Introduction:

The Black-Litterman asset allocation model, created by Fischer Black and Robert Litterman of Goldman, Sachs & Company, is a sophisticated method used to overcome the problem of unintuitive, highly-concentrated, input-sensitive portfolios. Input sensitivity is a well-documented problem with mean- variance optimization and is the most likely reason that more portfolio managers do not use the Markowitz paradigm, in which return is maximized for a given level of risk. The Black-Litterman Model uses a Bayesian approach to combine the subjective views of an investor regarding the expected returns of one or more assets with the market equilibrium vector (the prior distribution) of expected returns to form a new, mixed estimate of expected returns.

The paper was replicated through code on an R program and the results were compared to the data provided in the research paper.

Program:

The data assumed to be provided was the following:

- Table 1
- Market Capitalization Weight
- Covariance Matrix
- P Matrix
- Q Matrix
- Tau
- LC
- Delta
- Risk Free Rate

Table 1 consists of Estimates of Expected Total Return Vectors.

The first part of the code uploads all the data as tables/ matrices from the csv file. It is important to remember to set the Working Directory as the location containing the program, as well as all the csv files containing the relevant data. The code snippet is provided below:

```
#Upload available information as CSV files
table1 <- read.csv(file = "Table1.csv", header = TRUE)
covmatrix <- data.frame(read.csv(file = "Covariance.csv", header = TRUE))
row.names(covmatrix) <- covmatrix[,1] #Set rownames from first column
covmatrix <- covmatrix[,-1] #Delete first column containing stock names
covmatrix <- as.matrix(covmatrix)
Pmatrix <- data.matrix(read.csv(file = "PMatrix.csv", header = FALSE))

MarketCapWeights <- data.matrix(read.csv(file = "MarketCapWeights.csv", header = FALSE)) #value*100 for percentage

delta = 2.25 #Risk aversion parameter provided in Appendix B(2)
riskfree= 0.05 #Risk free rate of 5% provided</pre>
```

The Implied Equilibrium Return is then computed using the formula: $\Pi = \delta \Sigma w$

The code and output for computing Implied Equilibrium Return Values is given below, along with its percentage difference with respect to the values provided in the research paper:

> ImpliedEqReturn	> ImpliedEqAccuracy	
V1	Percentage Differe	nce (%)
aa 13.811630	aa	0.0001
ge 13.584088	ge	0.0010
jnj 9.766805	jnj	0.0017
msft 20.404243	msft	0.0003
axp 14.923580	ахр	0.0011
gm 12.807612	gm	0.0017
jpm 16.462535	jpm	0.0002
pg 7.557463	pg	0.0003
ba 11.782445	ba	0.0023
hd 12.513673	hd	0.0005
ko 10.897925	ko	0.0020
sbc 8.789180	sbc	0.0001
c 16.970540	С	0.0000
hon 14.513203	hon	0.0009
mcd 10.439893	mcd	0.0000
t 10.775097	t	0.0033
cat 10.930775	cat	0.0010
hwp 14.439088	hwp	0.0008
mmm 8.658297	mmm	0.0002
utx 15.481220	utx	0.0007
dd 10.970263	dd	0.0009
ibm 14.658035	ibm	0.0001
mo 6.853280	mo	0.0010
wmt 12.781580	wmt	0.0009
dis 12.409070	dis	0.0001
intc 18.700700	intc	0.0000
mrk 9.203202	mrk	0.0018
xom 7.857298	xom	0.0029
ek 10.616090	ek	0.0006
ip 12.948552	ip	0.0022

As we can see, the program results were accurate, since the percentage difference is very low.

The weights in table 2 were computed using the formula in Appendix B(7):

$$w = (\delta \Sigma)^{-1} \mu$$

Where delta =2.25 and Mu vector is the expected return vectors available in table 1:

Code snippet for table 2 and output is given below. The data has been rounded to 2 decimal places, as done in the research paper for easy comparison:

```
#Table 2

39 table2 <- matrix(0,nrow = 30, ncol = 4)

40 rownames(table2) <- table1[,1]

41 #Compute Weights using formula in Appendix B(7): w = (δΣ)-1 μ

42 * for (j in 1:3) {

43     table2[,j] <- ((solve(delta*covmatrix)%*%(table1[,j+1]-(riskfree*100))))

44 }

45 table2[,4] <- cbind(MarketCapWeights*100)

46 colnames(table2) <- c("Historical Weight (%)", "CAPM Weight (%)", "Implied Eq. Weight (%)", "Market Cap. Weight (%)")

47 #Round off data to 2 decimal places

48 table2 <- round(table2,2)
```

Historical	Weight (%)	CAPM Weight (%)	<pre>Implied Eq. Weight (%)</pre>	Market Cap. Weight (%)
aa	237.89	2.21	1.23	0.88
ge	-64.41	9.81	11.24	11.62
jnj	-78.25	5.50	4.89	5.29
msft	4.29	3.29	10.46	10.41
ахр	-41.17	6.08	1.41	1.39
gm	5.64	3.84	1.33	0.79
jpm	-218.55	1.85	2.20	2.09
pg	92.02	-1.55	2.84	2.99
ba	-106.48	4.31	1.06	0.90
hd	308.76	-0.21	3.97	3.49
ko	-147.94	6.17	3.72	3.42
sbc	16.85	-4.46	4.16	3.84
с	315.87	4.94	7.29	7.58
hon	19.73	2.42	0.74	0.86
mcd	-53.91	1.11	1.02	0.99
t	-95.52	4.03	1.39	1.87
cat	-78.01	5.45	0.10	0.52
hwp	-171.02	6.91	0.90	1.16
mmm	49.67	5.36	1.35	1.35
utx	-38.11	4.94	0.70	0.88
dd	-135.28	0.61	1.41	1.29
ibm	24.59	5.86	6.34	6.08
no	148.31	1.11	2.94	2.90
wmt	11.30	0.79	7.47	7.49
dis	12.05	-3.14	1.00	1.23
intc	104.94	-2.19	6.17	6.16
mrk	146.98	5.14	4.15	3.90
xom	235.86	4.23	8.35	7.85
ek	-156.42	2.32	0.32	0.25
ip	-114.51	4.80	0.27	0.5

Table 2: DJIA Components – Portfolio Weights

Symbol	Historical Weight	CAPM Weight	Implied Equilibrium Weight	Market Capitalization Weight
aa	223.86%	2.67%	0.88%	0.88%
ge	-65.44%	9.80%	11.62%	11.62%
jnj	-70.08%	6.11%	5.29%	5.29%
msft	3.54%	3.22%	10.41%	10.41%
axp	-15.38%	5.54%	1.39%	1.39%
gm	5.76%	3.44%	0.79%	0.79%
jpm	-213.39%	1.94%	2.09%	2.09%
pg	92.00%	-1.33%	2.99%	2.99%
ba	-111.35%	4.71%	0.90%	0.90%
hd	280.01%	0.11%	3.49%	3.49%
ko	-151.58%	5.70%	3.42%	3.42%
sbc	17.11%	-4.28%	3.84%	3.84%
С	293.90%	5.11%	7.58%	7.58%
hon	15.65%	2.71%	0.80%	0.80%
mcd	-61.68%	1.32%	0.99%	0.99%
t	-86.44%	4.04%	1.87%	1.87%
cat	-70.67%	5.10%	0.52%	0.52%
hwp	-163.02%	6.60%	1.16%	1.16%
mmm	56.84%	4.73%	1.35%	1.35%
utx	-23.80%	4.38%	0.88%	0.88%
dd	-131.99%	1.03%	1.29%	1.29%
ibm	36.92%	5.57%	6.08%	6.08%
mo	136.78%	1.31%	2.90%	2.90%
wmt	21.03%	0.89%	7.49%	7.49%
dis	5.75%	-2.35%	1.23%	1.23%
intc	97.81%	-1.96%	6.16%	6.16%
mrk	144.34%	4.61%	3.90%	3.90%
xom	218.75%	4.10%	7.85%	7.85%
ek	-148.36%	2.04%	0.25%	0.25%
ip	-113.07%	4.76%	0.57%	0.57%

It was not practical to compute a percentage difference between computed weights versus weights provided in the research paper since there was no csv file with all the weights available and inputting each weight manually for comparison purposes would be time consuming. However, a general observation shows that the computed weight values are pretty accurate.

Table 3 consists of some information regarding decision making towards obtaining the relevant views. Hence, table 3 was not replicated in the program.

In order to compute the components of Table 4, we first need to compute the Expected return using the Black Litterman formula:

$$E[R] = \left[(\tau \Sigma)^{-1} + P' \Omega^{-1} P \right]^{-1} \left[(\tau \Sigma)^{-1} \Pi + P' \Omega^{-1} Q \right]$$
 (1)

Where:

E[R] = New (posterior) Combined Return Vector (*n x 1* column vector)

 $\tau = Scalar$

 Σ = Covariance Matrix of Returns ($n \times n$ matrix)

P = Identifies the assets involved in the views ($k \times n$ matrix or $l \times n$ row vector in the special case of 1 view)

 Ω = Diagonal covariance matrix of error terms in expressed views representing the level of confidence in each view ($k \times k$ matrix)

 Π = Implied Equilibrium Return Vector ($n \times 1$ column vector)

Q = View Vector (k x 1 column vector)

('indicates the transpose and -1 indicates the inverse.)

tau= 0.873 was provided in the research paper. We directly use this value instead of computing tau, since the formula provided to compute tau results in a 3x3 matrix with no information on how to convert the matrix to a scalar value for tau. The covariance matrix was provided, the P matrix was also provided, which reflects the absolute and relative views an investor has towards the portfolio. The expressed views in the column vector Q are matched to specific assets by matrix P. Each view results in a 1xn row vector. Thus, k views result in a kxn matrix. Hence, in the three-view DJIA example, P is a 3x30 matrix:

In order to compute Omega, we first needed to compute LC and CF:

There were 3 investor views provided in the paper:

- View 1: Merck (mrk) will have an absolute return of 10% (Confidence of View = 50%).
- View 2: Johnson & Johnson (jnj) will outperform Procter & Gamble (pg) by 3% (Confidence of View = 65%).
- View 3: General Electric (ge) and Home Depot (hd) will outperform General Motors (gm), Wal-Mart (wmt) and Exxon (xom) by 1.5% (Confidence of View = 30%).

LC is the Level of Confidence factor towards each view, which was provided as a percentage. Hence, LC is a 3x1 matrix with the percentage values divided by 100:

CF is the calibration factor, which was computed using the formula:

General Case:

$$CF = \frac{P\Sigma P'}{\frac{1}{50\%}}$$

Thus, CF was found to be 0.1407, which is pretty close to the value 0.1403 that was observed in the research paper.

Omega was then computed using the formula given below:

such that each diagonal element of Omega corresponds to each value in the LC matrix. Thus, Omega matrix takes the form:

DJIA Example:

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{.50} * CF\right) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \left(\frac{1}{.65} * CF\right) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{1}{.30} * CF\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, the Omega matrix was found to be:

```
> Omega

[,1] [,2] [,3]

[1,] 0.2814142 0.0000000 0.00000000

[2,] 0.0000000 0.2164725 0.0000000

[3,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.4690237
```

The Q matrix was provided as a 3x1 matrix since there were 3 views. The views take up the form:

DJIA Example:

General Case:

$$Q + \varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 3 \\ 1.5 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q + \varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \\ \vdots \\ Q_k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_k \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, plugging these into the Black Litterman Formula, we obtain the New Combined Return Vector E[R]:

```
> NewExpRet
            ٧1
    13.782542
aa
     13.529906
     9.937632
jnj
msft 20.391101
axp 14.968191
     12.775147
    16.428067
jpm
     7.473624
pg
     11.837531
hd
    12.412601
ko
    10.994786
    8.889863
sbc
     17.002871
hon 14.496227
mcd 10.514998
     10.722532
t
cat 11.028851
    14.295229
hwp
     8.674056
utx 15.495166
dd
     10.969218
ibm 14.645614
     6.903925
wmt 12.836610
dis 12.440635
intc 18.713933
mrk 9.428966
xom
    7.893497
ek
     10.573639
    12.999574
ip
```

The second column in table 4 is the Equilibrium Return Vector that was earlier computed. The third column was calculated as the difference between the New Combined Return Vector and the Implied Equilibrium Return Vector.

The fourth column is the new weight, which was computed using the same formula used to compute table 2:

$$w = (\delta \Sigma)^{-1} \mu$$

Where, the Mu vector was the New Combined Return Vector.

The fifth column of table 4 is the Market Capitalization weights, which were provided to us. The sixth column of table 4 is computed as the difference between the new weight and the market capitalization weight. The computed data was modified such that the data output displayed two decimal places, as done in the research paper. The code and output towards table 4 is provided below:

```
#Table 4
     NewExpRet <- solve(solve(tau*covmatrix)+t(Pmatrix)%*%solve(Omega)%*%Pmatrix)%*%(solve(tau*covmatrix) %*%ImpliedEqReturn+t(Pmatrix)%*%solve(Omega)%*%Q)
     ReturnDiff <- NewExpRet - ImpliedEqReturn
     OmegaHat <- ((solve(delta*covmatrix)%*%(NewExpRet-(riskfree*100))))
     #Compute Weights Difference
     WeightDiff <- OmegaHat - (MarketCapWeights*100)
 58 table4 <- cbind(NewExpRet, ImpliedEqReturn, ReturnDiff, OmegaHat, MarketCapWeights*100, WeightDiff)
     colnames(table4) <- (c("New Return Vector E[R]", "Implied Eq. Vector", "Return Diff.", "New Weight", "Market Cap. Weight", "Weight Diff."))
> table4
      New Return Vector E[R] Implied Eq. Vector Return Diff. New Weight Market Cap. Weight Weight Diff.
                          13.78
                                                 13.81
                                                                -0.03
                                                                              0.88
                                                                                                     0.88
                                                                                                                    0.00
aa
                          13.53
                                                 13.58
                                                                -0.05
                                                                             10.76
                                                                                                    11.62
                                                                                                                   -0.86
ae
jnj
                           9.94
                                                  9.77
                                                                 0.17
                                                                              6.25
                                                                                                     5.29
                                                                                                                    0.96
                          20.39
msft
                                                 20.40
                                                                -0.01
                                                                             10.41
                                                                                                    10.41
                                                                                                                    0.00
axp
                          14.97
                                                 14.92
                                                                 0.04
                                                                              1.39
                                                                                                     1.39
                                                                                                                    0.00
                          12.78
                                                 12.81
                                                                -0.03
                                                                              0.84
                                                                                                     0.79
                                                                                                                    0.05
am
                          16.43
                                                                -0.03
jpm
                                                 16.46
                                                                              2.09
                                                                                                     2.09
                                                                                                                    0.00
                           7.47
                                                  7.56
                                                                -0.08
                                                                                                     2.99
                                                                                                                    -0.96
                                                                              2.03
pg
ba
                          11.84
                                                 11.78
                                                                 0.06
                                                                              0.90
                                                                                                     0.90
                                                                                                                    0.00
                          12.41
                                                 12.51
                                                                -0.10
                                                                              3.23
                                                                                                     3.49
                                                                                                                    -0.26
hd
                          10.99
                                                 10.90
                                                                 0.10
                                                                              3.42
                                                                                                     3.42
                                                                                                                    0.00
ko
                           8.89
                                                  8.79
                                                                 0.10
                                                                              3.84
                                                                                                     3.84
                                                                                                                     0.00
sbc
                          17.00
                                                 16.97
                                                                 0.03
                                                                              7.58
                                                                                                     7.58
                                                                                                                     0.00
hon
                          14.50
                                                 14.51
                                                                -0.02
                                                                              0.80
                                                                                                     0.80
                                                                                                                     0.00
mcd
                          10.51
                                                 10.44
                                                                 0.08
                                                                              0.99
                                                                                                     0.99
                                                                                                                     0.00
                          10.72
                                                 10.78
                                                                -0.05
                                                                              1.87
                                                                                                     1.87
                                                                                                                     0.00
cat
                          11.03
                                                 10.93
                                                                 0.10
                                                                              0.52
                                                                                                     0.52
                                                                                                                     0.00
hwp
                          14.30
                                                 14.44
                                                                -0.14
                                                                              1.16
                                                                                                     1.16
                                                                                                                     0.00
                           8.67
                                                  8.66
                                                                 0.02
                                                                              1.35
                                                                                                     1.35
                                                                                                                     0.00
mmm
utx
                          15.50
                                                 15.48
                                                                 0.01
                                                                              0.88
                                                                                                     0.88
                                                                                                                     0.00
                          10.97
dd
                                                 10.97
                                                                 0.00
                                                                              1.29
                                                                                                     1.29
                                                                                                                    0.00
                          14.65
                                                                -0.01
ibm
                                                 14.66
                                                                              6.08
                                                                                                     6.08
                                                                                                                    0.00
                           6.90
                                                                 0.05
                                                                                                     2.90
mo
                                                  6.85
                                                                              2.90
                                                                                                                    0.00
wmt
                          12.84
                                                 12.78
                                                                 0.06
                                                                              8.01
                                                                                                     7.49
                                                                                                                    0.52
                          12.44
                                                 12.41
                                                                 0.03
                                                                                                     1.23
dis
                                                                              1.23
                                                                                                                    0.00
                          18.71
                                                 18.70
                                                                 0.01
                                                                                                     6.16
                                                                                                                    0.00
intc
                                                                              6.16
                           9.43
                                                  9.20
                                                                 0.23
                                                                              4.69
                                                                                                     3.90
                                                                                                                    0.79
mrk
                           7.89
                                                  7.86
                                                                 0.04
                                                                              8.39
                                                                                                     7.85
                                                                                                                    0.54
xom
ek
                          10.57
                                                 10.62
                                                                -0.04
                                                                              0.25
                                                                                                     0.25
                                                                                                                    0.00
                                                 12.95
                          13.00
                                                                 0.05
iр
                                                                              0.57
                                                                                                     0.57
                                                                                                                    0.00
```

Comparing the computed data with the data provided in the research paper, we can see that the program computed the vectors accurately, with minor difference.

Table 4: Return Vectors and Resulting Portfolio Weights

Symbol	New Combined Return Vector (<i>E[R]</i>)	Implied Equilibrium Return Vector (//)	Difference (E[R] - Π)	New Weight (ŵ)	Market Capitalization Weight (w)	Difference (ŵ - w)
aa	13.78	13.81	-0.03	0.88%	0.88%	
ge	13.52	13.57	-0.05	10.77%	11.62%	-0.85%
jnj	9.92	9.75	0.17	6.28%	5.29%	0.99%
msft	20.40	20.41	-0.01	10.41%	10.41%	
ахр	14.98	14.94	0.04	1.39%	1.39%	
gm	12.80	12.83	-0.03	0.84%	0.79%	0.05%
jpm	16.43	16.46	-0.03	2.09%	2.09%	
pg	7.47	7.56	-0.09	2.00%	2.99%	-0.99%
ba	11.87	11.81	0.05	0.90%	0.90%	
hd	12.42	12.52	-0.10	3.23%	3.49%	-0.25%
ko	11.02	10.92	0.09	3.42%	3.42%	
sbc	8.89	8.79	0.10	3.84%	3.84%	
С	17.01	16.97	0.03	7.58%	7.58%	
hon	14.48	14.50	-0.02	0.80%	0.80%	
mcd	10.52	10.44	80.0	0.99%	0.99%	
t	10.69	10.74	-0.05	1.87%	1.87%	
cat	11.02	10.92	0.10	0.52%	0.52%	
hwp	14.31	14.45	-0.14	1.16%	1.16%	
mmm	8.67	8.66	0.02	1.35%	1.35%	
utx	15.49	15.47	0.02	0.88%	0.88%	
dd	10.98	10.98	0.00	1.29%	1.29%	
ibm	14.65	14.66	-0.01	6.08%	6.08%	
mo	6.91	6.86	0.05	2.90%	2.90%	
wmt	12.83	12.77	0.06	8.00%	7.49%	0.51%
dis	12.44	12.41	0.03	1.23%	1.23%	
intc	18.72	18.70	0.02	6.16%	6.16%	
mrk	9.44	9.22	0.22	4.68%	3.90%	0.77%
xom	7.91	7.88	0.04	8.38%	7.85%	0.53%
ek	10.57	10.61	-0.04	0.25%	0.25%	
ip	12.97	12.92	0.05	0.57%	0.57%	
			Sum	100.77%	100.00%	0.77%

Once again, for comparison, since we did not have the research paper data as a csv file, the accuracy of every computed column could not be compared as a percentage difference with the data provided in the research paper. However, in order to obtain an idea of the performance, the Difference in new weights that was computed was compared with the data provided in the research paper by manually inputting the information. Since, the computation of the new weights incorporates the use of the New Combined Return Vector, it is a good way to observe the accuracy of the New Combined Return Vector.

Thus, the performance of the program was observed by calculating the difference between the Computed weights difference and the weights difference provided in the research paper.

> ComparativeAnalysis		
Deviation from Official	Percentage	Weights
aa		0.00
ge		0.01
jnj		0.03
msft		0.00
ахр		0.00
gm		0.00
jpm		0.00
pg		-0.03
ba		0.00
hd		0.01
ko		0.00
sbc		0.00
С		0.00
hon		0.00
mcd		0.00
t		0.00
cat		0.00
hwp		0.00
mmm		0.00
utx		0.00
dd		0.00
ibm		0.00
mo		0.00
wmt		-0.01
dis		0.00
intc		0.00
mrk		-0.02
xom		-0.01
ek		0.00
ip		0.00

From this result, we can see that the program has provided extremely accurate results with minor deviations up to a maximum deviation of ± 0.03 . It is unclear whether the author of the research paper rounded any data prior to using it towards further computation. The R program developed did not round any data prior to computation and used rounding only for display purposes. Additionally, it is unclear whether the author of the research paper used an optimizer to compute weights or used the alternative method incorporated in this program, as described in Appendix B(7). Hence, these considerations could have attributed toward the minor difference in observed values.

The code for the entire program is given below:

```
#Upload available information as CSV files
 table1 <- read.csv(file = "Table1.csv", header = TRUE)

covmatrix <- data.frame(read.csv(file = "Covariance.csv", header = TRUE))

row.names(covmatrix) <- covmatrix[,1] #Set rownames from first column

covmatrix <- covmatrix[,1] #Delete first column containing stock names

covmatrix <- covmatrix[,1] #Delete first column containing stock names
 6 covmatrix <- as.matrix(covmatrix)
 Pmatrix <- data.matrix(read.csv(file = "PMatrix.csv", header = FALSE))

MarketCapWeights <- data.matrix(read.csv(file = "MarketCapWeights.csv", header = FALSE)) #value*100 for percentage
10 delta =2.25 #Risk aversion parameter provided in Appendix B(2)
11 riskfree= 0.05 #Risk free rate of 5% provided
13 #Compute Implied Equilibrium Returns to plug into E[R]

    ImpliedEqReturn = delta*(compare trive)**MarketCapNeights)
    ImpliedEqReturn = (ImpliedEqReturn + riskfree)*100 #Factoring in risk free rate and converting to percent
    #Compare Computed Implied Equilibrium Returns with Data provided in research paper

17 ImpliedEaAccuracy <- abs(ImpliedEaReturn-table1[.4])/((ImpliedEaReturn+table1[.4])/2)
18 colnames(ImpliedEqAccuracy) <- c("Percentage Difference (%)")
19 #Round off small percentages to 0
20 ImpliedEqAccuracy <- round(ImpliedEqAccuracy,4)</pre>
22 #Set View vector and Tau
23 Q <- matrix(c(10,3,1.5))
24 LC <- matrix(c(0.5,0.65,0.3))
25 CF= sum(Pmatrix**%cowmatrix***(Pmatrix)*0.5) #Provided in Page 9
28  Omega <- matrix(0,nrow = 3, ncol = 3)
29  for (i in 1:3) {
30 Omega[i,i] <- matrix((1/LC[i,1])*CF)</pre>
33 #Compute tau: Actual formula results in 3x3 matrix
34 #tau = Pmatrix%*%covmatrix%*%t(Pmatrix)/sum(Omega/3)
35 #Professor advised to directly use tau value provided since there is no information on how to convert to scalar
36 \quad tau = 0.873
38 #Table 2
39 table2 <- matrix(0,nrow = 30, ncol = 4)
40 rownames(table2) <- table1[,1] 
41 #Compute Weights using formula in Appendix B(7): w = (\delta\Sigma)-1 \mu 42 for (j in 1:3) {
43
        table2[,j] <- ((solve(delta*covmatrix)%*%(table1[,j+1]-(riskfree*100))))</pre>
table2[,4] <- cbind(MarketCapWeights*100)
colnames(table2) <- c("Historical Weight (%)", "CAPM Weight (%)", "Implied Eq. Weight (%)", "Market Cap. Weight (%)")
47 #Round off data to 2 decimal places
    table2 <- round(table2,2)
49
51
     NewExpRet <- solve(solve(tau*covmatrix)+t(Pmatrix)%*%solve(Omega)%*%Pmatrix)%*%(solve(tau*covmatrix) %*%ImpliedEqReturn+t(Pmatrix)%*%solve(Omega)%*%0)
Set ReturnDiff <- NewExpRet - ImpliedEqReturn

SomegaHat <- ((solve(delta*covmatrix)**%(NewExpRet-(riskfree*100))))
55 #Compute Weights Difference
56 WeightDiff <- OmegaHat - (MarketCapWeights*100)
table4 <- cbind(NewExpRet, ImpliedEqReturn, ReturnDiff, OmegaHat, MarketCapWeights*100, WeightDiff)
colnames(table4) <- (c("New Return Vector E[R]", "Implied Eq. Vector", "Return Diff.", "New Weight", "Market Cap. Weight", "Weight Diff."))
60 table4 <- round(table4,2)
62 #Comparative Analysis
64 ComparativeAnalysis <- (OfficialWeightDiff-WeightDiff)
65 colnames(ComparativeAnalysis) <- c("Deviation from Official Percentage Weights")
```