Table 1. Influential instruments of the Big Five at the facet level

Taxonomy	Domains	Facets	Reliability	Validity	No. of items
HEXACO-			(Lee &		100*, 60
PI-R			Ashton, 2016)		100 , 00
1110	Humility		113110011, 2010)		
	Hammey	Sincerity	0.66		
		Fairness	0.76	- Psychopathy (66), - Antisocial	
				behavior (44) (Gaughan, Miller, Lynam, 2012); + Ethics/Integrity (.22) (McAbee et al., 2014)	
		Greed Avoidance	0.81	- Social Dominance Orientation (45) (Leone, Chirumbolo, Desimoni, 2012)	
		Modesty	0.68		
	Emotionali	*			
		Fearfulness	0.7		
		Anxiety	0.64		
		Dependence	0.8		
		Sentimentality	0.7	- Callous affect (68) (Gaughan et al., 2012); + Diversity (.22) (McAbee, Oswald, Connelly, 2014)	
	Extraversion	on			
		Social Self-Esteem	0.67	+ Adaptability / Life skills (.25) (McAbee et al., 2014)	
		Social Boldness	0.76	+ Emision-reduction behavior (Brick & Lewis, 2014) + Leadership (.36) (McAbee et al., 2014)	
		Sociability	0.71	,	
		Liveliness	0.76	+ Adaptability / Life skills (.25), + Social responsability (.22), + Health (.21) (McAbee et al., 2014)	
	Agreeablen	iess		,	
		Forgivingness	0.74		
		Gentleness	0.66		
		Flexibility	0.61		
		Patience	0.79		
	Consciention				
		Organization	0.74		
		Diligence	0.7	+ GPA (.31), + Adaptability / Life skills (.37), + Perseverance (.50) (McAbee et al., 2014)	
		Perfectionism	0.69	+ Emision-reduction behavior (.25) (Brick & Lewis, 2014)	
		Prudence	0.69	- Erratic life-style (58) (Gaughan et al., 2012)	
	Openness				

Table 1. Influential instruments of the Big Five at the facet level (continued)

Taxonomy	Domains	Facets	Reliability	Validity	No. of items
		Aesthetic	0.66	+ Emision-reduction behavior (.33), + Connectedness to nature (.51) (Brick & Lewis, 2014); - Right Wing Authoritarism (37) (Leone et al., 2012);+ Continuous learning (.30) (McAbee, 2014) + Artistic appreciation (.43) (McAbee et al, 2014)	
		Unconventionality	0.52	- Political Conservatism (.29) (Brick & Lewis, 2014)	
		Creativity	0.75	,	
		Inquisitiveness	0.66	+ Continuous learning (.30) (McAbee et al, 2014)	
NEO-PI-		(McCrae et al., 2011)			240
	Neuroticisi				
		Anxiety	0.78	- Fearless dominance (49) (Gaughan et al, 2009)	
		Angry Hostility	0.75	+ Callous / Manipulation (.29) & Dysregulation / Disinhibition (.48) & Anti social behavior (.26) (Gaughan et al, 2009)	
		Depression	0.81	+ Attachment Anxiety (.49), + Attachment avoidance (.26) (Noftle & Shaver, 2006); + Alexithymia (.36) (Bagby, Taylor, Parker; 1994); - Satisfaction with life (52) (Schimmack et al., 2004); + Avoidant attachment style (.32), + Anxious attachment style (.32), - Secure attachment style (39)	
		Self- Consciousness	0.68	(Shaver & Brennan, 1992) + Autism-spectrum Quotient (.33) (Wakabayashi, Baron-Cohen, Wheelwright, 2006); + Avoidant attachment style (.32) (Shaver & Brennan, 1992)	
		Impulsiveness	0.7	+ Alcohol related problems (.29) (Ruiz, Pincus & Dickinson, 2010)	
	Extraversion	Vulnerability on	0.77	, ,	
		Warmth	0.73	+ Secure attachment style (Shaver & Brennan, 1992); - Attachment avoidance (26) (Noftle & Shaver, 2006)	
		Gregariousness	0.72	- Autism-spectrum Quotient (43) (Wakabayashi et al., 2006)	
		Assertiveness	0.77		
		Activity	0.63		
		Excitement Seeking	0.65	+ Fearless dominance (.53) (Gaughan et al, 2009)	

Table 1. Influential instruments of the Big Five at the facet level (continued)

Taxonomy	Domains	Facets	Reliability	Validity	No.	of items $$
		Positive Emotions	0.73	+ Satisfaction with life (.40) (Schimmack et al., 2004); - Avoidant attachment style (30) (Shaver & Brennan, 1992)		
	Openness	_				
		Fantasy	0.76			
		Aesthetics	0.76	A1 :41 · ( FE) (D 1 + 1 1004)		
		Feelings	0.66	- Alexithymia (55) (Bagby et al., 1994)		
		Actions Ideas	0.58			
		Values	0.8 0.67	+ SAT verbal (.26) (Noftle & Robins,		
			0.07	2007)		
	Agreeablen		0.00			
		Trust	0.79	- Attachment avoidance (26) (Noftle & Shaver, 2006)		
		Straightforwardness	0.71	- Interpersonal manipulation (75) (Gaughan et al., 2012); - Supervisor rating (Piedmont & Weinstein, 1994); - Fearless dominance (49), - Dysregulation / Disinhibition (49) (Gaughan et al, 2009)		
		Altruism	0.75	- Callous affect (63), - Antisocial behavior (37) (Gaughan et al., 2009); - Antisocial behavior (26)(Gaughan, et al., 2012)		
		Compliance	0.59			
		Modesty	0.67			
		Tender-	0.56	- Callous affect (56) (Gaughan et al.,		
		Mindedness		2012)		
	Conscientio		0.05			
		Competence	0.67			
		Order Dutifulness	0.66	D / Di-i / 40)		
			0.62	- Dysregulation / Disinhibition (49) (Gaughan et al, 2009)		
		Achievement Striving	0.67	+ Supervisor rating (.23) (Piedmont & Weinstein, 1994)		
		Self-Discipline	0.75	- Attachment anxiety (35) (Noftle & Shaver, 2006); - Dysregulation / Disinhibition (51) (Gaughan et. al, 2009)		
		Deliberation	0.71	- Erratic life-style (57) (Gaughan et al., 2012); - Alcohol related problems (38) (Ruiz et al., 2010)		
BFI-2	Б		(Soto & John, 2016)		60	
	Extraversio	on Sociability	0.83	- Conformity (36), - Tradition (24), + Stimulation (.21), + Positive affect		
		Assertiveness	0.8	(.32)(Soto & John, 2016) + Power (Soto & John, 2016)		

Table 1. Influential instruments of the Big Five at the facet level (continued)

Taxonomy	Domains	Facets	Reliability	Validity	No. of items
		Energy level	0.74	+ Purpose in life (.53), + Self-acceptance (.53), + Social connectedness (.33) (Soto & John, 2016)	
	Agreeable				
		Compassion	0.68	+ Benevolence (.47), - Power (44), + Positive relations (.41) (Soto & John, 2016)	
		Respectfulness	0.66	+ Conformity (.39) (Soto & John, 2016)	
		Trust	0.75	+ Universalism (.21), + Likability (.25) (Soto & John, 2016)	
	Conscienti	ousness			
		Organization Productiveness	0.76 0.74	+ Security (.30) (Soto & John, 2016) - Hedonism (35), + Achievement (.26), + Environmental mastery (.56) (Soto & John, 2016)	
		Responsability	0.68	- Stimulation, + Autonomy (Soto & John, 2016)	
	Negative Emotion- ality			,	
	v	Anxiety	0.79	- Autonomy (32) (Soto & John, 2016)	
		Depression	0.74	- Positive relations (56), - Purpose in life (55), - Environmental mastery (65), -Self-acceptance(68), - Positive affect (42) (Soto & John, 2016)	
		Emotional Volatility	0.7	- Stress resistance (Soto & John, 2016)	
	Open- mindednes	SS			
		Intellectual curiosity	0.78	+ Self-direction (.44), + Personal growth (.50) (Soto & John, 2016)	
		Aesthetic Sensitivity	0.67	(100) (2000 00 00000)	
		Creative Imagination	0.67		
IPIP- NEO- 120			(Johnson, 2014)		120
120	Neuroticis	m			
		Anxiety	0.78		
		Anger	0.87		
		Depression	0.85		
		Self-	0.74		
		Consciousness	0.70		
		Inmoderation	0.72	+ Hangover symptoms (.35) (McAdams & Donnellan, 2009)	
	Extraversi		0.76		
		Friendliness	0.81		

Table 1. Influential instruments of the Big Five at the facet level (continued)

Taxonomy	Domains	Facets	Reliability	Validity	No. of items
		Gregariousness	0.79		
		Assertiveness	0.85		
		Activity Level	0.71		
		Excitement	0.77	+ Alcohol Use (.45), + Drinking	
		Seeking		problems (.37) (McAdams & Donnellan, 2009)	
		Cheerfulness	0.8	+ Addictive mobile phone usage style (.28) (Siddiqui, 2011)	
	Openness			( ) ( )	
	to Expe-				
	rience				
		Imagination	0.83		
		Artistic interests	0.76		
		Emotionality	0.69		
		Adventurousness	0.72		
		Intellect	0.75		
		Liberalism	0.64	+ Trendy mobile phone usage style (.31) (Siddiqui, 2011)	
	Agreeabler	ness		, , ,	
	O	Trust	0.86		
		Morality	0.76	+ Thrifty mobile phone usage style (.48) (Siddiqui, 2011)	
		Altruism	0.76	(12 12 12 1	
		Cooperation	0.73		
		Modesty	0.76		
		Sympathy	0.72		
	Conscienti				
		Self-Efficacy	0.63		
		Orderliness	0.83		
		Dutifulness	0.69		
		Achievement-	0.8	+ Academic Performance (.23)	
		striving		(Rosander, Bäckström & Sternberg, 2011)	
		Self-Discipline	0.73	+ General health behaviors (.27) (Hagger-Johnson & Whiteman, 2007)	
		Cautiousness	0.87	( - 565 5	

Note:

Reliability: Cronbach's alpha measures, retrieved from references in the reliability column

Validity: criterion (correlation coefficient) and reference. The most important criterion per reference has been retrieved in the table, one per direct and one per inverse relations. r > .20 to be included in the table