

(19)

## Properties of topological entropy.

Proposition If metrics  $d$  and  $d'$  on  $X$  induce the same topology, then  $h_d(f) = h_{d'}(f)$  (top. entropy with respect to  $d$  and  $d'$  is the same)

Pf. Consider the identity map  $\text{Id}: (X, d') \rightarrow (X, d)$ . Since  $d$  and  $d'$  induce the same topology,  $\text{Id}$  is continuous and hence uniformly cont. So given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  s.t.  $d'(x, y) < \delta(\varepsilon)$  implies  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ , and  $\delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

It follows that for any  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $B_{d'}(x, \delta(\varepsilon)) \subseteq B_d(x, \varepsilon)$ .

Hence  $\text{Span}_{d'}(f, \delta(\varepsilon), n) \geq \text{Span}_d(f, \varepsilon, n)$  for every  $n$ , and it follows that  $h_{d'}(f) \geq h_d(f)$ . Interchanging  $d$  and  $d'$ , we obtain  $\leq$ .  $\square$

Theorem Top. entropy is an invariant of top. conjugacy, i.e. if  $f: X \rightarrow X$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Y$  are conjugate via a homeomorphism  $H: X \rightarrow Y$ , then  $h(f) = h(g)$ .

Pf. Let  $d^X$  be a metric on  $X$ . Then  $d^Y(y_1, y_2) = d^X(H^{-1}(y_1), H^{-1}(y_2))$

is a metric on  $Y$  generating the topology of  $Y$ . Now,  $H: (X, d^X) \rightarrow (Y, d^Y)$  is an isometry, and so  $d_n^X(x_1, x_2) = d_n^Y(H(x_1), H(x_2))$

for any  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . It follows that  $h(f) = h(g)$ .  $\square$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ H \downarrow & & \downarrow H \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

Proposition If  $g: Y \rightarrow Y$  is a factor of  $f: X \rightarrow X$ , then  $h(g) \leq h(f)$ .

Pf. Let  $d^X$  and  $d^Y$  be metrics on  $X$  and  $Y$ , and let  $H: X \rightarrow Y$  be a semiconjugacy. Since  $H$  is cont., it is uniformly cont. Hence given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  s.t.  $d^X(x_1, x_2) < \delta(\varepsilon)$  implies  $d^Y(H(x_1), H(x_2)) < \varepsilon$  and  $\delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Then for any  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the image of  $B_{d^X}(x, \delta(\varepsilon))$  under  $H$

is contained in  $B_{d^Y}(H(x), \varepsilon)$ . Since  $H$  is surjective, we have

$\text{Span}(f, \delta(\varepsilon), n) \geq \text{Span}(g, \varepsilon, n)$ . It follows that  $h(f) \geq h(g)$ .  $\square$

Note The thm above follows from this proposition.

Ex Since  $E_m: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  is a factor of  $\sigma_m^R: \Sigma_m^R \rightarrow \Sigma_m^R$ ,  $h(E_m) \leq h(\sigma_m^R) = \ln m$ .

In fact,  $\bullet h(E_m) = \ln m$ . Proof 1 (by finding  $\text{Sep}(E_m, \varepsilon_k, n)$ )

Recall that if  $d(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2m}$ , then  $d(E_m(x), E_m(y)) = m d(x, y)$ . Hence

if  $d(E_m^k(x), E_m^k(y)) \leq \frac{1}{2m}$  for  $k=0, \dots, n-1$ , then  $d_n(x, y) = d(E_m^{n-1}(x), E_m^{n-1}(y)) = m^{n-1} d(x, y)$ .

So if  $d(x, y) = \frac{1}{m^{n+k-1}}$ , then  $d_n(x, y) = \frac{1}{m^k}$ . Let  $\varepsilon_k = \frac{1}{m^k}$ .

The set  $\{l/m^{n+k-1} : 0 \leq l \leq m^{n+k-1}\}$  is  $\varepsilon_k$ -separated in  $(S^1, d_n)$ , i.e. it is

$(n, \varepsilon_k)$ -separated, and there is no  $(n, \varepsilon_k)$ -sep. set with more than  $m^{n+k-1}$  pts (Why?).

So  $\text{Sep}(E_m, \varepsilon_k, n) = m^{n+k-1}$ , and it follows that  $h(E_m) = \ln m$ .  $\square$

Proof 2 The map  $E_m$  is expansive, and hence  $p(E_m) \leq h(E_m)$ .

We showed that  $p(E_m) = \ln m$ , and so  $h(E_m) \geq \ln m$ .

Since  $h(E_m) \leq \ln m$ , we obtain  $h(E_m) = \ln m$ .  $\square$

Remark The dynamical systems  $E_m: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ ,  $\sigma_m: \mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$ , and  $\sigma_A: \mathbb{Z}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_A$  with primitive A have "complex" orbit structure. Each has positive entropy:  $\ln m$ ,  $\ln m$ , and  $\ln(\lambda_{\max})_{>1}$ , respectively.

Proposition (More properties of top. entropy). (clear)

(1) If  $A \subseteq X$  is a closed  $f$ -invariant set, then  $h(f|_A) \leq h(f)$ .

(2) If  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ , where  $A_i$  are closed  $f$ -invariant subsets of  $X$  (not necessarily disjoint), then  $h(f) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} h(f|_{A_i})$ .

(3) For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h(f^m) = m \cdot h(f)$ .

(4) For  $f: X \rightarrow X$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Y$ , consider  $f \times g: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$  given by  $(f \times g)(x, y) = (f(x), g(y))$ . Then  $h(f \times g) = h(f) + h(g)$ .

Pf (Omitting some details)

(4) let  $d_X$  and  $d_Y$  be metrics on  $X$  and  $Y$ . We consider the metric  $d$  on  $X \times Y$  given by  $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \max\{d_X(x_1, x_2), d_Y(y_1, y_2)\}$ .

Then  $\varepsilon$ -balls in  $X \times Y$  are products of  $\varepsilon$ -balls in  $X$  and in  $Y$ .

Then  $\varepsilon$ -balls in  $X \times Y$  are products of  $\varepsilon$ -balls in  $d_n$ ,  $d_n^X$ , and  $d_n^Y$ . It follows that

The same holds for  $\varepsilon$ -balls in  $d_n$ ,  $d_n^X$ , and  $d_n^Y$ . It follows that

the product on  $(n, \varepsilon)$ -separated/spanning sets in  $X$  and  $Y$  is an

$(n, \varepsilon)$ -separated/spanning set in  $X \times Y$ . Therefore,

$\text{Span}(f \times g, \varepsilon, n) \leq \text{Span}(f, \varepsilon, n) \cdot \text{Span}(g, \varepsilon, n) \Rightarrow h(f \times g) \leq h(f) + h(g)$ ,

$\text{Sep}(f \times g, \varepsilon, n) \geq \text{Sep}(f, \varepsilon, n) \cdot \text{Sep}(g, \varepsilon, n) \Rightarrow h(f \times g) \geq h(f) + h(g)$ .

(2) ( $\geq$ ) by (1). ( $\leq$ ) The union of covers of  $A_i$ 's by sets of  $d_n$ -diameter  $< \varepsilon$  is a cover of  $X$  by such sets. Hence  $\text{Cov}(f, \varepsilon, n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Cov}(f|_{A_i}, \varepsilon, n)$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  s.t.  $\text{Cov}(f|_{A_i}, \varepsilon, n) \geq \frac{1}{m} \text{Cov}(f, \varepsilon, n)$ . (\*)  
Hence there is  $i$  s.t. (\*) holds for infinitely many  $n$ . Recall that for  $\text{cov}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln(\text{cov}(\dots))$  exists and hence equals  $\lim$  along a subsequence. For  $i$  as above,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln(\text{cov}(f|_{A_i}, \varepsilon, n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (\ln \frac{1}{m} + \ln \text{cov}(f, \varepsilon, n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln(\text{cov}(f, \varepsilon, n))$   
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln(\text{cov}(f|_{A_i}, \varepsilon, n)) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (\ln \frac{1}{m} + \ln \text{cov}(f, \varepsilon, n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln(\text{cov}(f, \varepsilon, n))$   
and it follows that  $h(f) \leq h(f|_{A_i}) \leq \max h(f|_{A_i})$ .

(3) ( $\leq$ ) An orbit segment of length  $n$  for  $f^m$  is  $x, f^m(x), \dots, f^{m(n-1)}(x)$ .  
Hence  $\max_{0 \leq i < n} d(f^{mi}(x), f^{mi}(y)) \leq \max_{0 \leq j < mn} d(f^j(x), f^j(y))$ , and so

$\text{Span}(f^m, \varepsilon, n) \leq \text{Span}(f, \varepsilon, mn)$ . Therefore,

$\text{Span}(f^m, \varepsilon, n) \leq \text{Span}(f, \varepsilon, mn) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln(\text{Span}(f, \varepsilon, mn)) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{mn} \ln(\text{Span}(f, \varepsilon, mn)) \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln(\text{Span}(f, \varepsilon, N))$ , and so  $h(f^m) \leq m \cdot h(f)$ .

( $\geq$ ) For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta(\varepsilon)$  s.t. if  $d(x, y) < \delta(\varepsilon)$ , then  $d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) < \varepsilon$  for  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ . So if  $d(f^{mk}(x), f^{mk}(y)) < \delta(\varepsilon)$ , then  $d(f^{mk+i}(x), f^{mk+i}(y)) < \varepsilon$  for  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ .

Then  $\text{Span}(f^m, \delta(\varepsilon), n) \geq \text{Span}(f, \varepsilon, mn)$  and it follows that  $h(f^m) \geq m \cdot h(f)$ .  $\square$