

Macro-Linked Energy ETF

Checkpoint B – Research Progress Report

Author: Vrishani Shah

Course: MSDS 413 – Time Series and Forecasting

Instructor: Tom Miller

Date: November 2025

Repository: github.com/vrishanishah20/macro-linked-energy-etf

1. Project Overview

This project develops a **macro-linked Energy ETF** that dynamically adjusts exposure to major U.S. energy equities - **XOM**, **CVX**, and **XLE** based on macroeconomic and commodity-market indicators.

Checkpoint A established the conceptual foundation; Checkpoint B demonstrates progress in **data acquisition, exploratory analysis, and initial model prototyping**.

Research Question:

Can macroeconomic and commodity-linked signals improve the risk-adjusted performance of an energy-sector ETF relative to a passive benchmark such as XLE or the S&P 500?

2. Progress Since Checkpoint A

- Implemented initial data-pull scripts using `yfinance` and `pandas_datareader` for daily prices (XOM, CVX, XLE, WTI CL=F).
- Retrieved macroeconomic series—Fed Funds Rate, CPI, Industrial Production from FRED API; merged on date index.
- Imported weekly crude-inventory data from EIA API and forward-filled to daily frequency.
- Generated daily log-return series and aligned trading days.
- Ran ADF tests → prices non-stationary ($p > 0.1$); returns stationary ($p < 0.01$).
- Produced rolling 60-day correlation plots between WTI and XLE returns.
- Added event dummies for OPEC announcements, COVID-19 shock, and Russia-Ukraine conflict.

3. Exploratory Data Analysis

3.1 Summary Statistics (2015 – 2024)

| Series | Mean (%) | Std Dev (%) | ADF p-value | Comment |
|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| WTI returns | 0.03 | 2.15 | 0.00 | Stationary |
| XLE returns | 0.04 | 1.58 | 0.00 | Stationary |
| XOM returns | 0.05 | 1.42 | 0.00 | Stationary |
| CVX returns | 0.04 | 1.37 | 0.00 | Stationary |

3.2 Pairwise Correlations

| Relationship | ρ | Economic Interpretation |
|--|--------|-------------------------------------|
| WTI \leftrightarrow XLE returns | 0.61 | Energy sector tracks oil prices |
| WTI \leftrightarrow XOM returns | 0.59 | Direct commodity exposure |
| Fed Funds Rate \leftrightarrow XLE | -0.24 | Tight policy reduces sector returns |
| Inventory Δ \leftrightarrow WTI | -0.31 | Inventory builds lower prices |

3.3 Visual Insights

- **Figure 1.** Rolling 60-day correlation between WTI and XLE returns (peaks ≈ 0.8 in 2022 oil surge).
- **Figure 2.** ACF/PACF of XLE returns \rightarrow low autocorrelation beyond lag 1 \rightarrow ARIMA(1,0,1) baseline.
- **Figure 3.** Event markers show oil-volatility spikes around OPEC and geopolitical news.

4. Model Preparation and Early Results

4.1 Baseline Specification

$$[r_{t+1}^{\text{XLE}} = \alpha + \beta_1 r_t^{\text{WTI}} + \beta_2 \Delta \text{FFR}_t + \beta_3 \Delta \text{Inv}_t + \varepsilon_{t+1}]$$

4.2 Preliminary ARIMAX Prototype

| Variable | Coefficient | t-stat | p-value |
|--------------------|-------------|--------|----------|
| Intercept | 0.02 | 1.9 | 0.06 |
| WTI Return | 0.43 | 5.1 | 0.00 *** |
| Δ FFR | -0.12 | -2.3 | 0.02 ** |
| Δ Inventory | -0.07 | -1.8 | 0.08 * |

Model $R^2 \approx 0.18$; residuals uncorrelated (Q-test $p > 0.2$).

Interpretation: Energy returns rise with oil shocks and fall with monetary tightening and inventory builds.

4.3 Planned Extension

- Upgrade to VAR(2) with endogenous series (XOM, CVX, WTI).
- Compute Impulse-Response Functions for shock propagation.
- Derive macro-signal-based ETF re-weighting rule.

5. ETF Signal Rule Design

$$[C_t = w_1 \cdot z(r_t^{\text{WTI}}) + w_2 \cdot z(\Delta \text{Inv}_t) - w_3 \cdot z(\Delta \text{FFR}_t)]$$

Allocation Policy:

- If $C_t > 0.75 \rightarrow$ Overweight Energy (+20 %)
 - If $C_t < -0.75 \rightarrow$ Underweight Energy (-20 %)
 - Else \rightarrow Neutral
- Backtesting scheduled for Checkpoint C.

6. Next Steps (Toward Checkpoint C & Final)

1. Finalize data pipeline in Python (/src).
2. Fit VAR/ARIMAX models with rolling out-of-sample windows.
3. Backtest ETF signal and benchmark vs XLE.
4. Report CAGR, Sharpe, and Max Drawdown.
5. Integrate results into final PDF research report.

7. References

- Bouchouev, I. (2023). *Virtual Barrels: Quantitative Trading in the Oil Market*. Springer.
- Downey, M. (2009). *Oil 101*. Wooden Table Press.
- Edwards, D. W. (2017). *Energy Trading & Investing* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Hamilton, J. D. (2009). “Causes and Consequences of the Oil Shock of 2007–08.” *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*.
- Grinold, R., & Kahn, R. (2000). *Active Portfolio Management*. McGraw-Hill.
- López de Prado, M. (2018). *Advances in Financial Machine Learning*. Wiley.
- Markowitz, H. (1952). “Portfolio Selection.” *Journal of Finance*.
- Sharpe, W. F. (1963). “Capital Asset Prices.” *Journal of Finance*.