Double ML for Sample Selection. Suppose we are interested in the Average Treatment Effect parameter

$$\theta = \mathrm{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)],$$

where Y(1) and Y(0) are potential outcomes with and without treatment, respectively. The treatment D is randomly assigned given X:

$$(Y(1), Y(0)) \perp D \mid X, \quad (1)$$

and the propensity score is

$$\pi(X) = \Pr(D = 1 \mid X).$$

Furthermore, the outcome Y is partially missing, and the selection indicator S=1 if and only if Y is observed. To sum up, the data vector

$$W = (D, X, S, S \cdot Y),$$

consists of the pre-treatment covariates X, the treatment indicator $D \in \{1, 0\}$, the selection indicator S, and the outcome Y if and only if S = 1. The selection is exogenous, that is,

$$S\perp Y\mid D=d,X\quad\forall d\in\{1,0\}.\quad(2)$$

which implies

$$S(d) \perp Y(d) \mid D = d, X \quad \forall d \in \{1, 0\}.$$

(i) Write down any valid, unconditional moment equation for θ_0 . **Note!** A moment function for θ must depend only on data vector W, the parameter θ , and, possibly, other identified functions (e.g., the propensity score $\pi(X)$).

The actual/realized outcome and selection variable are related to its potential counterparts as

$$Y = DY(1) + (1 - D)Y(0), \quad S = DS(1) + (1 - D)S(0).$$

Equation (1) implies a moment equation

$$\theta = E[Y(1) - Y(0)] = E\left[\frac{DY}{\pi(X)} - \frac{(1-D)Y}{1-\pi(X)}\right]$$

if there is no sample selection problem. However, the terms DY or (1-D)Y are not observed if S=0, and this moment is infeasible. Our identifying assumptions imply that

$$E[Y(d) \mid X] = E[Y(d) \mid D = d, X] = E[Y(d) \mid D = d, X, S(d) = 1].$$

where the first equality follows from (1) and the second equality from (2). Therefore, the mean potential outcome identified by

$$E[Y(d) \mid X] = E[Y \mid D = d, X, S = 1] = E[\frac{1\{D = d\}SY}{\Pr(S = 1 \mid D = d, X) \Pr(D = d \mid X)} \mid X].$$

Law of Iterated Expectations $E_X[E[Y(d) \mid X]] = E[Y(d)]$ gives

$$E[Y(d)] = E\left[\frac{1\{D = d\}SY}{\Pr(S = 1 \mid D = d, X)\Pr(D = d \mid X)}\right] =: E[\psi(d)].$$

Thus,

$$\theta = E[Y(1) - Y(0)] = E[\psi(1) - \psi(0)].$$

(iii) Write down an orthogonal moment equation for θ_0 . Demonstrate the orthogonality property. Define regression function

$$\mu(d, X) = E[Y \mid S = 1, D = d, X = x].$$

Robins and Rotnitzky moment equation is orthogonal with respect to the first-stage nuisance functions $\eta(x) := \{\mu(d, x), \Pr(S = 1 \mid D = d, X = x), \pi(x)\}, d \in \{1, 0\}$. It takes the form

$$E[\phi(d,\eta)] = \frac{1\{D = d\}S(Y - \mu(d,X))}{\Pr(S = 1 \mid D = d,X)\Pr(D = d \mid X)} + \mu(d,X)$$

and the moment equation for θ is

$$\theta = E[Y(1) - Y(0)] = E[\phi(1, \eta_0) - \phi(0, \eta_0)].$$

(iv) Propose a Double ML approach to estimate θ_0 . Clearly state your assumptions, describe the method, and provide a formal central limit theorem statement for it.

Step 1. Partition date in two halves J_1 and J_2 . On each half, estimate the first-stage nuisance functions using some nonparametric/ML methods. Let the estimate be $\hat{\eta}_1$ on J_1 and $\hat{\eta}_2$ on J_2 .

Step 2. Compute sample average

$$\widehat{\theta} := N^{-1} (\sum_{i \in J_2} [\phi(1, \widehat{\eta}_1) - \phi(0, \widehat{\eta}_1)] + \sum_{i \in J_1} [\phi(1, \widehat{\eta}_2) - \phi(0, \widehat{\eta}_2)]).$$

invoking the estimate $\hat{\eta}$ from the partition that does not contain i.

Grading criteria for this question:

- Good or Pass. An attempt to write down moment equation, or general grasp of double ML as expressed by generic ML algorithm, depending on the details/progress.
- Excellent or Good. Some specific progress involving calculations above, depending on the details/progress.