

Monads and universal algebra

Anthony Voutas

Australian National University

June, 2012

Introduction

1 Category Theory

Introduction

- 1 Category Theory
- 2 Monads

Introduction

- 1 Category Theory
- 2 Monads
- 3 Monads and Adjunctions

Introduction

- 1 Category Theory
- 2 Monads
- 3 Monads and Adjunctions
- 4 Universal Algebra

Introduction

- 1 Category Theory
- 2 Monads
- 3 Monads and Adjunctions
- 4 Universal Algebra
- 5 Comonads

Why Category Theory?

Why Category Theory?

- Algebraic theory of functions

Why Category Theory?

- Algebraic theory of functions
- Alternative language for all mathematics

Why Category Theory?

- Algebraic theory of functions
- Alternative language for all mathematics
- Relatively abstract

Why Category Theory?

- Algebraic theory of functions
- Alternative language for all mathematics
- Relatively abstract
- Highlights relationships missed by other fields

Why Monads?

Why Monads?

- Functional programming

Why Monads?

- Functional programming
- Different view of adjunctions

Why Monads?

- Functional programming
- Different view of adjunctions
- Dual notion: comonad

Why Monads?

- Functional programming
- Different view of adjunctions
- Dual notion: comonad
- Universal algebra

An example with lists

The list monad $\mathbb{T} = \langle T, \mu, \eta \rangle$:

For set X , $T(X)$ set of finite lists of X elements, for functions f and lists $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \in TX$:

$$T(f)([x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]) = [f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_n)]$$

$\mu_X : T^2(X) \rightarrow T(X)$ is a flattening in which:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[[x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, \dots, x_{1,n}], [x_{2,1}, x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{2,n}], \dots, [x_{m,1}, x_{m,2}, \dots, x_{m,n}] \right] \\ & \mapsto [x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, \dots, x_{1,n}, x_{2,1}, x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{2,n}, \dots, x_{m,1}, x_{m,2}, \dots, x_{m,n}] \end{aligned}$$

and $\eta_X : X \rightarrow T(X)$ is an injection $x_1 \mapsto [x_1]$

Monads

A Monad is a triple $\mathbb{T} = \langle T, \mu, \eta \rangle$ such that $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an endofunctor, μ and η are natural transformations

$$\mu : T^2 \rightarrow T, \quad \eta : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow T$$

and the following are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T^3 & \xrightarrow{T\mu} & T^2 \\
 \mu T \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \mu \\
 T^2 & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 1_{\mathcal{C}} T & \xrightarrow{\eta T} & T^2 & \xleftarrow{T\eta} & T 1_{\mathcal{C}} \\
 \searrow & & \Downarrow \mu & & \swarrow \\
 & & T & &
 \end{array}$$

Monad properties (Informally)

T is an algebra type.

μ is a recipe for taking terms of terms to terms (flattening). Terms of terms of terms flatten to terms unambiguously.

η is a recipe for making singleton terms (embedding). Embedding and then flattening returns the original.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T^3 & \xrightarrow{T\mu} & T^2 \\
 \mu T \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \mu \\
 T^2 & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 1_C T & \xrightarrow{\eta T} & T^2 & \xleftarrow{T\eta} & T 1_C \\
 & \searrow & \Downarrow \mu & \swarrow & \\
 & & T & &
 \end{array}$$

Free-forgetful adjunction for monoids

Free functor $F : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}$. $F(X)$ monoid of strings of X elements with concatenation. $Ff([x_1, \dots, x_n]) = [f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)]$.

Forgetful functor $U : \mathbf{Mon} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. $U(M)$ underlying set of M , monoid homomorphisms sent to underlying functions.

Natural transformations $\eta_X(x_1) = [x_1]$,
 $\epsilon_M([m_1, \dots, m_n]) = m_1 \circ_M \dots \circ_M m_n$.

Monads and Adjunctions

A natural construction for a monad from an adjunction

Theorem

Given an Adjunction (F, G, η, ϵ) with $F \dashv G$, we can always construct a monad in the category $\mathcal{C} = \text{dom}(F)$ as follows:

$$T := GF : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

$$\eta := \eta : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow GF$$

$$\mu := G\epsilon F : GFGF \rightarrow GF$$

Monad for monoids

Monad $\langle UF, \eta, U\epsilon F \rangle$. $UF(X)$ the set of lists over X , $\eta_X(x_1) = [x_1]$ and $U\epsilon F_X$ acts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[[x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, \dots, x_{1,n}], [x_{2,1}, x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{2,n}], \dots, [x_{m,1}, x_{m,2}, \dots, x_{m,n}] \right] \\ & \mapsto [x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, \dots, x_{1,n}, x_{2,1}, x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{2,n}, \dots, x_{m,1}, x_{m,2}, \dots, x_{m,n}] \end{aligned}$$

Monad for monoids

Monad $\langle UF, \eta, U\epsilon F \rangle$. $UF(X)$ the set of lists over X , $\eta_X(x_1) = [x_1]$ and $U\epsilon F_X$ acts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[[x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, \dots, x_{1,n}], [x_{2,1}, x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{2,n}], \dots, [x_{m,1}, x_{m,2}, \dots, x_{m,n}] \right] \\ & \mapsto [x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, \dots, x_{1,n}, x_{2,1}, x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{2,n}, \dots, x_{m,1}, x_{m,2}, \dots, x_{m,n}] \end{aligned}$$

Same as list monad seen earlier.

Monads to adjunctions?

This raises the question: given a monad, can one split it into two adjoint functors $T = UF$?

Monads to adjunctions?

This raises the question: given a monad, can one split it into two adjoint functors $T = UF$?

Two answers were independently given in 1965. The Kleisli adjunction, and the Eilenberg-Moore adjunction. We will focus on the Eilenberg-Moore adjunction, because it has relevance to universal algebra.

Algebras for a Monad

Objects of The Eilenberg-Moore category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$ (the \mathbb{T} -algebras) will be the pairs (A, a) such that $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and $a : TA \rightarrow A$

$$a \circ Ta = a \circ \mu_A \quad \text{and} \quad a \circ \eta_A = 1_A$$

That is, the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^2A & \xrightarrow{Ta} & TA \\ \mu_A \downarrow & & \downarrow a \\ TA & \xrightarrow{a} & A \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & TA \\ \parallel & & \downarrow a \\ & & A \end{array}$$

Algebras for the list monad

TA is the set of lists over elements of A , the evaluation a is a function which picks a value from A for any given list.

- 1 The evaluation a is associative
- 2 Singleton lists must be evaluated as the value they contain.

These are essentially the requirements of a monoid.

Eilenberg-Moore adjunction

Theorem

Given a monad $\mathbb{T} = \langle T, \mu, \eta \rangle$ on \mathcal{C} we can construct an adjunction between \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$. $U : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (forgetful)

$$U(A, a) = A$$

$$U(f : (A, a) \rightarrow (B, b)) = f : A \rightarrow B$$

and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$ (free)

$$F(A) = (TA, \mu_A)$$

$$F(f : A \rightarrow B) = T(f) : (TA, \mu_A) \rightarrow (TB, \mu_B)$$

Note: $T = UF$.

EM adjunction for the list monad

$U : \mathbf{Mon} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ (forgetful):

$$U(M) = |M|$$

$$U(h : M \rightarrow N) = h : |M| \rightarrow |N|$$

and $F : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}$ (free)

$$F(A) = (TA, \mu_A)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(f)([x_1, \dots, x_n]) &= T(f)([x_1, \dots, x_n]) \\ &= [f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)] \end{aligned}$$

The free-forgetful adjunction seen earlier.

Comparison Functor

Theorem

Given an adjunction $\mathcal{X} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}$, there is a functor $K : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{T}}$.

This functor can always be defined. When is K an isomorphism?

Universal Algebra

Universal algebra defines and explores a general concept of algebra. It has produced the notion of an algebraic variety, which is a category of algebras of the same type (groups, rings, monoids, etc).

It is a general result that there are always a free-forgetful adjunction between a variety and **Set**.

Monadicity

An adjunction is called monadic if the comparison functor is an isomorphism.

Beck's Theorem can be used to show that the comparison functor for the free-forgetful adjunction of a variety is an isomorphism.

So a variety is isomorphic to an EM category of algebras.

Duality and comonads

All of these results dualise to the case of comonads. This gives us a coherent notion of coalgebra, which is useful many applications including (but not limited to)

- 1 modelling state transition systems;
- 2 reasoning generically about modal logic; and
- 3 representing unbounded data types (eg streams).

Questions

Questions?