

Written Exam for the M.Sc. in Economics 2010

International Trade and Investment

Final Exam/ Elective Course/ Master's Course

Winter 2010/2011

16. February 2011

3-hour closed book exam

- There are 3 pages in this exam paper, including this instruction page
- You need to answer all THREE questions, so manage your time accordingly.
- If a question asks you to list three things, please underline the list with preceding numbers as exemplified below.

1. Thing number 1

2. Thing number 2

3. Thing number 3

- Make your math legible and easily followed, with the final answer boxed.
- Partial credit may be given.

Good Luck!

1. Identify whether these statements are true or false. If false, rewrite the sentence to make it true, changing maximum 1 or 2 words.

- (a) In Melitz (2003), firms are vertically differentiated.
- (b) The cross trade of very similar products exported and imported by trading partners seems to contradict both the Ricardian and Heckscher-Ohlin models.
- (c) Leontief's Paradox was that US imports were more labor intensive than US exports.
- (d) A country is considered factor j abundant if it has more of factor j relative to its GDP than the USA.
- (e) Iceberg tariff rates include fixed shipping costs.

2. Consider the love of variety utility function: $u(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N x_n^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}$, where x_n denotes the quantity consumed of good n .

- (a) Given an income I , derive an individual consumer's demand $x_n(p, I)$ for good n , given a price vector $p \equiv (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)$.
- (b) What does Krugman (1980) assume about σ ?
- (c) We can define a new indirect utility function $v(p, I) = u(x(p, I))^\sigma$. Show that the indirect utility can be written as

$$v(p, I) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^N p_n^{1-\sigma} \right) I^{\sigma-1}$$

- (d) Suppose that $p_n = p_1$ for all goods $n \in [1..N]$. Show that the consumer is better off if a new good $N+1$ is introduced to the market at any positive price p_{N+1} .

3. In the Heckscher Ohlin model, labor and capital are presumed to move freely from sector to sector. Consider a model where that is not true. We have two sectors (Agriculture and Manufacturing) which uses capital and labor. The total (exogenous) Labor endowment is L . The total (exogenous) agricultural capital is K_A . The total (exogenous) manufacturing capital is K_M . Labor is

free to move between the two sectors, but agricultural capital cannot be used in the manufacturing sector and vice versa. For simplicity, let's assume there is a single firm in each sector takes prices and wages and rents as given and makes zero profit. The production function for the agricultural firm is $y_A = L_A^\alpha K_A^{1-\alpha}$ and the production function for manufacturing is $y_M = L_M^{1-\alpha} K_M^\alpha$. Suppose $0 < \alpha < 1.0$. Firms face output prices p_M and p_A determined by the world market. They pay market wages w to labor and sector specific rents r_A and r_M to capital.

- (a) Write down the individual firm's maximization problem for both sectors.
- (b) The unit labor demand in Agriculture can be written as $L_A^* = K_A \left(\frac{\alpha p_A}{w} \right)^{1-\alpha}$. Derive the unit labor demand $L_M^*(p, w, K_A, K_M)$ for Manufacturing as a function of prices, wages, and capital use.
- (c) An increase in the price of agriculture p_A increases both L_A and the wage w . Is the increase in w more or less than the relative wage $\frac{w}{p_A}$? Show it.
- (d) From the zero profit condition for each sector, derive the rents $r_A^*(p, w, K_A)$ and $r_M^*(p, w, K_M)$ as a function of the price and wage and capital usage.
- (e) Is the change in r_M due to an increase in p_A positive or negative? Show it.
- (f) Do owners of manufacturing capital better off or worse off when the world price of agricultural goods increases? Explain.