



Figure 7.2 Search region in previous (reference) frame

compensation block size is $N \times N$ samples; C_{ij} and R_{ij} are current and reference area samples respectively.

$$1. \text{ Mean Squared Error: } MSE = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (C_{ij} - R_{ij})^2 \quad (7.1)$$

$$2. \text{ Mean Absolute Error: } MAE = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |C_{ij} - R_{ij}| \quad (7.2)$$

$$3. \text{ Sum of Absolute Errors: } SAE = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |C_{ij} - R_{ij}| \quad (7.3)$$

Example

Evaluating MSE for every possible offset in the search region of Figure 7.2 gives a ‘map’ of MSE (Figure 7.3). This graph has a minimum at $(+2, 0)$ which means that the best match is obtained by selecting a 32×32 sample reference region at an offset of 2 to the right of the block position in the current frame. MAE and SAE (sometimes referred to as SAD, Sum of Absolute Differences) are easier to calculate than MSE; their ‘maps’ are shown in Figure 7.4 and Figure 7.5. Whilst the gradient of the map is different from the MSE case, both these measures have a minimum at location $(+2, 0)$.

SAE is probably the most widely-used measure of residual energy for reasons of computational simplicity. The H.264 reference model software [5] uses SA(T)D, the sum of absolute differences of the *transformed* residual data, as its prediction energy measure (for both Intra and Inter prediction). Transforming the residual at each search location increases computation but improves the accuracy of the energy measure. A simple multiply-free transform is used and so the extra computational cost is not excessive.

The results of the above example indicate that the best choice of motion vector is $(+2, 0)$. The minimum of the MSE or SAE map indicates the offset that produces a minimal residual energy and this is likely to produce the smallest energy of quantised transform