Machine Learning Unit Test:

gtzan.keras:

Music Genre classification using Convolutional Neural Networks. Implemented in Keras.

Dataset

How to get the dataset?

Download the GTZAN dataset: http://opihi.cs.uvic.ca/sound/genres.tar.gz

Extract the file in the **data** folder of this project. The structure should look like this:

```
bash data/
genres
blues
classical
country

rock
```

How to run

To run the training process in the gtzan files:

```
```bash
$ cd src/
$ python gtzan.py -t train -d ../data/genres/
```

After the execution the model will be save on the \*models\* folder. To run in a custom file, you should run as follow:

```
"bash
$ cd src/
$ python gtzan.py -t test -m ../models/YOUR_MODEL_HERE -
s ../data/SONG_TO_TEST_HERE
```

#### Overview:

Compare the classic approach of extract features and use a classifier (e.g SVM) with the modern approach of using CNNs on a representation of the audio (Melspectrogram). You can see both approaches on the **nbs** folder in the Jupyter notebooks.

For the deep learning approach:

- 1. Read the audios as melspectrograms, spliting then into 3s windows with 50% overlaping resulting in a dataset with the size 19000x129x128x1 (samples x time x frequency x channels)\*\*.
- 2. Shuffle the input and split into train and test (70%/30%)
- 3. Train the CNN and validate using the validation dataset

# **Parameters**

In the src folder there is an file *gtzan.py* which you can use to tune your program parameters. The graphics presented here were constructed with the default setting of this program.

## Model

You can tune the model (Add more layers, change kernel size or create a new one) by editing the file *src/gtzan/model.py*.

### Results

To compare the result across multiple architectures, we have took two approaches for this problem: One using the classic approach of extracting features and then using a classifier. The second approach, wich is implemented on the src file here is a Deep Learning approach feeding a CNN with a melspectrogram.

You can check in the nbs folder on how we extracted the features, but here are the current results for a k-fold (k = 5):

Model	ACC	STD
Decision Tree	0.502	0.03
Logistic Regression	0.700	0.013
Random Forest	0.708	0.032
SVM(RBF)	0.762	0.034

For the deep learning approach we have tested two models: CNN 2D and a VGG16-like model. Reading the file as melspectrograms and split the 30s into 3s files with 50% overlaping, using a training split of 70% for train and 30% test. The process was executed 3x to ensure it wasn't a luck split.

Model	ACC	STD
CNN 2D	0.832	0.008
VGG16	0.864	0.012

<sup>\*\*</sup> In the case of the VGG, the channel need to have 3 channels



