# THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE School of Computing and Information Systems

#### **COMP30020**

### **Declarative Programming**

Second Semester, 2019

Project Specification — Project 1

Due Wednesday, 11 September 2019, 5:00pm

Worth 15%

The objective of this project is to practice and assess your understanding of functional programming and Haskell. You will write code to implement a logical deduction game.

## The Game

For this project, you will implement a two-player logical guessing game. Two players face each other, each with a complete standard deck of western playing cards (without jokers). One player will be the *answerer* and the other is the *guesser*. The answerer begins by selecting some number of cards from his or her deck without showing the guesser. These cards will form the *answer* for this game. The aim of the game is for the guesser to guess the answer.

Once the answerer has selected the answer, the guesser chooses the same number of cards from his or her deck to form the guess and shows them to the answerer. The answerer responds by telling the guesser these five numbers as feedback for the guess:

- 1. How many of the cards in the answer are also in the guess (correct cards).
- 2. How many cards in the answer have rank lower than the lowest rank in the guess (*lower ranks*). Ranks, in order from low to high, are 2–10, Jack, Queen, King, and Ace.
- 3. How many of the cards in the answer have the same rank as a card in the guess (*correct ranks*). For this, each card in the guess is only counted once. That is, if the answer has two queens and the guess has one, the correct ranks number would be 1, not 2. Likewise if there is one queen in the answer and two in the guess.
- 4. How many cards in the answer have rank higher than the highest rank in the guess (higher ranks).
- 5. How many of the cards in the answer have the same suit as a card in the guess, only counting a card in the guess once (*correct suits*). For example, if the answer has two clubs and the guess has one club, or vice versa, the correct suits number would be 1, not 2.

Note that the order of the cards in the answer and the guess is immaterial, and that, since they come from a single deck, cards cannot be repeated in either answer or guess.

The guesser then guesses again, and receives feedback for the new guess, repeating the process until the guesser guesses the answer correctly. The object of the game for the guesser is to guess the answer with the fewest possible guesses.

A few examples of the feedback for a given answer and guess:

| Answer                      | Guess                     | Feedback  | Explanation   |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|---|
| 3♣,4♡                       | 4♡,3♣                     | 2,0,2,0,2 | 2 answer cards match guess cards, no answer cards less              |
|                             |                           |           | than a 3, 2 answer cards match guess ranks, no answer               |
|                             |                           |           | cards greater than a 4, 2 answer cards match guess suits.           |
| 3♣,4♡                       | 3♣,3♡                     | 1,0,1,1,2 | 1 exact match $(3\clubsuit)$ , no answer cards less than a 3, 1     |
|                             |                           |           | answer card matches a guess rank, 1 answer card greater             |
|                             |                           |           | than a 3, 2 answer cards match guess suits.                         |
| $3\diamondsuit,3\heartsuit$ | 3♠,3♣                     | 0,0,2,0,0 | No exact matches, no answer cards less than a 3, 2 an-              |
|                             |                           |           | swer cards match a guess rank, no answer cards greater              |
|                             |                           |           | than a 3, no answer cards match guess suits.                        |
| 3♣,4♡                       | $2\heartsuit,3\heartsuit$ | 0,0,1,1,1 | No exact matches, no answer cards less than a 2, 1 an-              |
|                             |                           |           | swer card matches a guess rank (the 3), 1 answer card               |
|                             |                           |           | greater than a 3, 1 answer card match a guess suit $(\heartsuit)$ . |
| A♣,2♣                       | 3♣,4♡                     | 0,1,0,1,1 | No exact matches, 1 answer card less than a 3 (the 2; A             |
|                             |                           |           | is high), no answer card matches a guess rank, 1 answer             |
|                             |                           |           | card greater than a 4 (the A), 1 answer card match a                |
|                             |                           |           | guess suit (either &; you can only count 1 because there's          |
|                             |                           |           | only 1 $\clubsuit$ in the guess).                                   |

# The Program

For this assignment, you will write Haskell code to implement code for both the answerer and guesser parts of the game. This will require you to write a function to evaluate a guess to produce feedback, one to provide an initial guess, and one to use the feedback from the previous guess to determine the next guess. The latter function will be called repeatedly until it produces the correct guess. You will find it useful to keep information between guesses; since Haskell is a purely functional language, you cannot use a global or static variable to store this. Therefore, your initial guess function must return this game state information, and your next guess function must take the game state as input and return the updated game state as output. You may put any information you like in the game state, but you must define a type GameState to hold this information.

I will supply a Card module providing the Card, Rank, and Suit types and their constructors. This implementation has a few enhancements from the types presented in lectures. First, all three types are in the Eq class. All are also in the Bounded and Enum class, which means, for example, that [minBound..maxBound]::[Card] is the list of all cards in order, from 2\$to A\$\,\text{\$\phi}\$, and similarly [minBound..maxBound]::[Rank] is the list of ranks from 2 to Ace, and similarly for Suit. This also means that, for example, succ (Card Club R5) == (Card Club R6) and succ (Card Heart Ace) == (Card Spade R2). Read the documentation for Bounded and Enum classes for more things you can do with them.

The Rank and Suit types are also in the Ord class, so for example Ace > King and Heart < Spade. For convenience, all three types are also in the Show class so that ranks and suits are shown as a single character (10 is shown as T), and cards as two characters: rank followed by suit. All three are also in the Read class, which means that, for example, (read "[2C,AH]")::[Card] returns the list [Card Club R2, Card Heart Ace] (which would be printed as [2C,AH]).

You must define the following three functions, as well as the GameState type:

#### $feedback :: [Card] \rightarrow [Card] \rightarrow (Int,Int,Int,Int,Int)$

takes a target and a guess (in that order), each represented as a list of Cards, and returns the five feedback numbers, as explained above, as a tuple.

## $initialGuess :: Int \rightarrow ([Card], GameState)$

takes the number of cards in the answer as input and returns a pair of an initial guess, which should be a list of the specified number of cards, and a game state. The number of cards specified will be 2 for most of the test, and 3 or 4 for the remaining tests, as explained below.

nextGuess :: ([Card],GameState) → (Int,Int,Int,Int,Int) → ([Card],GameState) takes as input a pair of the previous guess and game state, and the feedback to this guess as a quintuple of counts of correct cards, low ranks, correct ranks, high ranks, and correct suits, and returns a pair of the next guess and new game state.

You must call your source file Proj1.hs, and it must contain the module declaration:

Please keep all your code in this one module.

I will also provide a test driver program called Projltest.hs to allow you to conveniently test your Projl implementation. You can specify the cards of the answer on the command line, and the program will print out each guess and the corresponding feedback and report the number of guesses needed to find the answer. The Projltest.hs is similar to the test driver I will use for testing your code. You can compile and link your code for testing using the command:

I will use a similar command to compile your code in preparation for testing.

#### Assessment

Your project will be assessed on the following criteria:

- 30% Quality of your code and documentation;
- 10% Correctness of your implementation of feedback.
- 20% Correctness of your implementation of initialGuess and nextGuess for 2-card targets
- **30%** Quality of the guesses made by your implementation, as indicated by the number of guesses needed to find 2-card targets;
- 10% Correctness and quality of your guesses for 3- and 4-card targets.

The supplied Projltest implementation will use the number of cards specified on the command line to determine the number to specify to the initialGuess function.

Note that timeouts will be imposed on all tests. You will have at least 10 seconds to guess each answer, regardless of how many guesses are needed. Executions taking longer than that may be unceremoniously terminated, leading to that test being assessed as failing. Your

programs will be compiled with ghc -02 (optimisation enabled) before testing, so 10 seconds per test is a very reasonable limit. The same 10 second timeout will apply to the 3 and 4 card test cases.

See the Project Coding Guidelines on the LMS for detailed recommendations for coding style. These guidelines will form the basis of the quality assessment of your code and documentation, which is worth 30% of the project mark, so read them carefully.

## **Submission**

The project submission deadline is Wednesday, 11 September 2019, 5:00pm. Submissions will be made through the Grok system. Submission instructions will be released a little later through Grok.

#### Late Penalties

Late submissions will incur a penalty of 0.5% of the possible value of that submission per hour late, including evening and weekend hours. This means that a perfect project that is much more than 4 days late will receive less than half the marks for the project. If you have a medical or similar compelling reason for being late, you should contact the lecturer as early as possible to ask for an extension (preferably before the due date).

## Hints

- 1. A very simple approach to this program is to simply guess every possible combination of different cards until you guess right. There are only  $52 \times 51/2 = 1326$  possible answers, so on average it should only take about 663 guesses, making it perfectly feasible to do in 10 seconds. However, this will give a very poor score for guess quality.
- 2. A better approach would be to only make guesses that are consistent with the answers you have received for previous guesses. You can do this by computing the list of possible answers, and removing elements that are inconsistent with any answers you have received to previous guesses. A possible answer is inconsistent with an answer you have received for a previous guess if the answer you would receive for that guess and that (possible) answer is different from the answer you actually received for that guess. For two-card answers, the initial list of possible guesses is only 1326 elements, and rapidly shrinks with feedback, so this is quite feasible. This scales up to about 5 cards, when the initial number of possible guesses is 2,598,960. Beyond that, another strategy would need to be used, but you only need to handle 2 to 4 cards.
  - You can use your GameState type to store the list of remaining possible answers, and pare it down each time you receive feedback for a guess.
- 3. The best results can be had by carefully choosing a guess that is likely to leave you the smallest remaining list of possible answers. You can do this by computing, for each remaining possible answer, the average number of possible answers it will leave if you guess it. Given a candidate guess G (which should be selected from the remaining possible answers), compute the feedback you will receive for each possible answer A if G is the guess and A is the answer. If you group all the As by the feedback they give you,

your aim is to have many small groups, because that means if you make G your guess, that will probably leave few possible answers when you receive the feedback. Therefore the expected number of remaining possible answers for that guess is the average of the sizes of these groups, weighted by the sizes of the groups. That is, it is the sum of the squares of the group sizes divided by the sum of the group sizes.

- 4. For the first guess, when the list of possible answers is at its longest, you may want to use a different approach. Given the way the feedback works, the best first guess would be to choose two cards of different suits and with ranks about equally distant from each other and from the top and bottom ranks. In general, for an n card answer, you should choose ranks that are about 13/(n+1) ranks apart.
- 5. Note that these are just hints; you are welcome to use any approach you like to solve this, as long as it is correct and runs within the allowed time.
- 6. For a two card answer, with a good guessing strategy such as outlined above, 4 or 5 guesses is usually enough to guess it. Surprisingly, adding more cards does not increase the number of guesses needed very much.

### Note Well:

This project is part of your final assessment, so cheating is not acceptable. Any form of material exchange between teams, whether written, electronic or any other medium, is considered cheating, and so is the soliciting of help from electronic newsgroups. Providing undue assistance is considered as serious as receiving it, and in the case of similarities that indicate exchange of more than basic ideas, formal disciplinary action will be taken for all involved parties. If you have questions regarding these rules, please ask the lecturer.