# High-Dimensional Statistics

## Vitaliy Pozdnyakov

## March 2020

Solutions to exercises from the book "High-Dimensional Probability", Roman Vershynin, 2020 https://www.math.uci.edu/~rvershyn/

Notation:

- cc coffee cup
- $\sum := \sum_{i=1}^{N}$
- $\prod := \prod_{i=1}^{N}$

## 1 Exercise 2.1.4 (1 cc)

Let  $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ . Show that for all  $t \geq 1$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}g^{2}\mathbf{1}\{g>t\} = t\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-t^{2}/2} + \mathbb{P}\{g>t\} \le \left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-t^{2}/2}$$

## 1.1 Solution

$$\mathbb{E}g^{2}\mathbf{1}\{g>t\} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{2}\mathbf{1}\{x>t\} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^{2}/2} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t}^{+\infty} x^{2} e^{-x^{2}/2} dx$$

Make the substitution u = x and  $dv = xe^{-x^2/2}dx$ , then du = dx and

$$v = \int dv = \int xe^{-x^2/2}dx = \left[z = -\frac{x^2}{2}; dz = -xdx\right] = -\int e^z dz = -e^z = -e^{-x^2/2}$$

Next, integrate by parts

$$\int_{a}^{b} u dv = uv \Big|_{a}^{b} - \int_{a}^{b} v du$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_t^{+\infty} x^2 e^{-x^2/2} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( -x e^{-x^2/2} \Big|_t^{+\infty} \right) + \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} dx = t \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} + \mathbb{P}\{g > t\}$$

Next, apply the theorem "Tails of the normal distribution":

$$\mathbb{P}\{g > t\} \le \frac{1}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{t^2/2}$$

Thereby,

$$t\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-t^2/2} + \mathbb{P}\{g>t\} \leq t\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-t^2/2} + \frac{1}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{t^2/2} = \left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-t^2/2}$$

## 2 Exercise 2.2.3 (1 cc)

(Bounding the hyperbolic cosine)

Show that

$$\cosh(x) \le \exp(x^2/2)$$
 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## 2.1 Solution

Apply Taylor's expansion for the left side of inequation

$$\cosh(x)' = \sinh(x); \cosh(x)'' = \cosh(x); \cosh(x)''' = \sinh(x); \dots$$

$$\cosh(0) = 1; \ \sinh(0) = 0$$

Then

$$\cosh(x) = \cosh(0) + \frac{\sinh(0)}{1!}x^1 + \frac{\cosh(0)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{\sinh(0)}{3!}x^3 + \dots 
= 1 + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{24} + \frac{x^6}{720} + O(x^8)$$

For the right side

$$\exp(x^2/2)' = \exp(x^2/2)x; \ \exp(x^2/2)'' = \exp(x^2/2)(x^2+1); \ \exp(x^2/2)''' = \exp(x^2/2)x(x^2+3); \dots$$

$$\exp(0^2/2) = 1$$
;  $\exp(0^2/2)0 = 0$ ;  $\exp(0^2/2)(0^2 + 1) = 1$ ;  $\exp(0^2/2)0(0^2 + 3) = 0$ ; ...

Then

$$\exp(x^2/2) = \exp(0^2/2) + \frac{\exp(0^2/2)0}{1!}x^1 + \frac{\exp(0^2/2)(0^2+1)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{\exp(0^2/2)0(0^2+3)}{3!}x^3 + \dots$$
$$= 1 + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{8} + \frac{x^6}{384} + O(x^8)$$

Obviously,

$$1 + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{24} + \frac{x^6}{720} + O(x^8) \le 1 + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{8} + \frac{x^6}{384} + O(x^8)$$

Thus,

$$\cosh(x) \le \exp(x^2/2)$$
 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# 3 Exercise 2.2.7. (2 cc)

Let  $X_1, ..., X_N$  be independent random variables. Assume that  $X_i \in [m_i, M_i]$  for every i. Prove that for any t > 0

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i) \ge t\right\} \le \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum (M_i - m_i)^2}\right)$$

### 3.1 Solution

Let  $\xi_i = X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i$ . Apply Markov's inequality and a property of the exp function

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum \xi_i \ge t\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{e^{\lambda \sum \xi_i} \ge e^{\lambda t}\right\} \le e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda \sum \xi_i}\right] \text{ for any } \lambda > 0$$

Apply independence assumption

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda\sum\xi_{i}}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\prod e^{\lambda\xi_{i}}\right]=\prod\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda\xi_{i}}\right]$$

Apply Hoeffding's Lemma

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda\xi_i}\right] \le e^{\frac{\lambda^2(M_i - m_i)^2}{8}}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum \xi_i \ge t\right\} \le e^{-\lambda t} \prod e^{\frac{\lambda^2 (M_i - m_i)^2}{8}} = e^{-\lambda t + \frac{\lambda^2}{8} \sum (M_i - m_i)^2}$$

Let  $\lambda = 4t/\sum (M_i - m_i)^2$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i) \ge t\right\} \le \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum (M_i - m_i)^2}\right)$$

## 4 Exercise 2.2.8. (2 cc)

(Boosting randomized algorithms)

Imagine we have an algorithm for solving some decision problem (e.g. is a given number p a prime?). Suppose the algorithm makes a decision at random and returns the correct answer with probability  $1/2 + \delta$  with some  $\delta > 0$ , which is just a bit better than a random guess. To improve the performance, we run the algorithm N times and take the majority vote. Show that, for any  $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ , the answer is correct with probability at least  $1 - \epsilon$ , as long as

$$N \le \frac{1}{2\delta^2} \ln(1/\epsilon)$$

### 4.1 Solution

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots X_N$  be a random sequence with probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_i = 0\} = 1/2 - \delta$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_i = 1\} = 1/2 + \delta$$

Then the event {The answer is incorrect} can be written as

$$\left\{ \sum X_i \ge N/2 \right\} = \left\{ \sum (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i) \ge \sum (1/2 - \mathbb{E}X_i) \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \sum (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i) \ge \sum (1/2 - 1/2 + \delta) \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \sum (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i) \ge \delta N \right\}$$

Apply Hoeffding inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i) \ge t\right\} \le \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum (M_i - m_i)^2}\right)$$

Make substitutions  $t = \delta N$ ,  $M_i = 1$ ,  $m_i = 0$ 

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i) \ge \delta N\right\} \le \exp(-2\delta^2 N)$$

We can observe that

$$\left\{N \leq \frac{1}{2\delta^2} \ln(1/\epsilon)\right\} \Rightarrow \left\{\exp(-2\delta^2 N) < \epsilon\right\}$$

Thereby,  $\mathbb{P}\{\text{The answer is incorrect}\} \leq \epsilon$  and  $\mathbb{P}\{\text{The answer is correct}\} \geq 1 - \epsilon$  under that constraint.

# 5 Exercise 2.2.10 (2 cc)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  be non-negative independent random variables with continuous distributions. Assume that the densities of  $X_i$  are uniformly bounded by 1.

- (a) Show that the MGF of  $X_i$  satisfies  $\mathbb{E}e^{-tX_i} \leq 1/t$  for all t > 0.
- (b) Deduce that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{P}\{\sum X_i \leq \epsilon N\} \leq (e\epsilon)^N$ .

## 5.1 Solution (a)

Let  $\phi_i(x)$  be a PDF of  $X_i$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\exp(-tX_i) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} \phi_i(x) dx$$

Since  $\phi_i(x) \leq 1$  for all i and x, then

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-tx}\phi_i(x)dx \le \int_0^\infty e^{-tx}dx = \frac{1}{t} \text{ for all } t > 0$$

## 5.2 Solution (b)

Rewrite the probability as

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum X_i \le \epsilon N\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sum -X_i/\epsilon \ge -N\right\}$$

Apply Markov's inequality and a property of the exp function

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum -X_i/\epsilon \geq -N\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\exp\left(\sum -X_i/\epsilon\right) \geq \exp(-N)\right\} \leq e^N \prod \mathbb{E}e^{-X_i/\epsilon}$$

Apply the result from the section (a) and then

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum X_i \le \epsilon N\right\} \le e^N \prod \epsilon = (e\epsilon)^N$$

# 6 Exercise 2.3.2 (2 cc)

(Chernoff's inequality: lower tails)

Modify the proof of Theorem 2.3.1 to obtain the following bound on the lower tail. For any  $t < \mu$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_N \le t\} \le e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e\mu}{t}\right)^t$$

## 6.1 Solution

Since  $t < \mu$ , t > 0 and  $S_N > 0$  we can rewrite the probability as

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_N \le t\} = \mathbb{P}\{(t/\mu)^{S_N} \ge (t/\mu)^t\}$$

Apply Markov's inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\{(t/\mu)^{S_N} \ge (t/\mu)^t\} \le (\mu/t)^t \mathbb{E}(t/\mu)^{S_N}$$

Consider the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}(t/\mu)^{S_N} = \prod \mathbb{E}(t/\mu)^{X_i}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(t/\mu)^{X_i} = (t/\mu)^1 p_i + (t/\mu)^0 (1 - p_i) = 1 + [(t/\mu) - 1] p_i \le \exp\left(\left[\frac{t}{\mu} - 1\right] p_i\right)$$

$$\prod \mathbb{E}(t/\mu)^{X_i} \le \exp\left(\left[\frac{t}{\mu} - 1\right] \sum p_i\right) = \exp\left(\left[\frac{t}{\mu} - 1\right] \mu\right) = e^t e^{-\mu}$$

Thereby

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_N \le t\} \le e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e\mu}{t}\right)^t$$

## 7 Exercise 2.3.3 (2 cc)

(Poisson tails)

Let  $X \sim Pois(\lambda)$ . Show that for any  $t > \lambda$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{X \ge t\} \le e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{e\lambda}{t}\right)^t$$

#### 7.1 Solution

Chernoff's inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_N \ge t\} \le e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e\mu}{t}\right)^t$$

Assume that  $N \to \infty$ ,  $\max p_i \to 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}S_N \to \lambda < \infty$ . Then, using Poisson limit theorem we have

$$S_N \to Pois(\lambda)$$

Thereby

$$\mathbb{P}\{X \ge t\} \le e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{e\lambda}{t}\right)^t$$

# 8 Exercise 2.3.5 (3 cc)

(Chernoff's inequality: small deviations)

Show that, in the setting of Theorem 2.3.1, for  $\delta \in (0,1]$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{|S_N - \mu| \ge \delta\mu\} \le 2e^{-c\mu\delta^2}$$

where c > 0 is an absolute constant.

### 8.1 Solution

Consider Chernoff's inequality with  $t > \mu$  (upper tails). Let  $t = \mu + \delta \mu$ , so

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_N - \mu \ge \delta\mu\} \le e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e}{1+\delta}\right)^{\mu+\delta\mu}$$

In opposite, if  $t < \mu$  and  $t = \mu - \delta \mu$  (lower tails) we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_N - \mu \le -\delta\mu\} \le e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e}{1-\delta}\right)^{\mu-\delta\mu}$$

Apply addition theorem of probability for lower and upper tails

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{S_N - \mu \ge \delta\mu\right\} \cup \left\{S_N - \mu \le -\delta\mu\right\}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|S_N - \mu\right| \ge \delta\mu\right\}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{|S_N - \mu| \ge \delta\mu\} \le e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e}{1-\delta}\right)^{\mu-\delta\mu} + e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e}{1+\delta}\right)^{\mu+\delta\mu} \le 2e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e}{1+\delta}\right)^{\mu+\delta\mu}$$

Consider the inequality

$$2e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e}{1+\delta}\right)^{\mu+\delta\mu} \le 2e^{-c\mu\delta^2}$$
$$\frac{e^{\delta\mu}}{(1+\delta)^{\mu+\delta\mu}} \le e^{-c\mu\delta^2}$$
$$e^{\delta+c\delta^2} \le (1+\delta)^{1+\delta}$$

Take log

$$\delta + c\delta^2 \le (1 + \delta) \ln(1 + \delta)$$
$$c \le \frac{(1 + \delta) \ln(1 + \delta) - \delta}{\delta^2}$$

Thereby,

$$\mathbb{P}\{|S_N - \mu| \ge \delta\mu\} \le 2e^{-c\mu\delta^2}$$

for all  $c \leq [(1+\delta)\ln(1+\delta) - \delta]/\delta^2$ .

# 9 Exercise 2.3.8 (2 cc)

(Normal approximation to Poisson)

Let  $X \sim Pois(\lambda)$ . Show that, as  $\lambda \to \infty$ , we have

$$\frac{X-\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \to \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$
 in distribution.

## 9.1 Solution

Rewrite the RV X as a sum  $Z_1 + \cdots + Z_N$  where  $Z_i \sim Pois(\lambda/N)$  and apply Lindeberg-Levy central limit theorem

$$\frac{X - \mathbb{E}X}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}} = \frac{X - N\mathbb{E}Z_i}{\sqrt{N \operatorname{Var}(Z_i)}} = \frac{X - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \to \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \text{ as } N \to \infty$$

## 10 Exercise 2.4.2 (1 cc)

(Bounding the degrees of sparse graphs)

Consider a random graph  $G \sim G(n, p)$  with expected degrees  $d = O(\log n)$ . Show that with high probability (say, 0.9), all vertices of G have degrees  $O(\log n)$ .

### 10.1 Solution

Since  $d_i \ge 0$  and n > 0, then for any i we have  $d_i = O(\log n) \equiv \exists C_i : d_i \le C_i \log n$  and we need to prove the following statement

$$\mathbb{P}\{\forall i: d_i \le C_i \log n\} \ge 0.9$$

where  $C_i$  is a constant. We can rewrite probability of the opposite case as

$$\mathbb{P}\{\exists i: d_i \ge C_i \log n\} \le \sum \mathbb{P}\{d_i \ge C_i \log n\} \le 0.1$$

Apply Chernoff's inequality for upper bound (since  $d \leq C_i \log n$ )

$$\sum \mathbb{P}\{d_i \ge C_i \log n\} \le ne^{-d} \left(\frac{ed}{C_i \log n}\right)^{C_i \log n} \le 0.1$$

Note that there exists  $C_d$  such that  $d \leq C_d \log n$  and then

$$ne^{-C_d \log n} \left( \frac{eC_d \log n}{C_i \log n} \right)^{C_i \log n} = n^{C_i - C_d + 1} \left( \frac{C_d}{C_i} \right)^{C_i \log n} \le 0.1$$

Hence, the statement holds for any  $C_i$  such that

$$C_i \ge \frac{-C_d \log n + \log n + \log 10}{\log n} \left[ W \left( \frac{-C_d \log n + \log n + \log 10}{C_d e \log n} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

where  $W(\cdot)$  is the product log function.

## 11 Exercise 2.4.3 (2 cc)

(Bounding the degrees of very sparse graphs)

Consider a random graph  $G \sim G(n, p)$  with expected degrees d = O(1). Show that with high probability (say, 0.9), all vertices of G have degrees

$$O\left(\frac{\log n}{\log\log n}\right)$$

## 11.1 Solution

Apply the same approach as in the previous exercise and obtain

$$\sum \mathbb{P}\left\{d_i \ge C_i \frac{\log n}{\log\log n}\right\} \le ne^{-C_d} \left(\frac{eC_d \log\log n}{C_i \log n}\right)^{(C_i \log n)/(\log\log n)} \le 0.1$$

Hence, the statement holds for any  $C_i$  such that

$$C_i \ge \frac{(\log n - C_d + \log 10)\log\log n}{\log n} \left[ W\left(\frac{\log n - C_d + \log 10}{C_d e}\right) \right]^{-1}$$

where  $W(\cdot)$  is the product log function.

#### **12** Exercise 3.2.6 (1 cc)

(Distance between independent isotropic vectors)

Let X and Y be independent, mean zero, isotropic random vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Check that

$$\mathbb{E}||X - Y||_2^2 = 2n$$

#### 12.1 Solution

$$\mathbb{E}\|X-Y\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum (X_i - Y_i)^2\right] = \sum (\mathbb{E}X_i^2 - \mathbb{E}X_iY_i + \mathbb{E}Y_i^2)$$

 $\mathbb{E}X_i^2=\mathbb{E}Y_i^2=1$  by isotropy,  $\mathbb{E}X_iY_i=\mathbb{E}X_i\mathbb{E}Y_i$  by independence and  $\mathbb{E}X_i=\mathbb{E}Y_i=0$  by task description. Thereby,

$$\mathbb{E}||X - Y||_2^2 = \sum (1 - 0 + 1) = 2n$$

#### 13 Exercise 3.3.1 (1 cc)

Show that the spherically distributed random vector X is isotropic. Argue that the coordinates of X are not independent.

#### 13.1 Solution

#### 14 Exercise 3.3.3 (2 cc)

(Rotation invariance)

Deduce the following properties from the rotation invariance of the normal distribution.

- (a) Consider a random vector  $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_n)$  and a fixed vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\langle g, u \rangle \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \|u\|_2^2)$ (b) Consider independent random variables  $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_i^2)$ . Then  $\sum X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2 = \sum \sigma_i^2$
- (c) Let G be an  $m \times n$  Gaussian random matrix, i.e. the entries of G are independent  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  random variables. Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a fixed unit vector. Then  $Gu \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_m)$ .

#### Solution (a) 14.1

Note that  $\langle g, u \rangle = \sum g_i u_i$  where  $g_i u_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, u_i^2)$  by summation property of Gaussian distribution and

$$\mathbb{E}\langle g, u \rangle = \sum \mathbb{E}g_i u_i = 0$$

$$\operatorname{Var}\langle g, u \rangle = \sum \operatorname{Var} g_i u_i = \sum u_i^2 = ||u||_2^2$$

Thereby,

$$\langle g, u \rangle \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sum \mathbb{E}g_i u_i, \sum \operatorname{Var} g_i u_i\right) = \mathcal{N}(0, ||u||_2^2)$$

## 14.2 Solution (b)

Consider the PDF  $f_Z(z)$  where Z = X + Y with independent  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_X^2)$  and  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_Y^2)$ 

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(z - x) f(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_Y \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(z - x)^2}{2\sigma_Y^2}\right] \frac{1}{\sigma_X \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_X^2}\right] dx$$

$$= [\dots] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2} \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{z^2}{2(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2)}\right]$$

Thereby  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2)$  and consequently  $\sum X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2 = \sum \sigma_i^2$ 

## 14.3 Solution (c)

Denote  $g_{ij}$  as an entry of the matrix G and  $v_i$  as an entry of the vector Gu

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \dots \\ v_m \end{pmatrix} := Gu = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & \dots & g_{1n} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & \dots & g_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ g_{m1} & g_{m2} & \dots & g_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \dots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $v_i = g_{i1}u_1 + g_{i2}u_2 + \cdots + g_{in}u_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, ||u||_2^2)$  by solution (a) and (b). Since u is a unit vector we obtain that  $v_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Entries  $g_{ij}$  are independent, then entries  $v_i$  are independent too and consequently  $\forall i \neq j : \text{cov}(v_i, v_j) = 0$ . Thereby  $Gu \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_n)$ .

## 15 Exercise 3.3.4 (3 cc)

(Characterization of normal distribution)

Let X be a random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Show that X has a multivariate normal distribution if and only if every one-dimensional marginal  $\langle X, \theta \rangle$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , has a (univariate) normal distribution.

### 15.1 Solution

# 16 Exercise 4.4.2 (1 cc)

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -net of the sphere  $S^{n-1}$ . Show that

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{N}} \langle x, y \rangle \le ||x||_2 \le \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{N}} \langle x, y \rangle$$

#### 16.1 Solution

First, consider the lower bound. By the definition of the dot product we have

$$\langle x, y \rangle = ||x||_2 ||y||_2 \cos \theta$$

Use the fact that  $y \in \mathcal{N} \subset S^{n-1}$ , so  $||y||_2 = 1$ . Also  $\cos \theta \leq 1$ , consequently

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{N}} \langle x, y \rangle \le \sup_{y \in S^{n-1}} \langle x, y \rangle = \|x\|_2$$

Next, use the similar approach as in Lemma 4.4.1 for the upper bound. Fix a vector  $y \in S^{n-1}$  for which

$$\langle x, y \rangle = ||x||_2$$

and choose  $y_0 \in \mathcal{N}$  that approximates y so that

$$||y - y_0||_2 \le \varepsilon$$

By the definition of the dot product, this implies

$$\langle x, y - y_0 \rangle = \|x\|_2 \|y - y_0\|_2 \cos \theta \le \|x\|_2 \varepsilon \cos \theta \le \|x\|_2 \varepsilon$$

Using a distributive law, we find that

$$\langle x, y_0 \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle - \langle x, y - y_0 \rangle \ge ||x||_2 - ||x||_2 \varepsilon = (1 - \varepsilon)||x||_2$$

Dividing both sides of this inequality by  $1 - \varepsilon$ , we complete the proof.

## 17 Exercise 4.4.3 (2 cc)

(Quadratic form on a net)

Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix and  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1/2)$ .

(a) Show that for any  $\varepsilon$ -net  $\mathcal{N}$  of the sphere  $S^{n-1}$  and any  $\varepsilon$ -net  $\mathcal{M}$  of the sphere  $S^{m-1}$ , we have

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}, y \in \mathcal{M}} \langle Ax, y \rangle \le ||A|| \le \frac{1}{1 - 2\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}, y \in \mathcal{M}} \langle Ax, y \rangle$$

(b) Moreover, if m = n and A is symmetric, show that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} |\langle Ax, x \rangle| \le ||A|| \le \frac{1}{1 - 2\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} |\langle Ax, x \rangle|$$

### 17.1 Solution (a)

First, consider the lower bound. Using the same explanations as in the Exercise 4.4.2 and the lower bound from Lemma 4.4.1 we obtain

$$||A|| \ge \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} ||Ax||_2 \ge \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}, y \in \mathcal{M}} \langle Ax, y \rangle$$

Next, again apply Lemma 4.4.1 and the Exercise 4.4.2 for the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\| &\leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} \|Ax\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} \left( \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{M}} \langle Ax, y \rangle \right) = \frac{1}{1-2\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}, y \in \mathcal{M}} \langle Ax, y \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-2\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}, y \in \mathcal{M}} \langle Ax, y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

## 17.2 Solution (b)

First, use Lemma 4.4.1 and the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality for the lower bound

$$\begin{split} \|A\| &\geq \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} \|Ax\|_2 = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} \|Ax\|_2 \|x\|_2 \\ &\geq \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} |\langle Ax, x \rangle| \end{split} \tag{by the fact that } \|x\|_2 = 1)$$

Next, use the similar approach as in Lemma 4.4.1. Fix a vector  $x \in S^{n-1}$  for which

$$||Ax||_2 = ||Ax||_2 ||x||_2 = |\langle Ax, x \rangle|$$

and choose  $x_0 \in \mathcal{N}$  that approximates x so that

$$||x - x_0||_2 \le \varepsilon$$

By the Cauchy–Bunyakovsky–Schwarz inequality, this implies

$$|\langle Ax, x - x_0 \rangle| \le ||Ax||_2 ||x - x_0||_2 \le ||Ax||_2 \varepsilon$$

Using the trianle inequality of absolute value, we find that

$$|\langle Ax, x_0 \rangle| \ge |\langle Ax, x \rangle| - |\langle Ax, x - x_0 \rangle| \ge ||Ax||_2 - ||Ax||_2 \varepsilon = (1 - \varepsilon)||Ax||_2$$

Dividing both sides of this inequality by  $1 - \varepsilon$ , we prove that

$$||Ax||_2 \le \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} |\langle Ax, x \rangle|$$

Finally, apply the upper bound of Lemma 4.4.1 and complete the prove

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\| &\leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} \|Ax\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} \left( \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} |\langle Ax, x \rangle| \right) = \frac{1}{1-2\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} |\langle Ax, x \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-2\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}} |\langle Ax, x \rangle| \end{aligned}$$