NLP Programming Assignment #1 SpamLord. Report:

Submitted by – Vaibhav Rawat UIN – 626008171

Steps to compile and run the code with Python 2.7:

- 1. Open the terminal
- 2. Go to the folder NLPAssign1.
- Enter the following command: python SpamLord.py data_dev/dev/ data_dev/devGOLD

Results and Analysis:

I have used three patterns for emails extraction and one pattern for phone number extraction –

1. Pattern for phone -

covers all the following scenarios for phone numbers:

Phone: (979) 862-2908
Tel (+1): 979-862-2908
TEL +1 979 862 2908

2. Pattern for emails -

2.1 Short emails (with single dot):

```
 \begin{array}{lll} & \text{email\_pattern} & = '([\w-]+|[\w-]+\.[\w-]+)(?:[(\{\s]+(?:at|where)[\)}\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|where)[\)}\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\)}\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\(\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:at|vhere)[\])\s]+)|(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s]+(?:[\s
```

2.2 Long emails (with double dot):

```
w-]+)(?:(?:[\(\{\s]+(?:do?t|DOM)[\)\}\s]+)|(?:[\(\{\s]*(?:\.|;)[\)\}\s]*))([\w-]+)(?:[\(\{\s]+(?:do?t|DOM])[\)\}\s]+)|(?:[\(\{\s]*(?:\.|;)[\)\}\s]*))(?:-?e-?d-?u|com)"
```

covers scenarios of the following types:

huangrh@cse.tamu.edu cheriton@cs.stanford.edu huangrh(at)cse.tamu.edu huangrh at cse dot tamu dot edu

2.3 Obfuscated emails:

```
obfs_email_pattern = "obfuscate\s*(?:\()\s*(?:\)(.+)(?:\)\s*(?:\,)\s*(?:\)["
```

covers obfuscated emails of the following format:

<script type="text/javascript">obfuscate('cse.tamu.edu','huangrh')</script>

Following results are obtained with my code

```
True Positives (59):
set([('ashishg', 'e', 'ashishg@stanford.edu'),
  ('ashishg', 'e', 'rozm@stanford.edu'),
  ('ashishg', 'p', '650-723-1614'),
  ('ashishg', 'p', '650-723-4173'),
  ('ashishg', 'p', '650-814-1478'),
  ('balaji', 'e', 'balaji@stanford.edu'),
  ('bgirod', 'p', '650-723-4539'),
  ('bgirod', 'p', '650-724-3648'),
  ('bgirod', 'p', '650-724-6354'),
  ('cheriton', 'e', 'cheriton@cs.stanford.edu'),
  ('cheriton', 'e', 'uma@cs.stanford.edu'),
  ('cheriton', 'p', '650-723-1131'),
  ('cheriton', 'p', '650-725-3726'),
  ('dabo', 'e', 'dabo@cs.stanford.edu'),
  ('dabo', 'p', '650-725-3897'),
  ('dabo', 'p', '650-725-4671'),
  ('dlwh', 'e', 'dlwh@stanford.edu'),
  ('engler', 'e', 'engler@lcs.mit.edu'),
  ('engler', 'e', 'engler@stanford.edu'),
  ('eroberts', 'e', 'eroberts@cs.stanford.edu'),
```

```
('eroberts', 'p', '650-723-3642'),
  ('eroberts', 'p', '650-723-6092'),
  ('fedkiw', 'e', 'fedkiw@cs.stanford.edu'),
  ('hager', 'e', 'hager@cs.jhu.edu'),
  ('hager', 'p', '410-516-5521'),
  ('hager', 'p', '410-516-5553'),
  ('hager', 'p', '410-516-8000'),
  ('hanrahan', 'e', 'hanrahan@cs.stanford.edu'),
  ('hanrahan', 'p', '650-723-0033'),
  ('hanrahan', 'p', '650-723-8530'),
  ('horowitz', 'p', '650-725-3707'),
  ('horowitz', 'p', '650-725-6949'),
  ('jks', 'e', 'jks@robotics.stanford.edu'),
  ('jurafsky', 'e', 'jurafsky@stanford.edu'),
  ('jurafsky', 'p', '650-723-5666'),
  ('kosecka', 'e', 'kosecka@cs.gmu.edu'),
  ('kosecka', 'p', '703-993-1710'),
  ('kosecka', 'p', '703-993-1876'),
  ('kunle', 'e', 'darlene@csl.stanford.edu'),
  ('kunle', 'e', 'kunle@ogun.stanford.edu'),
  ('kunle', 'p', '650-723-1430'),
  ('kunle', 'p', '650-725-3713'),
  ('kunle', 'p', '650-725-6949'),
  ('lam', 'e', 'lam@cs.stanford.edu'),
  ('lam', 'p', '650-725-3714'),
  ('lam', 'p', '650-725-6949'),
  ('latombe', 'e', 'asandra@cs.stanford.edu'),
  ('latombe', 'e', 'latombe@cs.stanford.edu'),
  ('latombe', 'e', 'liliana@cs.stanford.edu'),
  ('latombe', 'p', '650-721-6625'),
  ('latombe', 'p', '650-723-0350'),
  ('latombe', 'p', '650-723-4137'),
  ('latombe', 'p', '650-725-1449'),
  ('levoy', 'e', 'ada@graphics.stanford.edu'),
  ('levoy', 'e', 'melissa@graphics.stanford.edu'),
  ('levoy', 'p', '650-723-0033'),
  ('levoy', 'p', '650-724-6865'),
  ('levoy', 'p', '650-725-3724'),
  ('levoy', 'p', '650-725-4089')])
False Positives (0):
set([])
False Negatives (0):
set([])
```

Summary for the results: tp=59, fp=0, fn=0

Results are pretty good with true positives, tp = 59. None of the emails and phone numbers are incorrectly classified (fp = 0, fn = 0).

Any known bugs, problems, or limitations of your program

Program is able to correctly handle all the possible scenarios for emails and phone numbers, with training data set. Additionally, I have covered up more scenarios from the web which seemed plausible.