

1

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
		Multiple feeds, misfeeds (jams), and rejections of ballots that meet all manufacturer specifications are all treated			robotic feeding confirms that the misfeed rate does not	
D	Discussion	collectively as "misfeeds" for benchmarking purposes; that is, only a single count is maintained.		tem	exceed 1/500.	Quality Assurance Manual
1.2-H	Protect against failure of input and storage devices	The voting system must withstand, without loss of data, the failure of any data input or storage device.		4.		
		The intent of this requirement is to prevent votes from being permanently lost due to the failure of a storage		The voting system prevents the loss of data without		
		device that contains votes. For example, if a scanner fails, the voting system must have the ability to swap in a		recasting ballots by continuously exporting CVRs on VxScan	W. C. W. L. C. of a land on the Control of the Cont	
	Dii	replacement data input device without the losing cast vote records that were previously recorded by the failed		to external disk while saving a redundant copy on internal disk.	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that no cast voter	
D	Discussion	Scanner. Votice devices must comply with the requirements of the Dules and Degulations of the Federal Communications.		disk.	record data is lost due to the failure of any disk.	System Overview > VxScan Function
1.2-I	FCC Part 15 Class A and B Conformance	Voting devices must comply with the requirements of the Rules and Regulations of the Federal Communications Commission, Part 15, Class B [FCC19a].				
1.2-1.1	TCC Fait 13 class A and B Comorniance	Voting devices located in polling places must minimally comply with Class B requirements		+	Control system yeting devices all have ECC compliant	
1.2-1.1		Voting devices located in politing places must minimally comply with class A Voting devices located in non-polling place settings such as back offices must minimally comply with Class A		Voting devices comply the FCC requirements related to their	Central system voting devices all have FCC compliant	
1.2-1.2		requirements		intended usage location.	tested by NRTLs to confirm compliance.	hardware-assets/cots-documentation
1.2 1.2		Voting devices located in polling places must be powered by a 120 V, single phase power supply derived from		All voting devices are powered by 120V single phase power	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	naraware assets/cots accumentation
1.2-J	Power supply from energy service provider	typical energy service providers.		supply as shown on nameplates.	are properly powered by normal 120V single phase outlets	. Defined on hardware nameplates.
-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	It is assumed that the AC power necessary to operate the voting system will be derived from the existing power			0 p	
		distribution system of the facility housing the polling place. This single-phase power may be a leg of a 120/240 V				
D	Discussion	single phase system, or a leg of a 120/208 V three-phase system, at a frequency of 60 Hz.				
		Voting devices located in polling places must comply with Class B emission limits affecting the power supply				
1.2-K	Power port connection to the facility power supply	connection to the energy service provider.				
		The normal operation of an electronic system can produce disturbances that will travel upstream and affect the				
		power supply system of the polling place, creating a potential deviation from the expected electromagnetic				
		compatibility of the system. The issue is whether these actual disturbances (after possible mitigation means			VxScan and VxMarkScan are independently tested by	
D	Discussion	incorporated in the equipment) reach a significant level to exceed stipulated limits.		VxScan and VxMarkScan comply with Class B emission limits.	NRTLs to confirm compliance.	hardware-assets/tests
		Voting devices located in polling places must comply with limits of leakage currents effectively established by the				
		trip threshold of all listed Ground Fault Current Interrupters (GFCI), if any, installed in the branch circuit				
1.2-L	Leakage from grounding port	supplying the voting system.		N/A - GFCIs are not present		
		Excessive leakage current is objectionable for two reasons: •For a branch circuit or wall receptacle that could be				
		provided with a GFCI (depending upon the wiring practice applied at the particular polling place), leakage current above the GFCI built-in trip point would cause the GFCI to trip and therefore disable the operation of the				
		system. •Should the power cord lose the connection to the equipment grounding conductor of the receptacle, a				
		personnel hazard would occur. (Note the prohibition of "cheater" adapters in the discussion of general				
D	Discussion	requirements for the polling place.)				
	Voting system design supports evaluation methods enabling	- The second sec		1		
	testers to clearly distinguish systems that correctly implement					
1.3	specified properties from those that do not					
		Each test provided in a manufacturer-submitted report of internal testing performed (technical data package				
1.3-A	Reporting of manufacturer-performed tests	(TDP)) must, at least, include the following information:				
1.3-A.1		requirement(s) under test;				
1.3-A.2		items under test to exercise a given requirement				
		pass-fail criteria necessary to determine whether or not a requirement has passed the test of conformity to the				
1.3-A.3		requirement				
1.3-A.4		evidence (observations, data) expected to provide justification for satisfying or failing a given pass-fail condition				
		test procedures necessary to provide, observe, record, analyze, and interpret this evidence relative to pass-fail				
1.3-A.5		criteria		4		
1246		where applicable, descriptions of the causes of variation, ambiguity, noise, or observed errors in observed and				
1.3-A.6		recorded evidence during tested procedures		-		
12 4 7		where applicable, descriptions of any necessary techniques, procedures, or processes applied to normalize or				
1.3-A.7		clean data prior to subjecting it to data analysis and interpretation relative to pass-fail criteria		The Overline Assumption of TDD	Vestina Wealth Constituted and a second street of the	
1.3-A.8		report of actual tests performed and their results		The Quality Assurance Manual in the TDP, internal testing	•	
1.3-A.9		description and justification if a given test cannot be fully performed or exercised due to internal resource constraints, including description of alternative means of verification		documents in docs-vxsuite-v4, and this document collectively report on manufacturer-performed tests.	that each VVSG requirement is met and documented via assets in the TDP.	Quality Assurance Manual
1.3-A.9		This is a documentation requirement. Its intent is to ensure a baseline set of information provided in		conectively report on manufacturer-performed tests.	מטפנט ווו נוופ דטר.	Quanty Assurance Midfludi
		manufacturer-submitted report of manufacturer-performed internal testing submitted as part of the TDP.				
		Manufacturers may likely have additional information, formatting, etc., as part of their particular testing				
D	Discussion	practices, that they will include as is consistent with their internal testing best-practices.				
		, and the second		The Quality Assurance Manual in the TDP, internal testing	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms	
		Each requirement identified in a manufacturer-submitted implementation statement or conformance statement		documents in docs-vxsuite-v4, and this document	that each VVSG requirement is met and documented via	
		· ·	I		•	
1.3-B	Coverage of manufacturer-performed tests	must describe one-or-more tests in their test-plan describing how it was tested.		collectively report on manufacturer-performed tests.	assets in the TDP.	Quality Assurance Manual
1.3-B	Coverage of manufacturer-performed tests	must describe one-or-more tests in their test-plan describing how it was tested. This requirement is to ensure that all requirements identified in the respective implementation and		collectively report on manufacturer-performed tests.	assets in the TDP.	Quality Assurance Manual

2

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
	High Quality Implementation - The voting system is implemented				· ·	
2	using high quality best practices					
	The voting system and its software are implemented using trustworthy materials and best practices in software					
2.1	development					
2.1-A	Acceptable programming languages	Application logic must be produced in a high-level programming language that has all of the following control constructs:				
2.1-A.1 2.1-A.2		sequence loop with exit condition (for example, for, while, or do-loops)				
2.1-A.2 2.1-A.3		if/then/else conditional				
2.1-A.4		case conditional				
2.1-A.5		block-structured exception handling (for example, try/throw/catch)				
		A list of acceptable programming languages may be specified by the EAC in conjunction with voting system test labs. This requirement can be satisfied by using COTS extension packages to add missing control constructs to languages that could not otherwise conform. By excluding border logic, this requirement allows the use of assembly language for hardware-related segments, such as device controllers and handler programs. It also allows the use of an externally imposed language for interacting with an Application Program Interface (API) or database query engine. However, the special code should be insulated from the bulk of the code, for example, by wrapping it in callable units expressed in the prevailing language to minimize the number of places that special code appears. Previous versions of VVSG required voting systems to handle such errors by some means, preferably using programming language exceptions ([VVSG2005] I. 5.2.3.e), but there was no unambiguous requirement for the programming language to support exception handling. These guidelines require programming language exceptions because without them, the programmer must check for every possible error condition in every possible location, which both obfuscates the application logic and creates a high likelihood that some or many possible errors will not be checked. Additionally, these guidelines require block-structured exception handling because, like all unstructured programming, unstructured exception handling obfuscates logic and makes its verification by the test lab more difficult. "One of the major difficulties of conventional defensive programming is that the fault tolerance actions are inseparably bound in with the normal processing which the design is to provide. This can significantly increase design complexity and, consequently, can compromise the reliability and maintainability of the software." [Moulding89] Existing voting system logic implemented in programming languages that do not support block-structured exception handling onto the previo		The VotingWorks codebase is written in TypeScript and Rust, two widely used languages that	We put significant consideration into the	
D	Discussion	arise, whereas in a programming environment that had exceptions to begin with the analogous library functions would already do this (see Requirement 2.1-B – COTS language extensions are acceptable).		have all of the listed control constructs.	introduction of new languages to our codebase.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
2.1-B	COTS language extensions are acceptable	Requirement 2.1-A – Acceptable programming languages may be satisfied by using COTS extension packages to add missing control constructs to languages that could not otherwise conform.		N/A - We meet requirement 2.1-A without the need for this	COUCLOSE.	Software Dest Fractices
D	Discussion	The use of non-COTS extension packages or manufacturer-specific code for this purpose is not acceptable, as it would place an unreasonable burden on the test lab to verify the soundness of an unproven extension (effectively a new programming language). The package must have a proven track record of performance supporting the assertion that it would be stable and suitable for use in voting systems, just as the compiler or interpreter for the base programming language must.		extension.		
2.1-C	Acceptable coding conventions	Application logic must adhere to a published, credible set of coding rules, conventions, or standards (called "coding conventions") that enhance the workmanship, security, integrity, testability, and maintainability of applications.		be found here: https://github. com/votingworks/vxsuite/blob/m ain/docs/best_practices/typescrip t.md; https://github. com/votingworks/vxsuite/blob/m	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change, during which we check for issues like this. If a code linter finds an issue with a code change, our continuous integration tool, CircleCl, fails and prevents the code from being merged until the issue is addressed.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	Coding conventions may be specified by the EAC in conjunction with voting system test labs. The requirement to follow coding conventions serves two purposes. First, by requiring specific risk factors to be mitigated, coding conventions support integrity and maintainability of voting system logic. Second, by making the logic more transparent to a reviewer, coding conventions facilitate test lab evaluation of the logic's correctness to a level of assurance beyond that provided by operational testing. The source code review for workmanship now focuses on coding practices with a direct impact on integrity and transparency and on adherence to published, credible coding conventions, in lieu of coding conventions embedded within the standard itself. The vast majority of coding conventions used in practice are tailored to specific programming languages. In these guidelines, the few coding conventions that have significant impact on integrity and transparency and that generalize relatively well to different programming languages have been retained, expanded, and made mandatory, while the many coding conventions that are language sensitive and stylistic in nature, and are made redundant by more recent, publicly available coding conventions, have been removed in favor of the published conventions. As discussed, prescriptive coding conventions not directly related to integrity and transparency have been avoided in favor of published, credible conventions. Coding conventions are considered to be published if they appear in a publicly available book, magazine, journal, or new media with analogous circulation and availability, or if they are publicly available on the Internet. This requirement attempts to clarify the "published, reviewed, and industry-accepted" language appearing in previous iterations of the VVSG, but the intent of the requirement is unchanged. Coding conventions are considered to be credible if at least two different organizations with no ties to the creator of the rules or to the manufacturer seeking confo				

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
2.1-D	Records last at least 22 months	All systems must maintain the integrity of election management, voting, and audit data, including cast vote records (CVRs), during an election and for a period of at least 22 months afterward, in temperatures ranging from 5 C to 40 C (41 F to 104 F) and relative humidity from 5% to 85%, non-condensing.		VxSuite hardware can withstand these conditions.	Internal hardware testing has confirmed that our hardware can withstand these conditions.	Quality Assurance; quality-assurance/testing
2.1.1	Workmanship	110111 376 to 6376, Holf-Colldelishig.		these conditions.	conditions.	Quality Assurance, quality-assurance/testing
2.1.1-A	General build quality	All manufacturers of voting systems must practice proper workmanship by:				
2.1.1-A.1		adopting and adhering to practices and procedures that ensure their products are free from damage or defect that could make them				
2.1.1 /1		unsatisfactory for their intended purpose		VotingWorks employs thorough	VotingWorks tests and iterates on QA	
2.1.1-A.2		ensuring that components provided by external suppliers are free from damage or defect that could make them unsatisfactory or hazardous when used for their intended purpose		quality assurance checks on all components and software	checklists until they are thorough enough to capture all issues	Quality Assurance
	S 180	A manufacturer must submit a warranty model to the EAC, testing labs, and customers, that includes for each product, its relevant		components and software	capture an issues	Quality Assurance
2.1.1-B	Durability estimation	components, and associated consumables:				
2.1.1-B.1		estimated replacement rates (e.g., 3 years, 10 years)				
2.1.1-B.2		estimated costs per replacement				
2.1.1-B.3 2.1.1-B.4		estimated warranty types and costs associated replacement policies, services, and available maintenance agreements		The decimal at the state of the		
2.1.1-B.5		plans for collecting, maintaining, and reporting data to the EAC to support and validate estimates		The documentation includes our warranty model.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Warranty Model
-		A number of factors associated with the durability of a product or its components can be highly variable and even particular to the type		1		,
		of components (e.g., COTS, consumables). This variance is also applicable to the resources of a given manufacturer. Thus, instead of prescribing a pre-estimated number for all manufacturers, the manufacturers are asked to make these estimates relative to their own products, components, and resources, and to provide the basis for these estimates (these warranties, replacement periods, etc.) to the EAC, labs, and customers. In this way, manufacturers can perform estimates most relevant to their chosen manufacturing strategies (i.				
D	Discussion	e., COTS-centric vs. custom-built, and so on).				
2.1.1-C	Durability of paper	Paper specified for use with the voting system must conform to the applicable specifications contained within the Government Paper				
	, , ,	Specification Standards, February 1999 No. 11, or the government standards that have superseded them. This is to ensure that paper records will be of adequate quality to survive the handling necessary for recounts, audits, etc. without		VxSuite paper specifications		
D	Discussion	problematic degradation. The Government Paper Specification Standards include different specifications for different kinds of paper. As of 2020-02-29, the Government Paper Specification Standards, February 1999 No. 11 [GPO19].		conform with the applicable specifications in GPSS.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation and compares to applicable standards.	System Performance & Specifications > Paper Ballot Specifications
2.1.1-D	Ensure compatibility of specified paper and ink	Ink specified for use with the voting system must be compatible with the paper specifications provided by the manufacturer.		Ink marking devices specified in	VotingWorks functional testing confirms ink	
_		The purpose of this requirement is to ensure that both the types of ink and paper used with a given system are compatible with each		the User Manual are compatible	specified is compatible with paper specified	
D 2.1.2	Discussion Maintainability	other in an effort to avoid many of the side-effects of mismatched ink and paper (e.g., excessive smudging).		with paper specified.	and has no negative side-effects.	User Manual > Approved Parts
2.1.2-A	Electronic device maintainability	Electronic devices must exhibit the following physical attributes:		-		
2.1.2-A.1	,	labels and the identification of test points				
2.1.2-A.2		built-in test and diagnostic circuitry or physical indicators of condition				
2.1.2-A.3		labels and alarms related to failures				
2.1.2-B	System maintainability	Voting systems must allow for:		 		
2.1.2-B.1		a non-technician to easily detect that the equipment has failed		VxSuite components detect hardware malfunctions, like disconnected peripherals, and surfaces specific error messages for these cases.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that each critical hardware failure results in an error message surfaced to the user.	User Manual; User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages; User Manual > VxScan Error Messages
2.1.2-B.2		a trained technician to easily diagnose problems		Component diagnostic screens allow for testing and diagnosing problems.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that diagnostic features allow diagnosing issues.	User Manual > [Component] Diagnostics; System Overview > Diagnostics
2.1.2-B.3		easy access to components for replacement		All subcomponents requiring replacement are easy to access such as: batch scanner rollers; precinct scanner thermal paper; report printer toner; report	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that the hardware enables easy access for	
2.1.2-B.4		easy adjustment, alignment, and tuning of components		printer paper. All scanners can be easily opened for cleaning. The batch scanner paper paths are easily adjustable.	replacing consumables. VotingWorks functional testing confirms that the hardware can be easily cleaned or adjusted.	User Manual > System Maintenance User Manual > System Maintenance
2.1.2-B.5		low false alarm rates (that is, indications of problems that do not exist)		VxSuite components have a low hardware failure false alarm rate.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that VxSuite components have a low hardware failure false alarm rate.	Quality Assurance
2.1.2-C	Nameplate and labels	All voting devices must:		1		
2.1.2-C.1		Display a permanently affixed nameplate or label containing the name of the manufacturer, the name of the device, its part or model number, its revision identifier, its serial number, and if applicable, its power requirements		All VxSuite components have a		
2.1.2-C.2		If service or preventative maintenance is required, display a separate data plate containing a schedule for and list of operations required to service or perform preventive maintenance, or a reference to where this can be found in the voting equipment user documentation		permanently affixed nameplate. For VxMarkScan & VxScan, this a custom VotingWorks nameplate. For other COTS components, the	VotingWorks quality assurance ensures	
24262		Display advisory caution and warning instructions to ensure safe operation of the equipment and to avoid exposure to hazardous		OEM permanent nameplate	permanent nameplates are present on all	
2.1.2-C.3	The voting system is implemented using best practice user- centered design methods that consider a wide range of representative voters, including those with and without disabilities, and election workers	electrical voltages and moving parts at all locations where operation or exposure may occur		meets this requirement.	voting devices.	System Overview
			8.3-A – Usability tests	1		
2.2-A	User-centered design process	The manufacturer must submit a report providing documentation that the system was developed following a user-centered design process. The report must include, at a minimum:	with voters; 8.4-A – Usability tests with election workers			
2.1-A.1		a listing of user-centered design methods used		VotingWorks completed usability		
2.1-A.2		the types of voters and election workers included in those methods		and accessibility testing and the		
2.1-A.3		how those methods were integrated into the overall implementation process		report is included in the		

2

2

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
2.1-A.4		how the results of those methods contributed to developing the final features and design of the voting system		documentation.	VotingWorks staff reviews all documentation.	Usability and Accessibility Test Reports
D	Discussion	The goal of this requirement is to allow the manufacturer to demonstrate, through the report, the way their implementation process included user-centered design methods. ISO-9241-210:2019 Ergonomics of human-system interaction—Part 210: Human-centered design for interactive systems [ISO19b] provides requirements and recommendations for human-centered principles and activities throughout the life cycle of computer-based interactive systems. It includes the idea of iterative cycles of user research to understand the context of use and user needs, creating prototypes or versions, and testing to confirm that the product meets the identified requirements. This requirement does not specify the exact user-centered design methods to be used, or their number or timing. The ISO group of requirements, Software engineering Software product Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQUARE) Common Industry Format (CIF) for Usability includes several standards that are a useful framework for reporting on user-centered design activities and usability reports: ISO/IEC TR 25060:2010: General framework for usability-related information [ISO10] ISO/IEC 25063:2014: Context of use description [ISO14]•ISO/IEC 25062:2006: Usability test reports [ISO06b] ISO/IEC 25064:2013: User needs report [ISO13b] ISO/IEC 25066:2016 Evaluation report [ISO16]				
2.3	Voting system logic is clear, meaningful, and well-structured					
2.3-A	Block-structured exception handling	Application logic must handle exceptions using block-structured exception handling constructs.		VxSuite application logic handles exceptions using block-structured exception handling contructs in TypeScript and Rust code.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
		The concept of "block-structured exception handling," is the ability to associate exception handlers with blocks of logic, and implicitly, the presence of the exception concept in the programming language. (This simply means try/throw/catch or equivalent statements and should not be confused with the specific implementation known as Structured Exception Handling (SEH) [MS20].[2]) Unlike deeply nested blocks, exceptions cannot be eliminated by restructuring logic. "When exceptions are not used, the errors cannot be handled but their existence is not avoided." [ISO00] Previous versions of VVSG required voting systems to handle such errors by some means, preferably using programming language exceptions ([VVSG2005] I.5.2.3.e), but there was no unambiguous requirement for the programming language to support exception handling. These guidelines require programming language exceptions because without them, the programmer must check for every possible error condition in every possible location, which both obfuscates the application logic and creates a high likelihood that some or many possible errors will not be checked. Additionally, these guidelines require block-structured exception handling because, like all unstructured programming, unstructured exception handling obfuscates logic and makes its verification by the test lab more difficult. "One of the major difficulties of conventional defensive programming is that the fault tolerance actions are inseparably bound in with the normal processing which the design is to provide. This can significantly increase				
2.3-B	Discussion Legacy library units	design complexity and, consequently, can compromise the reliability and maintainability of the software." [Moulding89]. If application logic makes use of any COTS or third-party logic callable units that do not throw exceptions when exceptional conditions occur, those callable units must be wrapped in callable units that check for the relevant error conditions and translate them into exceptions, and the remainder of application logic must use only the wrapped version.		VotingWorks application logic that calls third-party libraries or hardware drivers is wrapped in callable units that check for relevant error conditions and translate them appropriately.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	Existing voting system logic implemented in programming languages that do not support block structured exception handling can be brought into compliance either through migration to a newer programming language (most likely, a descendant of the same language that would require minimal changes) or through the use of a COTS package that retrofits block-structured exception handling onto the previous language with minimal changes. While the latter path may at first appear to be less work, it should be noted that many library functions may need to be adapted to throw exceptions when exceptional conditions arise, whereas in a programming environment that had exceptions to begin with the analogous library functions would already do this.				
2.3-C	Separation of code and data	Application logic must not compile or interpret configuration data or other input data as a programming language.		VotingWorks application logic does not compile or interpret configuration data or other input data as a programming language, and makes sure to properly escape input data to avoid any possible risks like SQL injection.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	The applicable requirement in VVSG2005 reads "Operator intervention or logic that evaluates received or stored data must not re-direct program control within a program routine." That attempt to define what it means to compile or interpret data as a programming language caused confusion. Distinguishing what is a programming language from what is not requires some professional judgment. However, in general, sequential execution of imperative instructions is a characteristic of conventional programming languages that should not be exhibited by configuration data. Configuration data must be declarative or informative in nature, not imperative. For example: Configuration data can contain a template that informs a report generating application about the form and content of a report that it should generate. However, configuration data cannot contain instructions that are executed or interpreted to generate a report, essentially embedding the logic of the report generator inside the configuration data. The reasons for this requirement are mingling code and data is bad design, and embedding logic within configuration data evades the conformity assessment process for application logic.				
2.3-D	Hard-coded passwords and keys	Voting system software must not contain hard-coded, including the use of:		VotingWorks application logic	We require peer code review of every	System Overview > Software Overview >
				does not use or reference any	change, during which we check for issues like	Software Best Practices; System Security,
2.3-D.1 2.3-D.2		passwords cryptographic keys		hard coded passwords or cryptographic keys.	this. We have also had external parties conduct penetration tests of our system.	Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture
D	Discussion	Many examples of this vulnerability have previously been identified in voting system software. Additional information about this vulnerability can be found at MITRE CWE-259: Use of Hard-coded Password [MITRE20a] and MITRE CWE-321: Use of Hard-coded Cryptographic Key [MITRE20b].			zzazza ponecialian costa or our system.	
2.3.1	Software flow					
2.3.1-A	Unstructured control flow	Application logic must contain no unstructured control constructs.		VotingWorks application logic does not contain any unstructured control constructs. Application logic is written in TypeScript and Rust which are structured languages that do not use unstructured control constructs.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices

2

VVSG 2.0 Sectio	n Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
VV3G 2.0 3EEE10	Title Title	Although it is typically developed by the voting system manufacturer, border logic is constrained by the requirements of the third-party or COTS interface with which it interacts. It is not always possible for border logic to achieve its function while conforming to standard coding conventions. For this reason, border logic should be minimized relative to application logic and where possible, wrapped in a	nelated negatients	How vasuite Weets	now votingworks lests	TOP Reference
D	Discussion	conforming interface. An example of border logic that could not be so wrapped is a customized boot manager that connects a bootable voting application to a COTS BIOS.				
2.3.1-B	Goto	Arbitrary branches (also known as gotos) must not be used.		VotingWorks application logic does not contain any gotos. Application logic is written in TypeScript and Rust which are structured languages that do not support gotos.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
2.3.1-C	Intentional exceptions	Exceptions must only be used for abnormal conditions. Exceptions must not be used to redirect the flow of control in normal ("non-exceptional") conditions.		VotingWorks application logic does not use exceptions to redirect the flow of control.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	"Intentional exceptions" cannot be used as a substitute for arbitrary branch. Normal, expected events, such as reaching the end of a file that is being read from beginning to end or receiving invalid input from a user interface, are not exceptional conditions and should not be implemented using exception handlers.			, ·	
2.3.1-D	Unstructured exception handling	Unstructured exception handling (for example, On Error GoTo, setjmp/longjmp, or explicit tests for error conditions after every executable statement) is prohibited.		VotingWorks application logic does not use unstructured exception handling. Application logic is written in TypeScript and Rust which are structured languages that do not support unstructured exception handling.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	The internal use of such constructs by a COTS extension package that adds block-structured exception handling to a programming language that otherwise would not have it, as described in requirement 2.3-B – Legacy library units, is allowed. Similarly, it is not a problem that source code written in a high-level programming language is compiled into low-level machine code that contains arbitrary branches. It is only the direct use of low-level constructs in application logic that presents a problem.				
2.4	Voting system structure is modular, scalable, and robust			4		
2.4-A 2.4-A.1	Modularity	Application logic must be designed in a modular fashion, meeting all the criteria stated in the definition of a module, namely that: It must be a structural unit of software or analogous logical design.		+		
2.4-A.1		If it contains callable units, those callable units must be tightly coupled.		†		
2.4-A.3		Coupling between modules ("inter-module coupling") must:		†		
2.4-A.3.a		be loose, and		1	Me require peer ende review of every	
2.4-A.3.b		occur over defined interfaces.]	We require peer code review of every change, during which we encourage modular	
2.4-A.4		It must contain all elements needed to compile or interpret successfully.			design and appropriate abstractions. For	
2.4-A.5		It must have limited access to data in other modules.		VotingWorks application logic is	larger changes and features, we hold	System Overview > Software Overview >
2.4-A.6		It must be substitutable with another module whose interfaces match the original module.		designed in a modular fashion.	architecture discussions as a team.	Software Best Practices
2.4-B	Discussion Module testability	The modularity rules described here apply to the component submodules of a library. Each module must have a specific function that can be tested and verified independently of the remainder of the code.		VotingWorks application logic is written with testability in mind.	Code coverage tooling ensures that our code is thoroughly tested.	Quality Assurance Manual > Quality Assurance Protocols – Software
D 2.4-C	Discussion Module size and identification	In practice, some additional modules (such as library modules) can be needed to compile the module being tested, but the modular construction allows the supporting modules to be replaced by special test versions that support test objectives. Modules must be small and easily identifiable, such as being:			• ,	
	Widdle 3/2c and identification	no more than 50% of all callable units (functions, methods, operations, subroutines, procedures, etc.) SHOULD exceed 25 lines of code		†		
2.4-C.1		in length, excluding comments, blank lines, and initializers for read-only lookup tables		VotingWorks application logic is	We make use of automatic code linters to	
2.4-C.2		no more than 5% of all callable units SHOULD exceed 60 lines in length		broken up into small digestible	enforce best practices and also require peer	System Overview > Software Overview >
2.4-C.3		no callable units SHOULD exceed 180 lines in length		modules.	code review of every change.	Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	"Lines," in this context, are defined as executable statements or flow control statements with suitable formatting.		·		
2.4-D	Large data structures in separate files	Read-only large data structures longer than 25 lines must be placed in separate files from other source code if the programming language permits it.		VotingWorks application logic separates out large data structures and generally avoids large in-code data structures by using SQLite databases.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
		In practice, this case has often been illustrated by the need to put read-only large lookup tables into separate files. However, the same				
D	Discussion The voting system supports system processes and data with	notion could apply to other kinds of data structures.		-		
2.5	The voting system supports system processes and data with integrity					
2.5-A	Self-modifying code	Application logic must not be self-modifying.		VotingWorks application logic is not self-modifying.	If our code was self-modifying and was somehow modified, our system integrity / Secure Boot checks would fail, and a machine would not boot, alerting us to the issue.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > System Integrity
2.5-B	Unsafe concurrency	Application logic must be free of race conditions, deadlocks, livelocks, and resource starvation.		VotingWorks application logic has no known instances of race conditions, deadlocks, livelocks, or resource starvation.	We write automated tests and perform manual tests to identify concurrency issues.	Quality Assurance Manual > Quality Assurance Protocols – Software > Safe Concurrency
5	Diagrapia	In addressing this requirement, information should be provided in the TDP describing the means by which safe concurrency was ensured				
D 2.5.1	Discussion Code Integrity	relative to the design, implementation, and testing of the application logic.		+		
2.5.1-A	COTS compilers	If compiled code is used, it must only be compiled using a COTS compiler.		The VotingWorks application is built using the COTS TypeScript and Rust compilers. TypeScript is compiled to JavaScript, which is itself just-in-time compiled by the COTS Chromium and Node.js		System Overview > Software Overview >
				engines.		Software Best Practices

2

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text Re	elated Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
D	Discussion	This prohibits the use of arbitrary, nonstandard compilers and, consequently, the invention of new programming languages.			-	
2.5.1-B	Interpreted code, specific COTS interpreter	If interpreted code is used, it must only be run under a specific, identified version of a COTS runtime interpreter.	l t	N/A - VotingWorks application logic does not use any traditionally interpreted languages.		
D	Discussion	This ensures that: no arbitrary, nonstandard interpreted languages are used, and the software tested and approved during the conformity assessment process does not change behavior because of a change to the interpreter.				
2.5.1-C	Prevent tampering with code	Programmed devices must prevent replacing or modifying executable or interpreted code (for example, by other programs on the system, by people physically replacing the memory or medium containing the code, or by faulty code) except where this access is necessary to conduct the voting process.		Our system integrity mechanism prevent this.	If our code was self-modifying and was somehow modified, our system integrity / Secure Boot checks would fail, and a machine would not boot, alerting us to the issue.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > System Integrity
D	Discussion	This requirement can be satisfied through a combination of: read-only memory (ROM), the memory protection implemented by most popular COTS operating systems, error checking, and access and integrity controls.				
2.5.1-D	Prevent tampering with data	All voting devices must prevent access to or manipulation of configuration data, vote data, or audit records (for example, by physically tampering with the medium or mechanism containing the data, by other programs on the system, or by faulty code) except where this access is necessary to conduct the voting process.		We have multiple layers of protection here: 1) artifact authentication, which prevents modification of records on USB drives, 2) authenticated encryption of the /var partition, which prevents modification of records on disk, including if the drive is removed from the machine, and 3) a strict system user setup that limits which system users have write access.		System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Artifact Authentication; System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > System Integrity > Protecting Critical Read-Write Data; System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Defense-in-Depth and Least Privilege
		This requirement can be satisfied through a combination of: the memory protection implemented by most popular COTS operating systems, error checking, and access and integrity controls. Systems using mechanical counters to store vote data need to protect the counters from tampering. If vote data are stored on paper, the paper needs to be protected from tampering. Modification of audit				
D 2.5.2	Discussion	records after they are created is never necessary.				
2.5.2 2.5.2-A	Input/output errors Input validation and error defense	The voting system must:				
2.5.2-A 2.5.2-A.1	input validation and error defense	The voting system must:				
2.5.2-A.1 2.5.2-A.2		monitor I/O operations validate all input against expected parameters, such as data presence, length, type, format, uniqueness, or inclusion in a set of whitelisted values	I	VotingWorks application logic validates inputs. Inputs that fail	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that I/O operations are	System Overview > Election Package; System Overview > Cast Vote Records; System Overview > VxAdmin Function;
2.5.2-A.3		report any input errors and how they were corrected		validation trigger a warning to the		System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
2.5.2-A.4		check information inputs to ensure that incomplete or invalid inputs do not lead to irreversible error.	ı	user.	warning.	Artifact Authentication
		Input includes data from any input source: input devices (such as touch screens, keyboards, keypads, optical/digital scanners, and assistive devices), networking port, data port, or file. This general requirement applies to all programmed devices, while the specific				
D	Discussion	ones following are only enforceable for application logic.				
2.5.3 2.5.3-A	Output protection					
D D	Escaping and encoding output Discussion	Software output must be properly encoded, escaped, and sanitized. The output of a software module can be manipulated or abused by attackers in unexpected ways to perform malicious actions. Ensuring that outputted data is of an expected type or format assists in preventing this abuse. Additional information about this software				
		weakness can be viewed at MITRE CWE 116: Improper Encoding or Escaping of Output [MITRE20c]. The voting system must sanitize all output to remove or neutralize the effects of any escape characters, control signals, or scripts				
2.5.3-B	Sanitize output	contained in the data which could adversely manipulate the output source. Output includes data to any output source: output devices (such as touch screens, LCD screens, printers, and assistive devices),				
D	Discussion	networking port, data port, or file. This applies to all parts of the voting system including the election management system (EMS).				
2.5.3-C	Stored injection	The voting system must sanitize all output to files and databases to remove or neutralize the effects of any escape characters, control signals, or scripts contained in the data which could adversely manipulate the voting system if the stored data is read or imported at a later date or by another part of the voting system.				
D	Discussion	A stored injection attack saves malicious data which is harmless when stored, but which is potent when read later in a different context or when converted to a different format. For example, a malicious script might be written to a file and do no harm to the voting machine, but later be evaluated and harmful when the file is transferred and read by the EMS. Input should also be filtered, but sanitizing stored output provides defense in depth.		VotingWorks application logic sanitizes output.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
2.5.4	Error Handling			•	, 3-	
2.5.4-A	Mandatory internal error checking	Application logic that is vulnerable to the following types of errors must check for these errors at run time and respond defensively when they occur:				
2.5.4-A.1		common memory management errors, such as out-of-bounds accesses of arrays, strings, and buffers used to manage data				
2.5.4-A.2		uncontrolled format strings				
2.5.4-A.3		CPU-level exceptions such as address and bus errors, dividing by zero, and the like				
2.5.4-A.4		variables that are not appropriately handled when out of expected boundaries				
2.5.4-A.5		numeric and integer overflows	\	VotingWorks application logic	We make use of automatic code linters to	
2.5.4-A.6		validation of array indices		performs these checks and exits	enforce best practices and also require peer	System Overview > Software Overview >
2.5.4-A.7		known programming language specific vulnerabilities		quickly when these checks fail.	code review of every change.	Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	Logic verification will show that some error checks cannot logically be triggered, and some exception handlers cannot logically be invoked. These checks and exception handlers are not redundant – they provide defense-in-depth against faults that escape detection during logic verification.				
2.5.4-B	Array overflows	If the application logic uses arrays, vectors, or any analogous data structures, and the programming language does not provide automatic run-time range checking of the indices, the indices must be ranged-checked on every access.	1	VotingWorks application logic performs these checks and exits quickly when these checks fail.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
	+	<u> </u>	,	, ,		

2

VVSG 2.0 Section	n Title	Requirement/Discussion Text Rel	lated Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
D	Discussion	Range checking code should not be duplicated before each access. Clean implementation approaches include: consistently using dedicated accessors (such as functions, methods, operations, subroutines, and procedures) that range-check the indices; defining and consistently using a new data type or class that encapsulates the range-checking logic; declaring the array using a template that causes all accessors to be range-checked; or declaring the array index to be a data type whose enforced range is matched to the size of the array. Range-enforced data types or classes can be provided by the programming environment or they can be defined in application logic. If acceptable values of the index do not form a contiguous range, a map structure can be more appropriate than a vector.			-	
2.5.4-C	Buffer overflows	If an overflow does not automatically result in an exception, the application logic must explicitly check for and prevent the overflow.		N/A - An overflow will automatically result in an exception.		
2.5.4-D	CPU traps	The application logic must implement such handlers as needed to detect and respond to CPU-level exceptions.		VotingWorks application logic handles CPU-level exceptions.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	For example, under Unix, a CPU-level exception would manifest as a signal, so a signal handler is needed. If the platform supports it, it is preferable to translate CPU-level exceptions into software-level exceptions so that all exceptions can be handled in a consistent fashion within the voting application. However, not all platforms support it.		,		
2.5.4-E	Garbage input parameters	All scalar or enumerated type parameters whose valid ranges as used in a callable unit (such as function, method, operation, subroutine, and procedure) do not cover the entire ranges of their declared data types must be range-checked on entry to the unit.		VotingWorks application logic performs these checks and exits quickly when these checks fail.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	This applies to parameters of numeric types, character types, temporal types, and any other types for which the concept of range is well-defined. In cases where the restricted range is frequently used or associated with a meaningful concept within the scope of the application, the best approach is to define a new class or data type that encapsulates the range restriction, eliminating the need for range checks on each use. This requirement deals with user input that is expected to contain errors. User input errors are a normal occurrence; the errors discussed here are grounds for throwing exceptions.			, •	
2.5.4-F	Numeric overflows	If the programming language does not provide automatic run-time detection of numeric overflow, all arithmetic operations that could potentially overflow the relevant data type must be checked for overflow.		VotingWorks application logic performs these checks and exits quickly when these checks fail.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	Encapsulate overflow checking as much as possible.			, ,	
2.5.4-G	Uncontrolled format strings	Voting system software must not contain uncontrolled format strings.		VotingWorks application logic does not contain uncontrolled format strings.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	Many examples of this vulnerability have previously been identified in voting system software. Additional information about this vulnerability can be found at MITRE CWE 134: Use of Externally-Controlled Format String [MITRE20d].				
2.5.4-H	Recommended internal error checking	Application logic that is vulnerable to the following types of errors must check for these errors at run time and respond defensively				
2.5.4-H.1		when they occur: pointer variable errors				
2.5.4-H.2		dynamic memory allocation and management errors		VotingWorks application logic	We make use of automatic code linters to	
2.5.4-1	Pointers	If application logic uses pointers or a similar mechanism for specifying absolute memory locations, the application logic must validate these pointers or addresses before they are used.		performs these checks and exits quickly when these checks fail.	enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	The goal is to prevent improper overwriting, even if read-only memory would prevent the overwrite from succeeding. An attempted overwrite indicates a logic fault that must be corrected. Pointer use that is fully encapsulated within a standard platform library is treated as COTS software.				
2.5.4-J	Memory mismanagement	If dynamic memory allocation is performed in application logic, the application logic must be able to be instrumented or analyzed with a COTS tool for detecting memory management errors.		TypeScript does not support dynamic memory allocation. Rust does but also has an ownership model that ensures memory safety.		System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	Dynamic memory allocation that is fully encapsulated within a standard platform library is treated as COTS software.		surecy.		Software Dest Fractices
2.5.4-K	Nullify freed pointers	If pointers are used, any pointer variables that remain within scope after the memory they point to is deallocated must be set to null or marked as invalid (pursuant to the idiom of the programming language used).		TypeScript does not support dynamic memory allocation. Rust does but also has an ownership model that ensures memory safety.		System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	If this is not done automatically by the programming environment, a callable unit should be dedicated to the task of deallocating memory and nullifying pointers. Equivalently, "smart pointers" like the C++ std::auto_ptr can be used to avoid the problem. One should not add assignments after every deallocation in the source code. In languages using garbage collection, memory is not deallocated until all pointers to it have gone out of scope, so this requirement is moot.				
2.5.4-L	React to errors detected	Detecting any of the errors enumerated in these requirements must be treated as a complete failure of the callable unit in which the error was detected.		VotingWorks application logic performs these checks and exits quickly when these checks fail.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
2.5.4-L.1 2.5.4-L.2		An appropriate exception must be thrown				
2.5.4-L.2 2.5.4-M	Election integrity monitoring	Control must pass out of the unit immediately Electronic devices must proactively detect or prevent basic violations of election integrity (for example, stuffing the ballot box or				
2.3.4-1VI	Licetion integrity monitoring	accumulating negative votes) and alert an election official or administrator if they occur. Equipment can only verify those conditions that are within the scope of what the equipment does. However, if the equipment can detect something that is blatantly wrong, it should do so and raise the alarm. This provides defense-in-depth to supplement procedural				
D	Discussion	controls and auditing practices.				
2.5.4-N	SQL injection	The voting system application must defend against SQL injection.		VotingWorks application logic defends against SQL injection.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	SQL injection is a classic type of software weakness still prevalent today. SQL injection is not just a web-based issue, as any application accepting untrusted user input and passing it to a database can be vulnerable. Additional information about this software weakness can be viewed at MITRE CWE 89: Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an SQL Command ('SQL Injection') [MITRE20e].		3		
2.5.4-0	Parameterized queries	Any structured statement or command being prepared using dynamic data (including user input) to be sent to a database or other process must parameterize the data inputs and apply strict type casting and content filters on the data (such as prepared statements).		VotingWorks application logic uses parameterized queries.	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices
D	Discussion	Parameterized queries are a common defense against this class of software weakness.			. 5	

2

VVSG 2.0 Sectio	n Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
	The voting system handles errors robustly and gracefully recovers				-	
2.6	from failure			-		
2.6-A	Surviving device failure	All systems must be capable of resuming normal operation following the correction of a failure:			We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change, during which	
2.6-A.1		in any device		The VotingWorks system resumes	we audit failure handling and recovery. We	
2.6-A.2		in any component (for example, memory, CPU, ballot reader, or printer) provided that catastrophic electrical or mechanical damage has		normal operation after recovery	also have automated tests and steps during	
2.6-A.3		not occurred in a controlled fashion so that system status can be restored to the initial state existing before the error occurred		from a software or hardware failure.	internal manual QA that cover these recovery	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices > Failure Recovery
2.0-A.3		"Initial state" refers to the state existing at the start of a logical transaction or operation. Transaction boundaries must be defined in a		Tallule.	mechanisms.	Software best Fractices > Failure Necovery
D	Discussion	conscientious fashion to minimize the damage. The final state is optional because election officials responding to the error condition might want the opportunity to select a different state, such as a controlled shutdown with memory dump for later analysis.				
2.6-B	No compromising voting or audit data	Exceptions and system recovery must be handled in a manner that protects the integrity of all recorded votes and audit log information.		The VotingWorks codebase uses database transactions to ensure that only complete and consistent (and not partial) updates are persisted. For data synced across a machine's internal drive and a connected USB drive, namely CVRs, we detect when data has	We make use of automatic code linters to enforce best practices and also require peer code review of every change, during which we audit failure handling and recovery. We	
		When recovering from non-catastrophic failure of a device or from any error or malfunction that is within the operator's ability to		fallen out of sync after a failure	also have automated tests and steps during	
2.6-C	Coherent checkpoints	correct, the system must restore the device to the last known good state existing immediately before the error or failure, without loss or corruption of voting data previously stored in the device.		using the Merkle tree hash of the data and re-sync data as needed.	internal manual QA that cover these recovery mechanisms.	System Overview > Software Overview > Software Best Practices > Failure Recovery
		If the system is left in something other than the last known good state for diagnostic reasons, this requirement clarifies that it must				
D	Discussion The voting system performs reliably in anticipated physical	revert to the last known good state before being placed back into service.		_		
2.7	environments - Requirements in this section deal with voting system reliability with regard to environmental conditions and electrical surges and interference					
2.7-A	Assessment of reliability	The voting system's reliability must be assessed using a combination of evidence items gathered during the entire course of testing, including:			Valian Wanto in bound and automatical and	
2.7-A.1		continuous operation of the voting system under typical environmental conditions		VotingWorks internal and external	VotingWorks internal and external testing as part of quality assurance processes tests the	
2.7-A.2		continuous operation of the voting system under varied environmental conditions across defined ranges		testing as part of quality	continuous operation across defined ranges	
2.7-A.3		resistance of the voting system to electrical surges, interference, and loss of power		assurance processes tests the	and the resistance to electrical disturbances.	0
D	Discussion	As with accuracy, reliability cannot be positively ascertained; a judgment of reliability has to be determined from evidence. In this case, a volume test [CAO6] is used during various environmental conditions to determine the reliability of the voting system operations, as		continuous operation across defined ranges and the resistance to electrical disturbances.		Quality Assurance; quality-assurance > testing; User Manual > Operating Environment
2.7-B	Continuous operation - typical environment conditions	well as data from the test campaign regarding relevant VVSG requirements. The voting system must operate for a continuous period of time during which ballots are cast and ballot positions are read and		to electrical disturbances.		Environment
2.7-C	Continuous operation - varied environment conditions	tabulated without error. The voting system must operate for a continuous period of time during which ballots are cast and ballot positions are read and tabulated without error and laughted temperature and humidity are united.				
	Ability to support maintenance and repair physical environment	tabulated without error and in which temperature and humidity are varied. The voting system must be able to withstand non-operating physical environmental conditions simulating stresses that occur during		_		
2.7-D	conditions - non-operating	maintenance and repair.		VotingWorks internal and external		
2.7-E	Ability to support transport and storage physical environment conditions - non-operating	The voting system must be able to withstand non-operating physical environmental conditions simulating stresses that occur during transport between storage locations and polling places.		testing as part of quality	Vating Warks internal and outernal testing as	
27.5	Ability to support storage temperatures in physical environment -	The voting system must be able to withstand non-operating physical environmental conditions simulating temperature-related and		assurance processes tests the continuous operation across	VotingWorks internal and external testing as part of quality assurance processes tests the	
2.7-F	non-operating	humidity-related stresses that occur during storage.		1		
2.7-G	Electrical disturbances	The voting system must continue to operate in the presence of electrical disturbances generated by other devices and people and must not cause electrical disruption to other devices and people.		to electrical circumstances.	and the resistance to electrical disturbances.	
		Voting devices located in a polling place or other places need to continue to operate despite disruption from electrical emanations generated by other devices, including static discharges from people. Likewise, voting devices need to operate without causing				Quality Assurance; quality-assurance > testing; User Manual > Operating
D	Discussion	disruption to other devices and people due to electrical emanations from the devices.		1		Environment
2.7-H	Power outages, sags, and swells	The voting system must be able to withstand, without disruption of normal operation or loss of data, a complete loss of power lasting two hours.		1 '	these components are able to operate on the provided backup power for a minimum of	System Overview; User Manual > System
D	Discussion	Essentially, battery backup must keep the voting system operational so that voting can continue for a minimum of two hours.		hours.	two hours.	Inspection; quality-assurance > testing
2.7-1	Withstand conducted electrical disturbances	All electronic voting systems must withstand conducted electrical disturbances that affect the power ports of the system.		VotingWorks contracts with NRTLs to confirm VotingWorks manufactured devices can withstand electrical disturbances.	VotingWorks contracts with NRTLs to confirm VotingWorks manufactured devices can withstand electrical disturbances.	quality-assurance > external-testing
2.7-J	Emissions from other connected equipment	All elements of an electronic voting system must be able to withstand the conducted emissions generated by other elements of the voting system.		VotingWorks contracts with NRTLs to confirm VotingWorks manufactured devices can withstand conducted emissions.		quality-assurance > external-testing
2.7-K	Electrostatic discharge immunity	All electronic voting systems must withstand, without disruption of normal operation or loss of data, electrostatic discharges (ESD)		1		,
2.7 1	ziconostatic discharge minianicy	associated with human contact and contact with mobile equipment (such as service carts and wheelchairs).		VotingWorks contracts with NRTLs		
		ESD events can originate from direct contact between an "intruder" (person or object) charged at a potential different from that of the units of the voting system, or from an approaching person about to touch the equipment – an "air discharge." The resulting discharge		to confirm VotingWorks manufactured devices can	VotingWorks contracts with NRTLs to confirm VotingWorks manufactured devices can	
D	Discussion	current can induce disturbances in the circuits of the equipment. This requirement is meant to ensure that voting devices are conformant to the typical ESD specifications met by other electronic devices used by the public such as ATMs and vending kiosks.		withstand electrostatic discharges.	withstand electrostatic discharges.	quality-assurance > external-testing
U	D1300331011	pomormane to the typical EDD specifications met by other electronic devices used by the public such as Arivis and ventiling kiosks.		_ alselialges.		quanty assurance > external-testing

3

VVSG 2.0 Sectio	n Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
	Transparent - The voting system and voting process are designed					
3	to provide the transparency The decumpatation describing the veting system design			_		
	The documentation describing the voting system design, operation, accessibility features, security measures, and other					
3.1	aspects of the voting system can be read and understood					
3.1.1	System overview documentation					
3.1.1-A	System overview documentation	The manufacturer must provide system overview documentation that identifies the functional and physical components of the system,				
2445		how the components are structured, and the interfaces between them.				
3.1.1-B	System overview, functional diagram	System overview documentation must include high-level functional diagrams of the voting system that include all of its components. The diagrams must portray how the various components relate and interact.		This decrees what is in the dead in Contains		
D	Discussion	The diagrams could be engineering renderings or photographs.		This documentation is included in System Overview.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	System Overview
3.1.1-C	System description	System overview documentation must include written descriptions and diagrams that present the following, as applicable:			voting works starr reviews documentation.	System Overview
3.1.1-C.1		a description of the functional components (or subsystems) as defined by the manufacturer (for example, environment, election				
		management and control, vote recording, vote conversion, reporting, and their logical relationships)				
3.1.1-C.2		a description of the operational environment of the system that provides an overview of the hardware, firmware, software, and				
21162		communications structure		_		
3.1.1-C.3 3.1.1-C.4		a concept of operations that explains each system function and how the function is achieved in the design		_		
3.1.1-C.4 3.1.1-C.5		descriptions of the functional and physical interfaces between components identification of all COTS products (both hardware and software) included in the system or used as part of the system's operation,				
3.1.1 C.3		identifying the name, manufacturer, and version used for each such component				
3.1.1-C.6		communications (dial-up, network) software				
3.1.1-C.7		interfaces among internal components and interfaces with external systems				
3.1.1-C.8		for components that interface with other components for which multiple products may be used, file specifications, data objects, or				
		other means used for information exchange including the public standard used for such file specifications, data objects, or other means		4		
3.1.1-C.9		benchmark directory listings for all software, firmware, and associated documentation included in the manufacturer's release in the order in which each piece of software or firmware would normally be installed upon system setup and installation		This documentation is included to Control		
D	Discussion	The diagrams could be engineering renderings or photographs.		This documentation is included in System Overview	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	System Overview
3.1.1-D	Identify software and firmware by origin	System overview documentation must include full identification of all software and firmware items, indicating items that were:		Overview	votingworks starr reviews documentation.	System Overview
3.1.1-D.1	identity sorthare and immune by ong	written in-house including subcontracted		_		
3.1.1-D.2		procured as COTS, unmodified				
3.1.1-D.3		procured as COTS and modified, including descriptions of the modifications to the software or firmware and to the default configuration				
		options				
	<u>.</u> .	Full identification would include authorship, version numbers, where procured, and other items to positively identify the COTs or in-		This documentation is included in System		
D 2115	Discussion Transphility of procured software	house developed software		Overview.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	System Overview > Software Overview
3.1.1-E	Traceability of procured software	System overview documentation must include a declaration that procured software items were obtained directly from the manufacturer or a licensed dealer or distributor.				
		For most noncommercial software, this would mean a declaration that the software was downloaded from the canonical site or a				
		trustworthy mirror. It is generally accepted practice for the core contributors to major open-source software packages to digitally sign		This documentation is included in System		
D	Discussion	the distributions. Verifying these signatures provides greater assurance that the package has not been modified.		Overview.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	System Overview > Software Overview
3.1.2-A	System performance documentation	The manufacturer must provide system performance documentation that includes:				
3.1.2-A.1		device capacities and limits that were stated in the implementation statement				
3.1.2-A.2		if not already covered in the implementation statement, performance characteristics of each operating mode and function in terms of expected and maximum speed, throughput capacity, maximum volume (maximum number of voting positions and maximum number of				
		ballot styles supported), and processing frequency				
3.1.2-A.3		quality attributes such as reliability, maintainability, availability, usability, and portability				
3.1.2-A.4		provisions for safety, security, privacy, and continuity of operation		This documentation is included in System		
3.1.2-A.5		design constraints, applicable standards, and compatibility requirements		Performances & Specifications.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	System Performance & Specifications
3.1.2-B	Maximum tabulation rate	System performance documentation must include the maximum tabulation rate for a bulk-fed scanner. This documentation must				
		include the maximum tabulation rate for individual components that impact the overall maximum tabulation rate.		This documentation is included in System		System Performance & Specifications >
D 2126	Discussion	The capacity to convert the marks on individual ballots into signals is uniquely important to central count systems.		Performances & Specifications.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	System Limits > Maximum Tabulation Rate
3.1.2-C 3.1.2-C.1	Reliably detectable marks	System performance documentation must include, for all types of optical scanners: what constitutes a mark that is tabulatable		-		
3.1.2-C.1 3.1.2-C.2		what constitutes a mark that is ambiguous and may require adjudication		This degumentation is included in Control		
3.1.2-C.2 3.1.2-C.3	+	what constitutes a marginal mark that would not be tabulatable		This documentation is included in System Performances & Specifications.		System Performance & Specifications >
D D	Discussion	Marginal marks could include those marks considered as stray or caused by defects or folds on the ballot.			VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Reliably Detectable Marks
3.1.2-D	Processing capabilities	System performance documentation must include a listing of the system's functional processing capabilities, encompassing capabilities			3	,
		required by the VVSG, and any additional capabilities provided by the system, with a description of each capability. Therefore, this				
		documentation must include the following attributes:		4		
3.1.2-D.1		an explanation regarding the capabilities of the system that were declared in the implementation statement		4		
3.1.2-D.2		additional capabilities (extensions) must be clearly indicated		-		
3.1.2-D.3 3.1.2-D.4	+	required capabilities that may be bypassed or deactivated during installation or operation by the user must be clearly indicated additional capabilities that function only when activated during installation or operation by the user must be clearly indicated		1		
3.1.2-D.5		additional capabilities that normally are active but may be bypassed or deactivated during installation or operation by the user must be		This documentation is included in System		System Performance & Specifications >
3.1.2 0.3		clearly indicated		Performance & Specifications.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Processing Capabilities
3.1.3	System security documentation				-	- ·
3.1.3-A	System security documentation	Manufacturers must provide a specific system security document that includes detailed information on the security architecture of the				
		voting system and its security-related functions and how users are to properly employ them.		4		
		This document is intended to further ensure transparency of the voting system. It includes a complete specification of the voting				
		system security architecture, its different components, and how they work together when used properly. Information about security-related functions and components may also appear in other parts of the TDP as applicable but should also appear in this document. The				
		document may contain detailed technical information but also is to contain usage instructions for employing security controls that are		This documentation is included in System		System Security, Auditing & Logging > System
D	Discussion	written clearly for the intended types of users, e.g., administrator, pollworker, etc.		Security, Auditing & Logging.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Security Architecture
3.1.3-B	Access control implementation	The system security document must include:				
3.1.3-B.1		guidelines and usage instructions on implementing, configuring, and managing access control capabilities				

3

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirement	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
3.1.3-B.2		an access control policy template or instructions to facilitate the implementation of the access control policy and associated access			· ·	
3.1.3-B.3		controls on the voting system an access control policy under which the voting system was designed to operate and a description of the hazards of deviating from this		_		
3.1.3-в.3		policy				
3.1.3-B.4		information on all privileged accounts included on the voting system				
		Access control policy requirements include the minimum baseline policy definitions necessary for testing and implementing the voting				
		system. The policies may be defined within the voting system or provided as guidelines in the documentation. The access control policy includes the assumptions that were made when the system was designed, the justification for the policy, and the hazards of deviating				System Security, Auditing & Logging > System
		from the policy. Information on privileged accounts include the name of the account, purpose, capabilities, and permissions, and how to		This documentation is included in System		Security Architecture > Access Control;
D	Discussion	disable the account in the user documentation.		Security, Auditing & Logging.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	System Overview > User Roles
3.1.3-C	Physical security	The system security document must include an explanation of how to implement all physical security controls for voting devices and				System Security, Auditing & Logging >
		other security-sensitive components of the voting system, including model procedures necessary for effective use of countermeasures.		This documentation is included in System		Physical Security; System Security, Auditing & Logging > Procedural and Operational
				Security, Auditing & Logging.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Security
3.1.3-D	Audit procedures	The system security document must include an explanation of how to conduct audit procedures to determine whether tabulation is		This documentation is included in System		System Security, Auditing & Logging > Audit
3.1.4	Software installation documentation	accurate.		Security, Auditing & Logging.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Procedure
3.1.4-A	Software installation documentation	The manufacturer must provide software installation documentation that lists all software to be installed on the programmed devices of	:	7		
		the voting system and the installation software used to install the software in the user documentation.				
-	2:	Software to be installed on programmed devices of the voting system includes executable code, configuration files, data files, and		This documentation is included in Software		Coft and Laboratory
3.1.4-B	Discussion Software information	election specific software. Software installation documentation must include the following information for each piece of software to be installed or used to install		Installation.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Software Installation
3.1.4 b	Software information	software on programmed devices of the voting system:				
3.1.4-B.1		software product name				
3.1.4-B.2		software version number				
3.1.4-B.3		software manufacturer name		_		
3.1.4-B.4 3.1.4-B.5		software manufacturer contact information type of software (application logic, border logic, third party logic, COTS software, or installation software)		4		
3.1.4-B.5 3.1.4-B.6		list of software documentation		+		
3.1.4-B.7		component identifiers (such as filenames) of the software, and type of software component (executable code, source code, or data)		7		
3.1.4-B.8		flag to indicate whether or not the given software product should be considered "election-specific" (e.g., election-specific=[True False])		This documentation is included in Software		
		to differentiate software used for implementing essential election application logic functions (such as counting) from more generic		Installation.	Vakina Na alsa shaff anni anna da sura antabian	Coffee and Installation
3.1.4-C	Software location information	software (such as generic file-system functions) Software installation documentation must include the location (such as full path name or memory address) and storage device (such as		-	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Software installation
3.2 0		type and part number of storage device) where each piece of voting system software is installed on programmed devices of the voting				
		system.		N/A - software installation process writes over		
D	Discussion	This requirement applies to voting system software installed on programmed devices of the voting system. The full directory path is the final destination of the software when installed on non-volatile storage with a file system.		the entire disk. This is explained in Software Installation.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Software Installation
3.1.4-D	Election specific software identification	Software installation documentation must identify election specific software in the user documentation.		IIIstaliation.	voting works starr reviews documentation.	Software installation
-		This requirement applies to voting system software installed on programmed devices of the voting system. If the documentation can				
		provide information (such as what is indicated in item 8 from 3.1.4-B – Software information) then this should be sufficient to clearly				
D	Discussion	distinguish those pieces of software that perform essential election functions (such as counting) from those that perform more generic, non-election-specific tasks (such as those that might perform only general file-system operations, regardless of election concerns).		N/A - there is no election specific software. This is explained in Software Installation.	s VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Software Installation
3.1.4-E	Installation software and hardware	Software installation documentation must include a list of software and hardware required to install software on programmed devices		This documentation is included in Software		Software installation
		of the voting system in the user documentation.		Installation.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Software Installation
3.1.4-F	Software installation procedures	Software installation documentation must include the software installation procedures used to install software on programmed devices		This documentation is included in Software	Vakina Na alsa shaff anni anna da sura antabian	Coffee and Installation
3.1.4-G	Baseline image creation	of the voting system in user documentation. To replicate programmed device configurations, the software installation procedures must create a baseline image of the initial		Installation. This documentation is included in Software	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Software Installation
3.1.4 0	buseline image creation	programmed device configuration with storage media and mechanism for verifying the image's validity using a digital signature.		Installation.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Software Installation > Trusted Build
3.1.4-H	Programmed device configuration replication	The software installation procedures must use the baseline image and associated digital signature and digital signature validation				
		mechanism of the initial validated image to replicate the configuration onto other programmed devices.		_		
		The main point of this requirement is to ensure transitive immutability of a given device configuration (based on a valid, original image that corresponds to an original cryptographic signature). In this way, it seeks to ensure that the starting image that is used for the				
		replication of an image to a particular configuration or target device is the same as the one that was validated via digital signature				
		mechanisms. The process for dealing with varying details of alternative target platforms can be addressed with the use of modern				
		deployment technologies to create configurable installation mechanisms. This is not uncommon for major software technology providers. Thus, technology providers will be expected to develop appropriate install and configuration mechanisms that can have				
		configurable images that can be signed through this digital signature mechanism at the outset and when replicating to any target				
		configuration to ensure that both the image and the mechanisms for transforming that image in a given target deployment environment	:			
		have been understood and validated from the beginning. The above descriptions are meant to provide a way to validate a much wider				
		range of deployment scenarios than has been experienced in the past. As a result, it is not expected or intended that this process would necessarily require strictly binary images, but rather, configurable ones, with the configuration settings and mechanisms for installation		This documentation is included in Software		
D	Discussion	and signature verification provided, signed, and validated from the beginning.		Installation.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Software Installation
3.1.4-I	Software installation record creation	The software installation procedures must specify the creation of a software installation record that includes at a minimum:	3.1.4-H - Programmed			
			device configuration			
3.1.4-1.1		a unique identifier (such as a serial number) for the record	replication	\dashv		
3.1.4-1.2		a list of unique identifiers of storage media associated with the record		7		
3.1.4-1.3		the time, date, and location of the software installation				
3.1.4-1.4		names, affiliations, and signatures of all people present		_		
3.1.4-1.5		copies of the procedures used to install the software on the programmed devices of the voting system	+	4		
3.1.4-I.6 3.1.4-I.7		the certification number of the voting system list of the software installed as well as associated digital signatures and mechanisms for installation and verification on programmed	+	-		
J.1.7 I./		devices of the voting system	<u> </u>			
3.1.4-1.8		a unique identifier (such as a serial number) of the vote-capture device or election management system (EMS) which the software is				
		installed		_		

3

VVSG 2.0 Section	n Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
	1.00	The purpose of this requirement is a continuation of 3.1.4-l – Software installation record creation, to ensure transitive immutability		- How the most	non rounground roun	121 11010101100
		from the original baseline image through a given installation process (i.e., installation of certified software). The requirement				
		emphasizes the importance of the final act of performing an installation of certified software on a target system configuration. It is a				
		requirement to ensure that this event have some means by which an appropriate record, attesting to the facts of the installation event				
		itself, can be produced and can provide the given information. Creators of software installation mechanisms and procedures are asked to provide information in their installation user documentation specifying the elements of this record and that it should be recorded in		This documentation is included in Software		
D	Discussion	the event of a certified software installation.		Installation.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Software Installation
3.1.4-J	Procurement of voting system software	Software installation documentation must include that voting system software be obtained from a trusted distribution repository.		This documentation is included in Software	•	
	Discussion	Distribution repositories provide software they receive to parties approved by the owner of the software.		Installation.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Software Installation > Trusted Build
3.1.4-K	Open market procurement of COTS software	Software installation documentation must include that COTS software be obtained from the open market.		This documentation is included in Software Installation.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Software Installation > Trusted Build
3.1.4-L	Erasable storage media preparation	Software installation documentation must specify how previously stored information on erasable storage media is removed before		Installation.	voting works stail reviews documentation.	Software installation > inusted build
		installing software on the media.				
		The purpose of this requirement is to prepare erasable storage media for use by the programmed devices of the voting system. The				
D	Discussion	requirement does not mandate the prevention of previously stored information leakage or recovery. Simply deleting files from file systems, flashing memory cards, and removing electrical power from volatile memory satisfies this requirement.		This documentation is included in Software Installation.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Software Installation
3.1.4-M	Trusted storage media	Software installation documentation must specify that trusted storage media be used to install software on programmed devices of the	3.1.4-H – Programmed	-	votingworks stail reviews documentation.	Software installation
3.2	n astea storage mean	voting system.	device configuration			
			replication; 3.1.4-I –			
			Software installation			
		Trusted storage media can include read-only media. Previous VVSGs emphasized the use of unalterable storage media which is believed	record creation	-		
		to be too restrictive in the current technological context. Instead, it is preferable that read-only storage be used. And, as indicated in				
		related requirements, it is assumed that any use of media, transport, or use of original images be associated with a mechanism for		This documentation is included in Software		
D	Discussion	verifying the cryptographic signatures of those original images.		Installation.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Software Installation
3.1.5	System operations documentation			_		
3.1.5-A	System operations documentation	Manufacturers must provide a specific system operations document for use by all personnel who support pre-election and election preparation, polling place activities, and central counting activities, as applicable, with regard to all system functions and operations. It				
		must:		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.5-A.1		provide a detailed description of procedures required to initiate, control, and verify proper system operation		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.5-A.2		provide procedures that clearly enable the operator to assess the correct flow of system functions (as evidenced by system-generated				
215 4 2		status and information messages)		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.5-A.3		provide procedures that clearly enable the administrator to intervene in system operations to recover from an abnormal system state		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > VxScan Error Messages; User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages
3.1.5-A.4		define and illustrate the procedures and system prompts for situations where operator intervention is required to load, initialize, and				
		start the system		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > Configure [Component]
3.1.5-A.5		define and illustrate procedures to enable and control the external interface to the system operating environment if supporting				
		hardware and software are involved. (This information is provided for the interaction of the system with other data processing systems or data interchange protocols.)		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.5-A.6		provide administrative procedures and off-line operator duties (if any) if they relate to the initiation or termination of system		7		
		operations, to the assessment of system status, or to the development of an audit trail		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.5-A.7		support successful election definition and software installation and control by central election officials		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > Configure [Component]
3.1.5-A.8		provide a schedule and steps for the software and ballot installation, including a table outlining the key dates relative to the start of voting, events, and deliverables		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation	User Manual > Checklists
3.1.5-A.9		specify diagnostic tests that may be employed to identify problems in the system, verify the correction of problems, and isolate and		Bocamentation is included in the aser manda.	voting works stail reviews documentation.	oser Maridar > Circonists
		diagnose faults from various system states		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > [Component] Diagnostics
_	a	The nature of the instructions for operating personnel will depend upon the overall system design and required skill level of system				
3.1.5-B	Discussion Support training	operations support personnel. The operations document must include all information that is required for the preparation of detailed system operating procedures and		-		
3.1.3-6	Support training	for the training of administrators, central election officials, election judges, and election workers.		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.5-C	Functions and modes	The operations document must include a summary of system operating functions and modes to permit understanding of the system's			•	
		capabilities and constraints.		Documentation is included in the user manual.	•	User Manual
3.1.5-D	Roles	The operations document must identify the roles of operating personnel and relate them to the operating modes of the system.		Documentation is included in the user manual.	•	User Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles
3.1.5-E 3.1.5-F	Conditional actions References	The operations document must describe decision criteria and conditional operator functions such as error and failure recovery actions. The operations document must list all reference and supporting documents pertaining to the use of the system during election		Documentation is included in the user manual.	votingworks stail reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.5		operations.		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.5-G	Operational environment	The operations document must identify all facilities, furnishings, fixtures, and utilities that will be required for equipment operations,				
21501		including a statement of all requirements and restrictions regarding:		4		
3.1.5-G.1 3.1.5-G.2		environmental protection electrical service		-		
3.1.5-G.2 3.1.5-G.3		recommended auxiliary power		+		
3.1.5-G.4		telecommunications service		1		
3.1.5-G.5		any other facility or resource required for the proper installation and operation of the system		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > Operational Environment
3.1.5-H	Readiness testing	The operations document must include specifications for testing system installation and readiness.		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > [Component] Diagnostics
	Disquesion	Readiness testing refers to steps that election officials can take after configuring equipment to establish that it was correctly configured.				
D 3.1.5-l	Discussion Features	Logic and accuracy testing would be part of this. The operations document must include documentation of system operating features that includes:		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.5-l.1	. 5555.55	detailed descriptions of all input, output, control, and display features accessible to the operator or voter		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.5-I.2		examples of simulated interactions to facilitate understanding of the system and its capabilities		The user manual uses screenshots and images		
				to simulate user flows.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.5-I.3		sample data formats and output reports		The user manual includes sample reports for		
				common exports. For greater detail on VxAdmin reports, which are too numerous to		
				enumerate in the user manual, see VxAdmin		User Manual; System Overview > VxAdmin
				Result Exports in the System Overview	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Results Exports
3.1.5-1.4		illustration and description of all status indicators and information messages		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual

VVSG 2.0 Sectio		Requirement/Discussion Text The exerctions decument must include decumentation of sustam exerction procedures that:	Related Requirements	-	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
3.1.5-J 3.1.5-J.1	Support	The operations document must include documentation of system operating procedures that: describes procedures for providing technical support, system maintenance, and correction of defects, and for incorporating hardware		Documentation is included in the user manual. The user manual describes what maintenance is	•	User Manual
		upgrades and new software releases		expected of the end user and what should be		
3.1.5-J.2		defines the procedures required to support system installation and readiness testing		escalated to VotingWorks.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > [Component] Diagnostics
3.1.5-J.2 3.1.5-K	Transportation and storage	The operations document must include any special instructions for the care and handling of voting devices and any removable media or		Documentation is included in the user manual.	votingworks stail reviews documentation.	User Manual > [Component] Diagnostics
3.1.3 K	mansportation and storage	records for:		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.5-K.1		shipment			•	User Manual > [Component] Hardware
						Setup; User Manual > Operational
2.5.4.5				Documentation is included in the user manual.	_	Environment
3.1.5-K.2		storage archiving information		Documentation is included in the user manual.	•	User Manual > Operational Environment
3.1.5-K.3 3.1.6	System maintenance documentation	archiving information		Documentation is included in the user manual.	votingworks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > Retaining & Removing Files
3.1.6-A	System maintenance documentation	Manufacturers must include system maintenance documentation that provides information to support election workers, information		-		
5.2.0 7.	System maintenance accumentation	systems personnel, or maintenance personnel in adjusting or removing and replacing components or modules in the field.				
		Election workers such as polling place workers may not be permitted to replace components, however in some cases they may be				
D	Discussion	permitted to adjust them. Thus, the documentation should be geared to the appropriate personnel.		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > System Maintenance
3.1.6-B	General contents	Maintenance documentation must include service actions recommended to correct malfunctions or problems, personnel and expertise		Danisa dati a ia ia dadi a tha araa araa da	Vation Walle shaff and in our design at the	Hara Marayal v. Contains Marintanana
3.1.6-C	Maintenance viewpoint	required to repair and maintain the system, and equipment and materials facilities needed for proper maintenance. Maintenance documentation must include the structure and function of the hardware, firmware, and software for election preparation,		Documentation is included in the user manual. Documentation is included in the user manual	votingworks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > System Maintenance
3.1.0 C	Wallterlance viewpoint	programming, vote recording, tabulation, and reporting in sufficient detail to provide an overview of the system for maintaining and		and contains links to the system overview when	1	
		identifying faulty hardware or software.		additional context is needed.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > System Maintenance
3.1.6-D	Equipment overview details	Maintenance documentation must include a concept of operations that fully describes such items as:				
3.1.6-D.1		electrical and mechanical functions of the equipment		_		
3.1.6-D.2		for paper-based systems, how ballot handling and reading processes are performed				
3.1.6-D.3		for electronic vote-capture devices, how vote selection and ballot casting are performed				
3.1.6-D.4		how data transmission over a network is performed (if applicable)				
3.1.6-D.5		how data are handled in memory units				
3.1.6-D.6		how data output is initiated and controlled		_		
3.1.6-D.7		how power is converted or conditioned				
3.1.6-D.8		how test and diagnostic information is acquired and used The decumentation chould indicate how and when information is written from valatile to non valatile memory including redundant.		Documentation is included in the user manual		
D	Discussion	The documentation should indicate how and when information is written from volatile to non-volatile memory, including redundant storage.		and contains links to the system overview when additional context is needed.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > System Maintenance
3.1.6-E	Maintenance procedures	Maintenance documentation must include preventive and corrective maintenance procedures for hardware, firmware, and software.		Documentation is included in the user manual	romgrono stan reviens accamentation	oser manaary system mantenance
				for corrective hardware maintenance		
				procedures. End users are not responsible for		
				software or firmware maintenance procedures.	•	User Manual > System Maintenance
3.1.6-F	Preventive maintenance procedures	Maintenance documentation must identify and describe:		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > System Maintenance
3.1.6-F.1		all required and recommended preventive maintenance tasks, including software and data backup, database performance analysis, and		Danisa dati a ia ia dadi a tha araa araa	Vation Walle shaff and in our design at the	Hara Marguel S. Contant Maintenance
3.1.6-F.2		database tuning the number and skill levels of personnel required for each task		Documentation is included in the user manual.	•	User Manual > System Maintenance
3.1.6-F.3		the parts, supplies, special maintenance equipment, software tools, or other resources needed for maintenance		Documentation is included in the user manual. Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > System Maintenance User Manual > Approved Parts
3.1.6-F.4		any maintenance tasks that must be coordinated with the manufacturer or a third party (such as coordination that may be needed for		bocumentation is included in the user mandal.	voting works stail reviews documentation.	Osci Manual > Approved 1 arts
31210 111		COTS used in the system)		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > System Maintenance
3.1.6-G	Troubleshooting procedure details	Maintenance documentation must identify specific procedures to be used in diagnosing and correcting problems in the system			•	User Manual > System Maintenance; User
		hardware, firmware, and software. Descriptions must include:		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Manual > [Component] Diagnostics
3.1.6-G.1		steps to replace failed or deficient equipment		The end user is not responsible for replacing		
21662				failed or deficient equipment.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > System Maintenance
3.1.6-G.2		steps to correct deficiencies or faulty operations in software or firmware		The end user is not responsible for correcting faulty software or firmware.		
3.1.6-G.3		modifications that are necessary to coordinate any modified or upgraded software or firmware with other modules		The end user is not responsible for correcting		
3.1.0 0.3		income and the recessary to coordinate any meanine or applicate softmare or immune with other meaners		faulty software or firmware.		
3.1.6-G.4		number and skill levels of personnel needed to accomplish each procedure		The necessary roles or skill level are called out		
				in the documentation.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > System Maintenance
3.1.6-G.5		special maintenance equipment, parts, supplies, or other resources needed to accomplish each procedure		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > Approved Parts
3.1.6-G.6		any coordination required with the manufacturer, or other party, for COTS		Situations that require escalating to		
				VotingWorks are called out in the	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Licar Manual > System Maintenance
3.1.6-H	Special equipment	Maintenance documentation must identify and describe any special purpose test or maintenance equipment recommended for fault		documentation. There is no special purpose test or maintenance	S .	User Manual > System Maintenance
5.1.0 11	openal equipment	isolation and diagnostic purposes.		equipment required.	-	
3.1.6-I	Parts and materials	Maintenance documentation must include detailed documentation of parts and materials needed to operate and maintain the system.				User Manual > Supply List; User Manual >
				Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Approved Parts
	A	Maintenance documentation must include a complete list of approved parts and materials needed to operate and maintain the system.				
3.1.6-J	Approved parts list	I This list must contain sufficient descriptive information to identify all parts by		4		
	Approved parts list	This list must contain sufficient descriptive information to identify all parts by:				
3.1.6-J.1	Approved parts list	type		1		
3.1.6-J.1 3.1.6-J.2	Approved parts list	type size				
3.1.6-J.1 3.1.6-J.2 3.1.6-J.3	Approved parts list	type size value or range				
3.1.6-J.1 3.1.6-J.2 3.1.6-J.3 3.1.6-J.4	Approved parts list	type size value or range manufacturer's designation				Harakarania Surai VIII III Marakarania
3.1.6-J.1 3.1.6-J.2 3.1.6-J.3 3.1.6-J.4 3.1.6-J.5	Approved parts list	type size value or range manufacturer's designation individual quantities needed		Documentation is included in the year many	VotingWorks staff rovious documentation	User Manual > Supply List; User Manual >
3.1.6-J.1 3.1.6-J.2 3.1.6-J.3 3.1.6-J.4 3.1.6-J.5 3.1.6-J.5		type size value or range manufacturer's designation individual quantities needed sources from which they may be obtained		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > Supply List; User Manual > Approved Parts
3.1.6-J.1 3.1.6-J.2 3.1.6-J.3 3.1.6-J.4 3.1.6-J.5	Approved parts list Marking devices	type size value or range manufacturer's designation individual quantities needed sources from which they may be obtained Maintenance documentation must identify specific marking devices that, if used to make the prescribed form of mark, produce readable				Approved Parts
3.1.6-J.1 3.1.6-J.2 3.1.6-J.3 3.1.6-J.4 3.1.6-J.5 3.1.6-J.5		type size value or range manufacturer's designation individual quantities needed sources from which they may be obtained Maintenance documentation must identify specific marking devices that, if used to make the prescribed form of mark, produce readable marked ballots so that the system meets the performance requirements for accuracy.		Documentation is included in the user manual. Documentation is included in the user manual.		** * *
3.1.6-J.1 3.1.6-J.2 3.1.6-J.3 3.1.6-J.4 3.1.6-J.5 3.1.6-J.6 3.1.6-K	Marking devices	type size value or range manufacturer's designation individual quantities needed sources from which they may be obtained Maintenance documentation must identify specific marking devices that, if used to make the prescribed form of mark, produce readable				Approved Parts

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
3.1.6-M	Ballot stock specification	Maintenance documentation must:			-	
3.1.6-M.1		specify the required paper stock, weight, size, shape, opacity, color, watermarks, field layout, orientation, size and style of printing, size, and location of vote response fields		Documentation is included in the technical data package.	a VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	System Performance Specifications > Paper Ballot Specifications
3.1.6-M.2		identify unique ballot styles, placement of alignment marks, ink for printing, and folding and bleed-through limitations for preparation of ballots that are compatible with the system		Documentation is included in the technical data package.	a VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	System Overview > Hand Marked Ballots
3.1.6-N	Ballot stock specification criteria	Maintenance documentation for optical scanners must include specifications for ballot materials to ensure that votes are read from only a single ballot at a time, without bleed-through or transferal of marks from one ballot to another.		Documentation is included in the technical data package.	a VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	System Performance Specifications > Paper Ballot Specifications
3.1.6-0	Printer paper specification	Maintenance documentation for voting systems that include printers must include specifications of the paper necessary to ensure correct operation and minimize jamming.				User Manual > System Maintenance; System Performance Specifications > Paper Ballot
-	Dispusion	This requirement covers all printers, either stand-alone or integrated with another device, regardless whether they are used for		Documentation is included in the user manual.	votingworks starr reviews documentation.	Specifications
3.1.6-P	Discussion System maintenance, maintenance environment	reporting, for logging, for voter verified paper records (VVPR), etc. Maintenance documentation must identify all facilities, furnishings, fixtures, and utilities that will be required for equipment				Haraka ala Garaka Marana
3.1.6-Q	System maintenance, maintenance support and spares	maintenance. Maintenance documentation must identify:		Documentation is included in the user manual.	votingworks starr reviews documentation.	User Manual > System Maintenance
3.1.6-Q.1	system maintenance, maintenance support and spares	recommended number and locations of spare devices or components to be kept on hand for repair purposes during periods of system operation		_		
3.1.6-Q.2		recommended number and locations of qualified maintenance personnel who need to be available to support repair calls during system operation		_		
3.1.6-Q.3		organizational affiliation (for example, jurisdiction, manufacturer) of qualified maintenance personnel		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation	User Manual > System Maintenance
3.1.7	Training Documentation				Total Brond Stan Terre as accumentation	ose managr system manicenance
3.1.7-A	Training Documentation	The manufacturer must describe the personnel resources and training required for a jurisdiction to operate and maintain the system.		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles
3.1.7-B	Personnel	The manufacturer must specify the number of personnel and skill levels required to perform each of the following functions:				
3.1.7-B.1		pre-election or election preparation functions (such as, entering an election, contest and candidate information, designing a ballot, and				
3.1.7-B.2		generating pre-election reports) system operations for voting system functions performed at the polling place		_		
3.1.7-B.2		system operations for voting system functions performed at the central count facility		-		
3.1.7-B.4		preventive maintenance tasks		_		
3.1.7-B.5		diagnosis of faulty hardware, firmware, or software		When tasks are described in the user manual,		
3.1.7-B.6		corrective maintenance tasks		the necessary role (implying skill level) is		
3.1.7-B.7		testing to verify the correction of problems		indicated.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.7-C	User functions versus manufacturer functions	The manufacturer must distinguish which functions may be carried out by user personnel and which must be performed by manufacturer personnel.		The user manual indicates when issues must be escalated to VotingWorks.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
3.1.7-D	Training requirements	The manufacturer must specify requirements for the orientation and training of administrators, central election officials, election judges, and election workers.		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles
3.2	The process and transactions, both physical and digital, associated with the voting system are readily available for inspection					
3.2-A	Setup inspection process	Manufacturers must provide setup inspection process documentation that includes the setup inspection process that the voting device was designed to support including a description of the risks of deviating from the process.		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > Setup Inspection
D	Discussion	The setup inspection process provides a means to inspect various properties of voting devices as needed during the election process.				
3.2-B	Minimum properties included in the setup inspection process	Setup inspection process documentation must at a minimum include:				
3.2-B.1		inspecting voting system software		Documentation is included in the user manual.	•	User Manual > Setup Inspection
3.2-B.2 3.2-B.3		inspecting storage locations that hold election information that changes during an election		Documentation is included in the user manual.	· ·	User Manual > Setup Inspection
3.2-B.4		inspecting other voting device properties executing logic and accuracy testing related to readiness of use in an election		Documentation is included in the user manual.	<u> </u>	User Manual > Setup Inspection User Manual > Logic & Accuracy Pre-Election
3.2-C	Setup inspection record generation	Setup inspection process documentation must describe the records that result from performing the setup inspection process.		Documentation is included in the user manual.		Testing User Manual > Setup Inspection; User
3.2-D	Installed software identification procedure	Setup inspection process documentation must include the procedures to identify all software installed on programmed devices of the		Documentation is included in the user manual.	votingworks staff reviews documentation.	Manual > [Component] Diagnostics User Manual > Setup Inspection; User
3.2 5	instance software recrumentary proceeding	voting system. This requirement provides the ability to identify if the proper software is installed and that no other software is present on programmed		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Manual > Signed Hash Validation
D	Discussion	devices of the voting system. This requirement covers software stored on storage media with or without a file system.				
3.2-E	Software integrity verification procedure	Setup inspection process documentation must include the procedures to verify the integrity of software installed on programmed devices of the voting system.		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > Setup Inspection; User Manual > Signed Hash Validation
3.2-F	Election information value	Setup inspection process documentation must include a list of voting device storage locations for holding election information that can change during the election, except for the static values set to conduct a specific election.		Documentation is included in the user manual.		User Manual > Setup Inspection
3.2-G	Maximum and minimum values of election information storage locations	Setup inspection process documentation must include the maximum and minimum values of voting device storage locations for holding election information that can change during an election.		Documentation is included in the user manual.	· ·	User Manual > Setup Inspection
3.2-H	Variable value inspection procedure	Setup inspection process documentation must include the procedures to inspect the values of voting device storage locations for holding election information that can change during an election.		Documentation is included in the user manual.	-	User Manual > Setup Inspection
3.2-I	Backup power operational range	Setup inspection process documentation must include the nominal operational range for the backup power sources of the voting device.		Documentation is included in the user manual.		User Manual > Setup Inspection
3.2-J	Backup power inspection procedure	Setup inspection process documentation must include the procedures to inspect the remaining charge of the backup power sources of the voting device.		Documentation is included in the user manual.		User Manual > Setup Inspection
3.2-K	Cabling connectivity inspection procedure	Setup inspection process documentation must include the procedures to inspect the connectivity of the cabling attached to the voting device.		Documentation is included in the user manual.		User Manual > Setup Inspection
3.2-L	Communications operational status inspection procedure	Setup inspection process documentation must include the procedures to inspect the operational status of the communications capabilities of the voting device.		N/A - There are no communications capabilities.	g	
3.2-M	Communications on/off status inspection procedure	Setup inspection process documentation must include the procedures to inspect the on/off status of the communications capabilities of the voting device.		N/A - There are no communications capabilities.		
3.2-N	Quantity of voting equipment	Setup inspection process documentation must include a list of consumables associated with the voting device, including estimated number of usages per unit.				User Manual > Setup Inspection; User Manual > Supply List; User Manual >
				Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Approved Parts

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
3.2-0	Consumable inspection procedure	Setup inspection process documentation must include the procedures to inspect the remaining amount of each of the voting device's			-	
		consumables.		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > Setup Inspection
3.2-P	Calibration of voting device components	Setup inspection process documentation must include:				
3.2-P.1		a list of components associated with the voting device that require calibration				
3.2-P.2		the nominal operating ranges for each component				
3.2-P.3		the procedures to inspect the calibration of each component				
3.2-P.4		the procedures to adjust the calibration of each component		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > Setup Inspection
3.2-Q	Checklist of properties to be inspected	Setup inspection process documentation must include a checklist of other properties of the voting device to be inspected, to include:				
3.2-Q.1		a description of the risks of not performing each documented inspection				
3.2-Q.2		power sources				
3.2-Q.3		cabling for communications				
3.2-Q.4		capabilities				
3.2-Q.5		consumables				
3.2-Q.6		calibration of voting device components				
3.2-Q.7		general physical features of the voting device				
3.2-Q.8		securing external interfaces of the voting device not being used		Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual > Setup Inspection
	The public can understand and verify the operations of the voting				•	
3.3	system throughout the entirety of the election					
3.3-A	System security, system event logging	Manufacturers must provide publicly available documentation that:				
3.3-A.1		describes system event logging capabilities and usage				
3.3-A.2		fully documents the log format information				
		The log format and the meaning of all possible types of log entries must be fully documented in sufficient detail to allow independent				
D	Discussion	manufacturers to implement utilities to parse the log file. This documentation must be publicly available and not just in the TDP.				
3.3-B	Specification of common data format usage	Manufacturers must provide publicly available documentation describing how the manufacturer has implemented a CDF specification				
		for a particular device or function. This includes such items as:				
3.3-B.1		descriptions of how elements and attributes are used				
3.3-B.2		constraints on data elements				
3.3-B.3		extensions as well as any constraints				
		Conformance to a common data format does not guarantee data interoperability. The manufacturer needs to document fully how it has				
		interpreted and implemented a CDF specification for its voting devices and the types of data exchanged or exported. Here is list of				
		related references: NIST SP 1500-103 Cast Vote Records Common Data Format Specification [CVR_CDF], NIST SP 1500-100 Election				
D	Discussion	Results Common Data Format Specification [NIST16], NIST SP 1500-101 Election Event Logging Common Data Format Specification				
3.3-C	Discussion Bar and other codes	[LOG_CDF], NIST SP 1500-102 Voter Records Interchange(VRI) CDF Specification [VRI_CDF]. Manufacturers must provide publicly available documentation that fully specifies the barcode, how barcoded data is formatted, and any		_		
3.3-0	bal allu otilei codes	other encoding standards or methods used on ballots or audit material.				
		The voting system documentation needs to include the name and version of the standard used for barcodes or for any other codes that		1		
		encode information that the public sees on ballots or other material that can be used in audits or verification of the election. The				
		documentation also needs to include how the data may be packed or compressed within the encoding. The report should be sufficient				
D	Discussion	for a voter to understand the barcoded contents and for an auditor to develop applications that examine the barcoded contents.				
3.3-D	Ballot selection codes	The voting system must be capable of producing a report on an election-by-election basis to show the meaning of codes and other data				
		used within barcodes and CVRs to represent ballot selections and ballot style information.				
		Codes that represent a voter's ballot selections are commonly used within barcodes and CVRs so as to save space. The codes will likely				
		change for each election. The codes are meaningless to a voter or an auditor unless the voting system can produce a report that shows				
		all codes possible and what contests and ballot selections they represent. If, for example, a code of 90 is used to represent a particular		All VotinWorks documentation is public. This		
		contest, then the report must show that 90 refers to the title or description of that particular contest. This includes other information		specific documentation is also available in		
D	Discussion	within the barcode generally found on clear-text ballots to identify the ballot style.		Public Documents.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Public Documents

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
	Interoperable - The voting system is designed to support					
	interoperability in its interface to external systems, its interfaces					
4	to internal components, its data, and its peripherals					
	Voting system data that is imported, exported, or otherwise					
4.1	reported, is in an interoperable format.			_		
4.1-A	Election programming data input and output	The voting system must include support for CDF specification(s) regarding:				
4.1-A.1		import and export of election programming data				
4.1-A.2		import and export of ballot programming data.				
		This requirement concerns import and export of pre-election data into an election definition device, such as for identification of political			VotingWorks functional and automated	
		geography, contest, candidate, ballot data, and other pre-election information used to setup an election and produce ballots. This also		VotingWorks supports importing and	testing confirms all functionality performs as	
		includes reports of pre-election data from the election definition device that can be used to verify the election programming setup.		exporting election definition data in the	expected when using definitions in the	
D	Discussion	More information can be found in SP 1500-100 Election Results Common Data Format Specification [NIST16].		ballot definition CDF.	publicly available format.	System Overview > Ballot Definition CDF
4.1-B	Tabulator report data	The voting system must include support for CDF specification(s) for import and export of election results reporting data.				
		Importing results data is required to provide support for aggregations of vote data from different election management systems such as		VotingWorks supports importing and	VotingWorks functional and automated	
_		what occurs during state roll-ups on election night and during the process of election results certification. More information can be		exporting election result data in the	testing confirms that election results can be	System Overview > VxAdmin Results
D	Discussion	found in: NIST SP 1500-100 Election Results Common Data Format Specification [NIST16].		election results reporting CDF.	exported and imported in the ERR CDF.	Exports > CDF ERR Export
4.1-C	Exchange of cast vote records (CVRs)	The voting system's audit, casting, tabulation, and vote-capture functions dealing with CVRs must have the capability of importing or				
		exporting CVRs according to CDF specification(s).				
		Devices that export or import CVRs typically include voter-facing and batch-fed scanners, election management systems, and other			Vating Marks functional and automated	
		devices used for adjudication or auditing. This requirement indicates that these devices have the capability to import or export CVRs in the respective CDF(s). More information can be found in: NIST SP 1500-103 Cast Vote Records Common Data Format Specification		VotingWorks tabulators export CVRs in	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that the CVR CDF can be	
D	Discussion	[CVR CDF].		the CDF and VxAdmin imports CDF CVRs.		System Overview > Cast Vote Records
4.1-D	Exchange of voting device election event logs	The voting devices comprising the voting system must include support for CDF specification(s) for import or export of election event log		the CDF and VXAdmin Imports CDF CVRs.	exported and imported as expected.	System Overview > cast vote necords
4.1 0	Exchange of voting device election event logs	data.				
		This requirement refers to election event logs and not system logs provided by common operating systems such as Microsoft Windows				
		or Apple iOS. This requirement does not mandate that manufacturers use the format for storing election log information; a			VotingWorks functional and automated	
		manufacturer can meet this requirement by conversion or translation from a native format into the CDF. More information can be		VotingWorks devices can export logs in	testing confirms all log data can be exported	System Security, Auditing and Logging >
D	Discussion	found in:], NIST SP 1500-101 Election Event Logging Common Data Format Specification [LOG CDF].		the CDF.	in the CDF.	Logging
4.1-E	Voting device event code documentation	Manufacturers must provide a publicly available specification for event codes used in their equipment.		1		
		Use of NIST SP 1500-101 Election Event Logging Common Data Format Specification [LOG CDF] for election event logs only addresses		1	VotingWorks functional and automated	
		the data format; it does not mandate a common lexicon for event codes. NIST SP 1500-101 [LOG_CDF] provides a separate schema for			testing confirms logs are exported in the	System Security, Auditing and Logging >
D	Discussion	including documentation of event codes; manufactures may make this available publicly or upon request without condition.		All logs are publicly documented.	publicly documented format.	Logging
4.1-F	Specification of common format usage	Manufacturers must include a specification describing how the manufacturer has implemented a CDF specification for a particular				
		device or function. This includes such items as descriptions of how elements and attributes are used, as well as any constraints or				
		extensions.			VotingWorks functional and automated	
		Conformance to a common data format does not guarantee data interoperability. The manufacturer needs to document fully how it has			testing confirms that all functions perform as	
D	Discussion	interpreted and implemented a CDF specification for its voting devices and the types of data exchanged or exported.		publicly available.	expected per publicly available specifications.	Public Documents
	Standard, publicly available formats for other types of data not					
4.2	addressed by CDF specifications are used			_	u	
4.2-A	Standard formats	Publicly available non-proprietary formats must be used, where possible, for exchanging data.			testing confirms that all functions perform as	
D	Discussion	Examples include the use of common data encodings such as bar or QR codes.		All barcode encoding is publicly available.	expected per publicly available specifications.	Public Documents
4.2-B	Public documented manufacturer formats	Where publicly available non-proprietary formats are not available, manufacturers must include a specification that describes the				
		protocol or data format.		_	VotingWorks functional and automated	
		As an example, a manufacturer's algorithm or method for packing or compressing data before encoding in a QR code will be		l	testing confirms that all functions perform as	
D	Discussion	documented so that its implementation and usage is available publicly.		All barcode encoding is publicly available.	expected per publicly available specifications.	Public Documents
	Widely-used hardware interfaces and communications protocols					
4.3	are used			1		
4.3	Interfaces and Communication Protocols					
4.3-A	Standard device interfaces	Standard, common hardware interfaces and protocols must be used to connect devices.		l	VotingWorks functional testing confirms all	
	D	Examples include using published communications protocols, such as, IEEE, and using common hardware interfaces, such as, USB, when		All interfaces between devices are over	functions perform as expected between	6 4 4 4 6 4 4 4
D	Discussion Life (2072) Living August 1971	connecting to printers, disks, and other devices.		USB.	device interfaces over USB.	System Overview
4.4	Commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) devices can be used if they meet					
	all applicable VSG requirements			4		
4.4-A	COTS devices meet applicable requirements	COTS devices, if used, must satisfy all applicable VVSG requirements.		-		
		As an example, use of a COTS scanner to scan ballots is potentially possible, but it will need to meet applicable environmental and		All core is a second of the second	VotingWorks functional testing confirms all	6 4 4 4 6 4 4 4 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
D	Discussion	electrical requirements and, potentially, other requirements depending on how the scanner is used. For example, if it is used to create		All COTS devices meet all applicable VVSG	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	System Overview > [Component]
U	Discussion	CVRs, it will need to meet those requirements dealing with CVR creation and handling.		requirements.	requirements.	Hardware

VVSG 2.0 Section		Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
_	Equivalent and Consistent Voter Access - All voters can access					
5	and use the voting system regardless of their abilities Voters have a consistent experience throughout the voting			+		
5.1	process within any method of voting					
0.12	process many many many many many many many many	Within any method of voting, all display formats including enhanced visual and audio and all interaction modes including tactile and		7		
5.1-A	Voting methods and interaction modes	limited dexterity must have the same functionality as the visual format and touch mode including voting, verification, and casting.				
		Methods of voting that a voting system might support include in-person voting, vote-by-mail, remote ballot marking, among others. The				
		VVSG scope is in-person voting. For voting systems to meet this requirement they would need to include, for example: Features that				
		support limited dexterity interaction to enable voters who lack fine motor control or the use of their hands, to submit their ballots privately and independently without manually handling the ballot. Features for paper ballots or paper verification records that assist				
		voters with poor reading vision to read these ballots and records. Features to allow blind voters and voters with limited dexterity to			VotingWorks functional and automated	
		perform paper-based verification or feed their own optical scan ballots into a scanner, if all other voters do so. For example, ballot			testing confirms that the same voting,	
		papers or smart cards might provide tactile cues that allow the correct insertion of the card. Support for all voting variations. For		All interaction modes on	verification, and casting functionality is	
		example, if a visual ballot supports voting a straight-party ticket and then changing the vote for a single contest, so do all other display		VxMarkScan have access to the	available in all interaction modes on	System Overview > VxMarkScan
D	Discussion	formats and interaction modes. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18]. The voting system must be capable of displaying and printing the ballot, contest options, review screens, voter verifiable paper records,		same functionality as visual-touch	. VxMarkScan.	Function
		and voting instructions in all languages the manufacturer has declared the system supports, in both visual and audio formats where				
5.1-B	Languages	applicable.		All voter facing material is	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		Both written and unwritten languages are within the scope of this requirement. The system will be tested in all languages that the		translated for additional	all voter facing material is translated for non-	System Performance & Specifications >
D	Discussion	manufacturer claims it is capable of supporting. This requirement originates with the VRA [VRA65].		languages.	English languages in a given election package.	Supported Languages
F 1 C	Vata records	All records, including paper ballots and voter verifiable paper records, must have the information required to support auditing by				
5.1-C	Vote records	election workers and others who can only read English. Although the system needs to be easily usable by voters using an alternative language, records of the vote also need to be fully available		All voter-verifiable paper ballots		
		to English-only readers to support election administration and auditing. See 9.4 - The voting system supports efficient audits for related		have voter selections presented in		
		requirements. To meet this requirement, a paper ballot may not be a fully bilingual ballot. For instance, the full text of a ballot question		English even when the primary	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		might appear only in the alternative language, but the contest option (for example, "yes / no") needs to be readable by English-only		ballot language is translated to a	•	System Overview > Machine Marked
D	Discussion	readers.		non-English language.	English values for auditing purposes.	Ballots
5.1-D	Accessibility features	Accessibility features must be integrated into the manufacturer's voting system so accessibility for voters with disabilities is supported throughout the voting session, including any steps to activate the ballot at the voting station, ballot marking, verification, and casting.	6.1-B - Warnings	VxMarkScan provides support for		
5.1 0	Accessionity reacures	This requirement ensures accessibility to the voter throughout the entire session. Not only are individual system components (such as	0.1 b Warrings	visual-touch, audio-tactile, and	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		ballot markers, paper records, and optical scanners) accessible, but they also support voters with disabilities throughout the process of		limited-dexterity interaction	accessibility features are supported	
		voting from activation through casting. Requirements for individual system components are described in Principle 7: Marked, Verified,		J. , J.	throughout the voter experience on	System Overview > VxMarkScan
D	Discussion	and Cast as Intended. This general requirement supports HAVA [HAVA02].		casting a ballot.	VxMarkScan.	Function
		If the voting system generates a paper record (or some other durable, human-readable record) that can be the official ballot or determinative vote record, then the voting system must allow the voter to verify the paper record using the same access features they				
5.1-E	Reading paper ballots	used to mark the ballot, including enhanced visual and audio formats and tactile and limited dexterity modes.	7.1-I - Text size (paper)	VxMarkScan plays the values		
	State	Paper records present difficulties for voters who use large font, high contrast, alternative languages, and other settings. The purpose of	The state of the s	interpreted from the voter-	VotingWorks functional and automated	
		this requirement is to ensure that all voters have a similar opportunity for vote verification. For ballot marking devices, for example, if		verifiable paper ballot scan over	testing confirms that the values played over	
		the voter is using audio to make their selections, the voter verifiable paper record, not the stored voter selections, must be read back.		headphones when a voter is	headphones in audio-tactile mode are the	
		This requirement allows the voter to use the same access features throughout the entire voting session. It also does not preclude the voter from choosing a different access feature to verify the record. For example, the voting system might provide a reader that converts		confirming their ballot selections in the audio-tactile interaction	same selections as the interpreted from the voter-verifiable paper ballot scan on	System Overview > VxMarkScan Function
D	Discussion	the paper record contents into audio output. This requirement supports HAVA [HAVA02].		mode.	VxMarkScan.	Tunction
			7.3-N - Instructions for	7		
			voters; 7.3-0			
	A 11 119 - 1 111	As part of the overall system documentation the manufacturer must include descriptions and instructions for all accessibility features	Instructions for election			
5.1-F 5.1-F.1	Accessibility documentation	that describe: recommended procedures that fully implement accessibility for voters with disabilities	workers	+		
5.1-F.1 5.1-F.2		how the voting system supports those procedures		The user manual provides		
3.11.2		The purpose of this requirement is for the manufacturer not simply to deliver system components, but also to describe the accessibility			VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		scenarios they are intended to support, so that election offices have the information they need to effectively make accessibility features		workers for all accessibility	all accessibility features are documented in	
D	Discussion	available to voters with disabilities. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18].		features.	the user manual.	User Manual > VxMarkScan
	Voters receive equivalent information and options in all modes of					
5.2	voting	The voting system must not introduce his for or against any of the contact entities precented to the voter. In enhanced visual and		-	Voting Works functional and automated	
5.2-A	No bias	The voting system must not introduce bias for or against any of the contest options presented to the voter. In enhanced visual and audio formats and tactile and limited dexterity modes, all ballot options are to be presented in an equivalent manner.		All ballot options are presented in	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that all ballot options on	
		Certain differences in ballot presentation are mandated by state law, such as the order in which candidates are listed and provisions for		an equivalent manner for a given	•	
		voting for write-in candidates. This requirement ensures that comparable characteristics such as font size or audio volume and speed		interaction mode / voter setting	manner for a given interaction mode or voter	
D	Discussion	are the same for all ballot options.		on VxMarkScan.	setting.	Function
		All information that is presented to the voter in English must also be capable of being presented in all other languages that are				
5.2-B	Presenting content in all languages	supported, whether the language is in visual or audio format. This includes instructions, warnings, messages, notification of undervotes or overvotes, contest options, and vote verification information.		All voter facing material is	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	User Manual > VyMarkScan: System
5.2 5		It is not sufficient simply to present the ballot options in the alternative languages. All the supporting information voters need to mark		translated for additional	all voter facing material is translated for non-	
D	Discussion	their ballot is also covered in this requirement. This requirement originates with the VRA [VRA65].		languages.	English languages in a given election package.	
		Instructions, warnings, messages, notifications of undervotes or overvotes, and contest options must be presented to voters in the				
535	Information in all modes	display formats and interaction modes required in 5.1-A – Voting methods and interaction modes. This includes voting, verification, and				
5.2-C	Information in all modes	casting. For audio mode, this requirement can be met with an audio that includes cues to help users know what to expect. For example,		+	Voting Works functional and automated	
		announcing the number of items in a list of candidates or contests makes it easier to jump from one item to another without waiting for		All voter information is presented	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that all voter information is	
		the audio to complete. Audio cues also ensure that the voter is aware of possible undervotes or overvotes. This includes information		in all display formats and	presented in all display formats and	
D	Discussion	about activation. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18].		interactions modes.	interaction modes.	User Manual > VxMarkScan
				The audio over headphones in the		
				VxMarkScan audio-tactile		
		The voting system must provide the option for synchronized audio output to convey the same information that is displayed visually to		interaction mode conveys the		
5.2-D	Audio synchronized	the voter.		same information that is		

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
D	Discussion	This requirement covers all information, including information entered by the voter such as write-in votes. This requirement applies to any audio output, whether it is recorded or generated as text-to-speech. Any differences between audio and visual information are for functional purposes only, with variations only based on differences in the display format and interaction mode, especially for instructions. This feature can assist voters with cognitive disabilities. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18].		displayed visually to the voter. Some additional content presented over headphones to guide the user in the audio-tactile interface.	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that the audio in audio-tactile mode is synchronized with the visual interface.	User Manual > VxMarkScan
5.2-E	Sound cues	Sound and visual cues must be coordinated so that:		1	•	
5.2-E.1		sound cues are accompanied by visual cues unless the system is set to audio-only]		
5.2-E.2		visual cues are accompanied by sound cues unless the system is set to visual-only		1		
D	Discussion	The voting equipment might beep if the voter attempts to overvote. If so, there has to be an equivalent visual cue, such as the appearance of an icon or a blinking element. If the voting system has been set to audio-only, there would be no visual cue. Audio output also supports non-written languages, voters with low literacy, or voters with low vision. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18].		Audio and visual cues are synced with the exception of when audio or visual-only mode is set by a voter in the voter settings.	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that audio and visual cues are synced with the exception of when VxMarkScan is in audio or visual only mode.	User Manual > Voting Session Language
5.2-F	Presenting votes	At any time during a voting session, an electronic voting interface must allow the voter to change all language and display format options, and the interaction settings that the voter can chose directly, while preserving all current vote selections. When changing settings, the system must preserve navigation, screen position, visual settings, audio settings, and other information within and across contests.				
D	Discussion	A voter who initially chooses an English version of the ballot might switch to another language in order to read a referendum question. Many blind voters have preferences for audio settings, including the rate of speech and volume that are important for comprehension. Changing visual settings for text size might change the layout of the information on the screen, making it important to maintain the screen position. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18].		A voter may change any voter facing settings during a voting session on VxMarkScan and all voting state is preserved.	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that voter session state is preserved when changing voter facing settings.	User Manual > Voting Session Language & Settings; System Overview > VxMarkScan Function

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
	Voter Privacy - Voters can mark, verify, and cast their ballot				_	
6	privately and independently					
	The voting process preserves the privacy of the voter's					
6.1	interaction with the ballot, modes of voting, and vote selections					
6.1-A	Preserving privacy for voters	Privacy for voters must be preserved during the entire voting session including ballot activation, voting, verifying, and casting the ballot.		VxMarkScan hardware. When		
				using hand-marked paper ballots,		
				it is expected that jurisdictions		
		This requirement allows for different approaches for electronic and paper interfaces. In both cases, appropriate shielding of the voting		implement procedures at the		
		station is important for example, privacy screens for the voting stations. When a paper record with ballot information needs to be		polling place to preserve privacy	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	System Overview > VxMarkScan
		transported by the voter, devices such as privacy sleeves can be necessary. This requirement applies to all records with information on		in marking of the ballot and its	voter privacy is preserved when following	Hardware; User Manual > VxMarkScan
D	Discussion	votes (such as a vote verification record) even if that record is not itself a hallot. This requirement supports HAVA [HAVA02].	7.2-F - Voter speech	transfer to VxScan for casting.	procedural guidance.	Hardware Setup
6.1-B	Warnings	During the voting session, the voting system must issue all warnings in a way that preserves privacy for voters and the confidentiality of		_ ~	VotingWorks functional and automated	Haraware Secup
0.1 5	warmigs	the ballot.	and instructions	notifications on VxScan do not	testing confirm that no voter selections are	
		HAVA 301 (a)(1)(C) [HAVA 02] mandates that the voting system notifies the voter of an attempted overvote in a way that preserves	and mistractions	present voter selections, only	shown on screen for undervote or overvote	
D	Discussion	privacy for voters and the confidentiality of the ballot. This requirement addresses that mandate.		contest names.	warnings on VxScan.	User Manual > Assisting Voters
6.1-C	Enabling or disabling output	During the voting session, the voting system must make it possible for the voter to independently enable or disable either the audio or	7.2-A - Display and	contest names.	warriings on vascari.	Oser Maridar > Assisting Voters
0.1-0	Litabiling of disabiling output	the visual output and be notified of the change, resulting in a visual-only or audio-only presentation.	interaction options; 7.3	_		
		the visual output and be notified of the change, resulting in a visual-only of additional presentation.	K - Warnings, alerts,			
			and instructions	Voters on VxMarkScan can	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		Voters can be notified of the change to the display or audio output in a variety of ways including beep, voice, or visual notification. An	and mistractions	independently navigate to	a voter can mute audio (visual-only) or hide	User Manual > Voting Session Language
		unobtrusive notification that the system has changed the visual display format is helpful to voters who cannot see the screen to confirm		settings that enable or disable	visual presentation of the voter session	& Settings
D	Discussion	the change visually. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18].		audio or visual-only modes.	(audio-only) on VxMarkScan.	& Jettings
6.1-D	Audio privacy	Audio during the voting session must be audible only to the voter.	7.2-F - Voter speech;	dudio of visual offly friedes.	(addio omy) on valvidinocan.	
0.1 5	radio privacy	had during the voting session must be dudible only to the voter.	8.1-J - Hearing aids			
		Voters who are hard of hearing but need to use an audio interface sometimes need to increase the volume of the audio. Such situations	0.13 Hearing alas		VotingWorks performs sound leakage tests	
		require headphones or other devices (such as a hearing loop) with low sound leakage so the contents of the audio cannot be overheard		Headphones supplied with	when calibrating headphone volume to	
		and understood by others. Voters who are hard of hearing can share audio interfaces with their designated assistants. This requirement		VxMarkScan prevent sound	confirm only a voter can hear voting session	System Overview > VxMarkScan
D	Discussion	is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18].		leakage.	audio.	Hardware
_	Voters can mark, verify, and cast their ballot or other associated					
6.2	cast vote record without assistance from others.					
6.2-A	Voter independence	Voters must be able to mark, verify, and cast their ballot or other associated cast vote records independently and without assistance	2.2-A - User-centered	7		
0.271	Total macpendence	from others.	design process; 5.1-D -	Using VxMarkScan, voters can		
		nom sales.	Accessibility features;	mark, verify and cast ballots		
			5.1-E - Reading paper	privately and independently in all	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
			ballots; 8.2-A - Federal	interaction modes: visual-touch,	all interaction modes support marking,	
			standards for	audio-tactile and limited-	verifying, and casting of a ballot privately and	System Overview > VxMarkScan
			accessibility	dexterity.	independently using VxMarkScan.	Function
6.2-A.1		If a voting system includes any features voters might use after casting a ballot as part of end-to-end (E2E) verifiable system ballot	,	7 ′	. , ,	
		tracking, they must be accessible.		N/A - no E2E verifiable system		
		This requirement ensures that voters can vote with their own interaction preferences and without risk of intimidation or influence.		i		
		HAVA 301 (a)(1)(C)[HAVA02] mandates that the voting system be accessible for individuals with disabilities, including nonvisual				
		accessibility for the blind and visually impaired, in a manner that provides the same opportunity for access and participation (including				
		privacy and independence) as for other voters. This requirement directly addresses this mandate. Note that in addition to features for				
		voters after casting their ballot for E2E system ballot tracking, there are other features not in the scope of VVSG requirements that				
		should be designed for accessibility such as forms or notices to cure problems with a vote-by-mail ballot, and sites to learn whether a				
D	Discussion	provisional ballot was accepted for counting.				

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
	Marked, Verified, and Cast as Intended - Ballots and vote		·		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	selections are presented in a perceivable, operable, and					
_	understandable way and can be marked, verified, and cast by all					
7	voters The default voting system settings present a ballot usable for the			+		
	widest range of voters, and voters can adjust settings and					
7.1	preferences to meet their needs.					
7.1-A	Reset to default settings	If the adjustable settings of the voter interface have been changed by the voter or election worker during the voting session, the system	7.1-K - Audio settings			
		must automatically reset to the default setting when the voter finishes voting, verifying, and casting.		ļ.,,	Marie Marie I. Construction of the Marie II.	6 1 2 6 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
		This ensures that the voting system presents the same initial appearance to every voter. This requirement covers all settings that can be adjusted, including font size, color, contrast, audio volume, rate of speech, turning on or off audio or video, and enabling alternative		All voter settings are reset when the voting session ends on both	VotingWorks functional testing confirms all voter settings are reset after voting sessions	System Overview > VxMarkScan Function; System Overview > VxScan
D	Discussion	input devices. Applies to: Electronic interfaces		VxMarkScan & VxScan.	end.	Function
7.1-B	Reset by voter	If either the voter or an election worker can adjust the settings of the voter interface, there must be a way for the voter to restore the	5.2-F - Preserving votes	1		
		default settings while preserving the current votes.		All votor interface settings can be		
				All voter interface settings can be restored while preserving the	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		This requirement allows a voter or election worker who has adjusted the system to an undesirable state to reset all settings with the			all voter session state is maintained when	User Manual > Voting Session Language
D	Discussion	ballot presented to the voter using the new settings, but still keeping what was selected thus far. Applies to: Electronic interfaces		"Reset" in the voter settings.	voter interface settings are reset.	& Settings
7.1-C	Default contrast	The default contrast ratio must be at least 10:1 for all elements that visually convey information such as text, controls, and infographics				
7.1-C.1		or icons. For electronic displays for voters and election workers, this is measured as a luminosity contrast ratio between the foreground and		+		
7.1-0.1		background colors of at least 10:1.				
7.1-C.2		For paper ballots and other paper records, the contrast ratio will be at least 10:1 as measured based on ambient lighting of at least 300		1		
		lx.				
		For example, this applies to: candidate names, a broken arrow, the outline of an oval, circle, or rectangular target used to mark voter				
		selections, or informational icons identifying voter selections or other information. Purely decorative elements that do not communicate meaning do not have to meet this requirement. A 10:1 luminosity contrast ratio provides enough difference between the				
		text and background to enable people with most color vision deficiencies to read the ballot. This is higher than the highest contrast				
		requirements of 7:1 in WCAG 2.0 Checkpoint 1.4.6 (Level AAA) to accommodate a wider range of visual disabilities. There are many free			VotingWorks functional & automated testing	
		tools available to test color luminosity contrast using the WCAG 2.0 algorithm. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and			confirms that contrast ratios are maintained	System Overview > VxMarkScan
7.1-D	Discussion Contrast options	Section 508 [USAB18]. Applies to: Electronic interfaces The voting system must provide options for high and low contrast displays, including the alternative display contrast options as listed		1 for all elements.	for all visual elements shown on screen.	Function
7.1-0	Contrast options	below:				
7.1-D.1		A high contrast option with a white background and dark text, with a luminosity contrast ratio of at least 20:1.		1		
7.1-D.2		A high contrast option with a black background (between #000000 and #111111) and one of the following foreground options,		1		
		including:		1		
7.1-D.2.a 7.1-D.2.b		yellow text similar to #FFFF00, providing a contrast ratio of at least 17.5:1		4		
7.1-D.2.6 7.1-D.2.c		cyan text similar to #00FFFF, providing a contrast ratio of at least 15:1 white text similar to #FAFAFA, providing a contrast ratio of at least 18:1		+		
7.1-D.3		A low contrast option, providing a contrast ratio in the range of 4.5:1 to 8:1		†		
1,12,210		This requirement for options for the overall display contrast ensures that there is an option for the visual presentation for people whose		1		
		vision requires either high or low contrast. High and low contrast options apply to the entire screen, including decorative elements.		All voter facing screens		
		Examples of color combinations for a low contrast options include: brown text similar to #BB9966 on a black background (7.8:1), black		(VxMarkScan & VxScan) provide	\/_t:= \\\/_d:=t	Contain Occasions Nother Cons
		text on a background with text similar to #BB9966 (7.8:1), grey text similar to #6C6C6C on a white background (5.2:1), grey/brown text similar to #97967E on a black background (6.9:1), and grey text similar to #898989 on a dark background similar to #222222 (4.5:1). This		the ability to select alternative	VotingWorks automated testing confirms that contrast ratios are maintained for all	System Overview > VxMarkScan Function; System Overview > VxScan
D	Discussion	requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18]. Applies to: Electronic interfaces		all these requirements.	contrast modes.	Function
7.1-E	Color conventions	The use of color by the voting system must follow these common conventions:]		
7.1-E.1		Green, blue, or white is used for general information or as a normal status indicator		1		
7.1-E.2		Amber or yellow is used to indicate warnings or a marginal status		The use of color follows these	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
7.1-E.3		Red is used to indicate error conditions or a problem requiring immediate attention		conventions.	the use of color follows these conventions.	VxMarkScan Function
7.1-F	Using color	Color coding must not be used as the only means of communicating information, indicating an action, prompting a response, distinguishing a visual element, or providing feedback on voter actions or selections.				
		While color can be used for emphasis, some other non-color design element is also needed. This could include shape, lines, words, text,		1		
		or text style. For example, an icon for "stop" can be red enclosed in an octagon shape. Or, a background color can be combined with a		Text and iconography is used to	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		bounding outline and a label to group elements on the ballot. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508		1	color coding is not the only means of	User Manual; System Overview >
7.1-G	Discussion Text size (electronic display)	[USAB18]. A voting system's electronic display must be capable of showing all information in a range of text sizes that voters can select from, with	5.2-A - No bias; 5.2-F -	color.	conveying information on screen.	VxMarkScan Function
7.1-G	lext size (electronic display)	a default text size at least 4.8 mm (based on the height of the uppercase I), allowing voters to both increase and decrease the text size.	Preserving votes; 7.2-D			
		The voting system may meet this requirement in one of the following ways:	- Scrolling; 7.3-B - No			
			split contests	1		
7.1-G.1		Provide continuous scaling with a minimum increment of 0.5 mm that covers the full range of text sizes from 3.5 mm to 9.0 mm		-		
7.1-G.2		Provide at least four discrete text sizes, in which the main ballot options fall within one of these ranges		+		
7.1-G.2.a 7.1-G.2.b		3.5-4.2 mm (10-12 points) 4.8-5.6 mm (14-16 points)		+		
7.1-G.2.c		6.4-7.1 mm (18-20 points)		+		
7.1-G.2.d		8.5-9.0 mm (24-25 points)		†		
		The text size requirements have been updated from the VVSG 1.1 [VVSG2015] requirement to better meet the needs of voters who		1		
		need larger text, including older voters, voters with low literacy, and voters with some cognitive disabilities. This requirement also fills a				
		gap in the text sizes required in VVSG 1.1 which omitted text sizes needed or preferred by many voters. Although larger font sizes assist				
		most voters with low vision, certain visual disabilities such as tunnel vision require smaller text. The sizes are minimums. These ranges are not meant to limit the text on the screen to a single size. The text can fall in several of these text sizes. For example, candidate				
		names or voting options might be in the 4.8-5.6 mm range, secondary information in the 3.5-4.2 mm range, and titles or button labels in		VxMarkScan and VxScan provide	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	System Overview > VxMarkScan
		the 6.4-7.1 mm range. The default text size of 4.8 mm is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18]. Applies to: Electronic		four discrete sizes that fall in	these four settings sizes map to the expected	·
D	Discussion	interfaces		these ranges.	mm size ranges on screen.	Function

			1	-		_
VVSG 2.0 Section		Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	-	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
7.1-H	Scaling and zooming (electronic display)	When the text size is changed, all other information in the interface, including informational icons, screen titles, buttons, and ballot	5.1-A - Voting methods			
		marking target areas, must change size to maintain a consistent relationship to the size of the text. Informational elements in the	and interaction modes;			
		interface do not have to be scaled beyond the size of the text.	5.2-A - No bias; 5.2-C -			
			All information in all			
			modes; 5.2-F -			
			Preserving votes; 7.1-G			
			- Text size (electronic			
			display); 7.2-D -			
			Scrolling.			
7.1-H.1		When the text is enlarged up to 200% (or 7.1 mm text size), the ballot layout must adjust so that there is no horizontal scrolling or				
		panning of the screen		4		
7.1-H.2		When the text is enlarged more than 200%, there may be horizontal scrolling or panning if needed to maintain the layout of the ballot				
		and a consistent relationship between the text for ballot options and associated marking targets		_		
		The intention of this requirement is that all of the informational elements of the interface change size in response to the text size.				
		However, some interface designs include elements that are already large enough that making them larger would distort the layout. In				
		this case, this does not require those elements to grow proportionately beyond the size of the text. Techniques for managing scaling and	1			
		zooming an electronic interface while adjusting the layout to fit the new size are sometimes called responsive design or responsive				
		programming. This requirement does not preclude novel approaches to on-screen magnification such a zoom lens showing an enlarged		All other information in the		
		view of part of a screen (as long as it meets the requirements in 7.2 for the operability of the controls). This requirement follows WCAG		interface scales when text sizes	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		2.0 [WCAG10] in requiring scaling with no horizontal scrolling up to 200% and allowing zooming with horizontal scrolling for larger text.		are changed on screen on VxScan		Function; System Overview > VxScan
D	Discussion	Applies to: Electronic interfaces		& VxMarkScan.	text sizes are changed.	Function
7.1-l	Text size (paper)	The voting system must be capable of printing paper ballots and other paper records with a font size of at least 3.5 mm (10 points).	5.1-E - Reading paper	Paper ballots printed from		
			ballots; 7.1-G - Text size			
			(electronic display)	size for voter selections of at least		
		Although the system can be capable of printing in several font sizes, local or State laws and regulations can also govern the use of		3.5mm. Hand marked paper ballot		
		various font sizes. If the voting system includes a large-print display option, a good range for the text size is 6.4-7.1 mm matching the		design can be performed outside	-	
		size in 7.1-G – Text size (electronic display). If typography changes such as text size or display style are used to differentiate languages		of the system, but the system is	paper ballots meet this minimum font size by	
		on a multi-lingual ballot, the requirements in 5.2-A – No bias (and relevant state election law for ballot design) still apply. Applies to:		capable of interpreting ballots	manually measuring the height of an	System Overview > Machine Marked
D	Discussion	Printed Material		with this minimum size.	uppercase I.	Ballots
7.1-J	Sans-serif font	The voting system must be capable of presenting text intended for the voter in a sans-serif font.				
		This requirement ensures that systems are capable of best practice while allowing them to also meet local or state laws or regulations				
		that might differ. In general, sans-serif fonts are easier to read on-screen, look reasonably good when their size is reduced, and tend to				
		retain their visual appeal across different platforms. Examples of sans-serif fonts with good readability characteristics include Arial,				
		Calibri, Microsoft Tai Le, Helvetica, Univers, Clearview ADA, or Open Sans. WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18] require that at		VxSuite font is Roboto, a sans-	VotingWorks functional and automated	
D	Discussion	least one mode of characters displayed on the screen be a sans-serif font.		serif font.	testing confirm all font is Roboto.	User Manual
7.1-K	Audio settings	The voting system's audio format interface must meet the following requirements:	7.1-A - Reset to default			
			settings	4		
7.1-K.1		The settings for volume and rate of speech are followed regardless of the technical means of producing audio output		_		
7.1-K.2		The default volume for each voting session is set between 60 and 70 dB SPL.		_		
7.1-K.3		The volume is adjustable from a minimum of 20 dB SPL up to a maximum of 100 dB SPL, in increments no greater than 10 dB.				
7.1-K.4		The rate of speech is adjustable throughout the voting session while preserving the current votes, with 6 to 8 discrete steps in the rate.				
7.1-K.5		The default rate of speech is 120 to 125 words per minute (wpm).				
7.1-K.6		The range of speech rates supported is from 60-70 wpm to 240-250 wpm (or 50% to 200% of the default rate), with no distortion.				
7.1-K.7		Adjusting the rate of speech does not affect the pitch of the voice		The VxMarkScan audio interface		
		The top speech rate is slower than some audio users prefer for narrative reading to ensure that candidate names are pronounced clearly	/	meets all these requirements		
		and distinctively. Note that calculation of rate of speech can vary based on the length of the words in the sample, so requirements are		when using the system specified		
		stated as a small range. Speech rates as slow as 50 wpm and as fast as 300 wpm can be included if this can be done without distortion or	r	headphones. Rate of speech and	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		flanging. This requirement is intended to be tested using "real ear" measurements not simply measurements at the point of the audio		volume is controlled in steps and	audio volume & rate of speech meet these	
		source. According to an explanation written by the Trace Center [TC04], 60 dB SPL is the volume of ordinary conversation. FCC		increments as specified when	requirements when changing settings by	
		regulations for hearing aids, 47 CFR Parts 20 and 68: Hearing Aid Standard [FCC18], includes useful information about how to test audio		using the attached accessible	using sound meters and measuring the words	•
D	Discussion	volume and quality. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18].		controller on VxMarkScan.	per minute.	Function
7.1-L	Speech frequencies	The voting system's audio format interface must be able to reproduce frequencies over the audible speech range of 315 Hz to 10 KHz.		VxMarkScan have a frequency		
		The required frequencies include the range of normal human speech. This allows the reproduced speech to sound natural. This is a		range that reproduces	VotingWorks functional testing confirms the	
		requirement for the capability of the system so that it is possible to create intelligible audio. It is not a requirement for a ballot in a real		frequencies over the audible	audible speech range is reproduced with the	System Overview > VxMarkScan
D	Discussion	election, which is outside of the scope of the VVSG. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18].		speech range.	provided VxMarkScan headphones.	Hardware
7.1-M	Audio comprehension	The voting system's audio format interface must be capable of presenting audio content so that it is comprehensible to voters who have				
		normal hearing and are proficient in the language with:		4		
7.1-M.1		proper enunciation, normal intonation, accurate pronunciation in the context of the information, and the capability to pronounce				
		candidate names as intended		4		
7.1-M.2		low background noise		4		
7.1-M.3		recording or reproduction in dual-mono, with the same audio information in both ears		_		
		This requirement covers both recorded and synthetic speech. It applies to those aspects of the audio content that are inherent to the		The election package supports any	•	
		voting system or that are generated by default. To the extent that election officials designing the ballot determine the audio		audio recording source for audio	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		presentation, it is beyond of the scope of this requirement. Support for non-written languages and low literacy includes audio output		files imported into the system.	voter information presented over	
		that is usable by voters who can see the screen. The International Telecommunications Union (ITU) provides a set of freely available test		VotingWorks internal tooling	headphones on VxMarkScan is accurately	System Overview > Election Package;
		signals for testing audio quality in Rec. ITU-T P.50 Appendix I [ITU19]. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508		utilizes Google Cloud text-to-	presented with low background noise and	System Overview > VxMarkScan
D	Discussion	[USAB18].		speech speech synthesis.	reproduction in dual mono.	Function
7.1-N	Tactile keys	Mechanically operated controls, buttons, keys, or any other hardware interfaces (including dual switches or sip-and-puff devices) on the	7.2-E - Touch screen			
		voting system available to the voter must:	gestures; 7.2-H -			
			Accidental activation;			
			7.2-R - Control labels			
			visible; 7.3-L - Icon			
			labels	The attached accessible controller	-	
7.1-N.1		be tactilely discernible without activating those controls or keys		on VxMarkScan has tactilely		
7.1-N.2		include a Unified English Braille, Contracted label if there is a text label		discernible buttons (such as arrow	ı	
7.1-N.3		not require sequential, timed, or simultaneous presses or activations, unless using a full keyboard		keys) and includes braille labels. It	:	

VVSG 2.0 Section	n Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
		A blind voter can operate the voting system by "feel" alone. This means that vision is not necessary for such operations as inserting a		does not require any sequential,	VotingWorks usability and accessibility	
D	Discussion	smart card or plugging into a headphone jack. Controls that are distinguished only by shape without a text label do not need a Braille		timed, or simultaneous	testing confirms that a blind voter can	System Overview > VxMarkScan
7.1-O	Discussion Toggle keys	label. Controls do not depend on fine motor skills. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18]. The status of all locking or toggle controls or keys (such as the "shift" key) for the voting system available to the voter must be visually		activations.	operate the voting system by feel alone.	Hardware; Usability and Accessibility
7.1-0	loggie keys	discernible, and also discernible through either touch or sound.		N/A - these types of		
		This applies to any physical controls or keys that have a locking or toggle function. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and		locking/toggle/keys do not exist in	ı	
D	Discussion	Section 508 [USAB18].		VxSuite.		
7.1-P	Identifying controls	Buttons and controls for the voter that perform different navigation or selection functions must be distinguishable by both shape and color for visual and tactile perception. Well-known arrangements of groups of keys may be used only for their primary purpose. For example, a full alphabetic keyboard is acceptable for entering a write-in candidate name, but individual keys cannot be used for navigation or selection.				
D 7.2	Discussion Voters and election workers can use all controls accurately, and voters have direct control of all ballot changes	This applies to buttons and controls implemented either on-screen or in hardware. For on-screen controls, shape includes the label on the button. Redundant cues help those with low vision. They also help individuals who have difficulty reading the text on the screen, those who are blind but have some residual vision, and those who use the controls on a voting system because of limited dexterity. While this requirement primarily focuses on those with low vision, features such as tactile controls and on-screen controls intended primarily to address one kind of disability often assist other voters as well. The Trace Center's EZ Access design is an example of button functions distinguishable by both shape and color [TCnd]. Some examples are: Color can be helpful to make different sets of functions visually distinct: groups of buttons can share a color, such as Volume UP/DOWN. Tactile perception requires different shapes, so that finding a control does not rely solely on the layout: all the shapes cannot be squares, but two or four triangles can be used if they point in different directions. As a group of well-known keys, a full alphabetic keyboard is acceptable for entering a write-in candidate name, but individual keys cannot be used for navigation or selection. Using these keys for functions would require a voter to see the visual labels or know the arrangement for those functions. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18].		All buttons on screen and in hardware are distinguishable by shape and color. A full alphabetic keyboard is used for write-in candidate entry.	VotingWorks functional testing confirms all voter facing controls are discernable by shape and color.	User Manual
7.2-A	Display and interaction options	The voting system must provide at least the following display format and interaction mode options to enable voters to mark their ballot	5.1-A - Voting methods	-		
7.2-A	Display and interaction options	to vote, and verify and cast their ballot, supporting the full functionality in each mode:	and interaction modes; 5.2-A - No bias			
7.2-A.1		Visual format				
7.2-A.2		Enhanced visual format				
7.2-A.3		Audio format				
7.2-A.4		Touch mode				
7.2-A.5		Limited dexterity mode				
		Voters need to be able to choose the combination of display formats and types of controls that work for them, for example, combining				
		the audio format with the tactile mode. Limited dexterity mode controls include those that do not require dexterity and those that can be operated without use of hands. Full functionality includes at least instructions and feedback regarding: on how to use accessibility		All marking, verifying, and casting		
		features and setting; on a change in the display format or control options; for navigating the ballot; for contest options, including write-			VotingWorks functional testing confirms	System Overview > VxMarkScan
		in candidates; on confirming and changing votes; and on final ballot submission. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and		supported in these display	marking, verifying, and casting is supported in	•
D	Discussion	Section 508 [USAB18].		formats and interaction modes.	all display formats and interaction modes.	Language & Settings
7.2-B	Navigation between contests	The electronic ballot interface must provide navigation controls that allow the voter to advance to the next contest or go back to the	7.2-A - Display and			
		previous contest before completing their vote.	interaction options	A voter on VxMarkScan can	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		For example, voters are not forced to proceed sequentially through all contests before going back to check their votes within a previous contest. This requirement applies whether the voter is using the visual or audio format, or synchronized audio and visual. As with all		navigate back and forth in all interaction modes without	voters may navigate back and forth across contests without completing votes for a given	System Overview > VyMarkScan
D	Discussion	requirements, this applies to all display formats and interaction modes.		completing their vote.	contests without completing votes for a given	Function; User Manual > Voting Sessions
7.2-C	Voter control	An electronic ballot interface must give voters direct control over making or changing vote selections within a contest. This requirement	7.2-A - Display		contest in an interaction modes.	rancion, escribandar voting sessions
		includes the following:	interaction options; 7.3			
			E - Feedback; 7.3-F -			
			Correcting the ballot			
7.2-C.1		In a vote-for-one contest, selecting a candidate may deselect a previously selected candidate, but the system must announce the				
7262		change in audio and visual display.		VxMarkScan gives voters direct	Marie Marie Control of the Assessed	
7.2-C.2 7.2-C.3		In a vote-for-N-of-M contest, the system must not deselect any candidate automatically. In a vote-for-N-of-M contest, the system must inform the voter that they have attempted to make too many selections and offer an		control over making or changing selections per these	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms VxMarkScan navigation	System Overview > VxMarkScan
7.2-0.3		opportunity to change their selections.		requirements.	adheres to these requirements.	Function; User Manual > Voting Sessions
7.2-C.4		Ballot options intended to select a group of candidates, such as straight-party voting, must provide clear feedback on the result of the		N/A - voting variation not	admenes to these requirements.	ranction, esc. manaar roung sessions
		action of selecting this option.		supported		
7.2-C.5		Ballots with preferential or ranking voting methods must not re-order candidates except in response to an explicit voter command.		N/A - voting variation not supported		
		This requirement covers any selection, de-selection, or change to ballot options. It can be met in a variety of ways, including notifications or announcements of the action the system is taking. For example, if a voter attempts to mark a selection for more candidates than allowed, the system does not take an independent action to de-select a previously selected candidate, but instead notifies the voter of the problem and offers ways to correct it. As with all requirements, this applies to all display formats and interaction modes. This requirement addresses situations in which the voter cannot see the change take effect because the previously selected candidate is on another screen, has scrolled off the visible display area, or is out of the voter's field of vision. It is particularly important to voters using the audio format and no visual display because they often do not have a way to know that a change that occurs higher up in the contest has taken place. Examples of feedback include visual changes on the screen and related sounds or messages in text and audio. For example, selecting a candidate is often announced visually with a check-mark image and in audio by naming the candidate selected. If there is a visual change or announcement about the number of candidates selected (or selections still available), for example, the audio says "you have selected the maximum number of candidates in this contest" in a vote-for-N contest. An example of feedback on the result of a complex action, such as making a selection in straight-party voting, might be a message confirming the party whose candidates were selected, or even the number of candidates and contests affected by the voter's action.				

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
7.2-D	Scrolling	If the number of candidates or length of the ballot question means that the contest does not fit on a single screen using the voter's	7.1-G - Text size			
		visual display preferences, the voting system must provide a way to navigate through the entire contest.	(electronic display); 7.1	_		
			H - Scaling and zooming	1		
			(electronic display); 7.2			
			1 ' '''	-		
			E - Touch screen			
			gestures; 7.2-F - Voter			
			speech; 7.2-H -			
			Accidental activation;			
			7.2-I - Touch area size;			
			7.3-B - No split			
			contests; 7.3-E -			
			Feedback; 7.3-F -			
			Correctin gthe ballot;			
			7.3-H - Overvotes; 7.3-I			
			- Undervotes; 7.3-K -			
			Warnings, alerts, and			
			instructions			
7.2-D.1		The voting system may display the contest by:		7		
				+		
7.2-D.1.a		pagination - dividing the list of candidates or other information into "chunks," each filling one screen and providing ways for the voter in	0			
		navigate among the different chunks; or				
7.2-D.1.b		scrolling - keeping all of the content on a single long display and providing controls that allow the voter to scroll continuously through				
		the content.				
7.2-D.2		For either display method, the voting system interface must:		7		
7.2-D.2.a				┥		
/.z-D.z.a		have a fixed header or footer that does not disappear, so voters always have access to navigation elements, the name of the current				
		contest, and the voting rules for the contest		-		
7.2-D.2.b		include easily perceivable cues in every display format to indicate that there is more information or there are more contest options				
		available				
7.2-D.2.c		include an option for an audio format and visual format that sync during scrolling				
7.2-D.3		The navigation method must ensure that the voting system:		+		
				+		
7.2-D.3.a		meets all requirements for providing feedback to the voter		4		
7.2-D.3.b		accurately issues all warnings and alerts including notifications of undervotes and overvotes				
7.2-D.3.c		meets all requirements for control size and interaction, and keeping all controls visible				
7.2-D.3.d		does not rely only on conventional platform scroll bars				
				+		
7.2-D.3.e		provides an opportunity to review and correct selections before leaving the contest		-		
		The ability to scroll through a list of candidates on a single logical page can be particularly important when a voter selects larger text or				
		is using the audio format. Information elements that need not scroll might include the name of the contest ("City Council Member"), ti	ne			
		voting rules ("vote for 1") and general controls including preference settings or navigation between contests. A scrolling interface that				
		meets this requirement offers voters a combination of easily perceivable controls or gestures to navigate through the list of candidates				
		or text of a ballot question. For example: Navigation within the contest does not rely on knowledge of any particular computer platform				
			'			
		or interface standard. Navigation within the contest does not only rely on conventional platform scroll bars, which operate differently				
		on two of the major commercial computer platforms. Controls have visible labels that include words or symbols. Controls are located in	1			
		the voter's visual viewing area at the bottom (or top) of the scrolling area, for example in the center of the column of names or				
		paragraph of text. This is especially helpful for people with low digital or reading literacy. Controls are identified in the audio format ar	d			
		can be activated in all interaction modes. This overall requirement relates to 7.1-G – Text size (electronic display), 7.1-H – Scaling and		If the content does not fit on a		
		zooming (electronic display), and 7.3-B – No split contests. The controls used to meet this requirement also need to meet all other		single screen for a given display	VotingWorks functional and automated	
		requirements including 7.2-H – Accidental activation, 7.2-I – Touch area size, 7.2-F – Voter speech, and 7.2-E – Touch screen gestures.			" testing confirms that the "more" button is	
					•	Suntana Ouraniana Manda di Sana
_		Meeting requirements for notifications relates to 7.3-E – Feedback, 7.3-F – Correcting the ballot, 7.3-H – Overvotes, 7.3-I – Undervotes	•	button to scroll through the	presented when content does not fit on a	System Overview > VxMarkScan
D	Discussion	and 7.3-K – Warnings, alerts, and instructions. Applies to: Electronic interfaces		content.	single screen.	Function
7.2-E	Touch screen gestures	Voting system devices used by voters with a touch screen may use touch screen gestures (physical movements by the user while in	7.1-N - Tactile keys; 7.2-	-		
		contact with the screen to activate controls) in the interface if the following conditions are met:	H - Accidental activation	n		
7.2-E.1		Gestures are offered as another way of interacting with a touch screen and an optional alternative to the other touch interactions.		7		
7.2-E.2		, ,		┥		
		Gestures work consistently across the entire voting interaction.		4		
7.2-E.3		Gestures do not include navigation off the current contest.		_		
7.2-E.4		Gestures are used in a way that does not create accidental activation of an action through an unintended gesture				
7.2-E.5		Gestures are limited to simple, well-known gestures		7		
7.2-E.6		Gestures do not require sequential, timed or simultaneous actions		╡		
7.2-E.0				+		
		This requirement ensures that the use of gestures does not interfere with the accessibility features of the voting system or make the				
		interface difficult to use by relying on an interaction mode with no easy way to make them perceivable in the visual or audio formats. I	1			
		relying on simple and common gestures, this requirement does not intend to fully duplicate the gestures for commercial mobile				
		platforms used with an audio format for accessibility. Tapping (touching the screen briefly) is the most basic gesture and is used on all				
		touch screens. Other commonly used gestures include: pinching or spreading fingers to zoom, swiping to scroll, and pressing and				
		holding to drag. Examples of gestures that require sequential or simultaneous actions are double-tapping, 2, 3 or 4 finger swiping, tout	h			
		and hold for a set period of time, or those that require coordinated actions with fingers on both hands. On desktop systems, assistive				
				Swining to sorall is the sure of		
		preference options like Sticky Keys can make these complex gestures accessible, but they require familiarity beyond what is acceptable		Swiping to scroll is the supported		
		in a voting system. Examples of timed gestures include differentiating between long and short touches, or which require touching twic			s VotingWorks functional testing confirms tha	
D	Discussion	in rapid succession to highlight and then activate the button or selection. Applies to: Electronic interfaces		these conditions.	scrolling meets these conditions.	Function
7.2-F	Voter speech	If the voting system includes speech or human sounds as a way for voters to control the system:	6.1-A - Preserving			
			privacy for voters; 6.1-E)		
			- Audio privacy			
7.2-F.1	+	it must not require the veter to speak recognizable veting selections out level	, .aa.o privacy	┪		
		it must not require the voter to speak recognizable voting selections out loud		┥ , .		
7.2-F.2		speech input must not be the only non-visual interaction mode		N/A - the voting system does not		
		This requirement allows the use of speech input as long as voters can choose other ways of interacting with the voting system that do		support speech or human sounds	;	
		not require either vision or use of their hands. It is also important to consider how speech would work as a way of voting in a noisy		as a way for voters to control the		
n	Discussion			system		
D 7.2-G	Discussion Voter central of audio	polling place environment.		system.		
D 7.2-G 7.2-G.1	Discussion Voter control of audio			system.		

7

VVSG 2.0 Section	n Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
7.2-G.2		repeating any information			· ·	
7.2-G.3		skipping to the next or previous contest				
7.2-G.4		skipping over the reading of the ballot question text		VxMarkScan audio tactile		
		These features can also be useful to voters with cognitive disabilities. This is comparable to the ability of sighted voters to: move on to			VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		the next contest once they have made a selection or to abstain from voting on a contest altogether, or skip over the wording of a referendum on which they have already made a decision prior to the voting session (for example, "Vote yes on proposition #123").		·	audio format controls control audio as expected and instructions as to how to use	System Overview > VxMarkScan
D	Discussion	Applies to: Electronic interfaces		the start of a voting session.	them are presented to the voter.	Function > Audio Format
7.2-H	Accidental activation	Both on-screen and physical controls on the voting system must be designed to prevent accidental activation.	7.1-N - Tactile keys; 7.2-	-]		
			E - Touch screen			
		There are at least two kinds of accidental activation: When a control is activated to execute an action as it is being "evaluated" by the	gestures	-		
		There are at least two kinds of accidental activation: When a control is activated to execute an action as it is being "explored" by the voter because the control is overly sensitive to touch. When a control is in a location where it can easily be activated unintentionally. Fo	,			
		example, when a button is in the very bottom left corner of the screen where a voter might hold the unit for support. The draft of				
		WCAG 2.1, the next version of WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] includes a similar requirement and offers guidelines for preventing accidental				
		activation including that the activation be on the release of the control (an "up-event") or equivalent, or that the system provides an		Placement and sensitivity of all	VotingWorks functional and usability testing confirms that no controls can be accidentally	Sustain Outside No. No. ManufeState
D	Discussion	opportunity to confirm the action. In addition to the accessibility needs for preventing accidental activation, it can be an issue if voters perceive the voting system as changing their voting selections.		controls are designed to prevent accidental activation.	activated.	Function
7.2-I	Touch area size	If the voting system has a touch screen, the touch target areas must:		decidental delivation.	delivated.	Tunction
7.2-I.1		be at least 12.7 mm (0.5 inches) in both vertical and horizontal dimensions		1		
7.2-1.2		be at least 2.54 mm (0.1 inches) away from adjacent touch areas				
7.2-1.3		not overlap another touch area				
		The requirements for touch size areas on voting systems are larger than commercial standards for mobile devices: to ensure that the				
		touch areas are large enough for voters with unsteady hands; to ensure that voting systems allow full adjustment to the most comfortable posture; and to allow for touch screens that do not include advanced algorithms to detect the center point of a touch. The				
		required touch area size is larger than some of the commercial standards for mobile phones to allow for use by voters with limited				
		dexterity. The required marking area size is within sizes suggested in the draft WCAG 2.1 (the next version of WCAG 2.0 [W3C10]) for		All touch size areas on touch	VotingWorks functional and automated	
_		target areas that accept a touch action. An MIT Touch Lab study of Human Fingertips to Investigate the Mechanics of Tactile		screens meet these size	testing confirms touch size areas meet these	,
7.2-J	Discussion Paper ballot target areas	Sense found that the average human finger pad is 10-14 mm and the average fingertip is 8-10 mm. Applies to: Touch screen interfaces On a paper ballot that a voter marks by hand, the area of the target used to mark a voting selection must be at least 3 mm (0.12 inches)		requirements.	size requirements.	Function
7.2-J	Paper ballot target areas	across in any direction.				
		This requirement applies to marking ovals, circles, squares, or other optical scan ballot designs. Although the marking target for hand-			VotingWorks functional and automated	
		marked paper ballots needs to be large enough to see, a target that is too large can also make it hard to fill in the area completely.		Hand marked paper ballot	testing confirms bubbles on hand marked	
D	Discussion	Applies to: Paper ballots		bubbles are .20"x.13"	ballots are these dimensions.	System Overview > Hand Marked Ballots
7.2-K	Key operability	Physical keys, controls, and other manual operations on the voting station must be operable with one hand and not require tight grasping, pinching, or twisting of the wrist. The force required to activate controls and keys must be no greater than 5 lbs. (22.2 N).				
		Voters can operate controls without excessive force. This includes operations such as inserting an activation card and inserting and		Voter facing physical controls on	VotingWorks functional testing measures the	System Overview > VxScan Hardware:
		removing ballots. This does not apply to on-screen controls. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18		VxScan & VxMarkScan require no		
D	Discussion	Applies to: Physical controls		greater than 5 lbs.	confirm it does not require greater than 5 lbs	. Hardware
7.2-L	Bodily contact	The voting station controls must not require direct bodily contact or for the body to be part of any electrical circuit. If some form of				
		contact is required, a stylus or other device with built-in permanent tips will be supplied to activate capacitive touch screens. This requirement ensures that controls and touch screens can be used by individuals using prosthetic devices or that it is possible to use		+		System Overview > VxScan Hardware;
		a stylus on touch screens for either greater accuracy or limited dexterity input. One type of touch screen – capacitive touch panels – rely		All voter facing controls can be	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	· ·
		on the user's body to complete the circuit. They can be used if manufacturers supply a stylus or other device that activates the		used without direct bodily	voter facing controls can be made without	, Hardware
D	Discussion	capacitive screen. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18]. Applies to: Electronic interfaces		contact.	direct bodily contact.	
7.2-M	No repetitive activation	Voting system keys or controls must not have a repetitive effect when they are held in an active position.		All voter facing keys and controls have no repetitive effect when	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that voter facing keys and controls have no	System Overview > VxScan; System Overview > VxMarkScan
D	Discussion	This is to preclude accidental activation. For instance, if a voter is typing in the name of a write-in candidate, depressing and holding the "e" key results in only a single "e" added to the name. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18].		held.	repetitive effect when held.	Overview > vxivial k3cari
7.2-N	System response time	The voting system's response time must meet the following response times:		7		
7.2-N.1		The system initially responds to a voter action in no more than:				
7.2-N.1.a		0.1 seconds for a visual change, and				
7.2-N.1.b		0.5 seconds for an audio response.				
7.2-N.2		The system responds to a voter marking a vote in no more than 1 second for both a visual response and an initial audio response.		4		
7.2-N.3		The system completes the visual response or display in no more than 1 second or displays an indicator that a response is still being prepared.				
		This is so the voter can very quickly perceive that an action has been detected by the system and is being processed. The voter never		1		
		gets the sense of dealing with an unresponsive or "dead" system. Note that this requirement applies to both auditory and visual voting				
		system responses. For example, if the voter touches a button to indicate a vote for a candidate, a visual system might display an "X"				
		next to the candidate's name, and an audio system might announce, "You have voted for John Smith for Governor". Even for "large"				
		operations such as initializing the ballot or painting a new screen, touch screen system ideally should not take more than 10 seconds. In the case of audio systems, no upper limit is specified, since certain operations can take longer, depending on the length of the text being	,			
		read (for example, reading out a long list of candidates running in a contest). For instance, the system might present a progress bar				
		indicating that it is "busy" processing the voter's request. This requirement is intended to preclude the "frozen screen" effect, in which			e VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
5	Discussion	no detectable activity is taking place for several seconds. There need not be a specific "activity" icon, as long as some visual change is		system response times in	VxMarkScan & VxScan response times to	Hear Manual
7.2-O	Discussion Inactivity alerts	apparent (such as progressively "painting" a new screen or providing audio feedback). Applies to: Electronic interfaces If the voter has not interacted with the voting system for a long time, that is, between 2-5 minutes, the system must notify the voter		response to voter action.	voter inputs meet these requirements.	User Manual
7.2-0	macavity dici to	and meet the following requirements:				
7.2-0.1		The system must document the inactivity time.		1		
7.2-0.2		When the voter's inactivity time expires, the electronic ballot interface must issue an alert and provide a way for the voter to receive		VxMarkScan presents an inactivity	y	
		additional time.		alert after 5 minutes that once	-	
7.2-0.3		The alert time must be between 20 and 45 seconds.		expired enables the voter to have		
7.2-0.4		If the voter does not respond to the alert within the alert time, the electronic ballot interface must go into an inactive state requiring election worker intervention		additional time for 30 seconds.	VotingWorks functional testing confirms the tinactivity experience presents after the	
		Each type of system will have a given inactivity time that is consistent among and within all voting sessions. This ensures that all voters		data and requires poll worker	documented time periods and requires poll	
D	Discussion	are treated equitably. For a referendum, in audio format, the timer starts when the audio finishes. Applies to: Electronic interfaces		intervention.	worker intervention after.	User Manual > Voting Sessions
7.2-P	Floor space	When used according to the manufacturer's installation instructions, the voting station must allow floor space for voters using a				
į		wheelchair or a voter's assistant by:		_		

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
7.2-P.1		providing a clear area for a wheelchair of 760 mm (30 inches) wide and 1220 mm (48 inches) deep, and				
7.2-P.2		providing adequate room for a voter's assistant, including enough room for both the voter and an assistant to enter the area of the voting station.				
		This requirement sets minimum dimensions for clear floor space around a voting station and ensures that the manufacturer's voting				
		station design and associated installation instructions support polling place layouts that can achieve this requirement. In planning a				
		polling place layout, election officials should consult the U.S Access Board Technical Guide: Clear Floor or Ground Space and Turning Space [USAB14a] and the U.S. Department of Justice ADA Checklist for Polling Places [USDOJ16] to be sure that a voter using a		VxScan and VxMarkScan	VotingWorks functional and usability testing confirms that VxScan and VxMarkScan	System Overview > VxMarkScan Hardware; System Overview > VxScan
D	Discussion	wheelchair can reach the voting station. They should also consider space needed if a voter's assistant also uses a mobility device.		accommodate floor space as specified.	accommodate floor space as specified.	Hardware
7.2-Q	Physical dimensions	The physical dimensions of the voting station must meet the U.S. Access Board requirements in Appendix A to Part 1194 – Section 508			accommodate noor space as specified.	Haluwale
7.2 Q	Thysical aimensions	of the Rehabilitation Act: Application and Scoping Requirements, Chapter 4: Hardware, Section 407.8 Operable Parts: Reach Height and				
		Depth [USAB14b].				
		This requirement is part of Section 508 [USAB18]. with the text of the requirements for reach height and depth with illustrations in the			VotingWorks functional and usability testing	System Overview > VxMarkScan
		"#407 operable parts" section. Many voting systems can be set up in a variety of ways for use in a polling place or vote center. For			confirms that VxScan and VxMarkScan meet	Hardware; System Overview > VxScan
		example, a system might sit on a table that allows voters to put their legs under the table in a polling place, but on a counter with no			the referenced U.S. Access Board	Hardware
		legroom in a vote center. Wheelchairs and scooters also allow voters different abilities to reach controls, and the voter might approach		VxScan and VxMarkScan physical dimensions meet the referenced	requirements.	
D	Discussion	the voting system from the front or side, depending on the physical design and how it is presented to the voter. A guide to meeting the requirements in the ADA standard for ensuring that voters can reach and us all operable parts can be found at [USAB14b].		U.S. Access Board requirements.		
7.2-R	Control labels visible	Labels for physical controls used by voters must be placed:	7.1-N - Tactile keys; 7.2-	-		
7.2 11	Control lubels visible	cases of physical controls ascardy voters must be placed.	Q - Physical dimensions;			
			7.3-L - Icon labels	VxMarkScan and are on a surface		
7.2-R.1		on a surface of the voting system where voters can see them from a seated or standing posture, and		where voters can see them from		
7.2-R.2		within the dimensions required in 7.2-Q – Physical dimensions		seated or standing postures	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		This requirement ensures that voters can find controls, even if they are placed on a side or top surface of the voting system, and that		within the required physical	physical controls are placed in accordance	System Overview > VxMarkScan
D	Discussion	blind voters can discover any Braille labels associated with the text label by touch.		dimensions.	with the requirement.	Hardware
	Voters can understand all information as it is presented, including					
7.3	instructions, messages from the system, and error messages			_		
7.3-A	System-related errors	The voting system must help voters complete their ballots effectively, ensuring that the features of the system do not lead to voters			Harabilia, annais ann daoine de marainte ab an ann	
		making errors during the voting session. This requirement provides a general scope that supports the other requirements in 7.3. It is meant to encourage innovation in meeting		All features of the voting system	Usability testing results demonstrate that the voting system has a low error rate and	
		this principle while ensuring that any new design features not covered explicitly in 7.3 help and not hinder voters in understanding and		are designed to help voters	features of the system do not lead to voters	
D	Discussion	voting their ballots effectively.		complete their ballots effectively.	•	User Manual
7.3-B	No split contests	The voting system must have the capability of displaying a ballot so that no contest is split into two groups of options.	7.2-D - Scrolling		0 1 1 1	
7.3-B.1	·	For paper ballot formats, the system must include a way of presenting a contest that does not divide the options across two columns or				
		two pages		VxMarkScan employs a "more"		
7.3-B.2		For electronic interfaces, if a contest does not fit onto one screen view, the system must include a way to meet the requirements in 7.2-		button per 7.2-D to ensure a		
		D – Scrolling for managing the way the list of options is displayed.		contest is not split into two		
		There is strong evidence from recent elections that when a contest is split into two or more sections, there is a risk that the voter can		groups of options. Hand-marked	VotingWorks functional and automated	
		perceive one contest as two (and overvote), or fail to see all of the contest options (and vote for a candidate other than the one they		paper ballots that divide options	testing confirms that no contest is split into two groups of options for all types of election	User Manual > VxMarkScan; System
D	Discussion	intend to). This a requirement for a capability of the ballot design or election management tools for the voting system to allow election officials to lay out a ballot with good usability.		across two columns or two pages are not supported.	packages.	System Limits
7.3-C	Contest information	All ballots must clearly indicate the office or question title and the maximum number of choices allowed for each contest.		All ballots indicate this	VotingWorks functional and automated	System Emiles
		7		information as specified in the	testing confirms that this information is	
				election package.	provided for each contest.	System Overview > Election Package
7.3-C.1		In an electronic ballot marking interface, the information for each contest includes, in a consistent order: The title of the office or ballot				
		question, including any distinguishing information such as the length of the term or the jurisdiction.				
7.3-C.2		The maximum number of selections allowed in the contest.				
7.3-C.3		In the audio format only, the number of options or candidates.		_		
7.3-C.4		If any selections have already been made, the number of selections remaining.				
7.3-C.5		In the audio format only, if any selections have been made, the currently selected candidates or options.		+		
7.3-C.6		Any instructions or reminders of how to find marking instructions, placed visually and in audio after the contest information.		+	VotingWorks functional and automated	
		This requirement is intended to work with any relevant state election laws or regulations for ballot design. For voters using audio features, best practice is to announce how many candidates or voting options are available, providing an audio cue similar to a visual		VxMarkScan shows all this	testing confirms VxMarkScan presents this information on-screen and through the	
		scan of the ballot in a similar way to assistive technology such as screen readers. Placing basic instructions last helps voters using the		information in a consistent order	headphone audio interface consistently	
D	Discussion	audio format know when they can skip to making selections in the contest without missing any important information.		across election packages.	across election packages.	User Manual > VxMarkScan Function
7.3-D	Consistent relationship	The relationship between the name of a candidate or other voting option and the way the voter marks that selection, including the	2.2-A - User-centered	1 '	, 3	
	·	spatial relationship in the ballot layout, must be consistent throughout the ballot for each type of contest.	design process; 5.2-A -	The design presentation of a contest selection to the way the		
			No bias; 7.3-N -	voter marks that selection is		
			Instructions for voters;	consistent across all ballots. Hand-	-	
			8.3-A - Usability tests	marked paper spatial relationship		
			with voters	to a bubble is consistent and	7.1-G - Text size (electronic display); 7.1-H -	
					Scaling and zooming (electronic display); 7.2-	
				⊣ ′'	E - Touch screen gestures; 7.2-F - Voter	
		A type of contest includes contests to: vote for one or more candidates, answer a ballot question, vote whether to retain a judge,		where the way the voter marks	speech; 7.2-H - Accidental activation; 7.2-I -	System Overviews Hand Manier I De
		indicate preferential ranking of candidates, or make a selection in other contests with distinct voting methods. This requirement ensures that the mechanism for marking a selection in a contest to elect one or more candidates to an office is not to the left of some		option. The format of contest	Touch area size; 7.3-B - No split contests; 7.3-E - Feedback; 7.3-F - Correcting the ballot;	Ballots; System Overview > Machine
		candidates' names and to the right of others. If there is more than one spatial relationship, the difference should not be contradictory or			7.3-H - Overvotes; 7.3-I - Undervotes; 7.3-K -	Marked Ballots; User Manual >
				consistent.	Warnings, alerts, and instructions	VxMarkScan Function
D	Discussion	confusing to a voter when combined on a single ballot.		CONSISTENCE.		
D 7.3-E	Discussion Feedback	The voting system must provide unambiguous feedback confirming the voter's selection.	7.2-C - Voter control;	Consistent	3,,	
			7.2-C - Voter control; 7.3-G - Full ballot selections review	consistent	G ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

7

Second Continues and the contribution of the property for the contribution of the contribution of the property for the contribution of the contribution of th	VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
Description of the control of the		Discussion	voting system. For example, the system can display a checkmark beside the selected option or conspicuously change its appearance. This requirement also applies to the audio format. It is especially important that the way the status of the process of making selections is announced in the audio format is unambiguous. For example, the phrase "is selected" and "de-selected" can sound similar, especially at faster audio speeds. Choosing phrases that are more distinct, paying attention to the audio phrasing, and testing with the maximum audio speed can help avoid this problem. Designers of paper ballots that include straight-party voting should test feedback features carefully to ensure that voters can understand the scope of their selection and the ballot options it affects. Applies to: Electronic		audio notification (over headphones) that unambiguously	VotingWorks functional and usability testing confirms that the presentation of visual and	Sessions; System Overview >
Section Sect			The voting system must provide the voter the opportunity to correct the ballot before it is cast and counted. An electronic ballot	7.3-H - Overvotes; 7.3-	5;	audio voter commination is unambiguous.	VXIVIAI KSCAIT FUILCIUIT
Part of the control o			allow the voter to change a vote within a contest before advancing to the next contest		Voters casting ballots on VxScan		
Programment of the Control of Con			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Author A	D		For paper ballots, this can be achieved through appropriately placed written instructions, including requiring the voter to obtain a new paper ballot to correct a mistake. Vote-by-mail ballots can have different instructions for making corrections from those cast in-person. Some voting methods allow a voter to print a replacement ballot, as long as they only cast one. Also, note the requirements for both electronic ballot interfaces and scanners and precinct-count optical scanners in 7.3-H – Overvotes and in 7.3-I – Undervotes. This requirement supports HAVA [HAVA02]. A voting system with an electronic voting interface must provide the voter with a function to review their selections before printing or		reasons set in the election package. The same warnings are presented during the marking experience on VxMarkScan based on election package configuration	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that voters have the opportunity to correct ballots based on the	Manual > VxMarkScan > Voting Sessions; System Overview > VxMarkScan Function; System Overview > VxScan
2.75.1.7 Security of the control of the following of the control			casting their ballot that:		I		
## Application for the previous control and previous control and provided provided by the previous control and provided							
3.3 ELE	-				4		
Fig. 2 Office an apportunity is charge the selection for a cream decity in the received period of data of the control control in the co			,		+		
2-9-13 All who we review to unimore to the fenciors for unimor to the fenciors for uniform to the fencior			,		VxMarkScan provides this		
The regarments as in information of the HMM (Willing) regimenes that vides a belief in region of the state of the control plant of the						VotingWorks functional and automated	
author. Excitation in contractive informations are required as present contractive. The sample of several contractive in formation are required as present contractive. The sample of present contractive in formation of the sample of the samp	7.3-G(cont.)		, ,		- '	•	
restruct, 7.2 x-1. Note that the second of t	D	Discussion	casting. Electronic interfaces are required to prevent overvotes. This is usually done while originally marking a contest, so there are no overvoted contests to display on the review screen. Including a review screen on a scanner that accepts ballots marked by hand gives		ballot. VxScan presents these notifications after a voter inserts	printing or cast their ballot for all election packages based on their respective	User Manual > VxMarkScan > Voting Sessions; System Overview >
A control control tenderion control confidence process of control controls. A control control control control control control control controls. A control co	7.3-H	Overvotes		features; 7.2-C - Voter control; 7.3-K - Warnings, alerts, and	are notified of overvotes based or adjudication reasons set in the		User Manual > Assisting Voters: User
that have been everoted in visual format, and with either audio format or round coar. This requirement does not reported may be reported to the process of					voters are prevented from		Manual > VxMarkScan > Voting Sessions;
instance, the system can present the warming, or in the case of an anti-necess context (one for 1), amply change the vote selection and issue warming, page pallots can be informed of the effect of overyoning through appropriately placed instructions. This requirement supports 123 LO Udevotes The Voting year may allow electronic interfaces and scanners must allow the voter to submit a undervoted ballot without correction. The voting year may allow electronic interfaces and scanners must allow the voter to submit an undervoted ballot without correction. The voting year may allow electronic interfaces, this nordification can be incorporated into the review feature. This requirement supports Discussion The voting year must notify if the voter in both visual and earlor from the voter in the strength of the voter in the voter stage. The voting year must notify if the voter in both visual and earlor from the interfaces and scanners must allow the review feature. This requirement supports WMA [MAWA02]. A Scanning device must be capable notifying the voter that they have cast a pager ballot twis successfully or unsuccessfully year. If a ballot is not successfully east that is, the device of not complete the documented procedures for the years, microbing reading a pager substitution of casts between the ballot with the voter must be allowed the must notify the voter must be deputed not from the page with the voter of a page ballot ton), the voting device must be deputed not form the page of the review stage. A Scanning device must be capable notifying the voter that they have cast a pager ballot that is black on one or both sides. The system must notify the voter might be unswerted that believe the countered procedures for the years, microbing reading a pager substitution of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfully or substitution of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfully or substitution of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfully or substitution of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfully or substitution of a cast ballot or an unsuc	7.3-H.2		that have been overvoted in visual format, and with either audio format or sound cues.		number of options and are	the election package and are prevented from	System Overview > VxMarkScan
with growth or options (that is, for undervotes). 2.3-Warnings, alerts, and instructions 3.3-Warnings, alerts, and instructions as to the steps the worter or submit an undervoted ballot without correction. 3.3-Warnings, alerts, and instructions 3.3-Warnings, alerts, and instructions 3.3-Warnings, alerts, and instructions as to the steps the voter in south visual and scanners must allow the voter of submit an undervoted ballot without correction. 3.3-Warnings, alerts, and instructions 4.3-Warnings, alerts, and instructions 3.3-Warnings, alerts, and instructions 3.3-Warnings, alerts, and instructions as to the steps the voter in south visual and undervoted with the voter in south visual and undervoted. 4.3-Warnings, alerts, and instructions as to the steps the voter in south visual and undervoted. 4.3-Warnings, alerts, and instructions stone of this requirement is the audio equivalent of a warning is provided based on the election of incidence of the security of the vision of a warning is provided based on the election of a warning is provided based on the election of a warning is provided based on the election of the public or an unsuccessful vision that an ability to struction that a ballot is not accessfully cast. This requirement is based on the election package, on the election package, on the election package, on the election package, on the election package on the election package on the election of a warni	D	Discussion	instance, the system can present the warning, or, in the case of a single-choice contest (vote for 1), simply change the vote selection and issue a warning. For electronic ballot interfaces, this requirement does not allow disabling the features that prevent overvotes. Voters marking paper ballots can be informed of the effect of overvoting through appropriately placed instructions. This requirement supports		warned when they try.	marking overvotes on VxMarkScan.	Function
7.3-1.2 Soft electronic interfaces and scanners must allow the voter to submit an undervoted ballot without correction. 7.3-1.2 The voting system may allow election officiation of undervotes on a scanner. 7.3-1.1 Notification of casting The voting system may allow election officiation of undervotes on a scanner. 7.3-1.1 Notification of casting The voting system must notify the voter in both visual and audio format whether their ballot was successfully or autsucessfully cast. If a ballot is not successfully cast (that is, the decouranced procedures for the system, including reading a paper ballot, recording an electronic image or record, or transporting the ballot to a ballot box), the voting device must notify the voter and provide clear instruction as to the steps the voter needs take to cast the ballot. 7.3-1.2 A scanning device must be capable notifying the voter that they have cast a paper ballot, recording an electronic image or record, or transporting the ballot is not successfully cast ballot with the review stage. 7.3-1.2 A scanning device must be capable notifying the voter that they have cast a paper ballot that is blank on one or both sides. The system may provide an electronic official to deactivate the notification of a blank ballot. The purpose of this requirement is to provide and electronic official to deactivate the notification of a blank ballot. The purpose of this requirement is bare dealth of the voting system about the voting session has been completed. Note that either a false notification of success or a missing confirmation of acast ballot or an unsuccessfully cast ballot or an unsuccessfully cast ballot or the reson for failure. The purpose of this requirement is broaded and led not oversion that is the audio equivalent of a waving flag or other visual. This requirement is based ballot is successfully cast. This might be a one side bala it distinct from the ballot part of the certification is provided on all voter facing devices. The purpose of this requirement	7.3-1	Undervotes		7.3-K Warnings, alerts,	are notified of undervotes based on adjudication reasons set in the	testing confirms that voters are notified of undervotes on VxScan based on the settings in the election package and are warned of	Manual > VxMarkScan > Voting Sessions; System Overview > VxScan Function; System Overview > VxMarkScan
For electronic interfaces, this notification can be incorporated into the review feature. This requirement supports HAVA (HAVAQ)2. Applies to: Electronic interfaces and scanners The voting system must notify the voter in both visual and audio format whether their ballot was successfully or unsuccessfully cast. If a ballot is not successfully cast that is, the voter and provide clear instruction as to the steps the voter needs take to cast the ballot. 7.3-1.2 A scanning device must be capable notifying the voter that they have cast a paper ballot. The purpose of this requirement is to provide feedback to overtee to assure them that the voting session has been completed. Note that either a false notification of a cast ballot to whether the voter has abullot is successfully cast. This requirement to be used and and flow wishins voters and suiting variety of the purpose of this requirement. Detecting situations in which the voter might be unaware that the boding session has been completed. Note that either a false notification of success or a missing confirmation of actual success violates this requirement. Detecting situations in which the voter might be unaware that the boding session has been completed. Note that either a false notification of success or a missing confirmation of actual success violates this requirement. Detecting situations in which the voter might be unaware that the boding session has been completed. Note that ballot is successfully cast. This implie the nous debid bank is successfully cast. This implie the nous debid bank is successfully cast. This implie to success or a missing confirmation of actual success violates this requirement. Detecting situations in which the voter might be underwrited and awaring situations in which the voter might be unaware that the boding session has been completed. Note that ballot in which the voter might be unaware that the ballot is successfully cast. This implie the nous debid bank is distinct from the sublity to detect and a warning is provided based	-				voters are warned in both visual		
Applies to: Electronic interfaces and scanners 7.3-1.1 Notification of casting The voting system must notify the voter in both visual and audio format whether their ballot was successfully or unsuccessfully cast. If a ballot is not successfully cast (that is, the device did not complete the documented procedures for the system, including reading a paper ballot, recording an electronic image or record, or transporting the ballot to a ballot box), the voting device must notify the voter and provide on-screen and audio format (via headphone) confirmation of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfully cast ballot with the reason for failure. VisCan may provide a means for an anuthorized electronic froster than the voter to voters to assure them that the voter so that be unaware that the voter mist be undervoting. At a minimum, this requirement is based undervoting, at a minimum, this requirement is a ballot is successfully cast. This might be a sound that is the audio equivalent of a wavaring flag or other visual. This requirement is based on more and audio format (via headphone) confirmation of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfull vast ballot with the resonance of failure. VisCan provides on-screen and audio (via stabllot with the resonance of failure. VisCan provides on-screen and audio (via stabllot via the resonance of failure. VisCan provides on-screen and audio (via stabllot via the resonance of failure. VisCan provides on-screen and audio (via speaker) confirmation of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfully cast. This might be a sound that is the unaware that the voter has been completed. Note that either a false notification of success or a missing confirmation of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfully cast. This might be a sound that is the audio equivalent of a waving false or other visual. Statistically and undervoting, At a minimum, this requirement is based on mile and low-viscinance of the resonanc	7.3-1.2						
ballot is not successfully cast that is, the device did not complete the documented procedures for the system, including reading a paper ballot, reconfign an electronic image or record, or transporting the ballot to a ballot to an unsuccessfully cast ballot or an unsuccessfully cast ballot with the reason for failure. VxScan provides on-screen and audio format (via headphone) confirmation of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfully cast ballot with the reason for failure. VxScan provides on-screen and audio (via speaker) confirmation of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfully cast ballot with the reason for failure. VxScan provides on-screen and audio (via speaker) confirmation of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfully cast ballot with the reason for failure. VxScan provides on-screen and audio (via speaker) confirmation of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfully cast. This might be a vanient that the ballot is two-sided and left one side dand left one side dan	D	Discussion			during the review stage.		
A scanning device must be capable notifying the voter that they have cast a paper ballot that is blank on one or both sides. The system may provide a means for an authorized election official to deactivate the notification of a blank ballot. The purpose of this requirement is to provide feedback to voters to a susure them that the voting system itself has malfunctioned in some way The purpose of this requirement is to provide feedback to voters to a susure them that the voting system itself has malfunctioned in some way The purpose of this requirement is to deactivate the notification of a cats speaker (onfirmation of a catual success violates this requirement. Detecting situations in which the voter might be unaware that the ballot is two-sided and left one side blank is distinct from the ability to detect and war about undervoting. At a minimum, this requirement is intended to ensure that blind and low-vision voters receive an audio notification in which the voter might be unaware that the ballot is two-sided and left one side blank is distinct from the ability to detect and war about undervoting. At a minimum, this requirement is intended to ensure that blind and low-vision voters receive an audio notification is which the voter might be unaware that the ballot is two-sided and left one side blank is distinct from the ability to detect and war about ballot with the reason for failure. Blank ballots are detected and an warring is provided based on the election package configuration. The purpose of this requirement is branch the voter in specification is provided and left or a unsuccessfull year. User Manual > VxMarkScan > Voting Sessions on all voter facing devices. Warrings and alerts are visually voting works functional and usability testing on all voter facing devices. Warrings and alerts are visually voting works functional and usability testing distinct from other messages and clearly stated in plain language: The state of the successfully cast ballot is two-sided and left one side blank is the a	7.3-J.1	Notification of casting	ballot is not successfully cast (that is, the device did not complete the documented procedures for the system, including reading a paper ballot, recording an electronic image or record, or transporting the ballot to a ballot box), the voting device must notify the voter and		and audio format (via headphone) confirmation of a cast ballot or an		
The purpose of this requirement is to provide feedback to voters to assure them that the voting session has been completed. Note that either a false notification of success or a missing confirmation of actual success violates this requirement. Detecting situations in which the voter might be unaware that the ballot is two-sided and left one side blank is distinct from the ability to detect and warn about undervoting. At a minimum, this requirement is intended to ensure that blind and low-vision voters receive an audio notification that a ballot mith the reason for failure. D Discussion D Discussion On WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18]. 7.3-K. Warnings, alerts, and instructions On WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18]. 7.3-K.1 Warnings and alerts must clearly state in plain language: 7.3-K.1.a The nature of the issue or problem Whether the voter has performed or attempted an invalid operation or whether the voting system itself has malfunctioned in some way The purpose of this requirement is to provided select to violate this requirement, bettering situations in which the voling system that billot and how inclination of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfully cast. ballot or an unsuccessfully cast. Blank ballots are detected and a warning is provided based on the election package configuration. User Manual > VxMarkScan > Voting Works functional and usability testing on all voter facing devices. Warnings and alerts are visually distinct from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language. Warnings and alerts are visually distinct from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language. User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages; User Manual > VxMarkScan Error	7.3-J.2				reason for failure. VxScan		
7.3-K. Warnings, alerts, and instructions Warning, alerts, and instructions issued by the voting system must be distinguishable from other information. 7.3-K.1 Warnings and alerts must clearly state in plain language: Warnings and alerts are visually distinct from other messages and clearly stated in plain language of the nature of the issue or problem whether the voter has performed or attempted an invalid operation or whether the voting system itself has malfunctioned in some way of the nature of the issue or problem and usability testing confirms that all alerts are visually distinct from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in language fro	n	Discussion	The purpose of this requirement is to provide feedback to voters to assure them that the voting session has been completed. Note that either a false notification of success or a missing confirmation of actual success violates this requirement. Detecting situations in which the voter might be unaware that the ballot is two-sided and left one side blank is distinct from the ability to detect and warn about undervoting. At a minimum, this requirement is intended to ensure that blind and low-vision voters receive an audio notification that a ballot is successfully cast. This might be a sound that is the audio equivalent of a waving flag or other visual. This requirement is based		speaker) confirmation of a cast ballot or an unsuccessfully cast ballot with the reason for failure. Blank ballots are detected and a warning is provided based on the	VotingWorks functional and usability testing confirms that unambiguous successful and unsuccessful casting notification is provided	•
7.3-K.1.a the nature of the issue or problem distinct from other messages and clearly stated in plain language whether the voter has performed or attempted an invalid operation or whether the voting system itself has malfunctioned in some way clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in User Manual > VxScan Error Messages; User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages; User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages; October 10 oct						2 3 Total lability devices.	
7.3-K.1.a the nature of the issue or problem distinct from other messages and confirms that all alerts are visually distinct. User Manual > VxScan Error Messages; 7.3-K.1.b whether the voter has performed or attempted an invalid operation or whether the voting system itself has malfunctioned in some way clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in User Manual > VxScan Error Messages; Other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages; Other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages; Other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages; Other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages; Other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages; Other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages; Other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages; Other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages; Other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages; Other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages and clearly stated in plain language from other messages	-		Warnings and alerts must clearly state in plain language:		Warnings and alerts are visually	VotingWorks functional and usability testing	
ocari y states in fram anguage in our other messages and ocari y states in ocari y states in ocari y states in	-		·		_	confirms that all alerts are visually distinct	<u> </u>
THE THE THE PRINCE OF THE PRIN	7.3-K.1.b 7.3-K.1.c		whether the voter has performed or attempted an invalid operation or whether the voting system itself has malfunctioned in some way the responses available to the voter		clearly stated in plain language per the requirements in 7.3-K.	from other messages and clearly stated in plain language.	User Manual > VxMarkScan Error Messages

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
7.3-K.2		Each step in an instruction or item in a list of instructions must be separated:				
				Sequences of instructions are	Water Maria Control of the Maria	Haraka a ala kada a Maraka a Hara
7.3-K.2.a		spatially in visual formats		separated as visually distinct list items and with pauses in audio	VotingWorks functional and usability testing confirms that all instructions are clearly	User Manual > Assisting Voters; User Manual > VxMarkScan > Voting Sessions
7.3-K.2.b		with a noticeable pause in audio formats		mode.	separated and stated in plain language.	Wallan Villandean Voting Sessions
		For instance, "Do you need more time? Select 'Yes' or 'No'." rather than "System detects imminent timeout condition." In case of an				
		equipment failure, the only action available to the voter might be to get assistance from an election worker. Keeping instructions				
		separate includes not "burying" several unrelated instructions in a single long paragraph. Alerts intended to confirm visual changes to a voter using the audio format (such as confirmation that the screen has been turned on or off) can be communicated in audio, with a				
D	Discussion	short text or sound. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18].				
7.3-L	Icon labels	When an icon is used to convey information, indicate an action, or prompt a response, it must be accompanied by a corresponding label				
		that uses text. The only exception is that the two 3.5 mm (1/8 inch) jacks for audio and personal assistive technology (PAT) may be	R - Control labels			
		labeled with tactilely discernible and visually distinct icons of a headset (for audio) and wheelchair (for the PAT connector) that are at least 13 x 13 mm in size.	audio connectors; 8.1-I	All voter facing icons presented on screen are associated with a		
		least 15 × 15 mm m size.	- Standard PAT jacks	corresponding text label. Per the		User Manual > Assisting Voters; User
		While icons can be used for emphasis when communicating with the voter, they are not to be the only means by which information is		requirement, the input jacks on	VotingWorks functional and automated	Manual > VxMarkScan > Voting Sessions
_		conveyed, since there is no widely accepted "iconic" language, and therefore, not all voters might understand a given icon. The		VxMarkScan are an exception to	testing confirms all voter facing icons are	
D 73.M	Discussion	exception is based on the ADA Standards for Accessible Design. Chapter 7 [ADA10].		this requirement.	accompanied with a text label.	
7.3-M	Identifying languages	A vote-capture device or other voting session device that offers language options to a voter must:				
				VxMarkScan and VxScan have a		
7.3-M.1		visibly present the controls to identify or change language on the screen at all times, not hidden within a help or settings feature,		language selection button shown	•	
7.3-M.2		include the native version of each language name in the list of language options.	+	on all voter facing screens with language selections translated as	testing confirms a language selection button is persistent on all voter facing screens with	User Manual > Assisting Voters; User
		Voters looking for an option for an alternative language can recognize it more easily as it is written in the language itself. The English		the native version of each	the language translated as the native version	•
D	Discussion	name or spelling can also be used to identify language, along with the native name. Applies to: Electronic interfaces		language.	for all multi-language election packages.	
7.3-N	Instructions for voters	The voting system must provide voters access to instructions for all its operations at any time during the voting session.	5.1-F - Accessibility documentation			
7.3-N.1		For electronic interfaces, the voting system must provide a way for voters to get help directly from the system.	documentation	_		
7.3-N.2		For paper ballots, the system must be capable of including on the ballot both text and images with instructions for how to mark the		1		
		ballot.				
7.3-N.3		Voting systems must present instructions near to where they are needed during the voting session.				
		The purpose of this requirement is to minimize voters' need for assistance from an election worker and to permit the voter to verify and				Hann Manual V. Ansistian Vetara Hann
		cast, privately and independently, the votes selected. When the system works correctly, the voter will find the help they need from the system when and where they need it. For instance, only general instructions should be grouped at the beginning of the ballot; those		Voter facing screens provide clear		User Manual > Assisting Voters; User Manual > VxMarkScan > Voting Sessions;
		pertaining to specific situations should be presented near those situations. If an operation is available to the voter, it will be		language instructions for all steps		System Overview > Hand Marked Ballots
		documented. Examples include how to make a vote selection, navigate among contests, cast a straight-party vote, cast a write-in vote,		of the voting process. Paper	confirms clear language instructions are part	
D	Discussion	adjust display and audio characteristics, or select a language. Electronic ballot interface systems often provide assistance with a		ballots support instructional text		
7.3-0	Discussion Instructions for election workers	distinctive "help" button. Instructions can be on the ballot itself or separate from the ballot, as long as the voter can find them easily. The voting system must include clear, complete, and detailed instructions and messages for setup, polling, shutdown, and how to use	5.1-F - Accessibility	as part of the balot design.	marking methods.	
		accessibility features.	documentation			
7.3-0.1		The documentation required for normal voting system operation must be:				
7.3-O.1.a		presented at a level appropriate for election workers who are not experts in voting system and computer technology		_		
7.3-0.1.b 7.2-0.2		in a format suitable for use in the polling place		<u> </u>		
7.2-0.2		Printed procedural instructions, and on-screen instructions and messages must enable the election workers to verify that the voting system				
7.3-O.2.a		has been set up correctly (setup)				
7.3-O.2.b		is in correct working order to record votes (polling), and				
7.3-O.2.c		has been shut down correctly (shutdown).				
		This requirement covers documentation for those aspects of system operation normally performed by election workers and other "non-				
		expert" operators. It does not address inherently complex operations such as ballot definition. The instructions are usually in the form of a written manual, but can also be presented on other media, such as a DVD or videotape. In the context of this requirement,				
		"message" means information delivered by the system to the election workers as they attempt to perform a setup, polling, or shutdown				
		operation. Specific guidance on how to implement this requirement is contained in [NIST08]. For instance, the documentation should				
		not presuppose familiarity with personal computers. And a single large reference manual that simply presents details of all possible				
		operations would be difficult to use, unless accompanied by aids such as a simple "how-to" guide. It is especially important that election workers and other non-expert workers know how to set up accessibility features which are not used frequently. This will help ensure				
		voters who need these features can vote privately and independently. Overall, election workers should not have to guess whether a		Documentation is provided in the		
D	Discussion	system has been setup correctly. The documentation should make it clear what the system "looks like" when correctly configured.		user manual.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	User Manual
7.3-P	Plain language	Information and instructions for voters and election workers must be written clearly, following the best practices for plain language.				
		This includes messages generated by the voting system for election workers in support of the operation, maintenance, or safety of the system.				
		The plain language requirements apply to instructions that are inherent to the voting system or that are generated by default. To the		1		
		extent that instructions are determined by election officials designing the ballot, they are beyond of the scope of this requirement. Any				
		legally required text is an exception to this plain language requirement. Plain language best practices are guidelines for achieving clear				
		communication and include: Using familiar, common words and avoiding technical or specialized words that voters are not likely to understand. For example, "There are more contests on the other side" rather than "Additional contests are presented on the reverse."				
		Issuing instructions on the correct way to perform actions, rather than telling voters what not to do. For example, "Fill in the oval for				
		your write-in vote to count" rather than, "If the oval is not marked, your write-in vote cannot be counted." Addressing the voter directly				
		rather than use passive voice when giving instructions. For example, "remove and retain this ballot stub" rather than "this ballot stub				
		must be removed and retained by the voter." Stating a limiting condition first, followed by the action to be performed when an				
		instruction is based on a condition. For example, use "In order to change your vote, do X", rather than "Do X, in order to change your vote." Avoiding the use of gender-based pronouns. For example, "Write in your candidate's name directly on the ballot" rather than		All information presented in the		
		"Write in his name directly on the ballot." For specific guidance on how to implement this requirement, see [NISTO9a]. Although part of		product and product	VotingWorks functional and usability testing	
		general usability, using plain language is also expected to assist voters with cognitive disabilities. Information written in plain language is		documentation is written in plain		
D	Discussion	easier to translate to meet language access requirements.		language.	language.	User Manual
		1	•			

Selection Control of the control of	VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
# Security Control and Control	V V 3G 2.0 3CCCION		nequirementy discussion text	Related Requirements	Tiow vasuite wieets	now voting works lests	TOP Reference
Part							
Section (Company) Company (Company) Comp	8				-		
1.1. District display common control following promotions of the promotion of the promo	8.1						
Section Sect		·	If the voting system uses an electronic display screen, the display must have the following characteristics:				
Accordance Company C							
1.4.12 Section Indicate a state of the state of common record year from the state of the s	8.1-A.1.a						
1.15.2 The company and control processes with the company of the company and company of the	8 1-Δ 1 h				-		
1.1.1.2 Common displace of the plant of					-		
## 14.2.1.2.1.2.1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2					1		
## 13.4.3.1.3.1.3.1.3.1.3.1.3.1.3.1.3.1.3.1	8.1-A.2.b		minimum display resolution: 1920 x 1080 pixels.		All electronic screens used in the		
1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1							
Source: Company							
9 Secretary Control (1997) Control (8.1-A.3.D				-		
Processor The control grouper many table in the record in the many table in the record of the second in the se	D	Discussion					Audio Visual & Display Screen Settings
Overside Company Control Company Control Company Control Company Control Con	8.1-B	Flashing				•	, ,
## Proposed Author Recording Weld The support production is walked and inscalled modes to severe and massage decreased will record to the control of the c							
The quarter region of proposition to the sign of production to the proposition of proposition of the production of the p	0.1.6				emit lights in flashes		
Discussion Discus	8.1-C	reisonal Assistive Technology (PAT)					
Secretary Districts Continued Contin			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1	VotingWorks functional and usability testing	
## Operation of the control between the proposal personal					· ·	•	
For voting yother new 2-sources For yother new 2-sour	D	Disquission				-	•
Description For example, Projection as well or company to the section of the control to the project of the control to the con	=				VXIVIAI KSCAII.	system.	Function
December Intercurement is based on NACK-0.2 (PACK) and ordinates stated (SEC) (SEC) (SEC) and SEC (SEC)	0.1 5	secondary is and significance.					
8.1.F Sendant audio connectors in the expertance plan to the audio towns to send that one and switzer devices the connection and switzer devices the connect							
Methods are divided. See the composition of the c					N/A - no biometric capabilities		
inferfaces The assist pick in may writing attitute discussment for an isolation that veloce can discover, discornable by teach while office or abunding of the unit, and not totaled near a shapp region. The search pick is sightly recessed with a round bead, it will be essent for voters to identify the jack and to invert the headest of the voter garden or abundance of the voter garden or handphone to provide audit information; it will be essent for voters to identify the jack and to invert the headest of the voter garden or abundance or an article and information; it will be essent for voters to identify the jack and to invert the headest of the voter garden or an article and information; it will be essent for voters to identify the jack and to invert the headest of the voter garden or an article and information in the most of the voter garden or an article and information in the voter garden or an article and information in the voter garden or an article and information in the voter garden or an article and information in the voter garden or an article and into the vot	8.1-E	Standard audio connectors			VxMarkScan and VxScan's		System Overview > VyMarkScan
8.1.7 Oscerable auto jaiss The audio jack on any vorting station device must be in a force of the emit, and risk buckers are a sharp eggs. For example, if the jack is alighily received with a round base, it will be easier for voters to identify the jack and to insert the headest right into the provide and in information of the more provides and information. If the more provides and information, it may provide and information in a provide and information in the provide and information in a provide and information in the provide							
In froot of the unit, and not located ears all set agel. For example, if the jack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the beauting in the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the beauting in the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the beauting in the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack is alighily receased with a mount benefit of the pack and to mount the benefit					mm stereo headphone jack.	standard 3.5mm headphone jack.	Hardware
For each part of the form of the first sold in the form of t	8.1-F	Discernable audio jacks			VxMarkScan's headphone input is		
Possession Signature Possession Signature Possession Signature Possession Signature			in front of the unit, and not located near a snarp edge.				
Big Scassion State of the verieg system uses a selectione style handed or headphone to provide audio information, it must provide a winders T Coal 9 (1.0 Audio privacy) and selection style handed or headphone to provide access to that information for voters with partial bearing, advising a feet as a clarge by T in faing as defined by the American Many (ASQ) for Methods of Measurement of Computibility and the selection of the device over the Endand of Storm jack. Voting Works recommends a specified in bytes in control to the device over the Endand of Storm jack. Voting Works recommends a specified in bytes in control to the device over the Endand of Storm jack. Voting Works functional lesting confirms that a wireless T Coal performs as espected by concerning to the device over the Endand of Storm jack. Voting Works functional lesting confirms that a wireless T Coal performs as espected by concerning to the device over the Endand of Storm jack. Voting Works functional lesting confirms that a wireless T Coal performs as espected by concerning to the device over the Endand of Storm jack. Voting Works functional lesting confirms that a wireless T Coal performs as espected by concerning to the device over the Endand of Storm jack. Voting Works functional lesting confirms the votice of the device over the Endand of Storm jack. Voting Works functional lesting confirms the recommendation is included in the Coal performs as especially by the performance of the Coal performs as especially by the Coal performs as especially with a work of the Coal performs as especially by the							
8.1-G Teiphone style handset of the working system uses a stelephone tryle handset or headplance to growled audio information. It must provide a writes T-Coll 9 coupling for assistive hearing devices on its provide access to the information or tower with partial features. A stellar of the working system or the stellar o	_					•	•
category 17 artials a defined by the American Associated instruction for voters with partial hearing, achieving a least a category 17 artials as defined by the American Associated instructions (1) for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Ads, AMSI (53.19 2019 [ANS19]). Discussion This requirement applies only to telephone style handsets/headphones to ensure their compatibility with assistive hearing devices. This confidence in the requirement to base on WCMG 2.0 [WCCI] and Section 508 [USA918]. The voting system must be supplies only to telephone style handsets/headphones to ensure their compatibility with assistive hearing devices. This confidence in the substitute of the voting with the substitute of the voting with a manufacture of the product to common the substitute of the voting with a substitute hearing devices. This confidence is a substitute hearing devices. The confidence is a substitute hearing devices. The confidence is a substitute hearing devices. The confidence is a substitute hearing devices. This confidence is a substitute hearing devices. This confidence is a substitute he				6.1-D - Audio privacy:	- ' '	the device.	Hardware
steport Taking as defined by the American National Standard institute (ARS) for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Ads, AMS (G3.19-2019 [AMS19]. Modern Compatibility with assistive plant of the Compatibility with assisti	0.1 0	letephone style hundset					
Interface that can connect to the device over the standard 3.5 mm [ark, VotingWorks recommends] as specific compatibility with assistive hearing devices. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508 [USAB18]. D Discussion The voting system must be supplied with a mean to sanitate headphones or handsets and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a sanitates headphone or handsets and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a sanitates headphone or handsets and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a sanitates headphone or handsets and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a sanitates headphone or handsets and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a sanitates headphone or handsets and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a sanitates headphone or handsets and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a sanitates headphone or handsets and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a sanitates headphone or handsets and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a sanitates headphone or handsets and instructions for election workers on the procedure of the earth of the commendation is included in the procedure of the procedure of the procedure of the earth of the commendation is included in the procedure of the earth of the commendation is included in the procedure of							
defect over the standard 3.5mm jack. VoltingWorks functional testing confirms that a virties T-Coil performs as expected by connecting of hydrory to telephone style handsets/headphones to ensure their compatibility with assistive hearing devices. This requirement is based on WCA-52 (WSCIO) and Section 508 (USA-18). 8.1-II Samitured headphones This requirement as pales only to telephone style handsets/headphones or handsets and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a samittee headphone or handset and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a samittee headphone or handset as and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a samittee headphone or handset as an instruction for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a samittee headphone or samitary coverings. 8.1-II Sandard PM Jacks A vote-capture device or voter-facing device must provide a 3.5 mm (1/8 inch industry standard jack voters can use to connect their personal assistive technology switch to the system. 8.1-II Pe jack must allow only sorth activations to be transmitted to the system. 8.1-II Pe jack must allow only sorth activations to be transmitted to their system must be available through technology using this input mechanism. 8.1-II Pe jack must allow only sorth activations to be transmitted to their system must be available through technology sortices include dual switch input device. 8.1-II Pe jack must allow only sorth activations to be transmitted to their system must be available through technology witches include dual switch input device over the same darks and instruction modes. 8.1-II Pe jack must allow only sorth activations to be transmitted to their system must be available through technology witches included dual switch input device over the same darks and instruction modes. 8.1-II Pe jack must allow only sorth activations to be transmitted to their system must be available through technology witches included as a single key press. In the control			between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids, ANSI C63.19-2019 [ANSI19].		1		
Section Sect							
This requirement applies only to telephones yelly handsets/headphones to ensure their compatibility with assistive hearing devices. This requirement is based on WAGA 20 [W3C10] and Section 38 [W3AB18]. 8.1-H Sanitzed headphones The voting system must be supplied with a means to sanitate headphones or handsets and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a sanitized headphone or handset is available to each voter. 8.1-I Sanitzed headphones This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throwways" headphones or sanitary coverings. 8.1-I Sanitzed headphones This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throwways" headphones or sanitary coverings. 8.1-I Sanitzed headphones This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throwways" headphones or sanitary coverings. 8.1-I Sanitzed headphones This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throwways" headphones or sanitary coverings. 8.1-I Sanitzed headphones This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throwways" headphones or sanitary coverings. 8.1-I Sanitzed headphones This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throwways" headphones or sanitary coverings. 8.1-I Sanitzed headphones This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throwways" headphones or sanitary coverings. 8.1-I Sanitzed headphones This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throw ways" headphones or sanitary coverings. 8.1-I Sanitzed headphones The voting works recommends headphone are covers to be used to sanitize the dadset. Voting Works functional lesting confirms the recommended are covers provide a sanitized to the super district and the use of their headphones or sanitary coverings. 8.1-I Sanitzed headphones The voting works much as a sanitize headphones or sanitary coverings. 8.1-I Sanitzed headphones This requirement can be achieved in v						VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
Discussion requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [WSCJ 0] and Section S08 [USABAS]. 8.1 H Snitted headphones The voting system must be supplied with a mean status headphone or handset is available to each voter. Discussion This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throwaway" headphones or sanitary coverings. 8.1 I Standard PAT jacks A vote-capture device or voter-facing device must provide a 3.5 mm (1/8 inch) industry standard jack voters can use to connect their personal assistive technology which to the system. 8.1.1 The jack must allow only switch activations to be transmitted to the system. 8.1.1 The jack must allow only switch activations to be transmitted to the system. 8.1.1 This requirement is related to the requirements of welter to weltering must be available through technology using this input mechanism. This requirement is related to the requirements of welter to connect personal assistive etchnology of relative the device by provide a 3.5 mm (1/8 inch) industry standard jack voters can use to connect their interaction options and interaction options interaction options. 8.1.1 The system must accept switch input that is functionally equivalent to other input methods. 8.1.1 This requirement is related to the requirements for them to connect personal assistive etchnology (FII) if they cannot use the vote capture devices by provide well-relative to a wheelshalt review of the requirements for them to connect personal assistive etchnology (FII) if they cannot use the vote capture devices by provide well-relative and interaction options). It ensures that voters with very low device that communicate as a single key press, ideally, the jack will be on the tactile legand or have some other mechanism to relate the connect personal assistive etchnology (FII) if they cannot use the vote capture devices by provide substitute that of the voter in the voter is paid to indicate the voter of the device of the voter is paid to interaction options). It ensures that voters will be on t							
8.1-H Santited headphones The voting system must be supplied with a means to santitze headphones or handsets and instructions for election workers on the procedure to ensure that a santitized headphone or converting. D Discussion This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throwaway" headphones or santary coverings. 8.1-I Standard PAT jacks A vote-aphrue device or voter faning device must not cause electromagnetic interference with a santitized headphone are covers to be used to santitize headphone are covers to be used to santitize headphone are covers to be used to santitize headphone are covers memadation is included in the User Manual. 5.1-A - Voting methods and interaction modes; 7.2-A - Display and interaction options 8.1-1.1 The jack must allow only switch activations to be transmitted to the system. This requirement is related to the requirements for low desternity modes (in. 5.1-A - Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A - Oligoplay and interaction politons. This requirements related to the requirements for low desternity modes (in. 5.1-A - Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A - Oligoplay and interaction politons. This requirements related to the requirements for low desternity modes (in. 5.1-A - Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A - Oligoplay and interaction politons. This requirements related to the requirements for low desternity modes (in. 5.1-A - Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A - Oligoplay and interaction politons. This requirements related to the requirements for low desternity modes (in. 5.1-A - Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A - Oligoplay and interaction politons. The voting and interaction politons. It ensures the vote with very low desternity modes (in. 5.1-A - Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A - Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A - Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A - Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A - Voting methods	D	Disquision			, ,	•	System Overview > VxMarkScan
procedure to ensure that a sanitized headphone or handset is available to each voter. D Discussion This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throwaway" headphones or sanitary coverings. S.1.4 Standard PAT jacks A vote-capture device or voter-facing device must provide a 3.5 mm (1/8 inch) industry standard jack voters can use to connect their personal assistive technology switch to the system. S.1.1 S.1.1 The jack must allow only switch activations to be transmitted to the system. S.1.1 S.1.1 This requirement is related to the requirements for low deterity, modes (in S.1.A - Voting methods and interaction options in the User Manual.			, , ,			3.5mm neadphone Jack.	Hardware
D Discussion This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throwaway" headphones or sanitary coverings. 8.1-1 Standard PAT jacks A Vote-capture device or voter-facing device must provide a 3.5 mm (1/8 inch) industry standard jack voters can use to connect their personal assistive technology switch to the system. 8.1-1.1 The jack must allow only switch activities to be transmitted to the system. 8.1-1.2 This requirement is related to the requirements for low destertiny modes jin 5.1.A - Voting methods. 8.1-1.3 All the functionally of the voting system must be available through technology using this input mechanism. 8.1-1.3 This requirement is related to the requirements for low device the vote of an oth have the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices. Deamples of personal assistive technology (WIT) if they cannot use the subject of the control of the voting system must be available through technology with the include dual switch sclowed by the system of the voting system must be available to the very low destertiny, in particular those who do not have the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices. Deamples of personal assistive technology (WIT) if they cannot use the subject of the control of the voting system in the voter of sput Mile file desirable that the voter be able to independently once the voter size. Public list desirable that the voter be able to independently once the voter size. Public list desirable that the voter be able to independently once the voter size. Public list desirable that the voter be able to independently once the voter size. Public list desirable that the voter be able to independently once the voter size. Public list desirable that the voter be able to independently once the voter size. Public list desirable that the voter be able to independently once the voter size. Public list desirable that the voter be able to independently once the voter size. Public list desirable that the voter be able to independently once the voter siz	0.1 11	Summized nedaphones			_		
D Olscussion This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throwaway" headphones or sanitary coverings. 8.1-1 Standard PAT jacks Avet-capture device or voter-facing device must provide a 3.5 mm (1/8 inch) industry standard jack voters can use to connect their personal assistive technology switch to the system. 8.1-1.1 The jack must allow only switch activations to be transmitted to the system. 8.1-1.2 The jack must allow only switch activations to be transmitted to the requirements for low deverted with the functionality of the voter standard jack voters with very low destertly, mole devices by providing a means for them to connect personal assistive technology switch to connect personal assistive technology with a special personal assistive technology with a special personal assistive technology with a special personal assistive technology with the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices by providing a means for them to connect personal assistive technology with the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices but connect personal assistive technology with the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices but connect personal assistive technology with the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices by providing a means for them to connect personal assistive technology with the use of their hands assistive technology with the use of their hands as with the supplied touch or tactile input devices. Examples of personal assistive technology with the use of the non-manual input mentals assistive technology with the use of the non-manual input mentals assistive technology with the use of the non-manual input mentals assistive technology with the use of the non-manual input mentals assistive technology with the use of the non-manual input mentals assistive technology with the use of the non-manual input mentals assistive technology with the use of the non-manual input mentals assistive technology with the use of the non-manual input mentals assistive techn						č č	
8.1-I Standard PAT jacks A vote-capture device or voter-facing device must provide a 3.5 mm (1/8 inch) industry standard jack voters can use to connect their personal assistive technology switch to the system. 8.1-I.1 The jack must allow only switch activations to be transmitted to the system. 8.1-I.2 The jack must allow only switch activations to be transmitted to the system. 8.1-I.3 The jack must allow only switch activations to be transmitted to the system. 8.1-I.3 All the functionally equivalent to other input methods. 8.1-I.3 All the functionally equivalent to other input methods. 8.1-I.3 All the functionally equivalent to other input methods. 8.1-I.4 Objay and interaction options). It ensures that voters with very low dexterity, in particular those who do not have the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices by providing a means for them to connect personal assistive technology (PAT) if they cannot use the supplied touch or tactile input devices. Examples of personal assistive technology wish the sinclude dual switchs (sometimes called "adaptive switches" or "jelly swing" devices that communicate as a single key press, ideally, the jack will be on the standard of using the single devices to a single key press, ideally, the jack will be on the standard of using the single devices to a single key press, ideally, the jack will be on the standard of using the single devices to a single key press, ideally, the jack will be on the standard of using the single devices to a single key press, ideally, the jack will be on the standard of using the single devices to a single key press, ideally, the jack will be on the standard of using the single devices to a single key press, ideally, the jack will be on the standard of using the single devices to a single key press, ideally, the jack will be on the use of their that the voter be able to independently once the mechanism is enabled. The PAT jack is separate from the audio jack required in 8.1-F 10 Discussion Discussion Discussion Discussion Discus	D	Discussion	This requirement can be achieved in various ways, including the use of "throwaway" headphones or canitary coverings			·	Hear Manual > Voting Sossions
B.1.1.1 The jack must allow only switch activations to be transmitted to the system. 8.1.1.2 The system must accept switch input that is functionally equivalent to other input methods. 8.1.1.3 All the functionality of the voting system must be available through technology using this input mechanism. This requirement is related to the requirements for low dexterity modes (in 5.1.A – Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A – Display and interaction options This requirement is related to the requirements for low dexterity modes (in 5.1.A – Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A – Display and interaction options. This requirement is related to the requirements for low dexterity modes (in 5.1.A – Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A – Display and interaction options. This requirement is related to the requirements for low dexterity modes (in 5.1.A – Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A – Display and interaction options. This requirement is related to the requirements for low dexterity in particular those who do not have the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices. Examples of personal assistive technology (PAT) if they cannot use the supported on Vacar-Capture devices. Examples of personal assistive technology withches include dual switch loud dual switchs (sometimes called "adaptive switches" or "jelly switches") and "sip and puff" devices that communicate as a single key press. Ideally, the jack will be on the tactile keypad or have some other mechanism to provide sufficient reach to a wheelchair tray or the voter's lap. While it is desirable that the voter be able to independently initiate use of the non-manual input mechanism, this requirement guarantees only that the voter be able to independently once the mechanism is enabled. The PAT jack is separate from the audio jack required in 8.1-F – Discussion Di				5.1-A - Voting methods	the Oser Maridar.	neauphone to all voters.	Oser Maridar > Voting Sessions
8.1-1.1 The system must accept switch input that is functionally equivalent to ther input methods. 8.1-1.2 The system must accept switch input that is functionally equivalent to other input methods. 8.1-1.3 All the functionality of the voting system must be available through technology using this input mechanism. This requirement is related to the requirements for low dexterity modes (in 5.1-A – Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A – Display and interaction options). It ensures that voters with very low dexterity, in particular those who do not have the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices by providing a means for them to connect personal assistive technology (PAT) if they cannot use the supplied touch or the responal assistive technology (PAT) if they cannot use the supplied touch or the responal assistive technology (PAT) if they cannot use the supplied touch or the responal assistive technology (PAT) if they cannot use the supplied touch or the responal assistive technology (PAT) if they cannot use the supplied touch or the responal assistive technology with the industry in the supplied touch or the responal assistive technology with the industry in the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices by providing a means for them to connect personal assistive technology with the use of their hands can use the voter cannot use the supplied touch or them to connect personal assistive technology with the use of their hands can use the voter cannot use the supplied touch or them to connect personal assistive technology with the use of their hands can use the voter say in them to connect personal assistive technology with the use of their hands can use the voter cannot use the supplied touch or them to connect personal assistive technology with the use of their hands with the voter of the use of their hands with the voter of the use of their hands with the voter of the use of their hands with himself the use of their hands with himself use of the non-manual input mechanism. D D D				_			
8.1-1.1 The jack must allow only switch activations to be transmitted to the system. 8.1-1.2 The system must accept switch input that is functionally equivalent to other input methods. 8.1-1.3 All the functionally of the voting system must be available through technology using this input mechanism. This requirement is related to the requirements for low dexterity modes (in 5.1-A – Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A – Display and interaction options). It ensures that voters with very low dexterity, in particular those who do not have the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices by providing a means for them to connect personal assistive technology (PAPI) if they cannot use the supplied touch or tactile input devices. Examples of personal assistive technology switches (sometimes called "adaptive switches") or "jelly switches") and "sign and puff" devices that communicate as a single key press. Ideally, the jack will be on the tactile keypad or have some other mechanism to provide sufficient reach to a wheelchair tray or the voter's lap. While it is desirable that the voter be able to independently initiate use of the non-manual input mechanism, this requirement guarantees only that the voter an vote independently once the mechanism is enabled. The PAT jack is separate from the audio jack required in 8.1-F Discussion The voting device must not cause electromagnetic interference with assistive hearing devices that would substantially degrade the The voting device must not cause electromagnetic interference with assistive hearing devices that would substantially degrade the							
8.1-1.2 The system must accept switch input that is functionally equivalent to other input methods. 8.1-1.3 All the functionality of the voting system must be available through technology using this input mechanism. This requirement is related to the requirements for low dexterity modes (in 5.1-A – Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A – Display and interaction options). It ensures that voters with very low dexterity, in particular those who do not have the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices by providing a means for them to connect personal assistive technology (PAT) if they cannot use the supplied touch or tactile input devices. Examples of personal assistive technology switches include dual switches (sometimes called "dadptive switches") and "sign and puff" devices that communicate as a single key press. Ideally, the jack will be on the tactile keypad or have some other mechanism to provide sufficient reach to a wheelchair tray or the voter's lap. While it is desirable that the voter can vote independently include use of the non-manual input mechanism. D Discussion Discernible audio jacks, which connects to the audio output provided by the system. 8.1-J Hearing aids Voters who use assistive hearing devices must be able to use voting devices as intended: The voting device must not cause electromagnetic interference with assistive hearing devices that would substantially degrade the	0.1.1		The feel words allow only as their estimations as he have received at a fire control.	interaction options	4		
8.1-1.3 All the functionality of the voting system must be available through technology using this input mechanism. This requirement is related to the requirements for low dexterity modes (in 5.1-A — Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A — Display and interaction options). It ensures that voters with very low dexterity, in particular those who do not have the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices by providing a means for them to connect personal assistive technology (PAT) if they cannot use the supplied touch or tactile input devices. Examples of personal assistive technology switches (sometimes called "adaptive switches") and "sip and puff" devices that communicate as a single key press. Ideally, the jack will be on the tactile keypad or have some other mechanism to provide sufficient reach to a wheelchair tray or the voter's lap. While it is desirable that the voter be able to independently initiate use of the non-manual input mechanism, this requirement guarantees only that the voter can vote of the audio jacks, which connects to the audio output provided by the system. D Discussion Discussion Discussion Sensitive the audio jacks, which connects to the audio output provided by the system. 8.1-J Hearing aids Voters who use assistive hearing devices must be able to use voting devices as intended: The voting device must not cause electromagnetic interference with assistive hearing devices that would substantially degrade the			·		-		
This requirement is related to the requirements for low dexterity modes (in 5.1-A – Voting methods and interaction modes and in 7.2-A – Display and interaction options). It ensures that voters with very low dexterity, in particular those who do not have the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices by providing a means for them to connect personal assistive technology (PAT) if they cannot use the subject to the other of the technology switches include dual switches (sometimes called "adaptive switches" or "jelly switches" or "jell					1		
Display and interaction options). It ensures that voters with very low dexterity, in particular those who do not have the use of their hands can use the vote-capture devices by providing a means for them to connect personal assistive technology (PAT) if they cannot use the supplied touch or tactile input devices. Examples of personal assistive technology switches include dual switches (sometimes called "adaptive switches" or "jelly switches" or "jelly switches" and "sip and puff" devices that communicate as a single key press. Ideally, the jack will be on the tactile keypad or have some other mechanism to provide sufficient reach to a wheelchair tray or the voter's lap. While it is desirable to the voter have be able to independently initiate use of the non-manual input mechanism, this requirement guarantees only that the voter oan vote independently once the mechanism is enabled. The PAT jack is separate from the audio jack required in 8.1-F — Discussion Discus					1		
the supplied touch or tactile input devices. Examples of personal assistive technology switches include dual switches (sometimes called "adaptive switches" or "jelly switches") and "sip and puff" devices that communicate as a single key press. Ideally, the jack will be on the tactile keypad or have some other mechanism to provide sufficient reach to a wheelchair tray or the voter's lap. While it is desirable that the voter be able to independently initiate use of the non-manual input mechanism, this requirement guarantees only that the voter on vote independently once the mechanism is enabled. The PAT jack is separate from the audio jack required in 8.1-F — Discussion Discussion Discussion Sa.1-J. Hearing aids The voting device must not cause electromagnetic interference with assistive hearing devices that would substantially degrade the The voting device must not cause electromagnetic interference with assistive hearing devices that would substantially degrade the VotamarkScan supports any standard dual-switch input devices over the standard 3.5mm PAT confirms that all dual-switch input devices over the standard 3.5mm PAT jack. All functionality is available in the limited-dexterity in the limited dexterity in the lim			- Display and interaction options). It ensures that voters with very low dexterity, in particular those who do not have the use of their				
## adaptive switches" or "jelly switches") and "sip and puff" devices that communicate as a single key press. Ideally, the jack will be on the tactile keypad or have some other mechanism to provide sufficient reach to a wheelchair tray or the voter's lap. While it is desirable that the voter be able to independently initiate use of the non-manual input mechanism, this requirement guarantees only that the voter can vote independently once the mechanism is enabled. The PAT jack is separate from the audio jack required in 8.1-F — Discussion B.1-J Hearing aids The voting devices must not cause electromagnetic interference with assistive hearing devices that communicate as a single key press. Ideally, the jack will be on the voter's lap. While it is desirable over the standard 3.5mm PAT confirms that all dual-switch input devices over the standard 3.5mm PAT jack. All functionality is available in the limited-dexterity interaction mode. S.1-G - Telephone style handset The voting device must not cause electromagnetic interference with assistive hearing devices that would substantially degrade the The voting devices that communicate as a single key press. Ideally, the jack will be on the over the standard dual-switch input device over the standard 3.5mm PAT confirms that all dual-switch input devices are supported on VxMarkScan through the in the limited-dexterity in the lim					\/vMarkCoan curports and		
tactile keypad or have some other mechanism to provide sufficient reach to a wheelchair tray or the voter's lap. While it is desirable over the standard 3.5mm PAT jack. All functionality is available in the limited-dexterity interaction mode. Discussion Discussio						VotingWorks functional and usability testing	
voter can vote independently once the mechanism is enabled. The PAT jack is separate from the audio jack required in 8.1-F — in the limited-dexterity in the limited-dexter							
D Discussion Discernible audio jacks, which connects to the audio output provided by the system. 8.1-J Hearing aids Voters who use assistive hearing devices must be able to use voting devices as intended: 8.1-J.1 The voting device must not cause electromagnetic interference with assistive hearing devices that would substantially degrade the					I.		
8.1-J Hearing aids Voters who use assistive hearing devices must be able to use voting devices as intended: 8.1-G - Telephone style handset 8.1-J.1 The voting device must not cause electromagnetic interference with assistive hearing devices that would substantially degrade the	D	Discussion				3.5mm PAT jack.	System Overview > VxMarkScan
8.1-J.1 The voting device must not cause electromagnetic interference with assistive hearing devices that would substantially degrade the				8.1-G - Telephone style			i iai a wai c
		Ŭ	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 ' '			
performance of those devices.	8.1-J.1						
			performance of those devices.		_		

8

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
8.1-J.2		The voting device, measured as if it were a wireless device, must achieve at least a category T4 rating as defined by American National				
		Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids, ANSI C63.19-		l		
		2019 [ANSI19]. "Hearing devices" include hearing aids and cochlear implants. This requirement is based on WCAG 2.0 [W3C10] and Section 508		devices can use VxMarkScan	VotingWorks hardware testing confirms that VxMarkScan headphones do not interfere	
D	Discussion	[USAB18].		without any degraded experience	·	Quality Assurance
8.1-K	Eliminating hazards	Devices associated with the voting system must be certified in accordance with the requirements of IEC/UL 62368-1 [UL19], Edition 3:		without any degraded experience	. With assistive nearing devices.	Quality / issurance
0.1 1.	2	Standard for Audio/video, Information and Communication Technology Equipment - Part 1: Safety requirements by a certification				
		organization accredited by the Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration's Nationally Recognized Testing				
		Laboratory program. The certification organization's scope of accreditation is acceptable if it includes IEC/UL 62368-1 [UL19].		Voting system devices are all	VotingWorks contracts with NRTLs to test	
D	Discussion	IEC/UL 62368-1 is a comprehensive standard for IT equipment and addresses all the hazards discussed above under Safety. It replaces IEC/UL 60950-1 [UL07].		safety tested to UL 62368-1 by a NRTL.	components that are not already tested to be in accordance with UL 62368-1.	Audio Visual & Display Screen Settings; Quality Assurance
8.2	The voting system mets currently accepted federal standards for accessibility					
8.2-A	Federal standards for accessibility	Voting systems must meet federal standards for accessibility, including the version of Section 508 Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Final Standards and Guidelines [USAB18], in effect as of January 18, 2018, and the WCAG 2.0 Level AA checkpoints [W3C10] included in that standard.			VotingWorks staff reviews these standards to	
		This applies to all parts of the voting system including the election management system (EMS). Section 508 standards apply to electronic		All VxSuite components meet	implement functional and automated tests	
		and information technology, including computer hardware and software, websites, multimedia, and other technology such as video,		Section 508 and WCAG 2.0 Level	that confirm conformance with these	
D	Discussion	phone systems, and copiers. This requirement also supports the ADA [ADA10]. Applies to: Electronic interfaces, including EMS		AA checkpoints.	standards.	Quality Assurance
	The voting system is evaluated with a wide range of representative voters, including those with and without					
8.3	disabilities		224 11	4		
8.3-A	Usability tests with voters	The manufacturer must conduct usability tests with voters using the voting system, including all voter activities in a voter session from ballot activation to verification and casting. The test participants must include voters who represent the following:	2.2-A - User-centered design process; 5.1-D - Accessibility features			
8.3-A.1		General population, using the visual interface (without audio), including:	/ recessionity reactives	1		
8.3-A.1.a		voters who are native speakers of the language being tested for each language defined as supported in the technical data package (TDP)		1		
8.3-A.1.b		blind voters, using the audio format plus tactile controls		1		
8.3-A.1.c		voters with low vision, using the enhanced visual features with and without audio		1		
8.3-A.1.d		voters with limited dexterity, using the visual interface with low and no dexterity controls		1		
8.3-A.2		The manufacturer must submit a report of the results of their usability tests, including effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction measures, as part of the TDP using ISO/IEC 25062:2006: Common Industry Format (CIF) for Usability Test Reports [ISO06b].				
		Voting system developers are required to conduct realistic usability tests on their product before submitting the system to conformance			VotingWorks staff conducts usability testing	
		testing. This is to ensure that the user-centered design process required for quality implementation has produced a usable and		The report for this usability	and reviews the report included in	
D	Discussion	accessible voting system.		testing is included in the TDP.	documentation.	Usability & Accessibility
8.4	The voting system is evaluated for usability with election workers					
8.4-A	Usability tests with election workers	The manufacturer must conduct usability tests of the voting system setup, operation during voting, and shutdown as documented by	2.2-A - User-centered			
		the manufacturer, with representative election workers, to demonstrate that election workers can learn, understand, and perform	design process; 7.3-0 -			
		these tasks successfully. The tasks to be covered in the test must include:	Instructions for election	1		
0 / ^ 1		Satura and apaning for voting, which involves:	workers	-		
8.4-A.1.a		Setup and opening for voting, which involves:		-		
8.4-A.1.a 8.4-A.1.b		operation during voting use of assistive technology or language options that are part of the voting system		+		
8.4-A.1.b 8.4-A.1.c		shutdown at the end of a voting day during a multi-day early voting period, if supported by the voting system		+		
8.4-A.1.d		shutdown at the end of a voting day during a multi-day early voting period, it supported by the voting system shutdown at the end of voting including running any reports		+		
8.4-A.1.e		providing ballots in different languages		1		
8.4-A.1.f		selecting the correct ballot type (for example, for vote centers)		1		
8.4-A.1.g		setting up the voting system to use different display formats and interaction modes		†		
8.4-A.2		The test participants must include election workers representing a range of experience		†		
8.4-A.3		The manufacturer must submit a report of the results of their usability tests, as part of the TDP using ISO/IEC 25062:2006: Common		†		
		Industry Format (CIF) for Usability Test Reports [ISO06b].				
		Voting system manufacturers are required to conduct realistic usability tests on their product before submitting the system to				
		conformance testing. This is to ensure that the user-centered design process required for quality implementation has produced a usable				
		and accessible voting system. This requirement covers the procedures and operations for those aspects of system operation normally				
		performed by election workers and other "non-expert" operators. It does not address inherently complex operations such as ballot			VotingWorks staff conducts usability testing	
_		definition or system repair. These "normal" procedures should not require any special expertise. The procedures may require a		The report for this usability	and reviews the report included in	
D	Discussion	reasonable amount of training, similar to the training generally provided for temporary election workers.		testing is included in the TDP.	documentation.	Usability & Accessibility

VVSG 2.0 Section		Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
0	Auditable - The voting system is auditable and enables evidence-					
9	based elections. An error or fault in the voting system software or hardware			+		
9.1	cannot cause an undetectable change in election results					
9.1.1	Software independence					
9.1.1-A	Software independence	The voting system must be software independent.	9.1.5 - Paper records; 9.1.6 - Cryptographic E2E verifiable			
9.1.1-A.1		The voting system must meet the requirements within the Paper-based System Architectures or Cryptographic E2E Verifiable System Architectures section, or both.				
9.1.1-A.2		The voting system documentation must include the method used to provide software independence.		7		
		Software independence [Rivest06] means that an undetected error or fault in the voting system's software is not capable of causing an undetectable change in election results. All voting systems need to be software independent in order to conform to the VVSG. There are two essential concepts behind applying software independence: it must be possible to audit voting systems to verify that ballots are being recorded correctly, and testing software is so difficult that audits of voting system correctness cannot rely on the software itself being correct. Therefore, voting systems need to be 'software independent' so that the audits do not have to trust that the voting system's software is correct. The voting system will provide proof that the ballots have been recorded correctly, that is, voting records will be produced in ways in which their accuracy does not rely on the correctness of the voting system's software. This is a major change from previous versions of the VVSG because previous versions permitted voting systems that are software dependent, that is, voting systems whose audits rely on the correctness of the software. One example of a software dependent voting system is the DRE, which is now non-conformant to this version of the VVSG. There are currently two methods specified in the VVSG for achieving software independence: through the use of independent voter-verifiable paper records, and cryptographic E2E verifiable voting systems. Paper-based and cryptographic E2E verifiable system architectures are software independent and both can be used within the same voting system. In this case where a voting system is identified as being a combination of both architectures, the system would need to be compliant with both sets of requirements. However, a system that meets all of the paper-based requirements need not satisfy the E2E-requirements even if it incorporates E2E verifiable functionality. Knowing the specific mechanism used to achieve software independence assists with determining if the system is truly i		VxSuite has a paper-based system	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms it is not possible to cast a	
		voting systems handles a ballot after it is cast by the voter. For example, this documentation may answer the following questions: Is it		architecture as specified in the	ballot without a voter-verified paper trail,	System Overview > Software Overview >
D	Discussion	able to print on the ballot? What information is printed on the ballot? Where is that information printed?		technical data package.	and ballots cannot be modified when cast.	Software Independence
9.1.2	Tamper Evidence			4		
9.1.2-A	Tamper-evident records	The voting system must produce tamper-evident records that enable detection of incorrect election outcomes, including:		4		
		capturing the contents of each vote at the time of each ballot's casting, and recording detected errors in a tamper-evident manner.		Ballots on VxScan & VxMarkScan		
		Tamper-evident records include CVRs, ballot images and artifacts from a cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system. The record also		are stored in a tamper-evident ballot box once cast. CVRs for		
D	Discussion	ensures that identified issues and other problems cannot be lost or unintentionally modified once they are discovered.		ballots scanned on VxScan are		System Security, Auditing, Logging >
9.1.2-B	Tamper-evident record creation	Paper records or other tamper-evident electronic records of the voter's ballot selections must be captured when each ballot is cast.			VotingWorks functional testing confirms all	Physical Security; System Security,
D	Discussion	Voter-facing scanners and other vote-capture devices produce the paper records or other tamper evident electronic records. These records can be useful artifacts for post-election audits. Applies to: Voter-facing scanners and electronic ballot markers		disks stored in a tamper-evident manner.	CVRs and ballot images are stored in a tamper evident manner.	Auditing, Logging > Artifact Authentication
9.1.3	Voter verification					
9.1.3-A	Records for voter verification	The voting system must provide individual voters the opportunity to verify that the voting system correctly interpreted their ballot selections.	7.3-G - Full ballot selections review	VxScan provides confirmation that a voter's ballot was correctly interpreted and an opportunity for second chance voting if errors	: VotingWorks testing staff confirms during functional testing that voters receive confirmation of successfully cast ballots, rejected ballots, and ballots with adjudication	
		Voter-facing scanners and other vote-capture devices can be used to meet this requirement. An electronic ballot marker can print a		or issues were detected.	issues on VxScan. VotingWorks testing staff	System Overview > VxScan Function;
		voter's ballot selections to review before casting. An E2E verifiable system can print a receipt that allows a voter to verify their		VxMarkScan allows a voter to	confirms during functional testing staff that	System Overview > VxMarkScan
D	Discussion	selections are tabulated and captured correctly. Principle 7: Marked, Verified, and Cast as Intended includes more requirements for voter verification. Applies to: Voter-facing scanners and electronic ballot markers		review their interpreted selections.	voters can review their interpreted ballot selections on VxMarkScan	Function; User Manual > Assisting Voters; User Manual > Voting Sessions
9.1.3-B	Ballot error correction	The voting system must allow a voter to start a new voting session if they would like to correct an error found in their ballot selections.	7.3-F - Correcting the	Selections.	Selections on valvial KScan	voters, oser ivialitial > voting sessions
			ballot	On VxScan, the voter can return their ballot to spoil it if errors are detected. On VxMarkScan, the	VotingWorks testing staff confirms during	System Overview > VxScan Function;
		If, after printing their ballot, a voter decides they would like to update or change a selection before casting, the voter must be able to		voter can spoil their ballot after	functional testing that voters can return	System Overview > VxMarkScan
5	Discouries	get a new ballot and start a new voting session to mark their ballot as they intend. A voter can contact a poll worker to spoil their		printing the ballot if they want to	ballots with issues on VxScan and can spoil	Function; User Manual > Assisting
9.1.3-C	Discussion Voter reported errors	current ballot, receive a new ballot, and start a new voting session. Apples to: Paper-based system architectures Voting system documentation must describe a method, either through procedural or technical means, for voters to report detected		make a correction.	printed ballots on VxMarkScan.	Voters; User Manual > Voting Sessions User Manual > VxScan Error Messages;
J.1.3 C	Total reported errors	errors or incorrect results.		ask a poll worker for help when	VotingWorks testing staff confirms during functional testing that instructional messages	•
D	Discussion	This can include a voter alerting an election worker or pressing a button on the machine to report detected errors or incorrect results.		errors are encountered.	are presented on screen.	Messages
9.1.4	Auditable					
9.1.4-A	Auditor verification	Voting systems must generate records that would enable external auditors to verify that cast ballots were correctly tabulated.		Pallot images for each	VotingWorks functional and automated	
D	Discussion	The voting systems themselves cannot make records available to the public. The manner and decision to make these records available is made by a state and or local jurisdiction. This requirement only ensures that the records themselves are generated and can be easily accessed without additional software or assistance from the voting system manufacturer. This requirement is meant to enable external auditors to perform their own count of the election results.		Ballot images for each corresponding voter-verifiable paper ballot are saved as part of cast vote records.	votingworks functional and automated testing confirms a corresponding ballot image is stored with cast vote records for each voter verifiable paper ballot.	· ·
9.1.4-B	Documented procedure	The voting system manufacturer must provide a documented procedure to verify that cast ballots were correctly tabulated.	9.1.1-A - Software independent	VxSuite supports a variety of post- election audit methods including: batch comparison, ballot		
		This documentation includes procedures and technical practices that verify the results post-election and demonstrates software independence. This documentation could be used as a starting point for election officials to develop the procedures used to audit an		comparison, and image audits. These methods are documented	VotingWorks functional testing confirms post-election audits may be performed per	System Security, Auditing, Logging >
D	Discussion	election.		in the TDP.	audit documentation.	Audit Procedure
9.1.5	Paper Records					

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
9.1.5-A	Paper record production	A paper-based voting system must produce a voter-verifiable paper record of the voter's ballot selections.	3.3-C - Bar and other			
			codes; 3.3-D - Ballot			
			selection codes; 5.1-E - Reading paper ballots;			
			6.1-A - Preserving	When hand marked paper ballots		
			_	are used, the voter's ballot		
			- Voter independence;	selections are inherently paper-		
			9.1.5-C - Paper record	based and voter-verified. When a		System Overview > Software Overview >
			intelligibility; 9.1.5-D - Matching selections	voter uses VxMarkScan, a machine marked paper ballot is	VotingWorks testing staff confirms during	Software Independence; System Overview > Hand Marked Ballots;
		Voting systems that use independent voter-verifiable records can satisfy the software independence requirement and achieve	Watering Sciections	printed and presented to the	functional testing that VxMarkScan supports	
D	Discussion	conformance to the VVSG.		voter for verification.	verification of a paper ballot by the voter.	Ballots
9.1.5-B	Paper record retention	A paper-based voting system must retain a paper record of the voter's ballot selections.		Ballot boxes on VxScan and		System Overview > VxScan Function;
				VxMarkScan retain a paper record	•	
0.1.5.6	Dance record intelligibility	The seconded hellet colorions must be assessed in a human readable format that is understandable by the unter-		of the voter's ballot selections.	paper records are retained.	Function
9.1.5-C	Paper record intelligibility	The recorded ballot selections must be presented in a human-readable format that is understandable by the voter. The requirement ensures that a human-readable version of the data is also printed whenever a barcode is used to encode ballot		All voter-verifiable records are in	Functional and usability testing confirms that paper records are in a human readable	System Overview > Hand Marked Ballots; System Overview > Machine
D	Discussion	selections.		a human readable format.	format.	Marked Ballots
9.1.5-D	Matching selections	All representations of a voter's ballot selections produced by the voting system must agree with the selections made by the voter.		1	Functional and usability testing confirms that	
		Applies to: Paper-based system architectures		Machine marked ballots are	a voter-verifiable paper record representing	System Overview > Machine Marked
				designed to clearly reflect the	the voter's records is presented for voter	Ballots; System Overview > VxMarkScan
0.1.5.5	D		226 8 1 1	voter's selections.	confirmation before casting.	Function
9.1.5-E	Paper record transparency and interoperability	All barcode representations of a voter's ballot selections must use an open and interoperable format.	3.3-C - Bar and other codes; 3.3-D Ballot	VxMarkScan machine marked ballots have a publicly	VotingWorks staff reviews the publicly	
			selection codes	documented QR code format in	available documentation for completeness	System Overview > Machine Marked
			Selection codes	the TDP.	and accuracy.	Ballots
9.1.5-F	Unique identifier	A paper-based voting system must be capable of adding a unique identifier after a voter casts their ballot.	1.1.5-G - Record audit			
			information; 9.4-A -			
			Risk-limiting audit; 9.4-			
			B - Random numbers	VyControlSoon botch conners		
			supporting audit processes; 9.1.1-A -	VxCentralScan batch scanners support an imprinter attachment		
			Software independent	that prints a unique identifier on	VotingWorks functional testing confirms	
		Although not all jurisdictions may use this feature, voting systems are required to have the capability to add a unique identifier to	· ·	the ballot in a publicly	VxCentralScan imprints a unique identifier in	System Overview > VxCentralScan
D	Discussion	ballots. Applies to: Paper-based system architectures		documented format per the TDP.	the publicly documented format.	Function
9.1.5-G	Preserving software independence	After a voter verifies their selections on a voted ballot and submits the ballot for casting, a paper-based voting system must not be	9.1.1-A - Software			
		capable of making an undetectable change to the paper record.	independent	-		
		After a voter verifies and submits their ballot, a voting system may print on paper ballot to apply a unique identifier that is later used for auditing purposes. To preserve software independence the voting system should not be able to print over or within the ballot selection		VxCentralScan imprinting cannot	Functional testing confirms that	
		area because that would cause an undetectable change to the election outcome. Instead the voting system should only be able to print		, ,	VxCentralScan imprinting cannot imprinting	
		outside of the bounds of the ballot selection area and may also create further distinction by printing in a different font style or color.		when configured by the election	the ballot marking area when configured per	User Manual > VxCentralScan Hardware
D	Discussion	This printing process should be preserved regardless of software or hardware updates.		official per the User Manual.	the instructions in the User Manual.	Setup
9.1.6	Cryptographic E2E Verifiable					
9.1.6-A	Verified cryptographic protocol	The E2E cryptographic protocol used by the cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system must be evaluated and approved through a public process established by the EAC.				
		Due to the lack of E2E verifiable voting systems available within the current market, there are no verified E2E cryptographic protocols. A	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
		standard public process for approval of the E2E cryptographic protocols will need to be established outside of the VVSG. Once this	`	N/A - VxSuite is not a		
		process is established, the VVSG requirements can point to the approved/verified cryptographic protocols as acceptable for use within		cryptographic E2E verifiable		
D	Discussion	an E2E verifiable voting system.		voting system		
9.1.6-B	Independent evaluation of E2E cryptographic protocol	A cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system must undergo an independent evaluation to verify it correctly and securely implements an				
	implementation	approved E2E cryptographic protocol.		-		
		An independent evaluation can be performed by any entity outside of the voting system manufacturer. Example best practices include using guidance from the FIPS 140 series [NIST01, NIST19a], NIST SP 800-133 Revision 2, Recommendation for Cryptographic Key				
		Generation [NIST20f], or NIST SP 800-175B, Guideline for Using Cryptographic Standards in the Federal Government: Cryptographic				
		Mechanisms [NIST20g]. The independent evaluation and cryptographic engineering best practices used can be documented and		N/A - VxSuite is not a		
		submitted. Lessons learned from the analysis of the source code of the Swiss Post system shows the value in making this code available		cryptographic E2E verifiable		
D	Discussion	for public review. See "How not to prove your election outcome" [Lewis19b], and "Ceci n'est pas une preuve" [Lewis19a].		voting system		
9.1.6-C	Cryptographic ballot selection verification by voter	A cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system must:	6.2-A - Voter			
			independence; 7.3-G - Full ballot selections			
			review; 9.1.6-E - Ballot			
			receipt; 10.2-4-A -			
			Voting information in			
			receipts	1		
9.1.6-C.1		be capable of providing evidence that an individual voter can use to confirm that the voting system correctly interpreted their ballot				
01663		selections, while in the polling place; and		1		
9.1.6-C.2		provide evidence such that if there is an error or flaw in the interpretation of the voters' selections, the evidence can be used for detection of the error or flaw.				
		This requirement addresses cast-as-intended verification, which is one of the principal components necessary to achieve end-to-end-		1		
		verifiability [Benaloh14]. Interpretation is the process by which the voting system converts the voter's contest option selections into the				
		format used to store these selections. Therefore, this evidence must sufficiently prove the representation of the voter's contest option				
		selections in digital form matches the voter selections as provided to the system. Giving voters the opportunity to verify the voting				
		system stored their ballot choices correctly is a fundamental building block in an end-to-end verifiable voting system. See "End-to-end		N/A - VxSuite is not a		
_	2	verifiability" [Benaloh14] and "Usability is not Enough: Lessons Learned from 'Human Factors in Security' Research for Verifiability"		cryptographic E2E verifiable		
9.1.6-D.1	Discussion Methods for cryptographic ballot selection verification	[Kulyk18] for more information on the various implementations of this technique. A cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system documentation must include: the method for the voter to use the evidence provided for		voting system		
3.1.U-D.1	wicehous for dryptographiic ballot selection verification	ballot selection verification to verify the correct interpretation of their ballot; and				
	+	pariet selection. Fermication to verny the correct interpretation of their ballot, and	1	_		

9

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
9.1.6-D.2		a list of known verification tools, their supplier, and how the verification tools are used.	9.1.6-C - Cryptographic	Tion vasure meets	Tion voting votes lest	The Reference
			ballot selection			
			verification by voter			
		Voter intent verification often relies on external verification tools to assist voters in the verification step(s). These can be external				
		verifiers, which is either a second device, a website of a trusted institution, or software running inside the polling location. The		N/A - VxSuite is not a		
D	Discussion	manufacturer must provide documentation explaining the verification options available to voters. If the jurisdiction is expected to provide the verification tool or service, this must also be documented.		cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system		
9.1.6-E	Ballot receipt	A cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system must provide a voter with a receipt that allows them to verify that their ballot has been	6.1-A - Preserving	Voting system		
3.1.0 L	Build receipt	correctly recorded and tallied by the system. These receipts	privacy for voters; 6.2-A			
			- Voter independence;			
			7.3-G - Full ballot			
			selections review; 8.3-A			
			- Usability tests with			
			voters; 10.2.4-A - Voting	:		
01651		anut ant display any hall at salastinas mada by the yeter	information in receipts	-		
9.1.6-E.1 9.1.6-E.2		must not display any ballot selections made by the voter must not enable the voter to prove their selections on the cast ballot to others		+		
9.1.6-E.3		must be represented in a publicly documented format		1		
9.1.6-E.4		may contain a unique identifier		†		
9.1.6-E.5		are accessible, verifiable, and preserve voter-privacy		N/A - VxSuite is not a		
3.1.0 L.3		This evidence should fail to confirm a voter's ballot has been correctly recorded and tallied by the system if the ballot has been		cryptographic E2E verifiable		
D	Discussion	removed, tampered with, or its selections altered, added to, or removed.		voting system		
9.1.6-F	Disputes involving ballot receipts	The cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system documentation must provide procedures for collecting, investigating, and adjudicating	9.1.6-E - Ballot receipt	1 -		
		disputes from voters based on the contents of their ballot receipts.	,			
		This documentation will include a process to address the scenario where a voter attempts to verify with their ballot receipt and believes	5			
D	Discussion	there is a problem with their ballot receipt		4		
9.1.6-G	Evidence export	A cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system must:		4		
9.1.6-G.1		be capable of exporting all evidence supporting ballot tabulation verification		4 .		
9.1.6-G.2		provide the export in an open and consumable format		N/A - VxSuite is not a		
D	Discussion	Most recorded-as-cast verification approaches require the public posting of the evidence at some point after all ballots have been		cryptographic E2E verifiable		
9.1.6-H	Mandatory ballot availability	aggregated and tallied. As required in the previous requirement, the evidence must not reveal how voters voted. A cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system must be capable of exporting all encoded ballots for public posting.		voting system		
5.1.0 11	ivialitatory ballot availability	The public posting does not have to be provided by the voting system, but the voting system must provide the evidence such that it can		N/A - VxSuite is not a		
		be published, and the verification process made accessible to voters. The public posting of these exported encoded ballots is performed		cryptographic E2E verifiable		
D	Discussion	by election officials and is an essential part of the E2E verifiable process. It allows the public to verify the election results.		voting system		
9.1.6-l	Verification of encoded votes documentation	A cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system documentation must include:				
9.1.6-I.1		the expected method by which voters will perform the ballot tabulation verification, and]		
9.1.6-I.2		how this method provides voters with the opportunity to verify that their ballots are included within the tabulation results.]		
		For example, a common method is to publish the evidence to a public bulletin board. The manufacturer should document this method		N/A - VxSuite is not a		
-		or its alternative. The bulletin board, itself, might not be included in the scope of the voting system but the voting system must provide		cryptographic E2E verifiable		
9.1.6-J	Discussion Verifier reference implementation	an export of the evidence to be published on the bulletin board.	9.1.6-C - Cryptographic	voting system		
9.1.0-1	verifier reference implementation	A cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system documentation must include:	ballot selection			
			verification by voter			
9.1.6-J.1		a free publicly available reference implementation of a tool which can be used:	,	1		
9.1.6-J.1.a		to verify evidence provided to a voter to prove that their ballot choices were correctly interpreted		1		
9.1.6-J.1.b		to verify the evidence reported for voters to perform ballot tabulation verification				
9.1.6-J.2		the build instructions for the reference implementation, along with the tool]		
		For the system to support the cast-as-intended property of end-to-end verifiable systems there must be at least one tool available to				
		voters to verify that their ballot selections have been correctly interpreted. Additionally, for a cryptographic E2E system be software		N/A - VxSuite is not a		
_		independent, the voters need to have choices about what software use and trust when performing verification. By providing an open		cryptographic E2E verifiable		
D 0.1.6.V	Discussion Privacy processing, universally verifiable ballet tabulation	source reference implementation may facilitate development of third-party verification tools.	6.1 A Drosomina	voting system		
9.1.6-K	Privacy preserving, universally verifiable ballot tabulation	A cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system tabulation process must preserve the privacy of every voter and provide a method for public verification.	6.1-A - Preserving privacy for voters	N/A - VxSuite is not a		
		To be publicly verifiable, the approach provides a means for any auditor or observer to verify the correct decryption and tabulation of	p	cryptographic E2E verifiable		
D	Discussion	the votes (not necessarily in that order) using cryptographic proofs that are generated by the process.		voting system		
	The voting system produces readily available records that provide			1		
	the ability to check whether the election outcome is correct and,					
9.2	to the extent possible, identify the root cause of any irregularities			4		
9.2-A	Audit support documentation	The voting system documentation must specify the types of audits the voting system supports and the artifacts that the voting system	1.1.9-A - Post-election			
		provides to support those audits.	reports; 3.1.3-D - Audit	VxSuite supports a variety of post-		
			procedures	election audit methods including: batch comparison, ballot		
				comparison, and image audits.	VotingWorks functional testing confirms	
				These methods are documented	post-election audits may be performed per	
				in the TDP.	audit documentation.	System Security, Auditing, Logging >
D	Discussion	Ballots, CVRs, and ballot images are examples of artifacts that can support a post-election audit.		in the TDP.		System Security, Auditing, Logging > Audit Procedure
	Voting system records are resilient in the presence of intentional	Ballots, CVRs, and ballot images are examples of artifacts that can support a post-election audit.		in the TDP.		
9.3	Voting system records are resilient in the presence of intentional forms of tampering and accidental errors			in the TDP.		
	Voting system records are resilient in the presence of intentional	Ballots, CVRs, and ballot images are examples of artifacts that can support a post-election audit. All voting systems must meet the requirements listed under Guidelines 13.1 and 13.2 that are related to protecting audit records.	13.1.2-A - Integrity	in the TDP.		
9.3	Voting system records are resilient in the presence of intentional forms of tampering and accidental errors		protection for election	in the TDP.		
9.3	Voting system records are resilient in the presence of intentional forms of tampering and accidental errors		protection for election records; 13.2-A -	in the TDP.		
9.3	Voting system records are resilient in the presence of intentional forms of tampering and accidental errors		protection for election records; 13.2-A - Signing stored election	in the TDP.		
9.3	Voting system records are resilient in the presence of intentional forms of tampering and accidental errors		protection for election records; 13.2-A -	in the TDP. CVRs and ballot images are		

VVSG 2.0 Sectio	n Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
D	Discussion	CVRs and ballot images need sufficient data protection because they are needed for audits.		imported into VxAdmin.	records are signed and verified.	Artification Authentication
9.4	The voting system supports efficient audits					
9.4-A	Risk-limiting audit	A paper-based voting system must produce paper records that allow election officials to conduct a risk-limiting audit.	4.1-C - Exchange of cast			
			vote records (CVRs);			
			9.1.5 - Paper records;			
			9.2-A - Audit support			
			documentation; 9.4-C -			
			Unique ballot			
			identifiers; 9.4-D -			
			Multipage ballots	-		
		Voting systems contain information which enables election officials to conduct risk-limiting audits. For example, batch subtotal				
		reporting by the voting system, may make the process of ballot sampling more efficient. An evidence-based election requires convenient access to ballot sheets, ballot sheet images, and cast vote records for efficient and trustworthy public tabulation audits.				
		Vendors should demonstrate how an election system provides all the information necessary for an independent Risk-Limiting Audit				
		(RLA). Some example features/paper records that may be produced to support risk-limiting audits include the following: the ability to		The artifacts required to support a	1	
		associate electronic cast vote records (CVRs) with corresponding paper records while also preserving ballot secrecy; the ability to export		batch-comparison or ballot-	VotingWorks functional testing confirms	
		of CVRs in an open and interoperable format; the ability to create a ballot manifest that allows users to identify the physical location of		comparison risk-limiting audit are	S S	System Security, Auditing, Logging >
		ballots (e.g., scanner name or number, batch number, and ballot sequence number); and supporting multi-sheet ballots, including		available as defined in the Audit	audit documentation.	Audit Procedure
D	Discussion	association of each sheet with its corresponding CVR.		Procedure in the TDP.		
9.4-B	Random numbers supporting audit processes	Voting systems that generate or rely on random or pseudo-random numbers for auditing purposes must document the method used to	9.4-C - Unique ballot			
		obtain the numbers and how the random numbers are used within the voting system.	identifiers; 10.2.2-E -			
			Randomly generated			
			identifiers			
		Various systems used to implement software independence require random numbers, whether for ballot selection for audits. This		Random unique identifiers used in	1	
		documentation should specify: how random numbers are generated, and what any random numbers are used for. One common use for		cast vote records, ballot images,		System Overview > Cast Vote Records;
		random numbers is to create unique identifiers associated with ballots to assist in supporting audits. The method for generating the		and imprinted values on ballots	\/	System Overview > VxCentralScan
D	Discussion	pseudo-random numbers should meet the requirement 10.2.2-E Randomly generated identifiers. For additional information, see NIST		are publicly documented in the TDP.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation for completeness and accuracy.	Function
9.4-C	Unique ballot identifiers	SP 800-90A, Recommendation for Random Number Generation Using Deterministic Random Bit Generators [NIST15a]. The voting system must enable election auditors to uniquely address individual ballots.		- I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	completeness and accuracy.	
3.4°C	Offique ballot identifiers	This capability is needed to support RLAs. Although the voting system has this capability, this does not require jurisdictions to use this		Unique ballot identifiers are		
		feature if it conflicts with state laws. In order to conduct a ballot-comparison risk-limiting audit, paper ballot records must either be		available in the cast vote record,	Functional and automated testing confirms a	
		stored in the order in which they were scanned or contain a unique ballot identifier. A unique ballot identifier is a unique ID that		associated ballot images, and	unique ballot identifier is present in cast vote	
		provides information about the device it was scanned on and the batch in which it is stored. One example of a unique ballot identifier is		imprinted value (when imprinting	·	System Overview > VxCentralScan
D	Discussion	scanner ID, batch ID, and ballot card number. The unique ballot identifier must not tie a ballot to an individual voter		on VxCentralScan).	ballots.	Function
9.4-D	Multipage ballots	The voting system must be able to account for multipage ballots.		1	Functional and automated testing confirms	
					that multi-page hand marked paper ballots	
				VxSuite supports multi-page hand	are supported throughout voting system	
				marked paper ballots.	functionality.	System Overview > Hand Marked Ballots

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
	Ballot Secrecy - The voting system protects the secrecy of voters'					
10	ballot selections					
10.1	Ballot secrecy is maintained throughout the voting process			1		
10.1-A	System use of voter information	The voting system must be incapable of accepting, processing, storing, and reporting identifying information about a specific voter.	11.1-B - Voter			
		Francisc include first name lest name address deliver's license and untersection number and other name address deliver's license and untersection number and other name address deliver's license and untersection number and other name address deliver's license and untersection number and other name address deliver's license and untersection number and other name address deliver's license and untersection number and other name address deliver's license and untersection number and other name address deliver's license and untersection number and other name address deliver's license and untersection number and other name address deliver's license and untersection number and other name address delivery license and untersection number and other name address delivery license and untersection number and other name address delivery license and untersection number and other name address delivery license and untersection number and other name address delivery license and untersection number address delivery license and other name address delivery license and untersection number address delivery license and unte	information in log files	-		
		Examples include first name, last name, address, driver's license, and voter registration number and other personally identifiable information (PII). This requirement applies to the voting system itself, as the voting system cannot prevent a voter from self-identifying				
D	Discussion	within write-in fields or other areas of the ballot.				
	The voting system does not contain nor produce records,	The state of the s		1		
	notification, information about the voter, or other election			No personally identifiable		
	artifacts that can be used to associate the voter's identity with			information about a voter is ever		
10.2	the voter's intent, choices, or selections.			inputted into VxSuite.		
10.2.1	Voter associations			Additionally, VxScan shuffles CVRs		
10.2.1-A	Direct voter associations	The voting system must not create or store direct associations between a voter's identity and their ballot.		to preserve voter privacy and		
		A direct voter association would be the voting system storing that John Smith voted for George Washington. Other examples of a direct		VxAdmin reporting flags reports	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
_		association would include tying ballot selections to a social security number, voter identification number, or driver's license number.			no information in the voting system can be	Preserving Voter Privacy; System
D 10.3.1.B	Discussion	(This is not an exhaustive list of direct voter association examples.)		an election official.	associated with a specific voter.	Overview > VxAdmin Results Exports
10.2.1-B	Indirect voter associations	Indirect voter associations must only be used to associate a voter with their encrypted ballot selections.		-		
		Certain channels of voting require indirect associations so that ineligible ballots can be removed before the ballot is read and counted. Some reasons include signature mismatch or death of a voter. The most common example of indirect association would be a randomly				
		generated number. Best practice would ensure that indirect voter associations are only available to authorized election personnel. This				
		requirement only applies to paperless voting systems that also meet the requirements under Guideline 9.1, which states that the voting				
		system must be software independent. During the writing of these requirements, cryptographic EZE verifiable voting systems are a		N/A - VxSuite is not a		
		potential paperless and software independent system that could be applicable for this requirement. Applies to: Cryptographic E2E		cryptographic E2E verifiable		
D	Discussion	verifiable voting system architectures		voting system		
10.2.1-C	Use of indirect voter associations	The voting system must only use indirect voter associations when the option is selected at the beginning of a voting session for				
		situations when a voter needs to fill out a ballot before their eligibility is determined.				
		Certain channels of voting require indirect associations so that ballots can be removed before casting for a variety of reasons including		N/A - VxSuite is not a		
_		signature mismatch or death of a voter. These types of ballots are often considered provisional or recallable ballots. Applies to:		cryptographic E2E verifiable		
D 10.3.1.D	Discussion	Cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system		voting system		
10.2.1-D	Isolated storage location	Ballots that are not cast and contain an indirect association must be separated from cast ballots.		N/A Nasaita ia aata		
		Ballots that contain an indirect association are not considered cast. Cast ballots and ballots having their eligibility considered need to be kept separate from each other. Although not the only way of meeting this requirement, one example would be storing cast ballots in a		N/A - VxSuite is not a cryptographic E2E verifiable		
D	Discussion	different directory from ballots not yet cast. Applies to: Cryptographic E2E verifiable voting architectures		voting system		
10.2.1-E	Removal of indirect voter associations	The voting system must be capable of removing the indirect voter association between a ballot and a voter once that voter is		_ rotting system		
		determined to be eligible.				
		Provisional or recallable ballots may require indirect associations so that ballots can be removed before casting. After a voter's eligibility		1		
		is determined the indirect voter association can be removed and the ballot can be added to collection of cast ballots. In the case of				
		electronic E2E systems, whatever data record provides this association must be removed from the system. Ballots with indirect		N/A - VxSuite is not a		
_		associations are not considered cast until the association is removed. Best practice would ensure that indirect voter associations are		cryptographic E2E verifiable		
D	Discussion	only available to authorized election personnel. Applies to: Cryptographic E2E verifiable voting architectures		voting system		
10.2.1-F	Confidentiality for ballots with indirect voter associations	The voting system must only be capable of decrypting a ballot after any indirect voter association to it has been removed.		-		
		Encryption of the ballot preserves the confidentiality of the voter's ballot selections while the ballot is tied to an indirect association to the voter. The indirect voter association is not encrypted with the ballot. The voting system must not be capable of decrypting a ballot				
		that still has an indirect association to a voter. A possible approach to implement this is by requiring that a decryption key (or set of				
		keys) be entered to decrypt ballots but disallowing input until after all indirect associations have been removed. If the key is present on		N/A - VxSuite is not a		
		the system at the same time as indirect associations, it may be possible for malicious software to decrypt ballots and associate		cryptographic E2E verifiable		
D	Discussion	selections with voters. Applies to: Cryptographic E2E verifiable voting architectures		voting system		
10.2.2	Identification in vote records					
10.2.2-A	Identifiers used for audits	Identifiers used for tying a cast vote record (CVR) and ballot images to physical paper ballots must be distinct from identifiers used for	9.1.5-F - Unique	N/A - VxSuite does not use any		
		indirect associations.	identifier	indirect associations between the		
D	Discussion	For the purpose of these requirements, associations between physical ballots and CVRs are not considered direct or indirect identifiers.		voter's identity and their ballot.		
10.2.2-B	No voter record order information	The voting system must not contain data or metadata associated with the CVR and ballot image files that can be used to determine the				
		order in which ballots votes are cast.		-	Functional and a second second	
		No data or metadata is allowed whether in CVRs and ballot images or elsewhere if that metadata can be used to associate a voter with a record of voter intent. Otherwise, metadata can be useful for verification. For instance, date of creation of record in the voter-facing		VyCsan shuffles CVD arder to	Functional and automated testing confirms that CVR order has no association to a voter	Custom Conviety Auditing Logging
D	Discussion	device might reveal the order of voting. Most other metadata won't be a problem.		VxScan shuffles CVR order to preserve voter privacy.	record.	System Security, Auditing, Logging > Preserving Voter Privacy
10.2.2-C	Identifying information in voter record file names	CVR and ballot image file names must not include any information identifying a voter.		preserve voter privacy.	record.	Freserving voter Frivacy
10.2.2-0	The state of the s	and same make the names mass not metade any information facilitying a votel.			Functional and automated testing confirms	
_				CVR and ballot image file names	no voter identifying information is in file	
D 10.3.3.D	Discussion	This helps to ensure that information that could accidently be used to reference a voter is not used within a file name.		are based on random v4 UUIDs.	names.	System Overview > Cast Vote Records
10.2.2-D	Aggregating and ordering	Aggregated and final totals:		VxAdmin aggregated and final		
				reports contain no voter identifying information and		
				cannot recreate the order they		
				were cast in. The reporting		
				interface also highlights possible		
10.2.2-D.1		must not contain voter identifying information		reporting conditions that could	Functional and automated testing confirms	
10.2.2-D.2		must not be able to recreate the order in which the ballots were cast		violate voter privacy based on	that aggregated and final totals preserve	System Overview > VxAdmin Results
D	Discussion	Voter identifying information includes social security number, voter identification number, or driver's license number.		small volume of votes cast.	voter privacy.	Exports
10.2.2-E	Randomly generated identifiers	Randomly generated identifiers used for audits must use random bit generators specified in the latest revision of NIST SP 800-90 series	9.4-B - Random			
		on random bit generators.	numbers supporting			
			audit processes; 10.2.2-			
			D - Aggregating and ordering			
			lorgering	_		

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Tests	TDP Reference
D 10.2.3	Discussion Access to cast vote records (CVR)	This requirement is important to ensure the use of a cryptographically secure pseudo-random number generator (CSPRNG) and also to ensure any random numbers, such as unique identifiers on a ballot, cannot be used to recreate the order in which a ballot was cast. Recreating the order of cast ballots can cause ballot secrecy issues if a voter's ballot can be identified. To ensure voting system vendors are following the random number generation recommendations in the 800-90 series, they will need to submit to the Cryptographic Module Validation Program (CMVP) and the Cryptographic Algorithm Validation Program (CAVP) for conformance testing. For additional information, see NIST SP 800-90A Rev 1, Recommendation for Random Number Generation Using Deterministic Random Bit Generators [NIST15a] and NIST SP 800-90B, Recommendation for the Entropy Sources Used for Random Bit Generation [NIST18a].		VxSuite utilizes the Node uuid library as a random bit generator, which leverages the operating system's FIPS-compliant OpenSSL implementation that meets NIST requirements.	VotingWorks software code review confirms NIST conforming random bit generation is used.	System Security, Auditing, Logging > System Security Architecture
10.2.3-A	Restrict access to records of voter intent	The voting system must require administrator-level authorization to access the directory or storage location of CVRs, ballot images, and ballot selections.	11.3.1-B - Multi-factor authentication for critical operations; 11.3.1-C - Multi-factor authentication for administrators; 11.4-A - Least privilege for access policies; 11.4-B - Separation of duties	No VxSuite user role has access to the the directory or storage location of these records on a given device's internal disk. These records are redundantly stored on an external USB that is digitally signed and authenticated when imported into VxAdmin. Physical		System Security, Auditing, Logging >
D	Discussion	Cast vote records, ballot images, and ballot selections should be subject to special restrictions on access. Permissions to access these storage locations are limited only to those users who need to access the location. This may be especially essential during voting to protect ballot secrecy and avoid any exposure of results until polls are closed.		access to this USB drive is restricted by a tamper-evident seal.	Functional testing confirms that no user can access the directory or storage location of these records.	Physical Security; System Security, Auditing, Logging > System Security Architecture
10.2.3-B	Digital voter record access log	The voting system must log all access to the directory or storage location for CVRs, ballot images, and ballot selections in addition to logging access to all actions occurring within the system.	11.1-A - Logging activities and resources access			
				The directory and storage location can not be accessed. The application logs when it accesses a storage location through various logs in particular those with a LogEventId starting with "database" and "file." More		
D	Discussion	This ensures that any person, process, or other entity reading, writing, or performing other actions to the electronic audit trail is properly logged. This requirement does not apply when the CVR, ballot images, and ballot selections are stored on removable media and removed from the vote-capture device.		details on all log events can be found in the logging documentation.	Functional testing confirms that no user can access the directory or storage location of these records.	System Security, Auditing, Logging > Logging
10.2.4	Voter information in other devices in artifacts	and amount the role depths derived			unese records.	
10.2.4-A	Voting information in receipts	Receipts produced by cryptographic E2E verifiable voting systems must not contain voter information.		N/A - VxSuite is not a cryptographic E2E verifiable		
D	Discussion	The voting system must not issue a receipt to the voter that would provide proof to another of how the voter voted.		voting system		
10.2.4-B	Logging of ballot selections	Logs and other portions of the audit trail must not contain individual or aggregate ballot selections.			VotingWorks staff manually audit all code changes to ensure that logs containing individual or aggregate ballot selections are not introduced to the system. A final code review audit was performed of all points in the code where a log is emitted to ensure that no ballot selection information could be	
D	Discussion	The voting system needs to be constructed so that the security of the system does not rely upon the secrecy of the event logs. It will be considered routine for event logs to be made available to election officials, and possibly even to the public, if election officials so desire. The system will be designed to permit the election officials to access event logs without fear of negative consequences to the security and integrity of the election. For example, cryptographic secret keys or passwords will not be logged in event log records.		All logs produced by VotingWorks application do not contain individual or aggregate ballot selections.	recorded. Logs are exported from VotingWorks applications in functional testing and checked for unexpected selection information.	System Security, Auditing, Logging > Logging
10.2.4-C	Activation device records	Ballot activation devices must not create or retain information that can be used to identify a voter's ballot, including the order and time at which a voter uses the voting system.		VxMarkScan does not retain information about a voter's ballot. Their selections are are kept in	Functional testing confirms that VxMarkScan	
D	Discussion	Information such as the time the voter arrived at the polls or the specific vote-capture device used by the voter may be used to link a voter with their specific ballot and violates the principle of ballot secrecy.		temporary memory and cleared after each voting session.	records do not include any information that would allow identifying a voter's ballot.	System Overview > VxMarkScan Function

\\\\(CC 2 0 C-+i	Title	Dominion and Discounting Total	Deleted Benedictor	1		TDD D (
VVSG 2.0 Section	Access Control - The voting system authenticates administrators,	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
	users, devices, and services before granting access to sensitive					
11	functions					
	The voting system enables logging, monitoring, reviewing, and					
	modifying of access privileges, accounts, activities, and					
11.1	authorizations.			-		
11.1-A	Logging activities and resource access	The voting system must log any access to, and activities performed on, the voting system, including:				
				VxSuite logs any access to, and		
				activities performed on, the		
				voting system. A description of the logs and all of the events		
11.1-A.1		timestamps for all log entries		logged can be found in the logging		
11.1-A.2		all failed and successful attempts to access the voting system		documentation. The system does		
11.1-A.3		all events which change the access control system including policies, privileges, accounts, users, groups or roles, and authentication		not allow access to the underlying		
		methods In the event of an error or incident, the user access log can assist in narrowing down the reason for the incident or error. Timestamped		operating system's access control system. Authentication related		
		log entries will allow for easy auditing and review of access to the voting system. Access control logging supports accountability of		events that occur within the	VotingWorks functional and automated	
		actions by identifying and authenticating users. Groups are a collection of users that are assigned a specific set of permissions. Roles are		application result have logs	testing confirms that log entries are created	
		an identity that is given specific permissions and can be assigned to a user. Any changes to the permissions assigned to groups and roles		beginning with "auth-" or "smart-	for all such events and the log entries are	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
D	Discussion	should be logged to identify updates to a user's privileges.	1011	card-". All logs have timestamps.	included in exported logs.	Logging
11.1-B	Voter information in log files	The voting system must not log any voter identifying information.	10.1-A - System use of		performed of all points in the code where a	
			voter information; 10.2.4-B - Logging of	All logs produced by VxSuite	log is emitted to ensure that no voter identifying information could be recorded.	
			ballot selections	applications do not contain any	Logs are exported from VotingWorks	
		The logging and storing of voter identifying information after a ballot is cast potentially violates voter privacy and ballot secrecy.		information that could identify a	applications in functional testing and checked	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
D	Discussion	Examples of voter identifying information include first name, last name, address, driver's license, and voter registration number.		voter or tie a voter to a ballot.	for unexpected identifying information.	Logging
11.1-C	Preserving log integrity	The voting system must prevent:				
11.1-C.1		the logging capability from being disabled		The configuration of the system does not allow for changing the		
11.1-C.2		the log entries from being modified in an undetectable manner		configuration of logging, or		
11.1-C.3		The deletion of logs; with the exception of log rotation		changing or deleting any existing		
		This requirement promotes the integrity of the information logged by ensuring all activities are logged. Additionally, it prevents these		log entries. The logging protocol,		
		abilities from being an option within the user interface. This requirement promotes the integrity of the information logged by ensuring		rsyslog, is configured such that		
		all activities are not modifiable. The removal of logs is only appropriate for log rotation, which is when the stored logs are rotated out to create more space for continuous logging. The voting system should be capable of rotating the event log data to manage log file growth.		logs are never deleted, other than	VotingWorks penetration testing confirms	
		Log file rotation may involve regular (e.g., hourly, nightly, or weekly) moving of an existing log file to some other file name and/or		are rotated after a compressed	that the logging configuration cannot be	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
D	Discussion	location and starting fresh with an empty log file. Preserved log files may be compressed to save storage space.		copy is created.	modified on a locked down device.	Logging
11.1-D	On-demand access to logs	The voting system must provide administrators access to logs on demand, allowing for continuous monitoring and periodic review.		on demand at any time. They can	testing confirms that interfaces include an	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
5		Enabling administrators to export and review the logs is a useful feature. Continuous monitoring and review of access control logs gives		save all logs, only error logs, or	option to export logs and those logs are	Logging; User Manual > Retaining and
D	Discussion The voting system limits the access of users roles and processes	the administrator the opportunity to analyze and make changes to permissions and privileges, and quickly identify issues.		the logs in a CDF format.	successfully exported.	Removing Files
	The voting system limits the access of users, roles, and processes to the specific functions and data to which each entity holds					
11.2	authorized access.					
11.2.1	Authorized access					
11.2.1-A	Ensuring authorized access	The voting system must allow only authorized users to access the voting system.		VxSuite applications can only be		
				accessed with the use of certified,		
				programmed smart cards created by the system administrator.	VotingWorks functional and automated	
				1 ' '	testing confirms that applications cannot be	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
				I .	I used without a smart card or with invalid	System Security Architecture > Access
				by an authorized poll worker.	smart cards.	Control
D	Discussion	Authorized users include voters, election officials, and election workers.		1		
11.2.1-B	Modifying authorized user lists	The voting system must allow only an administrator to create or modify the list of authorized users.		Only system administrators sa-	VotingWorks functional and automated	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
				Only system administrators can program, unprogram, or modify	testing confirms options to manage smart cards are only exposed to system	System Security Architecture > Access Control; User Manual > Smart Cards and
				smart cards for authentication.	administrators.	User Roles
D	Discussion	This requirement assists with ensuring only authorized users are given access to the voting system.]		
11.2.1-C	Access control by voting stage (Table 11-1 - Voting Stage	The voting system access control mechanisms must distinguish at least the following voting stages from Table 11-1:				
	Descriptions)					
11.2.1-C.1		Pre-voting - Loading, and configuring device software, maintenance, loading election-specific files, preparing for election day usage		VxSuite user roles are given		
11.2.1-C.2 11.2.1-C.3		Activated - Activating the ballot, printing, casting, spoiling the ballot		specific permissions by these	VotingWorks functional and automated	
11.2.1-0.3		Suspended - Occurring when an election official suspends voting		voting stages that adhere to the	testing confirms that user role permissions	

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
11.2.1-C.4		Post-voting - Closing polls, tabulating votes, printing records		access control requirements of	are distinguished by the steps associated with	
D 11.2.1-D	Discussion Access control configuration	The groups or roles in 11.2-H (Table 11- 2) will be given specific permissions which can be affected by the voting stage (Table 11-1). The voting system must allow only an administrator to configure the permissions and functionality for each identity, group or role, or process to include account and group or role creation, modification, disablement, and deletion.		11.2. Only system administrators can create other system administrator cards, which allow programming	each voting stage. VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms options to manage smart cards are only exposed to system	Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Access Control; User Manual > Smart Cards and
D	Discussion	For vote-capture devices, it is possible for each group or role to have (or not have) permissions for every voting stage. Additionally, the permissions that a group or role has for a voting stage can be restricted to certain functions. Table 3 shows an example matrix of group/role to system to voting state access rights; the table is not meant to include all activities. This requirement extends [VVSG2005] I.7.2.1.1-a by allowing configuration flexibility for permissions and functionality for each identity or group/role. Privileged accounts include any accounts within the operating system, voting device software, or other third-party software with elevated privileges such as administrator, root, and maintenance accounts. This requirement extends [VVSG2005] I.7.2.1.2 by allowing the creation and disabling of privileged accounts. An administrator is the only user authorized to make major changes within a voting system. Administrators are given this group or role to ensure all other users have proper access to the information necessary to perform their duties.		other smart cards.	administrators.	User Roles
11.2.1-E	Administrator modified permissions	The voting system must allow only an administrator to create or modify permissions assigned to specific groups or roles.			VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that only system administrators can authenticate on VxAdmin before system settings are loaded.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Access Control; System Overview > Election Package
D	Discussion	The administrator's authority to create or modify permissions restricts users from gaining unauthorized permissions.		to modify authentication settings.	before system settings are loaded.	rackage
11.2.1-F	Authorized assigning groups or roles	The voting system must allow only an administrator to create or assign the groups or roles.	11.2.2-B - Minimum groups or roles	Only system administrators can program, unprogram, or modify smart cards for authentication.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms options to manage smart cards are only exposed to system administrators.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Access Control; System Overview > User Roles; User Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles
D	Discussion	Table 2 is a list of groups or roles that need to be included within the voting system.				
11.2.2	Role-based access control					
11.2.2-A	Role-based access control standard	Voting systems that implement role-based access control must support the recommendations for Core Role Based Access Control (RBAC) in the ANSI INCITS 359-2004 American National Standard for Information Technology – Role Based Access Control [ANSI04] document.		VxSuite's authentication model supports the recommendations in the referenced document in the following ways: maintaining a clear and simple mapping of users to roles based on their responsibilities; assigning permissions to roles and then users to roles, rather than assigning permissions directly to users; establishing a simple hierarchy where higher-level roles manage lower-level roles; preventing users from having multiple roles; limiting lower-level		Costons Cognition Audition 9 Longing
				roles to specific contexts (elections) that expire; and providing simple user- management tools.	VotingWorks staff reviewed the authentication system in reference to the specified standard.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Access Control; System Overview > User Roles; User Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles
D	Discussion	This requirement extends [VVSG2005] I. 7.2.1.1-a by requiring role-based methods to follow ANSI INCITS 359-2004 [ANSI04].			·	
11.2.2-B	Minimum groups or roles (Table 11-2 - Minimum voting system groups or roles for RBAC)	At minimum, voting systems that implement RBAC must define groups or roles with the role descriptions within Table 11-2.				
11.2.2-B.1	groups or roles for hone/	Administrator - Can update and configure the voting devices and troubleshoots system problems.		The system administrator can configure VxAdmin and perform diagnostics on all machines.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that system administrators can authenticate and access diagnostics at any time.	System Overview > Diagnostics; System Overview > User Roles; User Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles; User Manual > [Component] Diagnostics
11.2.2-B.2		Voter - A restricted process in the vote-capture device. It allows the vote-capture device to enter the activated state for voting activities.		Voters can only use VxMarkScan or VxScan when it the polls have been opened by an authenticated poll worker. Additionally, VxMarkScan voting sessions must	VotingWorks functional and automated	System Overview > User Roles; System
				be activated by an authenticated	testing confirms that ballots cannot be cast	Overview > VxScan Function; System
11.2.2-B.3		Election Worker - Has the ability to open the polls, close the polls, recover from errors, and generate reports; Checks in voters and activates the ballot style; Loads ballot definition files.		poll worker. The role "election worker" maps to VotingWorks "election manager" and "poll worker" because the two roles must be separated for finer access control.	when polls are not open.	Overview > VxMarkScan Function
				The poll worker can manage the polls, print poll reports, and recover from most errors. The election manager can load ballot definition files and perform setup	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that election managers can configure devices, print reports, and troubleshoot devices and poll workers can	System Overview > User Roles; User Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles;
				and testing procedures.	manage polls and print polls reports.	User Manual
D 11 2 2 C	Discussion Minimum group or role permissions	Table 11-2 is a baseline list of groups or roles to be included in the voting system. At minimum, the voting system must use the groups or roles from Table 11.2 – Minimum voting system groups or roles for PRAC and		and testing procedures.	manage polls and print polls reports.	User Manual
D 11.2.2-C	Discussion Minimum group or role permissions	Table 11-2 is a baseline list of groups or roles to be included in the voting system. At minimum, the voting system must use the groups or roles from Table 11-2 – Minimum voting system groups or roles for RBAC and the voting stages from Table 11-1 – Voting stage descriptions, to assign the minimum permissions in Table 11-3. Administrator		and testing procedures. The system administrator can	manage polls and print polls reports. VotingWorks functional and automated	User Manual

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
11.2.2-C.1.b		System - Electronic BMD; Pre-Voting - Full Access; Activated - Full Access; Suspended - Full Access; Post-Voting - Full Access		configuration, or perform	can authenticate into any machine at any	Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles;
11.2.2-C.1.c		System - Voter-Facing Scanner; Pre-Voting - Full Access; Activated - Full Access; Suspended - Full Access; Post-Voting - Full Access		diagnostics at any time.	time to perform necessary functions.	User Manual
11.2.2-C.2		Voter				
11.2.2-C.2.a		System - EMS		There is no voter mode or poll worker access on VxAdmin.		
11.2.2-C.2.b		System - Electronic BMD; Activated - Vote and cast ballots				
11.2.2 0.2.5		Specific Electronic Sings, Activated Voic unit cast stated		Voter modes are not authenticated but managed as		System Overview > User Roles; User
				application state. Entering voter	VotingWorks functional and automated	Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles;
11.2.2-C.2.c		System - Voter-Facing Scanner; Activated - Ballot submission		modes requires poll worker	testing confirms that voters are limited to	User Manual; System Overview >
				authentication.	interacting with precinct devices in voting modes enabled by an authenticated user.	VxMarkScan Function; System Overview > VxScan Function
11.2.2-C.3		Election Worker			modes endored by an addressed does	TAGEST CITED OF
11.2.2-C.3.a		System - EMS; Pre-Voting - Define and load election programming; Post-voting - Reconcile provisional or challenged ballots, write-ins,		The election manager can		
		generate reports		adjudicate ballots, generate		
				reports, and export election		
				programming from VxAdmin to load on other devices.		
11.2.2-C.3.b		System - Electronic BMD; Pre-Voting - Open polls, L&A Activated - Close or suspend polls, Recover from errors, Activate ballot and		The poll worker manages the polls		
		cancel unvoted ballots; Suspended - Exit suspended state; Post-Voting - Generate reports		and activating ballots. The		
				election manager manages modes		
				for L&A, and has access to		
				diagnostics for additional		
11.2.2-C.3.c		System - Voter-Facing Scanner; Pre-Voting - Open polls, L&A Activated - Recover from errors; Suspended - Exit suspended state; Post-		troubleshooting. The poll worker manages the polls		
11.2.2 C.5.0		Voting - Generate reports		and activating ballots. The		
				election manager manages modes		
				for L&A, various configuration	VotingWorks functional and automated	
				settings, and has access to	testing confirms that election managers and	System Overview > User Roles; User
				diagnostics for additional troubleshooting.	poll workers are can perform all listed functions.	Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles; User Manual
		Table 11-3 – Minimum permissions for each group or role defines the minimum functions according to user, voting stage, and system.		troubleshooting.	functions.	Oser Marida
D	Discussion	Other capabilities can be defined as needed by Jurisdiction.				
11.2.2-D	Applying permissions	The voting system must be capable of applying assigned groups or roles and permissions to authorized users.		administrators to assign roles to	VotingWorks functional and automated	
		Once the user is assigned a group or role, the voting system needs to be capable of making the necessary changes to the user's			testing confirms that system administrators	System Overview > User Roles; User
D	Discussion	permissions. The permissions are changed based on the assigned group or role.		and providing smart cards.	can create valid smart cards.	Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles
	The voting system supports strong, configurable authentication mechanisms to verify the identities of authorized users and					
	includes multi-factor authentication mechanisms for critical					
11.3	operations					
11.3.1	Access control mechanisms					
11.3.1-A	Access control mechanism application	The voting system must use access control mechanisms to permit authorized access or prevent unauthorized access to the voting				
		system. Access controls support the following concepts: limiting the actions of users, groups or roles, and processes to those that are			VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that critical aspects of the	
		authorized; limiting entities to the functions for which they are authorized; limiting entities to the data for which they are authorized;		VxSuite uses an access control	system cannot be accessed without valid	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
		and accountability of actions by identifying and authenticating users. Most modern operating systems natively provide configurable		system to prevent unauthorized	authentication in the form of a smart card	System Security Architecture > Access
D	Discussion	access control mechanisms that the voting system application can use.		access to the voting system.	programmed by VxAdmin.	Control
11.3.1-B	Multi-factor authentication for critical operations		8.4-A - Usability testing			
112101		critical operations, including:	with election workers	N/A McCuite deserted		
11.3.1-B.1		runtime software updates to the certified voting system		N/A - VxSuite does not support runtime software updates so the		
				requirement does not apply.		
11.3.1-B.2		aggregation and tabulation		1	VotingWorks functional and automated	
				Aggregation and tabulation	testing confirms that MFA is required for	
				require multi-factor election	election managers to log in for aggregation	System Overview > User Roles; User
11.3.1-B.3		enabling network functions		manager authentication. N/A - This requirement does not	and tabulation at VxAdmin.	Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles
11.3.1-0.3		enabling network functions		apply because network functions		
				cannot be enabled.		
11.3.1-B.4		changing device states, including opening and closing the polls		Opening or closing the polls		
				requires poll worker	VotingWorks functional and automated	
				authentication, which can be	testing confirms that MFA is required for poll	
				multi-factor if set in the system settings by the system	workers to open or close polls if arePollWorkerCardPinsEnabled is true in the	System Overview > User Roles; User Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles;
				administrator.	system settings.	System Overview > Election Package
11.3.1-B.5		deleting or modifying the CVRs and ballot images	1	Deleting or modifying the CVRs	VotingWorks functional and automated	-, dienien Lieuwin denage
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		and ballot images requires multi-	testing confirms that MFA is required for	
					election managers or system administrators	System Overview > User Roles; User
				administrator authentication.	to clear any election data.	Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles
11.3.1-B.6		modifying authentication mechanisms		N/A - Authentication mechanisms		
			1	cannot be changed.		

10.00000 ii				1		
VVSG 2.0 Section	Title Discussion	Requirement/Discussion Text NIST SP 800-63-3, Digital Identity Guidelines [NIST17c] provides additional information useful in meeting this requirement. NIST SP 800-63-3 defines multi-factor authentication (MFA) as follows: "An authentication system that requires more than one distinct authentication factor for successful authentication. Multi-factor authentication can be performed using a multi-factor authenticator or by a combination of authenticators that provide different factors. The three authentication factors are something you know, something you have, and something you are. Multifactor authenticators include, but are not limited to the following: Username & password Smartcard (for example, voter access card) iButton Biometric authentication (for example, fingerprint) Multi-factor authenticators can be tested for usability to ensure an appropriate balance of security, usability, and functionality. A significant impact to usability may require revision of the multi-factor authenticator implementation.	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
11.3.1-C	Multi-factor authentication for administrators	The voting system must authenticate the administrator with a multi-factor authentication mechanism.		election manager authentication	VotingWorks functional and automated	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
D	Discussion	This requirement extends [VVSG2005] I.7.2.1.2-e by requiring multi-factor authentication for the voting system administrator group or role.			testing confirms that MFA is required for system administrators to log in on any device	System Security Architecture > Access
11.3.2	User authentication credentials				,	
11.3.2-A	Username and password management	If the voting system uses a username and password authentication method, the voting system must allow only the administrator to enforce password strength, histories, and expiration.		Users do not use a username and password authentication method, instead relying on smart cards and PINs.		System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Password and Credential Policies
D	Discussion	This requirement extends [VVSG2005] I.7.2.1.2-e by requiring strong passwords, password histories, and password expiration.				
11.3.2-B	Password complexity	The voting system must, at minimum, meet the password complexity requirements within the latest version of NIST SP 800-63B Digital Identity Guidelines standards.		Smart card passwords are six-digit PINs in conformance with the guideline for memorized secrets randomly generated by a CSP described in the referenced document.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that all PINs are randomly generated six-digit numbers.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Access Control
D	Discussion	NIST SP 800-63B [NIST17d] does not specify any additional password complexity requirements besides password length. At the time of this writing, the only recommended password complexity requirement is a minimum password length of 8 characters. NIST SP 800-63B also recommends that if a password is provided to the user it may be 6 characters and all numeric. NIST's password complexity recommendations are meant to make it easier for users to memorize their passwords, while decreasing user frustration.				
11.3.2-C	Secure storage of authentication data	The voting system must store authentication data in a way that ensures confidentiality and integrity are preserved.		Smart card PINs are stored on the cards themselves. The software on the card will only confirm the PIN with a certified VotingWorks device. The hardware is tamperevident to prevent directly extracting the information.	VotingWorks penetration testing confirms that PINs cannot be extracted from a smart card with an uncertified device.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Access Control
D	Discussion	Ensuring the confidentiality of stored authentication data (such as passwords) may involve the use of cryptography. The best practice at the time of this writing is to store a salted, one-way hash of passwords. Additional guidance for protecting authentication data can be found in NIST SP 800-63B, Digital Identity Guidelines [NIST17d].		extracting the information.	card with an uncertified device.	Control
11.3.2-D	Password disallow list	The voting system must compare all passwords against a manufacturer-specified list of well-known weak passwords and disallow the use of these weak passwords.		Generated PINs avoid weak PINs such as 000000 or 123456.	VotingWorks automated testing confirms that weak PINs are skipped when randomly generated.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Access Control
D	Discussion	Examples of common weak passwords include 0000, 1111, 1234.				
11.3.2-E	Usernames within passwords	The voting system must ensure that the username is not used in the password.		The requirement does not apply because there is no username associated with the password.		System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Access Control
D	Discussion	This requirement extends by restricting the use of usernames and related information in passwords.				
11.4	The voting system's default access control policies enforce the principles of least privilege and separation of duties					
11.4-A	Least privilege for access policies	By default, the voting system must implement the principle of least privilege including denying access to functions and data unless explicitly permitted.		VxSuite implements the principle of least privilege.		System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Defense- in-Depth and Least Privilege
D	Discussion	This requirement extends [VVSG2005] I.7.2.1.2-a by requiring explicit authorization of subjects based on access control policies. At the time of this writing, NIST SP 800-12 [NIST17e] defines "least privilege" as "the principle that a security architecture should be designed so that each entity is granted the minimum system resources and authorizations that the entity needs to perform its function." Network access will also follow the principle of least privilege to ensure that devices only receive as much access as is necessary to perform the desired function.				
11.4-B	Separation of duties	Voting system documentation must include suggested practices for dispersing critical operations across multiple groups or roles.		The user manual describes how system administrators should assign roles describes their permissions.	VotingWorks staff review all documentation.	User Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles
D	Discussion	Guidance for implementing separation of duties within the voting system is imperative to implement the separation of duties principle. Separation of duties is meant to divide user functions and roles so that there is no conflict of interest.				
11.5	Logical access to voting system assets are revoked when no longer required					
11.5-A	Session time limits	The voting system must enable an administrator the ability to do the following:	11.5-B - Reauthentication	The system administrator can control the following through attributes in the system settings file imported into VxAdmin:	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
11.5-A.1		set the maximum time limit for a user's session		overallSessionTimeLimitHours	the system respects the session limits	System Security Architecture > Access
11.5-A.2		set the maximum time limit for user inactivity		inavtiveSessionTimeLimitMinutes	specified in the system settings.	Control; User Manual > Election Package
		NIST SP 800-63B [NIST17d] recommends a max session time of 12 hours regardless of inactivity and a max inactivity time of 30 minutes. Elections consist of temporary employees and user access may only be required during an election. A user's access may expire and				
D	Discussion	terminate automatically at the end of an election.				

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
11.5-B	Reauthentication	The voting system must require reauthentication of an authorized user after the administrator-specified time limit for the user's session or for user inactivity.	7.2-O - Inactivity alerts; 11.5-A - Session time limits	All applications automatically log out the user after the inactivity period specified in the system settings, at which point the user must re-insert their card and reauthenticate.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirm that the user is logged out after the specified time-limits.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Access Control
D	Discussion	After authentication, a user's access to a voting system will time-out after a specified period of time. This will avoid unauthorized access to the voting system by unauthorized users. Once a user's access has timed-out, the user will have to re-authenticate to continue using the voting system. For voters, session times are specified under requirement 7.2-O – Inactivity alerts. For more information, see NIST SP 800-63B [NIST17d].				
11.5-C	Account lockout	The voting system must lockout roles or individuals after an administrator-specified number of consecutive failed authentications attempts.		Users are locked out after failing authentication a certain number of times, defined by the numlncorrectPinAttemptsAllowed BeforeCardLockout in the system settings.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirm that the user is locked out after the specified number of failed authentication attempts.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Access Control; User Manual > Election Package
D	Discussion	This requirement prevents certain classes of password guessing attacks. This requirement can be implemented using a technique such as exponential backoff. NIST SP800-63B recommends allowing 5-10 attempts before starting exponential backoff. Exponential backoff requires that after each unsuccessful authentication attempt, the time period before another authentication attempt can be made grows exponentially. For instance: The wait after 1 unsuccessful authentication attempt is 0 seconds; The wait after 2 unsuccessful attempts is 2 seconds; The wait after 3 unsuccessful attempts is 4 seconds, and so on.				
11.5-D	Lockout time duration	The voting system must allow only an administrator to define the lockout duration.		The system administrator can set the initial lockout duration in the system settings with the startingCardLockoutDurationSeco nds attribute.	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that the system respects the lockout duration specified in the system settings.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Access Control; User Manual > Election Package
D	Discussion	This requirement extends [VVSG2005] I.7.2.1.2 by allowing the administrator flexibility in configuring the account lockout policy. The lockout policy should not lockout voters.				

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
	Physical Security - The voting system prevents or detects				-	
12	attempts to tamper with voting system hardware The voting system supports mechanisms to detect unauthorized					
12.1	physical access.					
12.1-A	Unauthorized physical access	Any unauthorized physical access to voting systems must leave physical evidence that an unauthorized event has taken place.				
		Access points such as covers and panels need to be secured by locks or other mechanisms that leave physical evidence in case of		McCuite and a section of the standard		
		tampering or unauthorized access. Manufacturers can provide for and recommend a combination of procedures and physical measures that allow election officials to differentiate authorized from unauthorized access during all modes of operation, such as a system that		VxSuite components include tamper-evident seal points to		
		relies on tamper evident tape, seals, or tags coded with consecutive serial numbers. Other systems might use seals incorporating radio		control access during storage or		
		frequency identification devices with physically unclonable functions or other technology in the future. This requirement extends		operation and leave physical	VotingWorks function testing confirms that	
D	Discussion	[VVSG2005] I.7.3.1 by requiring that any tampering with a device leave physical evidence. [VVSG2005] I.7.3.1 states that any tampering should be detectable using manufacturer-specified procedures and measures.		evidence that an unauthorized event has taken place.	components cannot be access when seals are placed through seal points.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > Physical Security
12.1-B	Unauthorized physical access alert	Voter-facing scanners and electronic BMDs must produce an alert if access to a restricted voting device component is detected during	11.2.1-C - Access	printer cover is open during an	VotingWorks functional and automated	Thysical Security
		the activated voting stage.	control by voting stage	activated voting stage,	testing confirm an alert is produced when the	· ·
D	Discussion	This alert is meant to call attention to election workers in the polling place. More information about the activated stage is defined in Table 11-1.		VxMarkScan produces a visual and audible alert.	d printer cover is opened while polls are opened and no user is authenticated.	Function; System Security, Auditing & Logging > Physical Security
12.1-C	Disconnecting a physical device	Voter-facing scanners and electronic BMDs must produce an alert if a connected component is physically disconnected during the		on precinct devices that can be	opened and no discrib additenticated.	Logging > 1 mysical security
		activated voting stage.		disconnected is a USB drive on		
		An alert can be provided in the form of an alarm to provide an audible and/or visual alert. Examples of connected components include		VxScan. A visual and audible alert is fired when a USB drive is	VotingWorks functional and automated	User Manual > VxScan Error Messages;
		printers, removable storage devices, and mechanisms used for networking. If a token is necessary for normal operation, such as a memory card or other device granting a voter access to the voting system, it is not necessary to trigger the alert. More information on		disconnected during an activated	•	System Security, Auditing & Logging >
D	Discussion	the activated stage is defined in Table 11-1.		voting stage.	this case.	Physical Security
12.1-D	Logging of physical connections and disconnections	The voting system must log when a voter-facing scanner, electronic BMD, or other component is connected or disconnected during the	11.2.1-C - Access	connect-to-pat-input-init, usb-		
		activated voting stage.	control by voting stage; 15.1-D - Logging event	device-change-detected. If the entire machine is powered on or	Voting Works functional and automated	
			types	off there will be a machine-boot	testing confirm that the relevant logs are generated and are included in the exported	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
D	Discussion	Logging of the devices is vital for determining cause and providing incident information if a physical security event occurs.		or machine-shutdown log.	logs.	Logging
12.1-E	Secure containers	Unauthorized physical access to a container that stores or transports voting system records must result in physical evidence that an unauthorized event has taken place.				
		The goal is to ensure that election workers or observers would easily notice if someone has tampered with the container. This		All VxSuite componetns have		
		requirement can be achieved through locks or seals as a part of tamper evidence and tamper resistance countermeasures described by		tamper-evident seals to provide	VotingWorks functional testing confirms	
		the use procedures and supplied by the manufacturer. Additionally, to support the requirements in Principle 9-Auditable, containers		physical evidence that	when tamper-evident seals are installed,	Contain Consults Audition Charling
D	Discussion	which hold either paper or electronic voting system records needed for audits need to be secure against physical access. An example of a physical container includes ballot boxes integrated and sold as part of the voting system. Applies to: Voter-facing scanners, BMDs		unauthorized access has taken place.	cases cannot be opened without breaking or defacing the seal.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > Physical Security
12.1-F	Secure locking systems	If the voting system uses locks it must support locking systems for securing voting devices that are flexible enough to support different		Piacei	detailing the sean	,s.ca. seca,
		keying schemes, including a scheme that can make use of keys that are unique to each owner.				
		A lock used on the voting system can be evaluated against UL437 door locks and locking cylinders requirements. See [UL13] for UL listing for door locks and locking cylinders within the standard to review requirements for lockpicking and the attack resistance tests. The use				
		of a single key used to unlock thousands of precinct-based voting devices makes for a challenging security situation, as copies of this				
		single key design are distributed to a large number of individuals. This creates a situation in which the key can be easily lost or stolen,				
		and subsequently copied. At the same time, this situation does make key management significantly easier for election officials. To alleviate this situation, election officials might want keying schemes that are more or less restrictive in accordance with their election				
		management practices and needs. This system can make use of replicable locks or cylinders, mechanisms which allow for rekeying of				
		locks, or other technologies. The requirement does not mandate a unique key for each piece of voting equipment but requires				
D	Discussion	manufacturers to be able to provide unique keys for the voting equipment if requested by election officials. System owners need to establish procedures for issues such as key reproduction, use, and storage.		N/A - VxSuite does not use locks.		
12.1-G	Backup power for power-reliant countermeasures	If the voting system uses a powered physical security countermeasure, that physical countermeasure must maintain its state when		N/A - VASuite does not use locks.		
		power is removed and must have a backup power supply. In addition, switching from primary power supply to backup power supply:				
12.1-G.1		produces an alert				
12.1-G.2		happens automatically when primary power is unavailable				
12.1-G.3		generates an event log entry, if possible				
		This ensures that the countermeasure isn't disabled or intentionally circumvented by a power failure. Switching to the backup power supply triggers an alarm that alerts an election worker to the issue so that any problem can be further diagnosed and eventually				
		resolved. The alarm can be visible and audible. Once primary power is unavailable, the switch to back up power should be automatic to				
		avoid any gaps in functionality if the switch must be done manually. If the physical countermeasure leverages the voting system's				
		operating system, it can create an event log entry when it is switched to backup power. The log entry information is security relevant,		N/A - VxSuite does not use		
D	Discussion	especially once a security incident has occurred, and would be useful when determining cause. Alternatively, the voting system should log when there is a switch from backup power to the primary power supply.		powered physical security countermeasures.		
	The voting system only exposes physical ports and access points					
12.2	that are essential to voting operations.			ļ. <u>.</u> .		
12.2-A	Physical port and access least functionality	The voting system must only expose physical ports and access points that are essential to voting operations, testing, and auditing. Examples of ports are USB and RJ45 physical network interfaces. Examples of access points are doors, and panels, and vents. Voting		voting operations. Non essential exposed ports (RJ45 ports) have	VotingWorks quality assurance checks during production ensure that all RJ45 ports have	System Security, Auditing & Logging >
D	Discussion	operations include voting device upgrades and maintenance.		port blockers installed.	port blockers installed.	Physical Security
12.2-B	Physical port auto-disable	If a physical connection that supports digital communication between voting system components is broken during an activated or				•
		suspended state, the affected voting system port must be automatically disabled.		N/A - there are no norts that		
		Automatically disabling will require an election worker's attention to re-enable and re-attach any cabling. This remediation is required for continuity and to address any tampering. An added feature could be that the specific election worker performing maintenance is		N/A - there are no ports that support digital communication		
		uniquely identified within the logs, but this is not required. This requirement does not include power cabling with a backup power		between voting system		
D	Discussion	supply or analog accessibility device ports that are used during the activated voting stage.		components.		
12.2-C	Physical port restriction	Voting systems must restrict physical access to voting system ports that accommodate removable media, with the exception of ports used to activate a voting session.				
		asea to activate a voting session.	1	_		

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
		Physical port access needs to be restricted when not in use. This requirement is not meant to impede the use of accessible technology.				
		This requirement assists in restricting adversaries from adding wireless adapters or other malicious adapters to the voting system.				
		Although voting systems can have ports dedicated to voting operations outside of election day activities, those ports need not be				
		exposed while balloting is in progress. Removable media (such as Floppy, CD or DVD drives, thumb drives, and memory cards) might be			VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
		essential to voting operations during pre-voting and post-voting phases of the voting cycle, such as machine upgrade, maintenance, and		All USB ports that are used for	all USB ports are located behind restricted	System Security, Auditing & Logging >
		testing. Therefore, all removable media should be accessible only to authorized personnel. They should not be accessible to voters		removable media are located in	tamper-evident physical locations when all	Physical Security; System Security,
		during activated and suspended phases of the voting cycle. It is essential that any removable drives, whether or not they are used by the		restricted tamper-evident physical	procedural and operational security	Auditing & Logging > Procedural and
D	Discussion	system, are not accessed without detection.		locations.	documentation is followed.	Operational Security
12.2-D	Disabling ports	Voting systems must allow authorized administrators to logically put physical ports into a disabled state.		ports are by default logically	essential ports are disabled at the point of	System Security, Auditing & Logging;
D	Discussion	Logically disabling ports prevents unused ports from being used as a staging point for an attack on the voting system.		disabled on all devices.	production.	quality-assurance/production
12.2-E	Logging enabled and disabled ports	An event log entry that identifies the name of the affected device must be generated when physical ports are enabled or disabled.	15.1-D - Logging event	staff through modifying the BIOS	VotingWorks staff can logically put physical	
			types	configuration of the device. Log	ports into a disabled state and that syslog	
		Whether a port is disabled or not is security relevant, especially once a security incident has occurred, and this information would be		entries in syslog document the	documents the state of enabled/disabled	System Security, Auditing & Logging;
		useful when determining cause. 12.2-C – Physical port restriction applies to physical restrictions, whereas 12.2-D – Disabling ports		state of enabled/disabled ports	ports after BIOS reconfiguration.	quality-assurance/production
D	Discussion	discusses logical disabling of ports.		after any BIOS reconfiguration.		

VVSG 2.0 Section	n Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
13	Data Protection - The voting system protects data from unauthorized access, modification, or deletion					
	The voting system prevents unauthorized access to or manipulation of configuration data, cast vote records,					
13.1.1	transmitted data, or audit records. Configuration file			+		
13.1.1-A	Authentication to access configuration file	The voting system must allow only authenticated system administrators to access and modify voting device configuration files.	11.2.1-A - Ensuring authorized access	Only system administrators can access the screen to import the initial unsigned election package into VxAdmin. Election packages exported from VxAdmin to configure other machines are digitially signed and cannot be modified. If a signed election		User Manual > Smart Cards and User
				package is modified, election package authentication on import will fail, and machines will refuse to import the election package.		Roles; User Manual > Configure VxAdmin; System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Artifact Authentication
D	Discussion	Voting system configuration files can include operating system and voting system application configuration files. These files can have a large impact on how the voting system functions and what election logic is being used. Therefore, accidental or malicious modification can have a large impact on the system and access to these files should be restricted to authorized individuals. Applies to: Vote-capture and tabulation system				
13.1.1-B	Authentication to access configuration file on EMS	The EMS must uniquely authenticate individuals associated with the role of system administrator before allowing them to access and modify EMS configuration files.		Only system administrators can access the screen to import the initial unsigned election package into VxAdmin. Election packages exported from VxAdmin to configure other machines are digitially signed and cannot be modified. If a signed election package is modified, election package authentication on import		User Manual > Smart Cards and User Roles; User Manual > Configure VxAdmin; System Security, Auditing, &
				will fail, and machines will refuse to import the election package.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms this behavior.	Logging > System Security Architecture > Artifact Authentication
			11.3.1-C - Multi-factor authentication for			
D	Discussion	EMS configuration files can include operating system and voting system application configuration files. These files can have a large impact on how an EMS tabulates and reports election results. Therefore, accidental or malicious modification can have a large impact on the system and access to these files should be restricted to authorized individuals. Applies to: EMS workstation	administrators; 15.1-E - Configuration file access log			
13.1.1-C		Network appliances must uniquely authenticate individuals before allowing them to access and modify configuration files.	11.3.1-A - Access control mechanism application	N/A: There are no network appliances so the requirement does not apply.		System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Networking
D	Discussion	Network appliances, such as firewalls, routers, switches, and VPN gateways are generally configurable. Individually authenticating users to the device, in lieu of using a shared password, is a standard practice for restricting access to these devices. Applies to: Network appliance				
13.1.2	Election records					
13.1.2-A	Integrity protection for election records	The voting system must integrity prevent modification of CVRs and ballot images when they are stored anywhere within the voting system.	election records; 13.2-B	Cast vote record exports are digitially signed. On VxAdmin import, the records are authenticated. If an export doesn't have a matching signature, VxAdmin will refuse to import it.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that a cast vote record export without a matching signature cannot be loaded into VxAdmin.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Artifact Authentication
D	Discussion	Applying access control can help prevent any unauthorized modifications to CVRs and ballot images. Applying integrity protection ensures that any unauthorized modifications to CVRs and ballot images can be detected. For example, ballot images can be integrity protected using a private key maintained in a Hardware Security Module and a cryptographic signature of the image.			be loaded into Visitaliin.	Advicación
42.2	The source and integrity of electronic tabulation reports are	,, ,, ,		1		
13.2 13.2-A	verifiable Signing stored election records	Cast vote records and ballot images must be digitally signed when stored and before being transmitted.		Cast vote record exports are digitially signed. On VxAdmin import, the records are		
				authenticated. If an export doesn't have a matching signature, VxAdmin will refuse to import it.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that a cast vote record export without a matching signature cannot be loaded into VxAdmin.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Artifact Authentication
D	Discussion	Digital signatures address the threat that the records might be tampered with when stored or transmitted. Cryptographic hashes do not sufficiently mitigate this threat, as election records could be altered and then re-hashed. Digital signatures also allow verification of the source of any created or modified records. Additional information can be found in FIPS 186-4 Digital Signature Standard [NIST13c].				
13.2-B 13.2-B.1	Verification of election records	A voting system must: cryptographically verify the integrity and authenticity of all election data received		Cast vote record over		
13.2-8.1		cryptographically verify the integrity and authenticity of all election data received		Cast vote record exports are digitially signed. On VxAdmin import, the records are authenticated. If an export doesn't have a matching signature, VxAdmin will refuse to		

	In the second se		I and the second		
	immediately log any verification error of received election results		The log event import-cast-vote- record-complete will be emitted and indicate the verification failed. VotingWorks	VotingWorks functional and automated	
	immediately present on-screen any verification errors		The import error is presented on	testing confirms that a cast vote record	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Artifact
	not tabulate or aggregate any data that fails verification		Imports that fail verification are not loaded.		
	This process of verifying election data and results is a defense in depth measure against accidental errors or a malicious incident				
Discussion					
All cryptographic algorithms are public, well-vetted, and	mounted election results from being manerously mounted and reported on election right.				
standardized.					
Cryptographic module validation	Cryptographic functionality must be implemented in a cryptographic module that meets current FIPS 140 validation, operating in FIPS mode. This applies to:		VotingWorks uses only FIPS- compliant cryptographic modules.		System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Cryptography > Cryptographic Modules
	software cryptographic modules			In the basic configuration wizard run on first	
			VotingWorks uses the OpenSSL `openssl fipsinstall` command to ensure	`openssl fipsinstall` command to ensure that the FIPS provider is configured correctly and	at System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
	hardware cryptographic modules		7	We've identified the CMVP certs for all	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
			-		System Security Architecture > Cryptography > Cryptographic Modules
Discussion	Use of cryptographic modules validated at level 1 or above ensures that the cryptographic algorithms used are secure and correctly implemented. The current version of FIPS 140[NIST01, NIST19a] and information about the NIST Cryptographic Module Validation Program are available under [NIST20e] in Appendix C: References. Note that a voting device can use more than one cryptographic module, and quite commonly can use a software module for some functions and a hardware module for other functions.			incodes.	
E2E cryptographic voting protocols	Cryptographic functions specific to E2E cryptographic voting protocols must adhere to requirements set by the EAC and are omitted	9.1.6-A - Verified	N/A: VxSuite is not a		
	from FIPS 140-2 validation.	cryptographic protocol	cryptographic E2E verifiable voting system		
Discussion	Cryptographic Protocol. Common place cryptographic operations used within E2E systems, such as encryption, decryption, and hashing,				
Cryptographic strength	Devices using cryptography must employ NIST approved algorithms with a security strength of at least 112-bits.		VotingWorks uses ECC 256-bit keys for all cryptographic operations, minus Secure Boot code signing, which uses RSA 4096-bit keys	All cryptographic code is internally security reviewed to ensure that we continue to meet	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > t Cryptography > Cryptographic Keying Material
	At the time of this writing, NIST specifies the security strength of algorithms in SP 800-57, Part 1 [NIST20a]. This NIST recommendation will be revised or updated as new algorithms are added, and if cryptographic analysis indicates that some algorithms are weaker than presently believed. The security strengths of SP 800-57 are based on estimates of the amount of computation required to successfully attack the particular algorithm. The specified strength should be sufficient for several decades. This requirement is not intended to				
Discussion	forbid all incidental use of non-approved algorithms by OS software or standardized network security protocols.				
MAC cryptographic strength			N/A: VotingWorks does not use Message Authentication Codes.		
Discussion					
Cryptographic key management documentation	The voting system documentation must describe how key management is to be performed.		VotingWorks documents its use of cryptographic keys in great detail in the Security Architecture section of its TDP.		System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture
Discussion	This document provides procedural steps that can be taken to ease the burden of key management and safely perform these operations.				,
The voting system protects the integrity, authenticity, and confidentiality of sensitive data transmitted over all networks.					
Confidentiality and integrity protection of transmitted data	The voting system must:				
	,		N/A: The requirement does not		
			7 ''' '	r	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > Networking
Discussion	Mutual authentication provides assurance that each electronic device is legitimate. Mutual authentication can be performed using various protocols, such as IPsec and SSL/TLS. Only wired local area network (LAN) communication, such as ethernet, is possible for VVSG 2.0 voting systems. This requirement includes network appliances such as switches, firewalls, and routers within its scope. This does not prevent the use of "double encrypted" connections employing cryptography at multiple layers of the network stack. Data, such as ballot images, must be encrypted before transmission. Integrity protection ensures that any inadvertent or intentional alterations to data are detected by the recipient. Integrity protection for data in transit can be provided through the use of various protocols, such as IPsec VPNs and SSL/TLS. For more information about TLS implementations, see NIST SP 800-52 rev. 2, Guidelines for the Selection,				
	Discussion E2E cryptographic voting protocols Discussion Cryptographic strength Discussion MAC cryptographic strength Discussion Cryptographic strength Discussion The voting system protects the integrity, authenticity, and confidentiality of sensitive data transmitted over all networks. Confidentiality and integrity protection of transmitted data	ont tablolate or aggregate any data that fails verification This process of verifying section of sits and rotable is a define in dight measure against accidental errors of a malicious incident engagement and process of the section records for examine changes in the cryptographic integrity of received election results prevents modified election results from being maliciously modified and reported on election right. All cryptographic agorithms are gublic, well-vetted, and standardized. Cryptographic module validation Cryptographic modules validation Cryptographic modules validation Discussion Anadware cryptographic modules Due of cryptographic modules validated at local 1 or above resures that the cryptographic algorithms used an excurr and correctly implemented the current version of FIPS 340 FIRSTOIL, INSTITUTION of the WIST Cryptographic Modules validated at local 1 or above resures that the cryptographic algorithms used an excurr and correctly implemented the current version of FIPS 340 FIRSTOIL, INSTITUTION of FIPS 340 FIRSTOIL AND STATE A and information about the WIST Cryptographic Modules validated at local 1 or above resures that the cryptographic algorithms used an excurr and correctly implemented the current version of FIPS 340 FIRSTOIL AND STATE A and information about the WIST Cryptographic Modules validated at local 1 or above resures that the cryptographic algorithms used an excurr and correctly implemented the current version of FIPS 340 FIRSTOIL AND STATE A process	not lablation or aggregate any data that falls verification This process of welfaring excitation take and results is a delivere indepth measure against accidental errors or a medicious insistent regarding anodificial and selection results for proprographic incipation are public, welfaveted, and medicious process from being mediciously modified and expended on electron night. Cryptographic modules eviduation Cryptographic modules eviduation Cryptographic modules Andersee cryptographic modules Anderse	Institute Institute of the properties of the face of the properties of the presented of the face of the properties of the properties of the face of the properties of the face of the properties of the face of the properties of the prop	Interest dates and processes of the property of the processes of the property of the processes of the proces

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
	System Integrity - The voting system performs its intended				_	
1.4	function in an unimpaired manner, free from unauthorized					
14	manipulation of the system, whether intentional or accidental The voting system uses multiple layers of controls to provide			1		
14.1	resiliency against security failures or vulnerabilities.					
14.1-A	Risk assessment documentation	The voting system's documentation must contain a risk assessment		Documentation is included in the technical data package.	VotingWorks staff review documentation.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > Risk Assessment
		Risk assessments are a foundation of effective risk management. Additionally, they help to facilitate decision making at the organization,				
		business process, and information system levels. Some decisions may include prioritizing the mitigation or prevention of high risks that are likely to have a high impact an election. Many methods of conducting risk assessments exist, including NIST SP 800-30-1: Guide for				
		Conducting Risk Assessments [NIST12] or ISO/IEC 27005:2011 Information technology - Security techniques - Information security risk				
D	Discussion	management [ISO18d].				
14.1-B	Addressing and accepting risk	The voting system's risk assessment documentation must provide technical controls or a notation showing the acceptance of risk for each documented threat to voting system integrity.		Documentation is included in the technical data package.	VotingWorks staff review documentation.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > Risk Assessment
		Assigning controls or accepting risk is a key part of the risk assessment process. This requirement assists in providing the evidence that a				
D	Discussion	manufacturer has gone through the risk determination process. NIST SP 800-53 revision 5 Security and Privacy Controls for Information				
14.1-C	System security architecture description	Systems and Organizations [NIST20h] can be useful to identify controls that can assist with addressing any identified threats. The voting system's risk assessment documentation must describe how physical, technical, and operational controls work together to	3.1.3-C - Physical	†		
14.1 0	System security architecture description	prevent, mitigate, and respond to attacks on the voting system. This includes the use of:	security			
14.1-C.1		cryptography	,	1		System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
14.1-C.2		malware protection				Risk Assessment; System Security,
14.1-C.3		firewall access control lists, rules, and configurations		Documentation is included in the		Auditing, & Logging > System Security
14.1-C.4		system configurations		technical data package.	VotingWorks staff review documentation.	Architecture
		Risk assessments can be large, complicated documents. This requirement ensures that a single narrative exists to explain to election				
D 14.1-D	Discussion Procedural and operational security	officials and other system owners how the overall security operates for the voting system. The voting system must document necessary procedural and operational processes that need to occur to ensure integrity of the system.		Documentation is included in the		
14.1-0	Procedural and operational security	The voting system must document necessary procedurar and operational processes that need to occur to ensure integrity of the system.		technical data package.	VotingWorks staff review documentation.	User Manual > Setup Inspection
D	Discussion	Procedural and operational security processes play a key role in overall system security. If any of these procedures are necessary to ensure system integrity or system security, these practices need to be well documented and explained.			6	
	The voting system limits its attack surface by avoiding	ensure system integrity or system security, these practices need to be well documented and explained.		†		
	unnecessary code, data paths, connectivity, and physical ports, and by using other technical controls.					
14.2-A	Non-essential networking interfaces	The voting system must disable networking and other features that are non-essential to the function of the voting system by default.		7	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
	_				components do not have the hardware	
				All components have networking	necessary to connect to a network and, even	
				completely disabled with multiple layers of defense.	with network hardware, components do not have the software to utilize it.	System Security Architecture > Networking
		When the voting system is booted, networking and other functions are prohibited from running. For instance, networking interfaces		layers of defense.	have the software to utilize it.	Networking
		such as Wi-Fi and Bluetooth should be disabled. By disabling features that are non-essential to the voting system, this decreases the				
D	Discussion	attack surface by limiting the functionality and decreasing the entry points that may be accessed by unauthorized users.				
14.2-B	Network status indicator	If a voting system has network functionality, the voting system application must visually show an indicator within the management		This requirement does not apply		
		interface when networking functionality is enabled and disabled.		to VxSuite because there is no		
				networking functionality.		
D	Discussion	This helps to ensure that network functionality is not enabled by accident.		1		
14.2-C	Wireless communication restrictions	Voting systems must not be capable of establishing wireless connections as provided in this section.	8.1-E - Standard audio	7	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
			connectors; 15.4-C -	1 '	components do not have the hardware	
			Documentation for disabled wireless	without wi-fi or bluetooth cards and wireless connections are	necessary to connect to a wireless network and, even with wireless hardware,	System Socurity: Auditing & Logging
			disabled wireless	1	components do not have the software to	System Security; Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture >
				level.	utilize it.	Networking
		Wireless connections can expand the attack surface of the voting system by opening it up to over-the-air attacks. Over-the-air access		1		•
		can allow for adversaries to attack remotely without physical access to the voting system. By disallowing wireless capabilities in the				
		voting system, this limits the attack surface and restricts any network connections to be hardwired. Examples of how wireless can be				
		disabled may include the following: a system configuration process that disables wireless networking devices, disconnecting/unplugging wireless device antennas, or removing wireless hardware within the voting system. This requirement does not prohibit wireless				
		hardware within the voting system so long as the hardware cannot be used e.g. no wireless drivers present. This requirement applies				
		solely to voting systems that are within the scope of the VVSG. It is not a prohibition on wireless technology within election systems				
		overall. This requirement does not impact or restrict the use of assistive technology (AT) within the polling place. Voters with wireless				
D 14.3.D	Discussion Windows and the state in disease.	AT may have to use an adapter that leverages the 3.5 mm headphone jack.		This are assistant and a second and a second assistant a		
14.2-D	Wireless network status indicator	If a voting system has network functionality, the voting system application must visually show an indicator within the management interface to confirm that wireless networking functionality is disabled.		This requirement does not apply to VxSuite because there is no		
		interiore to commit that whereas networking faired ording to also bed.		networking functionality.		
			15.4-B - Secure			
5	Dispussion	Note that this is in addition to the networking identifier. Wireless is a significant avenue for system compromise. This indicator ensures	configuration			
D 14.2-E	Discussion External network restrictions	that wireless functionality is not enabled by accident. A voting system must not be configured to:	documentation	†		
17.2 5	Exceller restrictions	1. Totally system must not be compared to.			VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
				All components have networking	components do not have the hardware necessary to connect to a network and, even	System Security Auditing 9 Logging >
14.2-E.1		establish a connection to an external network, or			with network hardware, components do not	
14.2-E.2		connect to any device external to the voting system		layers of defense.	have the software to utilize it.	Networking

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
D 14.2-F	Discussion Secure configuration and hardening documentation	The basic instructions provided by a vendor should clearly indicate that the intended use and installation of voting systems implements an air gap between the voting system and external networks or external devices. This requirement is intended to limit the voting systems attack surface and disallow connections of the voting system to technologies such as: e-pollbooks, public switched telephone networks (PSTNs), and cellular modems. In particular, connections to the internet expand the attack surface even further than other wireless technologies because the data traverses over the internet, which reaches all over the world. This type of access allows a malicious actor to attack from various distances, meaning they do not have to be in close proximity of a polling place or near a specific jurisdiction. Exposure to the internet could allow nation-state attackers to gain remote access to the voting system. With remote access an attacker may be able to view all files within a voting system and make modifications to files within the voting system. These files may include election results and ballot records. This type of exposure could also make voting systems vulnerable to ransomware. Ransomware is a type of malware that could deny access to election data or functionality, usually by encrypting the data with a key known only to the hacker who deployed the malware. Ultimately an attacker could render a voting system non-operational until a ransom is paid. The voting system must follow a secure configuration guide for all underlying operating systems and other voting system components,	15.4-B - Secure network	Together, scripts across https:	now votingworks rest	i DF Neieleille
		with any deviations from the secure configuration guidance documented and justified.	configuration documentation	OS is configured correctly over the	Final quality control ensures that settings are correct. Settings must also inherently be correct to boot our final signed images, e.g., our signed images won't boot if Secure Boot is not enabled.	
D	Discussion	Properly configuring an operating system is a difficult and complex task, with small settings potentially causing a large impact. Industry, NIST, and various agencies within the DoD offer guidance for specific operating systems, as do OS and component manufacturers. Some examples include Security Technical Implementation Guides (STIGs) [DISA20] and the Center for Internet Security (CIS) benchmarks. Documenting deviations ensures that important settings are not overlooked and decisions to deviate are properly considered.				
14.2-G	Unused code	The voting system software must not contain unused, or dead code.		VxSuite does not contain dead code. VotingWorks engineering removes code whenever it becomes unused due to new code being introduced.	Full test coverage requirements force tests to run all code paths, which means no code is dead code. In libraries with limited test coverage, required manual code review ensures dead code is removed.	Quality Assurance Manual > Quality Assurance Protocols – Software
D	Discussion	An attacker may be able to take advantage of the unused code and introduce software bugs/exploits that can be used to make the voting system vulnerable. Dead code is source code that can never be executed in a running program because the surrounding code makes it impossible for a section of code to ever be executed. See MITRE CWE-561 [MITRE20]. Software with dead code is considered poor quality and reduces maintainability. This requirement does not restrict the use of defensive code, such as exception handling to prevent failures because this code is still traversed to check conditions.				
14.2-H	Use of exploit mitigation technologies	The voting system must use exploit mitigation technologies including data execution prevention (DEP) and address space layout randomization (ASLR), or equivalent mitigations.		DEP and ASLR are built into the Linux OS that the VotingWorks application is built on.	We've run commands to verify that these mechanisms are active. See the linked TDP section for details.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > Defense- in-Depth and Least Privilege > DEP and ASLR
	Discussion	DEP and ASLR are commonplace exploit mitigation technologies that can help prevent a variety of vulnerability types, including memory corruption errors like buffer overflows. If the voting system does not use DEP and ASLR, the equivalent mitigation technologies used must be identified. Applications need to be written and compiled in such a way as to make use of underlying exploit mitigation technologies. See the OWASP Application Security Verification Standard [OWASP19] for more information about exploit mitigation.				
14.2-l	Importing software libraries	The voting system software must import only library components that are necessary.		Our Trusted Build process delineates all required dependencies and guarantees that only those dependencies are installed.		Software Installation > Trusted Build
D	Discussion	Importing entire software libraries significantly increases the attack surface of the software. Importing only the components of a library, such as modules, functions, or classes needed is a useful attack surface minimization strategy. Following the language's intended import design, such as importing only the specific module needed from a more general "standard" library, will also help with this goal. This requirement is not intended to encourage developers to avoid the import process by copying code directly to software, which would greatly complicate the update process. Not all 3rd party libraries are easily modifiable, making this attack surface reduction strategy				
14.2-J 14.2-J.1	Vulnerability management plan	impractical. The voting system documentation must include the plan for how to address vulnerabilities found in the voting system and at minimum include the following: how the voting system design process identifies and addresses well-known vulnerabilities				
14.2-J.2		disclosure of all known vulnerabilities within the system		†		
14.2-J.3		a patch management plan		Documentation is included in the		System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
14.2-J.4		the method to receive and send reports of vulnerabilities		technical data package.	VotingWorks staff review documentation.	Vulnerability Management
D	Discussion	This requirement informs how a voting system vendor is able to manage verified vulnerabilities to their voting system. Certain information can also be included for each vulnerability, such as any severity, impact, or exploitability scores. Tools like the Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) can be used to communicate the metrics (including the severity) of software vulnerabilities. For more information about vulnerability and patch management, see NISTIR 8011 Volume 4, Automation Support for Security Control Assessments: Software Vulnerability Management [NIST20c] and NIST SP 800-40, Guide to Enterprise Patch Management Technologies [NIST13b].				
	Known vulnerabilities	The underlying voting system platform must be free of well-known vulnerabilities as identified in the vulnerability management plan.		Documentation is included in the technical data package.	VotingWorks staff review documentation.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > Vulnerability Management
D	Discussion	Vulnerability scanning tools can be used to identify known vulnerabilities in software and firmware. The U.S. National Vulnerability Database (NVD) is one resource that can be useful for identifying known vulnerabilities. Other vulnerability databases also exist and can be leveraged for full vulnerability coverage that might not be identified by automated scanning tools.				
14.3	The voting system maintains and verifies the integrity of software, firmware, and other critical components					
14.3 14.3-A	Supply chain risk management strategy	The voting system's documentation must contain a supply chain risk management strategy that at minimum includes the following:		†		
14.3-A.1	0	a reference to the template or standard used, if any, to develop the supply chain risk management strategy		This requirement does not apply because no template or standard was used.		

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
14.3-A.2		the assurance requirements to mitigate supply chain risks				
14.3-A.3		the contract language that requires suppliers and partners to provide the appropriate information to meet the assurance requirements of the supply chain risk management strategy				System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
14.3-A.4		the plan for reviewing and auditing suppliers and partners		Documentation is included in the		Hardware Criticality and Supplier
14.3-A.5		the response and recovery plan for a supply chain risk incident		technical data package.	VotingWorks staff review documentation.	Analysis
		Supply chain risks may include insertion of counterfeits, unauthorized production, tampering, theft, insertion of malicious software and hardware, as well as poor manufacturing and development practices in the technology supply chain. These risks are associated with an organization's decreased visibility into, and understanding of, how the technology that they acquire is developed, integrated, and				
		deployed, as well as the processes, procedures, and practices used to assure the integrity, security, resilience, and quality of the				
		products and services. These risks can be managed by following Appendix E of NIST SP 800-161 – Supply Chain Risk Management Practices [NIST15b] for Federal Information Systems and Organizations guidance (Appendix E provides a supply chain management plan				
		(strategy template), utilizing the NIST Cybersecurity Framework Version 1.1 [NIST18c] by referencing the Supply Chain Risk Management				
		category and subcategory, and referencing the relevant security controls for supply chain in NIST SP 800-53 Rev. 5 Security and Privacy				
		Controls for Information Systems and Organizations [NIST20b]. Contract language provided must include the products or services				
D 14.3-B	Discussion Criticality analysis	acquired from the suppliers/partners and any evidence or artifacts that attest to the required level of assurance. The voting system's documentation must include a list of critical components and suppliers defined by a criticality analysis and supplier				System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
14.3 8	Circuity analysis	impact analysis		Documentation is included in the technical data package.	VotingWorks staff review documentation.	Hardware Criticality and Supplier Analysis
		Defining the critical components and supplier of the voting system can assist in prioritizing their importance to the voting process and				
		identifying the impact to security, privacy and performance for failure or compromise. This can be supplemented by following NISTIR 8179 Criticality Analysis Process Model - Prioritizing Systems and Components [NIST18b] and NISTIR 8272, Impact Analysis Tool for				
D	Discussion	Interdependent Cyber Supply Chain Risks [NIST20d].				
14.3-C	Bill of materials	The voting system's documentation must include the hardware and software information for the critical components defined in the 14.3-B and at minimum list the following information for each component:				
14.3-C.1		component name				
14.3-C.2		manufacturer				System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
14.3-C.3		model or version		Documentation is included in the		Hardware Criticality and Supplier
14.3-C.4		applicable platform for software (e.g., Windows or Linux) This requirement will use the critical components defined in the critical analysis of 14.3-B – Criticality analysis. At minimum the bill of		technical data package.	VotingWorks staff review documentation.	Analysis
		materials for critical components are required, but this does not restrict the voting system vendor from listing the bill of materials for				
		other components. This is a common practice when providing a hardware bill of materials. It is not as common to produce a bill of				
		materials for software and as standards/best practices are developed, they should be considered for inclusion in the software bill of materials. For more information about the risks of third-party components and developing software bills of materials, see "Managing"				
		Security Risks Inherent in the Use of Third-party Components" [SAFECode19] and resources from the National Telecommunications and				
D	Discussion	Information Administration about Software Bills of Materials [NTIA19].				
14.3.1	Boot Integrity Crustographic host varification	The vertice system must expense in all years of sections intensity before the apprehing system is leaded into manney			Vating Warks functional testing confirms that	
14.3.1-A	Cryptographic boot verification	The voting system must cryptographically verify firmware and software integrity before the operating system is loaded into memory.		VxSuite components use dm- verity to verify that software has not been tampered with.	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that only signed and unmodified software can be booted successfully on a locked down machine.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > System Integrity
D	Discussion	This requirement does not mandate hardware support for cryptographic verification. This requirement could be met by trusted boot, but other software-based solutions exist. This includes a software bootloader cryptographically verifying the OS prior to execution. Verifying the bootloader itself is excluded from this requirement, but not prohibited. Applies to: Vote-capture and tabulation device, EMS		·		•
14.3.1-B	Preventing of boot on error	If the voting system fails boot validation, the voting system must not boot and provide an onscreen alert.			VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
				If the secure boot dm-verity check fails, the voting system will not boot and the error is presented on screen.	boot fails and a notification occurs when secure boot detects modified code. This can only be tested special development software releases that allow editing code.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > System Integrity
		System users need to be notified when the voting system is either corrupted or has been maliciously modified. Boot validation prevents				
D	Discussion	unauthorized operating systems and software from being installed or run on a system. Applies to: Vote-capture and tabulation device, EMS				
14.3.1-C	Notification of boot validation failure	If the voting system does not pass boot validation, it must present an on-screen alert and provide any other necessary information to understand the failure.		If the secure boot dm-verity check fails, the voting system will not boot and the error is presented on screen.	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that a notification occurs when secure boot detects modified code. This can only be tested special development software releases that allow editing code.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > System Integrity
		Failure of boot validation needs to be provided to users so these errors can be further analyzed when needed. If the voting system is		on sorcen.	that allow culting code.	
D	Discussion	capable of pre-boot logging, failure information could be stored in a log for future analysis. Applies to: Vote-capture and tabulation, EMS				
14.3.2 14.3.2-A	Software Integrity Installing software	The voting system must only allow digitally signed software and firmware to be installed.				
D	Discussion	Signed software and firmware ensures that it is not modified before installation, and that it is being distributed by the proper entity.		VxSuite prevents unsigned software and firmware from being		
14.3.2-B	Software verification for installation	The voting system must cryptographically verify the digital signature of software and firmware before it is installed.		installed by using secure boot to	VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
D	Discussion	The security properties of integrity and authenticity are not achieved unless the digital signature for the signed software and firmware is cryptographically verified.		only allow booting signed VotingWorks images.	attempts to install unsigned software fail due to failed secure boto checks.	System Security Architecture > System Integrity; Software Installation
14.3.2-C	Application allowlisting	The voting system must only run applications that have been verified against an allowlist.		Allowlists are not relevant to VxSuite's security architecture.		
D 14 3 3 D	Discussion	This requirement helps ensure only authorized applications run on the voting system. Applies to: Vote-capture device The voting system must protect the integrity and authorizing of the allowlist configuration files.		The voting system components		Contain Consults Applied Co.
14.3.2-D	Integrity protection for software allowlists	The voting system must protect the integrity and authenticity of the allowlist configuration files. If the allowlist is improperly modified, the software allowlisting mitigation can be defeated. The most common way of providing		can only run the installed application and new applications		System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture > System
D	Discussion	allowlist configuration file protection could be a digital signature.		cannot be added.		Integrity
14.4	Voting system software updates are authorized by an administrator prior to installation.					
14.4	auministrator prior to installation.					

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	Related Requirements	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
14.4-A	Authenticated operating system updates	The voting system must authenticate administrators before an operating system update is performed.	11.3.1-B - Multi-factor	7	_	
			authentication for			
			critical operations;			
			11.3.1-C - Multi-factor			
			authentication for			
			administrators			
		Administrators are required to be authenticated before they can update the voting system, regardless of whether the updated done by				
D	Discussion	a networked method or performed using physical media.				
14.4-B	Authenticated application updates	The voting system must authenticate administrators before a software update to the voting system application and related software.	11.3.1-B - Multi-factor			
			authentication for			
			critical operations;			
			11.3.1-C - Multi-factor	MFA for system updates is not		
			authentication for	application to VxSuite's security		
			administrators	architecture. Operating system		
		Administrators are required to be authenticated before they can update the voting system, whether the update is applied by a network		update, software updates, and		
D	Discussion	method or physical media.		firmware updates cannot be		
14.4-C	Authenticated firmware updates	The voting system must authenticate administrators before a firmware or driver update.	11.3.1-B - Multi-factor	performed in the context of the		
			authentication for	voting system. The only way to		
			critical operations;	perform those updates is to fully		
			11.3.1-C - Multi-factor	re-install the a digitally signed		
			authentication for	software release onto the		
			administrators	hardware, which necessarily		System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
		Administrators are required to be authenticated before they can update the voting system, regardless if network enabled update is		happens outside of the context of		System Security Architecture > System
D	Discussion	performed or via physical media.		the voting system.		Integrity; Software Installation

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text
	Detection and Monitoring - The voting system provides	
15	mechanisms to detect anomalous or malicious behavior.	
15.1	Voting system equipment records important activities through event logging mechanisms, which are stored in a format suitable for automated processing.	
15.1-A	Event logging	The voting system must be capable of logging events that occur in a voting system.
		The ability to log events within a system allows for continuous monitoring of the voting system. These logs provide a way for
D 15.1-B	Discussion Exporting logs	administrators to analyze the voting system's activities, diagnose issues, and perform necessary recovery and remediation actions. The voting system must be capable of exporting logs.
13.1-6	Exporting logs	The voting system must be capable of exporting logs.
D	Discussion	Exporting logs offers the opportunity for external review, clearing storage, and a method to compare with future logs.
15.1-C	Logging voter information	The voting system must not log any information:
15.1-C.1		identifying a specific voter
15.1-C.2		connecting a voter to a specific ballot
D	Discussion	No voter information is stored anywhere within voting system logs. This would violate voter ballot secrecy because it can link a voter to their ballot selections.
15.1-D	Logging event types	At minimum, the voting system must log the events included in Table 15-1.
15.1-D.1	30 8 4 4 4 7	General System Functions
15.1-D.1.a		Device generated error and exception messages - Includes but is not limited to: The source and disposition of system interrupts resulting in entry into exception handlers. The identification code and number of occurrences for each hardware and software error or failure. Notification of physical violations of security. Other exception events such as power failures, failure of critical hardware components, data transmission errors, or other types of operating anomalies. All faults and the recovery actions taken. Device generated error and exception messages such as ordinary timer system interrupts and normal I/O system interrupts do not need to be logged.
15.1-D.1.b		Critical system status messages - Critical system status messages other than information messages displayed by the device during the course of normal operations. Includes but is not limited to: Diagnostic and status messages upon startup; The "zero totals" check conducted before opening the polling place or counting a precinct centrally; For paper-based systems, the initiation or termination of scanner and communications equipment operation; Printer errors; Detection or remediation of malware or other malicious software; Cryptographic boot validation success/failure
15.1-D.1.c		Non-critical status messages - Non-critical status messages that are generated by the device's data quality monitor or by software and hardware condition monitors
15.1-D.1.d		Events that require election official intervention - Events that require election official intervention, so that each election official access can be monitored, and access sequence can be constructed
15.1-D.1.e		Device shutdown and restarts - Both normal and abnormal device shutdowns and restarts
15.1-D.1.f		Changes to system configuration settings - Configuration settings include but are not limited to registry keys, kernel settings, logging settings, and other voting device configuration settings
15.1-D.1.g		Integrity checks for executables, configuration files, data, and logs - Integrity checks that can indicate possible tampering with files and data
15.1-D.1.h		The addition and deletion of files - Files that are added or deleted from the voting device
15.1-D.1.i		System readiness results - Includes but is not limited to: System pass or fail of hardware and software test for system readiness; Identification of the software release, identification of the election to be processed, polling place identification, and the results of the software and hardware diagnostic tests; Pass or fail of ballot style compatibility and integrity test; Pass or fail of system test data removal; Zero totals of data paths and memory locations for vote recording
15.1-D.1.j		Removable media events - Removable media that is inserted into or removed from the voting device
15.1-D.1.k		Backup and restore - Successful and failed attempts to perform backups and restores.
15.1-D.2		Authentication and Access Control
15.1-D.2.a		Authentication related events - Includes but is not limited to: Login/logoff events (both successful and failed attempts); Account lockout events; Password changes
15.1-D.2.b		Access control related events - Includes but is not limited to: Use of privileges (such as a user running a process as an administrator); Attempts to exceed privileges; All access attempts to application and underlying system resources; Changes to the access control configuration of the voting device
15.1-D.2.c		User account and role (or groups) management activity - Includes but is not limited to: Addition and deletion of user accounts and roles User account and role suspension and reactivation; Changes to account or role security attributes such as password length, access levels, login restrictions, and permissions; Administrator account and role password resets
15.1-D.3		Networking
15.1-D.3.a		Enabling or disabling networking functionality - Includes but is not limited to: Wired networking; Wireless networking
15.1-D.4		Software
15.1-D.4.a		Installing, upgrading, patching, or modifying software or firmware - Logging for installation, upgrading, patching, or modifying software or firmware include logging what was installed, upgraded, or modified as well as a cryptographic hash or other secure identifier of the old and new versions of the data.

The voting system logs events that VotingWorks functional testing confirms that occur in the system. More information can be found in the logs are created and available in the exported System Security, Auditing, & Logging > logging documentation. Logging A system administrator can, at any time, export logs in full, export error-only logs, or export the logs in CDF format. More VotingWorks functional and automated information can be found in the testing confirms that system administrators System Security, Auditing, & Logging > logging documentation. can always export logs. Logging VotingWorks staff manually audit all code changes to ensure that logs containing voter identifiable information are not introduced to the system. A final code review audit was performed of all points in the code where a log is emitted to ensure that no voter identifying information could be recorded. All logs produced by VxSuite applications do not contain any Logs are exported from VotingWorks information that could identify a applications in functional testing and checked System Security, Auditing, & Logging > voter or tie a voter to a ballot. for unexpected identifying information.

How VotingWorks Test

TDP Reference

How VxSuite Meets

15

VVSG 2.0 Section	Title	Requirement/Discussion Text	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test	TDP Reference
15.1-D.4.b		Changes to configuration settings - Includes but is not limited to: Changes to critical function settings. At a minimum, critical function			121 161616166
		settings include location of election definition file, contents of the election definition file, vote reporting, location of logs, and voting device configuration settings; Changes to device settings including, but not limited to, enabling and disabling services; Starting and stopping processes			
15.1-D.4.c		Abnormal process exits - All abnormal process exits.			
15.1-D.4.d		Successful and failed database connection attempts (if a database is uses) - All database connection attempts.			
15.1-D.4.e		Changes to cryptographic keys - At a minimum, critical cryptographic settings include key addition, key removal, and re-keying.			
15.1-D.5		Voting Functions		VotingWorks staff review that all required	
15.1-D.5.a		Ballot definition and modification - During election definition and ballot preparation, the device can provide logging information for preparing the baseline ballot formats and modifications to them, including a description of the modification and corresponding dates.	Each logging requirement is	VVSG 2.0 log events are covered by VotingWorks log events. VotingWorks	
		Includes but is not limited to: The account name that made the modifications. A description of what was modified including the file	mapped to its VotingWorks log	functional and automated testing confirms	
		name, location, and the content changed. The date and time of the modification	event in the 15.1-D table in	that when these events occur the	
15.1-D.5.b		Voting events - Includes: Opening and closing polls; Casting a vote; Canceling a vote during verification; Success or failure of log and election results exportation; Note: for paper-based devices, these requirements might need to be met procedurally.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > Logging	appropriate logs are actually emitted and readable in the exported logs.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > Logging
15.1-E	Configuration file access log	When a system administrator is accessing a configuration file, the voting system must log identifying information of the group or role	System administrators cannot	readable in the exported logs.	-0666
		accessing that file.	access configuration files at the operating system level. Whenever a system administrator imports or exports configuration for an election, that event is logged. See event codes save-election-		
l			package-init, save-election- package-complete, and election-	that system configuration files are inaccessible and election configuration	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > System Security Architecture; System
		A record of who modified a configuration file is important for auditing and accountability. The identifying information could include the	package-load-from-usb-complete.	actions generate log events.	Security, Auditing, & Logging > Logging
D	Discussion	username or the name of the user for improved traceability.			
15.2	The voting system generates, stores, and reports all error messages as they occur.				
15.2-A	Presentation of voting application errors	The voting system must provide immediate notification to the user when a voting application error occurs.	All errors result in the immediate presentation of the error to the user.	VotingWorks testing staff confirm that all encountered errors are accompanied by user notifications.	User Manual; User Manual > [Component] Error Messages
D	Discussion	Voting application errors can disrupt a voter's voting session. Immediate notification of an issue or an error allows for prompt recovery and remediation.			
15.2-B	Voting application error handling documentation	The voting system documentation must include procedures for handling voting application errors.	Documentation is included in the user manual.	VotingWorks staff review documentation.	User Manual; User Manual > [Component] Error Messages
D	Discussion	Documentation will assist election officials with steps to properly address errors.			
15.2-C	Logging system errors	The voting system must log system errors.	All errors result in an entry in the audit log record with the disposition of failure.	VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that system errors are logged.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging > Logging
D	Discussion	This requirement ensures that any system errors are logged for analysis and remediation. System errors do not include user errors, such as undervotes or overvotes.			
15.2-D	Creating error reports	The voting system must be capable of creating error reports.	A system administrator or election manager can export error-only logs on all VxSuite devices.	 VotingWorks functional and automated testing confirms that error-only logs can be exported and contain the appropriate logs. 	User Manual > Retaining and Removing Files; System Security, Auditing, & Logging > Logging
D	Discussion	Error reports allow system administrators to easily analyze the errors that occurred within a system.			
15.3	The voting system is designed to protect against malware.		_	With the first transfer of the state of the	
15.3-A	Malware protection mechanisms	COTS workstations providing EMS functionality must deploy mechanisms to protect against malware.		VotingWorks functional testing confirms that attempts to edit or add executables are blocked and, when allowed for testing purposes, result in the device failing secure boot.	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
		NIST SP 800-83 Revision 1 Guide to Malware Incident Prevention and Handling for Desktops and Laptops [NIST13a] might be useful as			
		supplemental guidance for protecting against malware. Malware protection mechanisms are not required for voter-facing scanners and electronic BMDs. Alternatively, voter-facing scanners and electronic BMDs are required to use protection mechanisms, such as digital			
		signatures and allowlists. This requirement is focused on EMS COTS workstations and does not include peripherals devices (e.g.,			
D	Discussion	printers).			
15.3-B	Updatable malware protection mechanisms	The malware protection mechanisms for COTS devices providing EMS functionality must be updatable.	N/A - This requirement does not apply to VxSuite. Because VxSuite		
			protects against malware by		
			preventing any new executables from being added to the system,		
			there is no purpose in maintaining		
			or updating a catalog of malware		
		Malware protection mechanisms typically use software signatures to identify malware. As new malware signatures are received, the	signatures.		
D	Discussion	malware protection mechanism needs to be updated with the new signatures to ensure it is identifying all known malware. Applies to: EMS Workstations, vote-capture and tabulation devices.			
15.3-C	Documenting malware protection mechanisms	The voting system documentation must include the process and procedures for updating malware protection mechanisms.	N/A - This requirement does not		
			apply to VxSuite. Because VxSuite		
			protects against malware by preventing any new executables		
			from being added to the system,		
			there is no purpose in maintaining or updating a catalog of malware	3	
			or updating a catalog of malware signatures.		
		Providing documentation of the procedures to configure the malware protection mechanisms assists with ensuring the malware	1		
	Discussion	protection mechanisms are properly updated to meet 15.3B- Updatable malware protection mechanisms.			

VVCC 2 0 Continu	Tialo	Description and (Discretion Tout	1	Harry Making abble also Talak	TDD Deference
VVSG 2.0 Section	Title Notification of malware detection	Requirement/Discussion Text COTS workstations and servers providing EMS functionality must immediately notify an election official when malware is detected.	How VxSuite Meets	How VotingWorks Test VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	TDP Reference
15.5-0	Notification of malware detection	COTS workstations and servers providing EMS functionality must immediately flotiny an election official when malware is detected.	If malware were detected on	a notification occurs when secure boot	
			boot, the boot fails and presents	detects modified code. This can only be	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
			the election official with a	tested special development software releases	
			notification.	that allow editing code.	Integrity
		Malware on an EMS device can disrupt the integrity of the data on the EMS device. Once malware is detected, immediate notification of			
		malware detection allows election officials to promptly take the proper action to avoid data integrity issues. This requirement is focused			
D 15.3-E	Discussion	on EMS COTS workstations and does not include peripheral devices (e.g., printers).		VotingWorks functional testing confirms that	
15.5-E	Logging malware detection	The voting system must log instances of detecting malware.		appropriate logs are produced when secure	
			If malware were detected on	boot detects modified code. This can only be	
			boot, the failed dm-verity check	tested special development software releases	System Security, Auditing, & Logging >
			would result in a log entry.	that allow editing code.	Logging
15.3-F	Notification of malware remediation	COTS workstations and servers providing EMS functionality must provide a notification upon the removal or remediation of malware.	N/A - This requirement does not		
			apply to VxSuite because VxSuite		
			protects against malware by preventing any new executables		
			from being added to the system,		
			so there is no removal or		
			remediation process. If malware		
			were detected, the device would		
			be unusable and returned to		
		Once malware it is identified on a device, operations can cease until the malware is remediated. This notification allows administrators	VotingWorks for inspection.		
		and officials to know when it is safe to resume normal operations. This requirement is focused on EMS COTS workstations and does not			
D	Discussion	include peripherals devices (e.g., printers)			
15.3-G	Logging malware remediation	The voting system must log malware remediation activities.	N/A - This requirement does not		
			apply to VxSuite because VxSuite		
			protects against malware by		
			preventing any new executables		
			from being added to the system, so there is no removal or		
			remediation process. If malware		
			were detected, the device would		
			be unusable and returned to		
			VotingWorks for inspection.		
		Remediation that requires the reimaging or reinstallation of the OS, may need to be logged external to the voting system. Prior to reimaging, the malware detection logs could be downloaded and stored on another system to capture the time stamp of the malware			
D	Discussion	event and preserve the malware event log for further analysis.			
_	A voting system with networking capabilities employs				
	appropriate, well-vetted modern defenses against network-based				
15.4	attacks, commensurate with current best practices.				
15.4-A	Internal network architecture documentation	The voting system documentation must include the network architecture of any internal network used by any portion of the voting	N/A - This requirement does not		
		system.	apply because VxSuite devices are not networked and cannot be		
			networked.		
		Documentation of the internal network architecture can assist with data flow analysis, proper network configuration, and architecture			
D	Discussion	to properly support the voting system. Applies to: Voting systems with networking capabilities			
15.4-B	Secure network configuration documentation	The voting system documentation must list security configurations and be accompanied by network security best practices.	N/A - This requirement does not		
			apply because VxSuite devices are		
			not networked and cannot be networked.		
		This documentation may include how external network services are not included as part of the voting system and are handled through a			
		separate air-gapped process. For example, a sneaker-net process may be used to manually transfer elections results to another system			
		that uses public telecommunications to transmit the unofficial election results to a central count center. A variety of documentation			
		providing secure configurations for network devices is publicly available from the US government. If outside manufacturers provide			
		guidance and best practices, these need to be documented and used to the extent practical. This documentation may also include the use of firewalls and intrusion detection systems (IDS). Firewalls and IDSs are typically used to control and monitor the boundary			
		between a private network and the internet. Although the current requirements do not allow for internet connectivity, firewalls and			
		IDSs may also be used for internal boundaries and monitoring inside a private network. Guidance for Intrusion Detection and prevention			
D	Discussion	systems can be found in NIST SP 800-94: Guide to Intrusion Detection and Prevention Systems [NIST07].			
15.4-C	Documentation for disabled wireless	The voting system documentation must include information about how wireless is disabled within the voting system.			System Security; Auditing, & Logging >
			Documentation is included in the	Voting Works stoff roviews described	System Security Architecture >
		Documentation for how the voting system is configured to disable wireless networking is important to most requirement 14.3.0	technical data package.	VotingWorks staff reviews documentation.	Networking
		Documentation for how the voting system is configured to disable wireless networking is important to meet requirement 14.2-D – Wireless network status indicator, which disallows the use of any wireless connections. Example information for how wireless can be			
		disabled may include the following: a system configuration process that disables wireless networking devices, disconnecting/unplugging			
		wireless device antennas, and removing wireless hardware within the voting system. A variety of documentation providing secure			
		configurations for network devices is publicly available from the US government. If outside manufacturers provide guidance and best			
D	Discussion	practices exist, these need to be documented and used to the extent practical. Applies to: Voting systems with networking capabilities			
15.4-D	Rule and policy updates	The voting system must be capable of updating rules and policies for network appliances.	apply to VxSuite because there		
D	Discussion	Network appliances and the voting system are constantly receiving improvements and information related to current threats. As this information is released, rules and policies might need to be modified to adjust to new capabilities.	are no network appliances.		
U	Discussion	information is receised, rules and policies might need to be modified to adjust to new capabilities.	I		