

DiagnoGenie

Stakeholder Evaluation for proposed solution

Submitted by – Team5_Diagnostic Laboratory Manager

Vamsi Krishna Yepuri - vyepuri@kent.edu

Venkata Kalyan Polamarasetty - vpolamar@kent.edu

Jyothi Vasamsetty - jvasamse@kent.edu

Vamshi Krishna Reddy Pasham - vreddypa@kent.edu

Stakeholders

Suresh Pamu – Customer

Hemanth Lella – Subject Matter Expert

Date of submission

11th April, 2023

Project Title: *Diagno Genie - Diagnostic Laboratory Manager*

Please use the following rubric ($0 \leq x \leq 4$) to rate the group and the proposed solution to the Problem Statement:

- **Not Mentioned:** did not discuss topics/solutions - (0)
- **Below Average:** discussed less topics/solutions than total number of group members without use-case study - (1)
- **Average:** discussed equal amount topics/solutions than total number of group members with one or two use-case studies - (2)
- **Above Average:** discussed larger topics/solutions than total number of group members and reusable discussion with some use-case studies - (3)
- **Excellent:** discussed larger topics/solutions than total number of group members and detailed discussion with many use-case studies in depth - (4)

A. Functionality

- The Group's understanding of the problem 4
- The Group's explanation of the proposed solution 4
- Percent of Essential features reflected in the Use Cases 4
- Percent of Desired features reflected in the Use Cases 4

B. Security

- Did the proposed use cases/solution cover security tactics:
 - Detect attacks? 4
 - Resist attacks? 4
 - React to attacks? 4
 - Recover from attacks? 4

C. Quality

- Proposed use cases for Response Time systems performance – Response Time 4
- Proposed use cases for Throughput systems performance – (e.g., support multiple concurrent users without performance degradation) 4
- Proposed use cases for Availability 4
- Proposed use cases for Reliability 4

D. Error Recovery

- Proposed use cases for error recovery 4


E. Operations, Administration and Maintenance (OA&M) of the system

- Proposed use cases for OA&M 4

Explanation: You may write an explanation on how you arrived at your ratings below. Include specific information, positive and/or negative, that will support your ratings.

The requirements designed are as per the discussions done earlier with the team. The team members are very patient and tried to include every single constraint given by me. Moreover they have given many suggestions regarding security and all in which I have no expertise. They have connected with me according to my convenience and had a few conversations in past three months. The team did a lot of research and gave some innovative solutions to few requirements they have knowledge about rules and regulations to be implemented in India like DISHA. Looking forward to implement the projects.

Stakeholder's name: Suresh Ponne

Signature: 

Email: sureshp1874@gmail.com Date: 09/04/2023

Project Title: *Diagnostic Laboratory Manager - Diagno Genie*

Please use the following rubric ($0 \leq x \leq 4$) to rate the group and the proposed solution to the Problem Statement:

- **Not Mentioned:** did not discuss topics/solutions - (0)
- **Below Average:** discussed less topics/solutions than total number of group members without use-case study - (1)
- **Average:** discussed equal amount topics/solutions than total number of group members with one or two use-case studies - (2)
- **Above Average:** discussed larger topics/solutions than total number of group members and reusable discussion with some use-case studies - (3)
- **Excellent:** discussed larger topics/solutions than total number of group members and detailed discussion with many use-case studies in depth - (4)

A. Functionality

- The Group's understanding of the problem 4
- The Group's explanation of the proposed solution 4
- Percent of Essential features reflected in the Use Cases 4
- Percent of Desired features reflected in the Use Cases 4

B. Security

- Did the proposed use cases/solution cover security tactics:
 - Detect attacks? 4
 - Resist attacks? 4
 - React to attacks? 4
 - Recover from attacks? 4

C. Quality

- Proposed use cases for Response Time systems performance – Response Time 4
- Proposed use cases for Throughput systems performance – (e.g., support multiple concurrent users without performance degradation) 4
- Proposed use cases for Availability 4
- Proposed use cases for Reliability 4

D. Error Recovery

- Proposed use cases for error recovery 4

E. Operations, Administration and Maintenance (OA&M) of the system

- Proposed use cases for OA&M 4

Explanation: You may write an explanation on how you arrived at your ratings below. Include specific information, positive and/or negative, that will support your ratings.

The team explained everything in detail regarding the Diagnostic Laboratory Manager. The requirements are designed accordingly to achieve the given constraints of the project. The team connected regarding their queries in regards to the cloud deployment, security issues and the error recovery. Proposed few corrections regarding security issues and were implemented in the software requirements.

Wishing you All the Best, Good Luck for your Future Endeavours!!

Stakeholder's name: Hemanth Lella

Signature: 

Email:

Date: 10th Apr, 2023

lella.hemanth@gmail.com