QUOTIENTOPES FOR SIMPLICIAL HYPERPLANE ARRANGEMENTS

VINCENT PILAUD AND JULIAN RITTER

ABSTRACT. The poset of regions of a hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{H} with respect to a base region B is the poset whose cover relations are the pairs (R,R') of adjacent regions of \mathcal{H} such that R and B lie on the same side of the separating hyperplane of R and R'. For instance, the poset of regions of the braid arrangement is the classical weak order on permutations. When the hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{H} is simplicial, A. Björner, P. Edelman, and G. Ziegler proved that the poset of regions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H},B)$ is always a lattice. Moreover, for any lattice congruence of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H},B)$, N. Reading proved that glueing together the regions that belong to the same congruence class defines a complete fan. We prove that this fan is the normal fan of a polytope by extending a method developed by V. Pilaud and F. Santos for lattice quotients of the weak order.

1. Introduction

Introduced by P. Edelman in [Ede84], the poset of regions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H},\mathsf{B})$ of a hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{H} with respect to a base region B is the poset on all regions of \mathcal{H} ordered by their combinatorial distance to B (see Section 2.2 for precise definitions). A polytopal realization of its Hasse diagram is given by the graph of the zonotope $\sum_{\mathsf{H}\in\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{n}_\mathsf{H}$ directed away from B, where \mathbf{n}_H is an arbitrary normal vector to the hyperplane H. For instance, for a finite Coxeter group W, the poset of regions of the Coxeter arrangement of W is the weak order on W which can be visualized as an orientation of the graph of the permutahedron of W. The goal of this paper is to construct similar polytopal realizations for certain fans coarsening a simplicial hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{H} .

In [BEZ90], A. Björner, P. Edelman, and G. Ziegler proved that the poset of regions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})$ of a simplicial hyperplane arrangement is always a lattice. This opened the door to the investigation of lattice quotients (see Section 2.3 for precise definitions) of the poset of regions, developed in particular by N. Reading, see [Rea16b] and the references therein. Lattice quotients of the poset of region cary many relevant combinatorial and geometric properties. For instance, the lattice quotients of the weak order of a finite Coxeter group are particularly relevant, as some of them, called Cambrian lattices [Rea06], provide combinatorial and geometric models for the finite type cluster algebras of S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky [FZ03].

Geometrically, N. Reading showed in [Rea05] that, when the poset of regions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H},\mathsf{B})$ is a lattice, each lattice congruence \equiv of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H},\mathsf{B})$ defines a complete fan $\mathcal{F}(\equiv)$, that we call *quotient fan*. The maximal cones of $\mathcal{F}(\equiv)$ correspond to the congruence classes of \equiv and are just obtained by glueing together the regions of \mathcal{H} in the same congruence class of \equiv . Since then, the question remained open to know whether this quotient fan $\mathcal{F}(\equiv)$ is always the normal fan of a polytope. Our main statement closes this question for all lattice quotients of simplicial hyperplane arrangements.

Theorem 1. For any simplicial hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{H} , any base region B, and any lattice congruence \equiv of the poset of regions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H},B)$, the quotient fan $\mathcal{F}(\equiv)$, obtained by glueing the regions of \mathcal{H} according to the congruence classes of \equiv , is the normal fan of a polytope.

To prove this result, we simplify and extend a method developed by V. Pilaud and F. Santos in [?] in the case of the braid arrangement. This approach uses N. Reading's decomposition of the walls of the fan $\mathcal{F}(\equiv)$ into shards [Rea16a, Sect. 10.5], and studies the way each shard contributes to the height of each ray. Our main contribution is to show that the coefficients of the linear dependencies between the rays of adjacent cones do not really play a role and can thus be embedded in the definition of the height function. This enables us to avoid all arguments based on the combinatorial description of the braid arrangement and thus to extend this approach to any simplicial hyperplane arrangement.

Partially supported by the French ANR grants SC3A (15 CE40 0004 01) and CAPPS (17 CE40 0018).

2. Background

2.1. **Polyhedral geometry.** We briefly recall basic definitions and properties of polyhedral fans and polytopes, and refer to [Zie98] for a classical textbook on this topic.

We denote by $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{R} := \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}} \lambda_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{r} \mid \lambda_{\mathbf{r}} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \right\}$ the positive span of a set \mathcal{R} of vectors of \mathbb{R}^d . A polyhedral cone is a subset of \mathbb{R}^d defined equivalently as the positive span of finitely many vectors or as the intersection of finitely many closed linear halfspaces. The faces of a cone C are the intersections of C with the supporting hyperplanes of C. The 1-dimensional (resp. codimension 1) faces of C are called rays (resp. facets) of C. A cone is simplicial if it is generated by a set of independent vectors.

A polyhedral fan is a collection \mathcal{F} of polyhedral cones such that the intersection of any two cones of \mathcal{F} is a face of both, and all faces of a cone $C \in \mathcal{F}$ are in \mathcal{F} . A fan is <u>simplicial</u> if all its cones are, and <u>complete</u> if the union of its cones covers the ambient space \mathbb{R}^d . For two fans \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} in \mathbb{R}^d , we say that \mathcal{F} refines \mathcal{G} (and that \mathcal{G} coarsens \mathcal{F}) if every cone of \mathcal{F} is contained in a cone of \mathcal{G} .

We adopt the following conventions and notations. For a fan \mathcal{F} , we fix a set $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})$ of representative vectors for its rays. For a cone C of \mathcal{F} , we denote by $\mathcal{R}(C)$ the rays of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})$ which are rays of C. Assume now that \mathcal{F} is simplicial, and consider any two adjacent maximal cones C, C' of \mathcal{F} . Since \mathcal{F} is simplicial, there is a unique ray \mathbf{r} in $\mathcal{R}(C) \setminus \mathcal{R}(C')$ and a unique ray \mathbf{r}' in $\mathcal{R}(C') \setminus \mathcal{R}(C)$, and they lie on opposite side of the affine span of $C \cap C'$. Moreover, there is a unique (up to positive rescaling) linear dependence between the rays of $\mathcal{R}(C \cup C')$ such that the coefficients of \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r}' are positive. We fix an arbitrary scaling factor and denote the coefficients of this linear dependence by $\alpha(C, C', \mathbf{s})$ for all $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{R}(C \cup C')$, so that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathsf{C} \cup \mathsf{C}')} \alpha(\mathsf{C}, \mathsf{C}', \mathbf{s}) \, \mathbf{s} = 0$$

A polytope is a subset P of \mathbb{R}^d defined equivalently as the convex hull of finitely many points or as a bounded intersection of finitely many closed affine halfspaces. The dimension dim(P) is the dimension of the affine hull of P. The faces of P are the intersections of P with its supporting hyperplanes. The dimension 0 (resp. dimension 1, resp. codimension 1) faces are called vertices (resp. edges, resp. facets) of P. A polytope is simple if its supporting hyperplanes are in general position, meaning that each vertex is incident to dim(P) facets (or equivalently to dim(P) edges).

The (outer) normal cone of a face F of P is the cone generated by the outer normal vectors of the facets of P containing F. The (outer) normal fan of P is the collection of the (outer) normal cones of all its faces. We say that a complete polyhedral fan in \mathbb{R}^d is polytopal when it is the normal fan of a polytope of \mathbb{R}^d . There is a classical characterization of polytopality of complete simplicial fans. It is a reformulation of regularity of triangulations of vector configurations, introduced in the theory of secondary polytopes [GKZ08], see also [DRS10]. Here, we present a reformulation of this condition to deal with (not necessarily simplicial) fans that coarsen a complete simplicial fan.

Proposition 2. Consider two fans \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} of \mathbb{R}^d , such that \mathcal{F} is complete and simplicial, and \mathcal{F} refines \mathcal{G} . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{G} is the normal fan of a polytope in \mathbb{R}^d .
- (2) There exists a map $h: \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with the property that for any two adjacent maximal cones C and C' of \mathcal{F} , we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathsf{C} \cap \mathsf{C}')} \alpha(\mathsf{C}, \mathsf{C}', \mathbf{s}) \, h(\mathbf{s}) \ge 0$$

with equality if and only if C and C' are contained in the same cone of G.

Under these conditions, \mathcal{G} is the normal fan of the polytope defined by

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle \mathbf{r} \mid \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq h(\mathbf{r}) \text{ for all } \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})\}.$$

2.2. Hyperplane arrangements and posets of regions. A (real, central) hyperplane arrangement is a collection \mathcal{H} of linear hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^d . The arrangement is essential when the intersection $\bigcap \mathcal{H}$ of all its hyperplanes is reduced to the origin. The regions of \mathcal{H} are the closures of the

connected components of its complement $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}$. Regions are the maximal polyhedral cones of a complete fan $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. The arrangement is called *simplicial* when the fan $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is.

Fix a base region B of \mathcal{H} . A hyperplane of \mathcal{H} is called *basic* if it is adjacent to the base region B. Call *separating set* of a region R of \mathcal{H} the set of hyperplanes of \mathcal{H} that separate R from the base region B. The *poset of regions* $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})$ is the poset on all regions of \mathcal{H} ordered by inclusion of separating sets. In other words, the cover relations of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})$ are the pairs $(\mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}')$ of adjacent regions of \mathcal{H} such that R and B lie on the same side of the separating hyperplane of R and R'. The poset $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})$ clearly has a minimal element B (with empty separating set) and a maximal element $-\mathsf{B}$ (with separating set \mathcal{H}), and the antipodal map $\mathsf{R} \mapsto -\mathsf{R}$ is an anti-automorphism corresponding to the complementation of separating sets. The following result is due to A. Björner, P. Edelman, and G. Ziegler [BEZ90].

Theorem 3 ([BEZ90, Thm. 3.4]). For any simplicial hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{H} , and any base region B of \mathcal{H} , the poset of regions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})$ is a lattice.

Note that this sufficient condition is not necessary. One the one hand, N. Reading extended this result when the hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{H} is *tight* with respect to the base region B [Rea16b, Thm. 9.3.2], meaning that for any region R, every pair of lower (resp. upper) facets of R with respect to B intersect in a codimension 2 face of R. On the other hand, A. Björner, P. Edelman, and G. Ziegler proved that the base region B must be simplicial when the poset of regions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})$ is a lattice [BEZ90, Thm. 3.1], but that there exist arrangements \mathcal{H} with simplicial base regions B for which the poset of regions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})$ is not a lattice (although this can only happen in dimension $d \geq 4$ by [BEZ90, Thm. 3.2]).

- 2.3. Lattice congruences, quotient fans and shards. We now briefly recall three geometric results from the lattice theory of the poset of regions developed by N. Reading [Rea03], see also his recent survey chapters [Rea16b]. The philosophy of these results is that when the hyperplane arrangement is simplicial, any lattice congruence \equiv of the poset of regions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})$ define a quotient fan $\mathcal{F}(\equiv)$ whose maximal cones can be constructed in two ways:
 - either by glueing the regions of \mathcal{H} that belong to the same congruence class of \equiv ,
 - or as the closures of the connected components of the complement $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bigcup \Sigma(\equiv)$ of a set $\Sigma(\equiv)$ of pieces of the hyperplanes of \mathcal{H} , called shards.

Recall first that a *lattice congruence* of a lattice (L, \leq, \wedge, \vee) is an equivalence relation on L that respects the meet and the join operations, *i.e.* such that $x \equiv x'$ and $y \equiv y'$ implies $x \wedge y \equiv x' \wedge y'$ and $x \vee y \equiv x' \vee y'$. A lattice congruence \equiv automatically defines a *lattice quotient* L/\equiv on the congruence classes of \equiv where the order relation is given by $X \leq Y$ iff there exists $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ such that $x \leq y$. The meet $X \wedge Y$ (resp. the join $X \vee Y$) of two congruence classes X and Y is the congruence class of $x \wedge y$ (resp. of $x \vee y$) for arbitrary representatives $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

The following result is the starting point of this paper. It was stated for arbitrary (non-necessarily simplicial) hyperplane arrangements whose poset of region is a lattice, but we will only apply it for simplicial arrangements.

Theorem 4 ([Rea05, Thm. 5.1]). For any lattice congruence \equiv of the poset of regions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})$ of a simplicial hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{H} , the cones obtained by glueing together the regions of \mathcal{H} that belong to the same congruence class of \equiv form a complete fan \mathcal{F}_{\equiv} whose dual graph coincides with the Hasse diagram of the quotient $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})/\equiv$.

We now aim at understanding the walls of the fan $\mathcal{F}(\equiv)$. This requires to introduce the cutting relation between hyperplanes of \mathcal{H} and the shards of \mathcal{H} .

Consider two hyperplanes H and H' of \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{H}' denote the subarrangement of \mathcal{H} which consists in all hyperplanes of \mathcal{H} containing the codimension 2 subspace $\mathsf{H} \cap \mathsf{H}'$. Let B' be the region of \mathcal{H}' containing the base region B of \mathcal{H} . We say that the hyperplane H *cuts* the hyperplane H' if H is basic while H' is not in the subarrangement \mathcal{H}' (be careful that we do not require that H is basic in \mathcal{H} , only in \mathcal{H}').

Consider now one of the hyperplanes H of \mathcal{H} . By definition, for any hyperplane H' that cuts H, the intersection $H \cap H'$ is a codimension 1 subspace of H that separates H into two pieces. The

shards of H are the closures of the connected components of the complement $H \setminus \bigcup (H \cap H')$ of all hyperplanes H' that cut H. Informally, the shards of H are the pieces that remain once we have cut H along all hyperplanes H' that cut it. We denote by $\Sigma(\mathcal{H}, B)$ the set of all shards of all hyperplanes of \mathcal{H} . The following result states that the shards are the right pieces to cut the quotient fan $\mathcal{F}(\equiv)$ of Theorem 4. It was stated for tight hyperplane arrangements, but we will only apply it for simplicial arrangements.

Theorem 5 ([Rea16a, Sect. 10.5]). For any lattice congruence \equiv of the poset of regions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})$ of a simplicial hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{H} , there is a subset $\Sigma(\equiv)$ of $\Sigma(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})$ such that the maximal cones of the fan $\mathcal{F}(\equiv)$ are precisely the closures of the connected components of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bigcup \Sigma(\equiv)$.

Finally, we need to understand which subsets of $\Sigma(\mathcal{H},\mathsf{B})$ form the walls of the quotient fan of a lattice congruence.

Theorem 6 ([Rea16a, Sect. 10.5]). The map $\equiv \mapsto \Sigma(\equiv)$ is a bijection between the lattice congruences of the poset of regions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H},\mathsf{B})$ and the upper ideals of the forcing order \prec on $\Sigma(\mathcal{H},\mathsf{B})$.

3. Quotientopes

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. Consider a shard $\Sigma \in \Sigma(\mathcal{H}, B)$ with supporting hyperplane $H_{\Sigma} \in \mathcal{H}$. We denote by \mathcal{H}_{Σ} the subarrangement of \mathcal{H} consisting in all hyperplanes $H \in \mathcal{H}$ that contribute to cut H_{Σ} . In other words, a hyperplane $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is in the subarrangement \mathcal{H}_{Σ} if and only if H is basic while H_{Σ} is not in the subarrangement of \mathcal{H} consisting of the hyperplanes containing $H \cap H_{\Sigma}$. Note that \mathcal{H}_{Σ} does not contain H_{Σ} and that no hyperplane of \mathcal{H}_{Σ} intersects the interior of Σ . Therefore, we can define the zone of Σ as the region Z_{Σ} of \mathcal{H}_{Σ} containing Σ . The following lemma is essential.

Lemma 7. The shard Σ is the intersection of its zone Z_Σ with its supporting hyperplane H_Σ . In particular, if two regions R and R' lie in the zone Z_Σ , then they are either on the same side of H_Σ or separated by Σ .

Definition 8. For a shard $\Sigma \in \Sigma(\mathcal{H}, B)$ with supporting hyperplane $H_{\Sigma} \in \mathcal{H}$, and a ray $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}$, we define the *contribution* $\gamma(\Sigma, \mathbf{r})$ of Σ to \mathbf{r} to be the distance from the endpoint of \mathbf{r} to H_{Σ} if \mathbf{r} is in the zone Z_{Σ} , and 0 otherwise:

$$\gamma(\Sigma, \mathbf{r}) := \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\Sigma}} \cdot d(\mathbf{r}, \Sigma).$$

Lemma 9. For a shard Σ and two adjacent regions $R, R' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ with $\mathcal{R}(R) \setminus \{r\} = \mathcal{R}(R') \setminus \{r'\}$,

- if $\Sigma = \Sigma(\mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}')$, then $\gamma(\Sigma, \mathbf{r}) > 0$ and $\gamma(\Sigma, \mathbf{r}') > 0$ while $\gamma(\Sigma, \mathbf{s}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathsf{R} \cap \mathsf{R}')$,
- if Σ is not forced by $\Sigma(\mathsf{R},\mathsf{R}')$, then $\mathbf{s}\mapsto\gamma(\Sigma,\mathbf{s})$ is affine on $\mathcal{R}(\mathsf{R}\cup\mathsf{R}')$, so that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathsf{R} \, \cup \, \mathsf{R}')} \alpha(\mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}', \mathbf{s}) \, \gamma(\Sigma, \mathbf{s}) = 0,$$

The following functions will play a fundamental role.

Definition 10. A function $f: \Sigma(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B}) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is *forcing dominant* if for any two adjacent regions $\mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})$, we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathsf{R} \,\cup\, \mathsf{R}')} \alpha(\mathsf{R},\mathsf{R}',\mathbf{s}) \sum_{\Sigma \in \mathbf{\Sigma}(\mathcal{H},\mathsf{B})} f(\Sigma) \, \gamma(\Sigma,\mathbf{s}) > 0.$$

Lemma 11. Forcing dominant functions exist.

Proof.

From now on, we fix a forcing dominant function $f: \Sigma(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B}) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We now consider a lattice congruence \equiv of the poset of regions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, \mathsf{B})$. We define the *height function* $h^f_{\equiv}: \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ by

$$h^f_\equiv(\mathbf{s}) \, := \sum_{\Sigma \in \mathbf{\Sigma}_\equiv} f(\Sigma) \, \gamma(\Sigma, \mathbf{s}).$$

Explain
the forcing order.
Argh!!!
It is not
an order,
just a preorder. We
have an
acyclicity
problem!!!
— V.

Problem: the shard digraph is not always acyclic... it is if and only if the shard poset is congruThis height function fulfills the following property.

Lemma 12. For any two adjacent regions $R, R' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}, B)$, we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathsf{R} \cup \mathsf{R}')} \alpha(\mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}', \mathbf{s}) \, h_{\equiv}^f(\mathbf{s}) \ge 0.$$

with equality if and only if $\Sigma(R, R') \in \Sigma(\equiv)$.

 \square

Combining the polytopality criterion of Proposition 2 with the observation of Lemma 12, we obtain the polytopality of the quotient fan \mathcal{F}_{\equiv} .

Corollary 13. For any simplicial hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{H} , any base region B, any lattice congruence of the poset of region $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H},B)$, and any forcing dominant function $f: \Sigma(\mathcal{H},B) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the quotient fan $\mathcal{F}(\equiv)$ is the normal fan of the polytope

$$\mathsf{P}^f_{\equiv} := \big\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \; \big| \; \langle \mathbf{r} \; | \; \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq h^f_{\equiv}(\mathbf{r}) \; \textit{for all } \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R} \big\}.$$

In particular, the graph of P^f_\equiv oriented in a linear direction from B to $-\mathsf{B}$ is the Hasse diagram of the quotient $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H},\mathsf{B})/\equiv$.

We call *quotientope* the resulting polytope P_{\equiv}^f . See Figures ?? and ?? for illustrations. <u>Note</u> that not all quotientopes are simple since not all quotient fans are simplicial.

Add illustrations

- V

Remark 14. Is is tempting to extend Theorem 1 to any (non-necessarily simplicial) arrangement whose poset of regions is a lattice. However, our method cannot be adapted directly as our proof strongly relies on Proposition 2 where \mathcal{F} needs to be simplicial. The first situation to consider is certainly when the arrangement is tight with respect to the base region.

References

- [BEZ90] Anders Björner, Paul H. Edelman, and Günter M. Ziegler. Hyperplane arrangements with a lattice of regions. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 5(3):263–288, 1990.
- [DRS10] Jesus A. De Loera, Jörg Rambau, and Francisco Santos. Triangulations: Structures for Algorithms and Applications, volume 25 of Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, 2010.
- [Ede84] Paul H. Edelman. A partial order on the regions of \mathbb{R}^n dissected by hyperplanes. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 283:617–631, 1984.
- [FZ03] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification. Invent. Math., 154(1):63-121, 2003.
- [GKZ08] Israel Gelfand, Mikhail Kapranov, and Andrei Zelevinsky. Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2008. Reprint of the 1994 edition.
- [Rea03] Nathan Reading. Lattice and order properties of the poset of regions in a hyperplane arrangement. Algebra Universalis, 50(2):179–205, 2003.
- [Rea05] Nathan Reading. Lattice congruences, fans and Hopf algebras. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 110(2):237–273, 2005.
- [Rea06] Nathan Reading. Cambrian lattices. Adv. Math., 205(2):313–353, 2006.
- [Rea16a] N. Reading. Finite Coxeter groups and the weak order. In *Lattice theory: special topics and applications*. Vol. 2, pages 489–561. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [Rea16b] N. Reading. Lattice theory of the poset of regions. In Lattice theory: special topics and applications. Vol. 2, pages 399–487. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [Zie98] Günter M. Ziegler. Lectures on Polytopes, volume 152 of Graduate texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

(VP) CNRS & LIX, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, PALAISEAU *E-mail address*: vincent.pilaud@lix.polytechnique.fr *URL*: http://www.lix.polytechnique.fr/~pilaud/

(JR) LIX, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, PALAISEAU
E-mail address: julian.ritter@lix.polytechnique.fr