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**Goals -**

* Reduce the search space of possible moves
* Use as little domain knowledge as possible
* Go beyond supervised learning

**Design and Techniques used**

AlphaGo uses **neural networks** and **Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS)**. Three policy neural networks are used to decide which moves to investigate and which ones to play. They were trained to identify promising moves from a 19x19 image of the Go game board. A fourth neural network, called the value network, looks at one of those images and assigns a "goodness" value to the current player position (the equivalent of the evaluation function in our Isolation game-playing agent). MCTS uses the four networks to evaluate the value of each game position in the search tree and identify the most promising move.

The **Supervised Learning (SL) policy network** is a 13-layer deep CNN trained on 30 million Go game positions. Given a game position, it predicts the next move, i.e. the \*most likely move\*. It alternates convolutional layers followed by ReLU activations and is capped by a huge softmax that allocates a probability to each legal move.

The next step uses a **Reinforcement Learning (RL) policy network** to also predict the next move, albeit the \*best next move\* rather than the most likely one. Not only does it have the same structure as the SL network, it started as the same network. But, by making it play against itself 1.2 million times and beat earlier incarnations of itself, keeping the network weights of the winner, it became much stronger.

There is a third-policy network called the **Fast Rollout (FR) policy network**. Like the SL network it was trained to predict the next move, but it is a thousand times faster than the SL network. While not as accurate, but because it is so much faster, it is used to play out the rest of the game, hence, predicting the most likely outcome following the predicted next move.

The **Value network** estimates the probability that the current position will lead to a win or a loss for the current player. When it was first trained on the same data than the SL policy network, it severely over fitted. To improve its ability to generalize, the AlphaGo Team trained it on the games collected during the during reinforcement learning phase instead (~30M human games vs 1.5B self-play games).

From the current position at the top of the game tree, down edges to possible moves carry an action value Q hat captures how good a potential move is. The game agent searches the tree for the best move in **four different phases**. First, the Agent chooses the edge with the highest Q and explores down that branch (**selection phase**).

When it reaches a leaf node to explore further, it creates a down branch and *runs the slow SL policy network to come up with a strong candidate move* (**expansion phase**). It then does two things (**evaluation phase**): a/ run the value network *once* to evaluate this new position, and b/ use the FR network to playout from that position to the end of the game trying *as many runs as possible* within a time limit. After this, it will propagate the information it collected during those runs and bubble it up the search tree (**backup phase**). If many of the runs ended badly, it will adjust the Q value for that branch down. If it often did well, it will bump it up.

After the game-playing agent has used all of its allocated time evaluating many different branches, it chooses the move that yielded the highest Q value.

**Results**

The paper presents three sets of results for two different implementations of AlphaGo (one distributed, one not):

\* Both versions of AlphaGo significantly outperforms previously existing Go-playing AIs and are better than the best European player.

\* Even without using all of its neural networks, just using the value network, AlphaGo performs almost as well as other AIs.

\* Finally, by throwing more hardware at the problem, AlphaGo performs even better.
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