

Let there be d digits in input integers. Radix Sort takes $O(d \cdot (n+b))$ time where b is the base for representing numbers, for example, for decimal system, b is 10. What is the value of d ? If k is the maximum possible value, then d would be $O(\log_b(k))$. So overall time complexity is $O((n+b) \cdot \log_b(k))$. Which looks more than the time complexity of comparison based sorting algorithms for a large k . Let us first limit k . Let $k \leq n^c$ where c is a constant. In that case, the complexity becomes $O(n \log_b(n))$. But it still doesn't beat comparison based sorting algorithms.

What if we make value of b larger?. What should be the value of b to make the time complexity linear? If we set b as n , we get the time complexity as $O(n)$. In other words, we can sort an array of integers with range from 1 to n^c if the numbers are represented in base n (or every digit takes $\log_2(n)$ bits).

Is Radix Sort preferable to Comparison based sorting algorithms like Quick-Sort?

If we have $\log_2 n$ bits for every digit, the running time of Radix appears to be better than Quick Sort for a wide range of input numbers. The constant factors hidden in asymptotic notation are higher for Radix Sort and Quick-Sort uses hardware caches more effectively. Also, Radix sort uses counting sort as a subroutine and counting sort takes extra space to sort numbers.

Implementation of Radix Sort

Following is a simple C++ implementation of Radix Sort. For simplicity, the value of d is assumed to be 10. We recommend you to see [Counting Sort](#) for details of countSort() function in below code.

C/C++

```
// C++ implementation of Radix Sort
#include<iostream>
using namespace std;

// A utility function to get maximum value in arr[]
int getMax(int arr[], int n)
{
    int mx = arr[0];
    for (int i = 1; i < n; i++)
        if (arr[i] > mx)
            mx = arr[i];
    return mx;
}

// A function to do counting sort of arr[] according to
// the digit represented by exp.
void countSort(int arr[], int n, int exp)
{
    int output[n]; // output array
    int i, count[10] = {0};

    // Store count of occurrences in count[]
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
        count[ (arr[i]/exp)%10 ]++;

    // Change count[i] so that count[i] now contains actual
    // position of this digit in output[]
    for (i = 1; i < 10; i++)
```

```

        count[i] += count[i - 1];

// Build the output array
for (i = n - 1; i >= 0; i--)
{
    output[count[ (arr[i]/exp)%10 ] - 1] = arr[i];
    count[ (arr[i]/exp)%10 ]--;
}

// Copy the output array to arr[], so that arr[] now
// contains sorted numbers according to current digit
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
    arr[i] = output[i];
}

// The main function to that sorts arr[] of size n using
// Radix Sort
void radixsort(int arr[], int n)
{
    // Find the maximum number to know number of digits
    int m = getMax(arr, n);

    // Do counting sort for every digit. Note that instead
    // of passing digit number, exp is passed. exp is 10^i
    // where i is current digit number
    for (int exp = 1; m/exp > 0; exp *= 10)
        countSort(arr, n, exp);
}

// A utility function to print an array
void print(int arr[], int n)
{
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
        cout << arr[i] << " ";
}

// Driver program to test above functions
int main()
{
    int arr[] = {170, 45, 75, 90, 802, 24, 2, 66};
    int n = sizeof(arr)/sizeof(arr[0]);
    radixsort(arr, n);
    print(arr, n);
    return 0;
}

```

Java

```

// Radix sort Java implementation
import java.io.*;
import java.util.*;

class Radix {

```

```
// A utility function to get maximum value in arr[]
static int getMax(int arr[], int n)
{
    int mx = arr[0];
    for (int i = 1; i < n; i++)
        if (arr[i] > mx)
            mx = arr[i];
    return mx;
}

// A function to do counting sort of arr[] according to
// the digit represented by exp.
static void countSort(int arr[], int n, int exp)
{
    int output[] = new int[n]; // output array
    int i;
    int count[] = new int[10];
    Arrays.fill(count,0);

    // Store count of occurrences in count[]
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
        count[ (arr[i]/exp)%10 ]++;

    // Change count[i] so that count[i] now contains
    // actual position of this digit in output[]
    for (i = 1; i < 10; i++)
        count[i] += count[i - 1];

    // Build the output array
    for (i = n - 1; i >= 0; i--)
    {
        output[count[ (arr[i]/exp)%10 ] - 1] = arr[i];
        count[ (arr[i]/exp)%10 ]--;
    }

    // Copy the output array to arr[], so that arr[] now
    // contains sorted numbers according to current digit
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
        arr[i] = output[i];
}

// The main function to that sorts arr[] of size n using
// Radix Sort
static void radixsort(int arr[], int n)
{
    // Find the maximum number to know number of digits
    int m = getMax(arr, n);

    // Do counting sort for every digit. Note that instead
    // of passing digit number, exp is passed. exp is 10^i
    // where i is current digit number
    for (int exp = 1; m/exp > 0; exp *= 10)
        countSort(arr, n, exp);
}
```

```
}

// A utility function to print an array
static void print(int arr[], int n)
{
    for (int i=0; i<n; i++)
        System.out.print(arr[i]+" ");
}

/*Driver function to check for above function*/
public static void main (String[] args)
{
    int arr[] = {170, 45, 75, 90, 802, 24, 2, 66};
    int n = arr.length;
    radixsort(arr, n);
    print(arr, n);
}
/* This code is contributed by Devesh Agrawal */
```

Output:

```
2 24 45 66 75 90 170 802
```

Other Sorting Algorithms on GeeksforGeeks/GeeksQuiz:

- [Selection Sort](#)
- [Bubble Sort](#)
- [Insertion Sort](#)
- [Merge Sort](#)
- [Heap Sort](#)
- [QuickSort](#)
- [Counting Sort](#)
- [Bucket Sort](#)
- [ShellSort](#)

References:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radix_sort

<http://alg12.wikischolars.columbia.edu/file/view/RADIX.pdf>

[MIT Video Lecture](#)

[Introduction to Algorithms 3rd Edition by Clifford Stein, Thomas H. Cormen, Charles E. Leiserson, Ronald L. Rivest](#)

Please write comments if you find anything incorrect, or you want to share more information about the topic discussed above