

Written Exam for the M.Sc. in Economics 2010

International Trade and Investment

Final Exam/ Elective Course/ Master's Course

Winter 2010/2011

16. February 2011

Answer Key

3-hour closed book exam

- There are pages in this exam paper, including this instruction page
- You need to answer all THREE questions, so manage your time accordingly.
- If a question asks you to list three things, please underline the list with preceding numbers as exemplified below.

1. Thing number 1

2. Thing number 2

3. Thing number 3

- Make your math legible and easily followed, with the final answer boxed.
- Partial credit may be given.

Good Luck!

1. Identify whether these statements are true or false. If false, rewrite the sentence to make it true, changing maximum 1 or 2 words.

- (a) In Melitz (2003), firms are vertically differentiated. A: False (vertically =horizontal)
- (b) The cross trade of very similar products exported and imported by trading partners seems to contradict both the Ricardian and Heckscher-Ohlin models. A: True
- (c) Leontief's Paradox was that US imports were more labor intensive than US exports. A::False labor =capital
- (d) A country is considered factor j abundant if it has more of factor j relative to its GDP than the USA: False: USA=world
- (e) Iceberg tariff rates include fixed shipping costs. A: False (do not)

2. Consider a CES utility function: $u(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N x_n^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}$, where x_n denotes the quantity consumed of good n .

- (a) Given an income I , derive an individual consumer's demand $x_n(p, I)$ for good n , given a price vector $p \equiv (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)$.
A: $x_n(p, I) = \frac{p_n^{-\sigma} I}{\sum_{m=1}^N p_m^{1-\sigma}}$
- (b) What does Krugman assume about σ ? Krugman (1980) (implicitly) assumes $\sigma > 1$.
- (c) We can define an indirect utility function $v(p, I) = [u(x(p, I))]^\sigma$. Show that $v(p, I)$ can be written as

$$v(p, I) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^N p_n^{1-\sigma} \right) I^{\sigma-1}$$

A:

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= \sum_{n=1}^N x_n^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{p_n^{-\sigma} I}{\sum_{m=1}^N p_m^{1-\sigma}} \right)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \\
&= \left(\sum_{m=1}^N p_m^{1-\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N (p_n^{-\sigma} I)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \right) \\
&= \left(\sum_{m=1}^N p_m^{1-\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1} I^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N p_n^{1-\sigma} \right) \\
&= \left(\sum_{m=1}^N p_m^{1-\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} I^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}} \\
v &= u^\sigma = \left(\sum_{n=1}^N p_n^{1-\sigma} \right) I^{\sigma-1}
\end{aligned}$$

- (d) Suppose $p_n = p_1$ for all goods $n \in [1..N]$. Show that the consumer is better off if a new good $N+1$ is introduced to the market at any positive price p_{N+1} . A: Use $\hat{\cdot}$ to denote state with $N+1$ goods

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{v} &= \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N+1} p_n^{1-\sigma} \right) I^{\sigma-1} \\
&= \left(\sum_{n=1}^N p_n^{1-\sigma} + p_{N+1}^{1-\sigma} \right) I^{\sigma-1} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N p_n^{1-\sigma} I^{\sigma-1} + p_{N+1}^{1-\sigma} I^{\sigma-1} \\
&= v + p_{N+1}^{1-\sigma} I^{\sigma-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $p_{N+1}^{1-\sigma} I^{\sigma-1} > 0$, $\hat{v} > v$.

3. In the Heckscher Ohlin model, labor and capital are presumed to move freely from sector to sector. Consider a model where that is not true. We have two sectors (Agriculture and Manufacturing) which uses capital and labor. The total (exogenous) Labor endowment is L . The total (exogenous) agricultural capital is K_A . The total (exogenous) manufacturing capital is K_M . Labor is

free to move between the two sectors, but agricultural capital cannot be used in the manufacturing sector and vice versa. For simplicity, let's assume there is a single firm in each sector takes prices and wages and rents as given and makes zero profit. The production function for agricultural firm is $y_A = L_A^\alpha K_A^{1-\alpha}$ and the production function for manufacturing is $y_M = L_M^{1-\alpha} K_M^\alpha$. Suppose $0 < \alpha < 1$. Firms take output prices p_M and p_A determined on the world market. They pay wages w to labor and sector specific rents r_A and r_M to capital, all three of which are determined by the market.

- (a) Write down the individual firm's maximization problem for both sectors.

$$\max_{l_i, k_i} (p_i y_i - w L_i - r_i K_i)$$

- (b) The unit labor demand in Agriculture can be written as $L_A^* = K_A \left(\frac{\alpha p_A}{w} \right)^{1-\alpha}$. Derive the unit labor demand $L_M^*(p, w, K_A, K_M)$ for Manufacturing as a function of prices, wages, and capital use.

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{l_M} (p_M L_M^{1-\alpha} K_M^\alpha - w L_M - r_M K_M) \\ 0 = p_M (1 - \alpha) L_M^{-\alpha} K_M^\alpha - w \\ L_M^* = K_M \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha) p_M}{w} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

The answer " $L_M^* = K_M \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)p_M}{w} \right)^\alpha$ due to symmetry conditions" is also accepted.

- (c) An increase in the price of agriculture p_A increases both L_A and the wage w . Is the increase in w more or less than the relative wage $\frac{w}{p_A}$? Show it.

$$\frac{dw}{dp_A} = \alpha L_A^{\alpha-1} K_A^{1-\alpha} - (1 - \alpha) p_A \alpha L_A^{\alpha-2} K_A^{1-\alpha} \frac{dL_A}{dp_A} = \frac{w}{p_A} - (1 - \alpha) p_A \alpha L_A^{\alpha-2} K_A^{1-\alpha} \frac{dL_A}{dp_A} < \frac{w}{p_A}$$

- (d) From the zero profit condition for each sector, derive the rents $r_A^*(p_A, w)$ and $r_M^*(p_M, w)$ as a function of the price and wage and capital usage.

For manufacturing (agriculture by symmetry):

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_M = 0 &= p_M L_M^{1-\alpha} K_M^\alpha - w L_M^* - r_M^* K_M \\ r_M^* K_M &= p_M \left(\left(\frac{(1 - \alpha) p_M}{w} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \right) K_M - w K_M \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha) p_M}{w} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ r_M &= \alpha (1 - \alpha)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} p_M^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} w^{\frac{-\alpha+1}{\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

- (e) Is the change in r_M due to an increase in p_A positive or negative? Show it.

$$\frac{dr_M}{dp_A} = -(1-\alpha)(1-\alpha)^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}} p_M^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} w^{\frac{-\alpha+1}{\alpha}} \frac{dw_A}{dp_A} < 0$$

- (f) Do owners of manufacturing capital better off or worse off when the world price of agricultural goods increases? Explain
A: Worse off. They have lower income and face higher prices.