

Variables - Loops - Type Checking

Compilers: Principles And Practice

Tiark Rompf

Where Were We?

What did we learn in the last class?

Current Grammar

```
 ::= ['*' | '/' | '+' | '-' | '<' | '>' | '=' | '!']+
<bop> ::= ('<' | '>' | '=' | '!')[<op>]
<atom> ::= <number>
          | '('<simp>')'
          | <ident>
          | '{'<exp>'}'
<uatom> ::= [<op>]<atom>
<cond> ::= <simp><bop><simp>
<simp> ::= <uatom>[<op><uatom>]*
          'if' '('<cond>')' <simp> 'else' <simp>
<exp>
       ::= <simp>
          'val' <ident> '=' <simp>';' <exp>
```

Quiz

Are these valid syntaxes?

```
1. if (3 == 5) {
    2
} * 4 else 8
```

Quiz

Are these valid syntaxes?

```
1. if (3 == 5) {
    2
    } * 4 else 8
2. if (3 == 2)
    val x = 3; x
else
    5
```

Quiz

Are these valid syntaxes?

```
1. if (3 == 5) {
    2
    } * 4 else 8
2. if (3 == 2)
    val x = 3; x
else
    5
```

Answer:

- 1. Yes
- 2. No: 'val' x = 3; x is not a simple expression

Let's Add Mutable Variables - Syntax

Let's Add Mutable Variables - Syntax

```
 ::= ['*' | '/' | '+' | '-' | '<' | '>' | '=' | '!']+
<bop> ::= ('<' | '>' | '=' | '!')[<op>]
<atom> ::= <number>
          | '('<simp>')'
          | <ident>
          l '{'<exp>'}'
<uatom> ::= [<op>]<atom>
<cond> ::= <simp><bop><simp>
<simp> ::= <uatom>[<op><uatom>]*
          'if' '('<cond>')' <simp> 'else' <simp>
          l <ident> '=' <simp>
       ::= <simp>
<exp>
          'val' <ident> '=' <simp>';' <exp>
          'var' <ident> '=' <simp>';' <exp>
```

Let's Add Mutable Variables - AST

Let's Add Mutable Variables - AST

```
case class VarDec(name: String, value: Exp, body: Exp) extends Exp
case class VarAssign(name: String, value: Exp) extends Exp
```

Let's Add Mutable Variables - Semantics

We can only assign to mutable variables, i.e. declared with 'var' (VarDec)

Let's Add Mutable Variables - Semantics

We can only assign to mutable variables, i.e. declared with 'var' (VarDec)

```
type Value = Int

def eval(exp: Exp)(env: ValueEnv): Val = exp match {
   case VarDec(x, rhs, body) =>
      eval(body)(env.withVar(x, eval(rhs)(env)))
   case VarAssign(x, rhs) =>
      env.updateVar(x, eval(rhs)(env)) // return the new value
}
```

Let's Add Loops - Syntax

Let's Add Loops - Syntax

```
 ::= ['*' | '/' | '+' | '-' | '<' | '>' | '=' | '!']+
<bop> ::= ('<' | '>' | '=' | '!')[<op>]
<atom> ::= <number>
         | '('<simp>')'
         | <ident>
          | '{'<exp>'}'
<uatom> ::= [<op>]<atom>
<cond> ::= <simp><bop><simp>
<simp> ::= <uatom>[<op><uatom>]*
          'if' '('<cond>')' <simp> 'else' <simp>
          | <ident> '=' <simp>
       ::= <simp>
<exp>
          'val' <ident> '=' <simp>';' <exp>
          'var' <ident> '=' <simp>';' <exp>
          'while' '(' <cond> ')' <simp>; <exp>
```

Let's Add Loops - AST

```
// Already defined
case class Cond(op: String, lop: Exp, rop: Exp) extends Exp
```

Let's Add Loops - AST

```
// Already defined
case class Cond(op: String, lop: Exp, rop: Exp) extends Exp
case class While(cond: Cond, lbody: Exp, body: Exp) extends Exp
```

Let's Add Loops - Semantics

```
type Value = Int

def eval(exp: Exp)(env: ValueEnv): Val = exp match {
   case While(Cond(op, l, r), lbody, body) =>
     while (evalCond(op)(eval(l)(env), eval(r)(env))) {
      eval(lbody)(env)
   }
   eval(body)(env)
}
```

trans(While(Cond(op, l, r), lbody, body), 0)(Map())

```
trans(While(Cond(op, l, r), lbody, body), 0)(Map())
In order to compile while statement, we are going to follow this idea:
 jmp loop_cond
loop_body:
  ... # code for lbody
loop_cond:
  ... # code for l and r
 cmpg <r>, <l>
  i<op> loop_body # the jump operation depends on 'op'
  ... # code for body
```

```
trans(While(Cond(op, l, r), lbody, body), 0)(Map())
In order to compile while statement, we are going to follow this idea:
  jmp loop_cond
loop_body:
  ... # code for lbody
loop_cond:
  ... # code for l and r
 cmpg <r>, <l>
  i<op> loop_body # the jump operation depends on 'op'
  ... # code for body
```

How would we compile a do-while loop?

Answer: omit the unconditional jump

```
trans(While(Cond(op, l, r), lbody, body), 0)(Map())
In order to compile while statement, we are going to follow this idea:
  jmp loop_cond
loop_body:
  ... # code for lbody
loop_cond:
  ... # code for l and r
 cmpg <r>, <l>
  i<op> loop_body # the jump operation depends on 'op'
  ... # code for body
How would we compile a do-while loop?
```

We Can Write, Parse, and Compile Nice Code!!

```
var x = 2;
var y = 0;
while (y < 5) {
    x = x * x;
    y = y + 1
};
x</pre>
```

We Can Write, Parse, and Compile Nice Code!!

```
var x = 2;
var y = 0;
while (y < 5) {
    x = x * x;
    y = y + 1
};
x
Can we really???
```

We Can Write, Parse, and Compile Nice Code!!

```
var x = 2;
var y = 0;
while (y < 5) {
    x = x * x;
    y = y + 1
};
x
```

Can we really???

We need to modify our program slightly.

We Can Write, Parse, and Compile Nice-ish Code!!

```
var x = 2;
var y = 0;
while (y < 5) {
  val dummy = x = x * x;
  y = y + 1
};
x
```

We Can Write, Parse, and Compile Nice-ish Code!!

```
var x = 2;
var y = 0;
while (y < 5) {
  val dummy = x = x * x;
  y = y + 1
};
x
```

Let's modify our grammar slightly instead!!

```
 ::= ['*' | '/' | '+' | '-' | '<' | '>' | '=' | '!']+
<bop> ::= ('<' | '>' | '=' | '!')[<op>]
<atom> ::= <number>
          | '('<simp>')'
          | <ident>
          | '{'<exp>'}'
<uatom> ::= [<op>]<atom>
<cond> ::= <simp><bop><simp>
<simp> ::= <uatom>[<op><uatom>]*
          'if' '('<cond>')' <simp> ['else' <simp>]
          | <ident> '=' <simp>
       ::= <simp>[';'<exp>]
<exp>
          'val' <ident> '=' <simp>':'<exp>
          'var' <ident> '=' <simp>';'<exp>
          'while' '(' <cond> ')' <simp>';'<exp>
```

Syntax sugar constructs are constructs that can be syntactically translated to other existing constructs. Syntactic sugar does not offer additional expressive power to the programmer; only some syntactic convenience

Syntax sugar constructs are constructs that can be syntactically translated to other existing constructs. Syntactic sugar does not offer additional expressive power to the programmer; only some syntactic convenience

```
x = x + 1;

y = y + 1
```

Syntax sugar constructs are constructs that can be syntactically translated to other existing constructs. Syntactic sugar does not offer additional expressive power to the programmer; only some syntactic convenience

```
x = x + 1;
y = y + 1

rather than
val dummy = x = x + 1;
y = y + 1
```

Unit Type

```
val tmp = if (x > 0)
    x = x - 1
else
    0; // Won't be used
val y = x * 5;
v
```

Unit Type

```
val tmp = if (x > 0)
 x = x - 1
else
  0; // Won't be used
val y = x * 5;
is now transformed into
if (x > 0)
x = x - 1;
val y = x * 5;
Х
```

Unit Type

```
val tmp = if (x > 0)
  x = x - 1
else
  0; // Won't be used
val v = x * 5;
is now transformed into
if (x > 0)
 x = x - 1:
val y = x * 5;
Х
What is the type of this if expression?
```

AST Of Sugared Expressions

```
x = x + 1;
y = y + 1
```

AST Of Sugared Expressions

```
x = x + 1;
y = y + 1

Let("tmp$1",
    VarAssign("x", Prim("+", Ref("x"), Lit(1)))
    VarAssign("y", Prim("+", Ref("y"), Lit(1)))
)
```

AST Of Sugared Expressions

```
if (x > 0)
    x = x - 1;
val y = x * 5;
x
```

AST Of Sugared Expressions

```
if (x > 0)
 x = x - 1;
val y = x * 5;
Х
Let("tmp$1",
  If(Cond(">", Ref("x"), Lit(0)),
   VarAssign("x", Prim("-", Ref("x"), Lit(1))),
    Lit(())).
 Let("y", Prim("*", Ref("x"), Lit(5)), Ref("x"))
```

What is a type?

What is a type?

► A set of values: e.g. true, false

What is a type?

- ► A set of values: e.g. true, false
- ► A set of operations on those values: e.g. !, &&, . . .

What is a type?

- ► A set of values: e.g. true, false
- ► A set of operations on those values: e.g. !, &&, . . .

What is a type?

- ► A set of values: e.g. true, false
- ► A set of operations on those values: e.g. !, &&, . . .

Why do we need types?

► Help to structure and understand a program

What is a type?

- ► A set of values: e.g. true, false
- ► A set of operations on those values: e.g. !, &&, . . .

Why do we need types?

- ► Help to structure and understand a program
- Can prevent some kinds of errors

Our Grammar, Typed

```
<op> ::= ['*' | '/' | '+' | '-' | '<' | '>' | '=' | '!']+
<type> ::= <ident>
<bool> ::= 'true' | 'false'
<atom> ::= <number> | <bool> | '()'
          | '('<simp>')'
          | <ident>
          | '{'<exp>'}'
<uatom> ::= [<op>]<atom>
<simp> ::= <uatom>[<op><uatom>]*
          'if' '('<simp>')' <simp> ['else' <simp>]
          | <ident> '=' <simp>
       ::= <simp>[';'<exp>]
<exp>
          'val' <ident> [':' <type>] '=' <simp>';'<exp>
          'var' <ident> [':' <type>] '=' <simp>';'<exp>
          'while' '(' <simp> ')' <simp>';'<exp>
```

Our AST, Typed

First, we modify our AST to handle the new grammar:

```
abstract class Type
// Definition later

case class Lit(x: Any) extends Exp
case class Let(name: Int, tp: Type, v: Exp, b: Exp) extends Exp
case class VarDec(name: Int, tp: Type, v: Exp, b: Exp) extends Exp
case class If(cond: Exp, tBranch: Exp, eBranch: Exp) extends Exp
case class While(cond: Exp, lbody: Exp, body: Exp) extends Exp
```

Inference Rules

Env |- e: T

Means that in the environment 'Env', the expression 'e' is of type 'T'

Inference Rules

Env |- e: T

Means that in the environment 'Env', the expression 'e' is of type 'T'

Env is the typing environment: it is a mapping between identifier and type.

Env,id:T is the environment that contains all information in 'Env' plus the mapping from 'id' to 'T'

Note: Env is often represented with Γ .

Inference Rules

```
Env |- e: T
```

Means that in the environment 'Env', the expression 'e' is of type 'T'

Env is the typing environment: it is a mapping between identifier and type.

Env,id:T is the environment that contains all information in 'Env' plus the mapping from 'id' to 'T'

Note: Env is often represented with Γ .

```
conditions
----- [Name of the rule]
conclusion
```

Type Checking

The type checking step will be part of the semantic analyzer.

The key point to understand is that types represent an abstract value, and inference rules are the set of operations on these values.

Therefore, the implementation is going to be very similar to eval or analyze.

Where Are We?

We added variables, loops and some syntax sugar to our language.

We introduced types and typing rules.

We saw types as abstract values which can be computed. We also defined a simplified type checking algorithm.

Where Are We?

We added variables, loops and some syntax sugar to our language.

We introduced types and typing rules.

We saw types as abstract values which can be computed. We also defined a simplified type checking algorithm.

Questions?