- 1. **a**. q = 6, r = 2
 - **b**. q = 12, r = 0
 - **c**. q = -2, r = 10
 - **d**. q = -3, r = 7
- **2.** a. If an integer a, then 2|a and we can say that a=2k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, we can say that any even integer is in the form 2k. Multiplying $a \cdot b$, where a is an even integer and b is some integer we get

$$a \cdot b$$

$$= 2k \cdot b$$

$$= 2(kb)$$

Since it is in this form, ab is an even integer.

b. If an integer a is odd, it is not even, and thus $2 \not v$. Thus, it can be represented as 2k+1 for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, since 2k is divisible by 2, but 1 isn't. We are looking for the product of two odd integers x and y. Since they are odd, they can be written as 2m+1 and 2n+1 respectively for some $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$.

$$x \cdot y$$

$$(2m+1)(2n+1)$$

$$= 2m(2n+1) + 1(2n+1)$$

$$= 4mn + 2m + 2n + 1$$

$$= 2(2mn + m + n) + 1$$

Since it is in this form, the product is odd. Thus, we have proven that the product of two odd integers is odd.

- **3**. Since we have proven that if a|b and c|d then ab|cd. Then, if a|b and a|b, we can say $(a \cdot a)|(b \cdot b) \Rightarrow a^2|b^2$.
- 4. Let the base case be n=1. a|b as it's given, so the base case is true. For the inductive hypothesis, assume we have a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a^k | b^k$. By the inductive hypothesis and since a|b, we can apply the theorem from problem 3 to get

$$(a^k \cdot a)|(b^k \cdot b)$$
$$a^{k+1}|b^{k+1}|$$

This is the same formula for k + 1; thus, by induction we have shown that $a^n|b^n$ for any positive integer n.

n. This is a contradiction, so at least one of these must be $\leq \sqrt{n}$.

6. Let p and q be consecutive odd primes such that p < q. Since they are odd, they can be represented as 2k + 1 and 2j + 1 respectively for some $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$p+q$$
= $(2k+1) + (2j+1)$
= $2k + 2j + 2$
= $2(k+j+1)$

As we can see, p+q is even, and thus 2|(p+q). From above, we defined p < q. Let's expand on this.

$$p < q$$
 $p < q$ $p < q$ $p + p < q + p$ $p + q < q + q$ $p + q < q + q$ $2p $2(k + j + 1) < 2q$ $k + j + 1 < q$ $p < k + j + 1$$

So, since p < q, p < k + j + 1 < q. Since p and q are consecutive primes, this means that all the integers between them are not prime and, thus, have 1 factor or at least 2 factors. For it to have 1 factor, k + j + 1 would have to be equal to 1 and thus 2(k + j + 1) would have to equal to 2. However, this is not possible as there are no two positive consecutive primes that sum to 2. Thus, k + j + 1 has to have at least 2 factors. Considering the additional factor of 2 we discovered above, this means that 2(k + j + 1), and thus p + q, has at least 3 prime factors.