

**Problem 1**

Let  $H = \{1, 5, 9, 13, \dots\}$  be the set of all positive integers in the form  $4k + 1$ .

- Show that the set  $H$  is closed under multiplication.
- List all numbers in  $H$  that are less than 150 and *not* Hilbert primes.
- Show that every number in  $H$  has a factorization into Hilbert primes.
- Show that  $H$  does **not** have (a) unique factorization by finding two different factorization(s) of 693 into Hilbert primes.
- What is the smallest number in  $H$  with two different factorizations into Hilbert primes?

- Let  $a, b \in H$ . Then  $a = 4k + 1$  and  $b = 4j + 1$  for some  $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \cdot b &= (4k + 1)(4j + 1) \\
 &= 16kj + 4k + 4j + 1 \\
 &= 4(4kj + k + j) + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

This is the form of a Hilbert number, thus  $H$  is closed under multiplication.

- Hilbert primes  $< 150$  are  $1, 5, 13, \dots, 149$  or  $H_0, H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{37}$ . Since they are not primes, each  $H_i$  is in the form  $(4k + 1)(4j + 1)$  for some  $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $4k + 1 > 1$  and  $4j + 1 < H_i$ . Let  $k \leq j$ . We can iterate through all possibilities of  $k$  and  $j$  to find non primes  $< 150$ . Doing so, we get 9 such numbers: 25, 45, 65, 81, 85, 105, 117, 125, 145.
- We will use strong induction.  
Base Case:  $H_0 = 1$ . Since 1 is a Hilbert prime, it factors into itself. Thus, the base case is true.  
Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that  $H_k$  has a factorization into Hilbert primes for all  $n$  and some  $k$  such that  $0 \leq n < k$ . There are two cases for  $H_{k+1}$ : either it is a Hilbert prime or it is not.  
Case 1: If  $H_{k+1}$  is a Hilbert prime. Then  $H_{k+1} = H_0 \cdot H_{k+1}$ . Thus, it has a factorization into Hilbert primes.  
Case 2: If  $H_{k+1}$  is not a Hilbert prime, then  $H_{k+1} = H_j \cdot H_i$  for some  $j, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $H_j$  and  $H_i$  are not 1 or  $H_{k+1}$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $H_j$  and  $H_i$  have factorization into Hilbert primes. Thus,  $H_{k+1}$  has a factorization into Hilbert primes.  
 By strong induction, we have shown that for every  $a \in H$ ,  $H_a$  factorizes into Hilbert primes.
- $693 = 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ . From this we can see that  $693 = H_5 \cdot H_8$  and  $693 = H_2 \cdot H_{19}$ . When we check, we see that  $H_5, H_2, H_8$ , and  $H_{19} < 150$  and are not part of the list of non-Hilbert-primes that we found. So, they are Hilbert primes. Thus, 693 has two different factorization into Hilbert primes, meaning that numbers in  $H$  do not necessarily have unique factorization.
- Let there be  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $H_k$  has two different factorizations into Hilbert primes. Since we are looking for the smallest  $k$ , we can say  $H_k = H_a \cdot H_b$  and  $H_k = H_c \cdot H_d$  for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $H_a, H_b, H_c$ , and  $H_d$  are Hilbert primes and distinct. Since  $H_k = H_k$ ,  $H_a \cdot H_b$  and  $H_c \cdot H_d$  must have the same prime factorization. For them to be different Hilbert factorizations, we must arrange the factors differently. Obviously,  $H_a$  or  $H_b$  must be greater than 1 or there can't be two factorizations. Furthermore, at least one of  $H_a$  and  $H_b$  must be non-prime as it would factor to  $H_0 \cdot H_k = H_a \cdot H_b$  - not distinct. We can iterate through all the possibilities, setting 693 as a baseline since we already know that that has two Hilbert prime factorizations.

$a$	$b$	$H_a$	$H_b$	$H_a \cdot H_b$
1	2	5	9	45
1	6	5	25	125
1	8	5	33	165
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
2	12	9	49	441
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
5	5	21	21	441
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$

By doing such, we find that smallest number in  $H$  with two different factorizations into Hilbert primes is 441.

### Problem 2

Consider the polynomial  $p(x) = x^7 + 2x^6 + Ax^5 + Bx^3 + Cx^2 - 4x + 1$  with all integer coefficients. Suppose you learn that  $A + B + C = 3$ . Show that  $p(x)$  cannot have rational roots.

By the rational root theorem, all roots  $r$  of  $p(x)$  must be in the form  $\frac{s}{t}$  where  $s|a_0$  and  $t|a_n$ .  $a_0 = a_n = 1$ . The only integers that divide 1 are 1 and  $-1$ . Thus,  $\frac{s}{t} = \pm 1$ . We are given  $A + B + C = 3$ . If  $p(1)$  or  $p(-1)$  are roots, they will equal 1. Let us test both cases.

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(1) &= 1^7 + 2(1)^6 + A(1)^5 + B(1)^3 + C(1)^2 - 4(1) + 1 \\
 &= 1 + 2 + A + B + C - 4 + 1 \\
 &= A + B + C
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $3 \neq 0$ , so 1 is not a root.

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(-1) &= (-1)^7 + 2(-1)^6 + A(-1)^5 + B(-1)^3 + C(-1)^2 - 4(-1) + 1 \\
 &= -1 + 2 - A - B + C + 4 + 1 \\
 &= -A - B + C + 6 \\
 -A - B + C &= 6
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding  $A + B + C = 3$  and  $-A - B + C = 6$  gives  $2C = 9 \Rightarrow C = \frac{9}{2}$ . However, this is not possible as  $C$  is defined as an integer. Thus,  $-1$  is not a root. Therefore,  $p(x)$  does not have rational roots.

### Problem 3

Compute  $(412, 600)$  and find  $m$  and  $n$  so that  $412m + 600n = (412, 600)$ .

We will utilize the extended Euclidean algorithm.

$q$	$r$	$m$	$n$
	600	0	1
1	412	1	0
2	188	-1	1
5	36	3	-2
4	8	-16	11
2	4	67	-46

Thus,  $(412, 600) = 4$ .  $m = 67 - 150t$  and  $n = -46 + 103t$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Problem 4**

Calculate  $(840, 595, 476)$ .

We will first compute  $(840, 595)$  to some  $d$ , then compute  $(d, 476)$  to get  $(840, 595, 476)$ .

$$\begin{array}{r|l}
 q & r \\
 \hline
 & 840 \\
 1 & 595 \\
 2 & 245 \\
 2 & 105 \\
 2 & 35 \\
 3 & 0
 \end{array}$$

Thus,  $(840, 595) = 35$ . Now, we will compute  $(35, 476)$ .

$$\begin{array}{r|l}
 q & r \\
 \hline
 & 476 \\
 13 & 35 \\
 1 & 21 \\
 1 & 14 \\
 1 & 7 \\
 2 & 0
 \end{array}$$

Thus,  $(35, 476) = 7$ . Therefore,  $(840, 595, 476) = 7$ .

**Problem 5**

Search (on the web, in books, etc.) for an easy-to-state, easy-to-understand conjecture in number theory that has still not been proven. State the conjecture below.

The Erdős–Straus Conjecture, formulated in 1948, states that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ , there exists  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z}$$

That is, the number  $\frac{4}{n}$  can be expressed as the sum of three unit fractions. So far, it has been verified up to  $n \leq 10^{17}$ . Interestingly, it also seems to work when you allow negative unit fractions.