Metacasanova: An Optimized Meta-compiler for Domain-Specific Languages

Francesco Di Giacomo ¹
Mohamed Abbadi ³
Agostino Cortesi ¹
Pieter Spronck ²
Giuseppe Maggiore ³

Università Ca' Foscari di Venezia
Tilburg University
Hogeschool Rotterdam



Summary

We present Metacasanova, a meta-compiler initially created to ease the development of Casanova, a DSL for game development.

Topics:

- Introduction on DSL.
- Introduction of Metacasanova and example of use.
- Language extension with Functors.
- Example of Records implemented with Functors.
- Results and Conclusion.

Domain-Specific Languages Advantages of DSL's

- Abstractions that are closer to the problem domain.
- Speed-up of development time of the problem solution.

Domain-Specific Languages DSL's implementation

Two possible paths:

- Embed the DSL in a host language.
- Write a compiler/interpreter.

Embedding Pros and Cons:

- Re-use of the host language infrastructure.
- Developers expert in the host-language need only to become familiar with the language extension.
- Syntax and type system bound to those of the host language. Type system as well.
- Domain-specific optimizations are difficult.

Compilation/interpretation Pros and Cons

- Syntax and types correspond to the language definition.
- Good error reporting.
- Domain-specific optimizations are possible.
- Long development time.
- Development process follows recurrent patterns.



Domain-Specific Languages

Steps in Compilers Development

- Formalize the grammar of the language.
- Formalize the type system and semantics.
- Build a syntactical analyser.
- Build a type checker.
- Implement the semantics in the target language.

- Step 1 and 2 are creative and cannot be captured by a pattern.
- Step 3 can be completed by using a Lexer/Parser generator.
- Step 4 process the result of the syntax analysis and implements the formalization of the type system in a chosen programming language.
- Step 5 takes the result of Step 4 and implements the formalization of the semantics in the target language.

Step 4 and 5 are independent of the language we are building the compiler for.

Domain-Specific Languages Steps in Compilers Development - Problems

- The formalization of the types and semantics is lost when implemented with the abstraction of the chosen programming language.
- The implementation mimic the behaviour of the meta-representation of the formal semantics.
- Example: if we use inference rules, then we re-implement their behaviour in the host language each time we write a new compiler.

Goal: Express the repetitive steps in terms of the formalization.

Metacompilation

Metacompilers

- Input: the definition of a language in a meta-language.
- Input: a program written in that language.
- Output: Executable code for the program.

Metacasanova

- Input: Language definition in terms of inference rules.
- Input: A meta-representation of the program in that language.
- Output: C# code (it can later be compiled using a .NET compiler).

- Meta-data structure declarations: used to represent the abstractions of the language.
- Function declaration: used to process inference rules.
- Sub-typing. Used to define different "roles" for meta-data structures. For example you can say that an atomic value can be also used as an arithmetic expression.
- Inference rules.
- It is possible to embed types and methods from an external language.

$$C = \emptyset$$

$$F = \emptyset$$

$$R1: \frac{F = \emptyset}{\langle f^r \rangle \Rightarrow \{x\}}$$

$$R2: \frac{\forall c_i \in C, \langle c_i \rangle \Rightarrow true}{\langle f^r \rangle \Rightarrow \{x_r\}}$$

$$R3(A): \frac{\exists c_i \in C \mid \langle c_i \rangle \Rightarrow false}{\langle f^r \rangle \Rightarrow \emptyset}$$

$$R3(B) \frac{\forall r_k \in R, \exists f_j \in F \mid \langle f_j^{r_k} \rangle \Rightarrow \emptyset}{\langle f^r \rangle \Rightarrow \emptyset}$$

- We assume we have meta-data structures representing values in our language.
- We assume we already have defined expression evaluations for brevity.
- The memory is represented as a meta-data structures containing a map between Id's and values.

```
Data "$m" << ImmutableDictionary < Id, Value > >> :
SymbolTable
```

• We represent local scopes through a list of symbol tables.

```
Data SymbolTable -> "::" -> TableList : TableList
```

Meta-data definition of If-Then-Else:

```
Data "then" : Then
Data "else" : Else
Data "if" -> Expr -> Then -> Stmt -> Else -> Stmt : Stmt
```

Meta-data definition of While-Do:

```
Data "do" : Do
Data "while" -> Expr -> Do -> Stmt : Stmt
```

Evaluation function:

```
Func "eval" -> TableList -> Stmt : EvaluationResult
```

Evaluation of If-Then-Else

```
evalExpr tables condition -> $b false
emptyDictionary -> table
eval (table :: tables) elseBlock -> table' :: tables''

eval tables (if condition then thenBlock else elseBlock) ->
    tables''
```

- Pattern matching of the statement in the conclusion.
- Pattern matching of the first premise result.
- Create an empty symbol table for the if-then-else scope
- evaluate either the then or else.
- Return the state without the if-then-else scope.

Evaluation of While-Do

```
evalExpr tables condition -> $b false
-----eval tables (while condition expr) -> tables
```

```
evalExpr tables condition -> $b true
emptyDictionary -> table
eval (table :: tables) block -> table' :: tables''
eval tables'' (while condition do block) -> res
eval tables (while condition do block) -> res
```

- Pattern matching of the statement in the conclusion.
- Pattern matching of the first premise result.
- If the condition returns true then create an empty symbol table.
 Otherwise skip the loop completely.
- Evaluate the body of the loop.
- Re-evaluate the whole loop (including the condition).
- Return the result of the previous step.

Advantages:

- Shorter code.
- Semantics almost identical to the formal formulation.

Disadvantages:

- Low performance due to the memory representation.
- Possible errors are reported at run-time.
- Languages implemented in Metacasanova exhibits dynamic behaviours.

Metacasanova

Reasons for low performance

- The state is represented through a meta-data structure in Metacasanova.
- Typing or executing the semantics require to access a dictionary data structure at run-time.
- This is due to the fact that it is not possible to extend the meta-type system to embed the type system of the implemented language.

- We extend Metacasanova with functors (functions that process types instead of values) and Modules.
- Functors and Modules are processed at compile-time rather than run-time.
- They allow to embed the type system of the language that is being implemented in the meta-type system.
- We introduce the symbol => to denote something evaluated at compile-time, in contrast to ->, which evaluates something at run-time.

We now proceed to define an alternate memory model:

Metacasanova A memory model with functors

The meta-type of a record is defined through a module. This module contains a functor that returns the type of the record (we use * for kind, which means any type).

```
Module "Record" : Record {
   Functor "RecordType" : *
}
```

Metacasanova

A memory model with functors

A record can be implemented as a sequence of pairs containing the field name and its type

```
Functor "EmptyRecord" : Record
Functor "RecordField" => string => * => Record : Record
```

A memory model with functors

The empty record contains a constructor that returns unit.

```
EmptyRecord => Record {
Func "cons" : unit
RecordType => unit
cons -> ()
```

A field contains a functor returning the type of the field and a constructor for the record that returns a tuple where the first element has the type of the current field and the second has the type of the rest of the record.

```
RecordField name type r = Record {
Func "cons" -> type -> r.RecordType : RecordType

RecordType => Tuple[type,r.RecordType]

cons x xs -> (x,xs)}
```

This creates a record for a physical body with two fields:

The premises will generate three separate modules:

- The empty record module seen above
- A Record instantiation for the field velocity followed by the empty record containing:

```
Func "cons" -> Vector2 -> unit : Tuple[Vector2, unit]
-----
cons x xs -> (x,xs)
```

A Record instantiation for the field position followed by velocity containing:

```
Func "cons" -> Vector2 -> Tuple[Vector2, unit] :
   Tuple[Vector2, Tuple[Vector2, unit]]
------
cons x xs -> (x,xs)
```

The physical body can then be constructed as

Metacasanova

Getters and setters are also modules:

```
Module "Getter" => (name : string) => (r : Record) {
    Functor "GetType" : *
    Func "get" -> (r.RecordType) : GetType }
```

Case 1: the field is the current element of the tuple. get returns the first element of the tuple.

Case 2: the field is not the current element of the tuple. get generates a getter module that will eventually fall in Case 1 (assuming that the field name is valid):

```
name <> fieldName
thisRecord := RecordField name type r
GetField fieldName (RecordField name type r) => Getter
    fieldName thisRecord{
        Functor "GetAnotherField" : Getter
        GetAnotherField => GetField fieldName r
        GetAnotherField => g
        GetType => g.GetType
        GetAnotherField => getter
        getter.get xs -> v
        get (x,xs) \rightarrow v
```

Table: Running time with the functor optimization and the dynamic table with 1000000 records.

FIELDS	Functors (ms)	Dynamic Table (ms)	Gain
1	9.47E-04	7.29E-04	0.77
2	9.51E-04	1.78E-03	1.87
3	9.50E-04	3.33E-03	3.51
4	9.60E-04	5.43E-03	5.66
5	9.65E-04	8.03E-03	8.32
6	9.71E-04	1.11E-02	11.44
7	9.75E-04	1.47E-02	15.12
8	9.82E-04	1.89E-02	19.28
9	9.92E-04	2.37E-02	23.86
10	1.00E-03	2.87E-02	28.62
		Average gain	11.84

Table: Code length implementation of C-- and run-time performance

Statement	Metacasanova	C#
if-then-else	4	103
while	7	73
For	11	81

C	Python	
1.26ms	$2.36 \cdot 10^{-2}$ ms	

Benefits:

- Significant code reduction.
- Performance improvement and static typing with functors.
- Fast prototyping and implementation of new languages.

Problems:

- Programs in the implemented language still need to be expressed in the meta-language.
- Performance is worse than a hard-coded implementation of the compiler.

Future work:

- Use functors to extend Casanova, a DSL for game development, with networking primitives.
- Web-based meta-interpreter for a didactic platform to learn programming with interactive feedback.



Thank you!