# T-61.5140 Machine Learning: Advanced Probabilistic Methods, Project Work, S2016

Unto Kuuranne 349583, Clemens Westrup 341057

April 29th 2016

## 1 Mathematical description for a mixture model with two linear components

We have the following mixture model:

$$p(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_1, \boldsymbol{\phi}_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = w\mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma^2) + (w - 1)\mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_2 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma^2)$$
(1)

Setting  $\theta = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, w)$ , we want to estimate:

$$\hat{\theta} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta}(\log p(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z} \mid \theta, \boldsymbol{x}) + \log p(\theta))$$
(2)

So we formulate the model using latent variables:

$$z_t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{x}_t \text{ is from } \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_1^2) \\ 0 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{x}_t \text{ is from } \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_2 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_2^2) \end{cases}$$
(3)

$$p(z_t \mid w) = w^{z_t} (1 - w)^{1 - z_t} \qquad (Bernoulli/Binomial)$$
(4)

Giving:

$$p(y_t \mid \theta, \boldsymbol{x}_t, z_t) = \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_1^2)^{z_t} \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_2 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_2^2)^{(1-z_t)}$$
(5)

## 1.1 Complete data log-likelihood function

$$\log p(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x}, \theta) \propto \log p(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z}, \theta) \ p(\boldsymbol{z} \mid \theta) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log [p(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{x}_t, z_t, \theta) \ p(z_t \mid \theta)]$$
(6)

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log \left[ \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_1^2)^{z_t} \, \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_2 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_2^2)^{(1-z_t)} \, w^{z_t} \, (1-w)^{(1-z_t)} \right]$$
(7)

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ z_t \log \left[ w \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_1^2) \right] + (1 - z_t) \log \left[ (1 - w) \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_2 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_2^2) \right] \right\}$$
(8)

#### 1.2 Priors

$$p(\theta) = p(w \mid \alpha_w, \beta_w) p(\boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \mid \sigma_1^2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi}) p(\boldsymbol{\phi}_2 \mid \sigma_2^2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi}) p(\sigma_1^2 \mid \alpha_{\sigma^2}, \beta_{\sigma^2}) p(\sigma_2^2 \mid \alpha_{\sigma^2}, \beta_{\sigma^2})$$
(9)

$$p(w \mid \alpha_w, \beta_w) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_w + \beta_w)}{\Gamma(\alpha_w)\Gamma(\beta_w)} w^{(\alpha_w - 1)} (1 - w)^{(\beta_w - 1)} \quad (Beta)$$
(10)

$$p(\boldsymbol{\phi}_j \mid \sigma_j^2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi}) = (2\pi\sigma_j^2)^{-0.5P} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\phi}|^{-0.5} \exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_j^2} (\boldsymbol{\phi}_j - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\phi} (\boldsymbol{\phi}_j - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi})) \quad (MVN) \quad (11)$$

$$p(\sigma_j^2 \mid \alpha_{\sigma^2}, \beta_{\sigma^2}) = \frac{\beta_{\sigma^2}^{\alpha_{\sigma^2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{\sigma^2})} (\sigma_j^2)^{(-\alpha_{\sigma^2} - 1)} \exp(-\frac{\beta_{\sigma^2}}{\sigma_i^2}) \quad (InvGamma)$$
(12)

#### 1.3 Full posterior likelihood

$$p(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \theta \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = p(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x}, \theta) p(\theta)$$
(13)

## 1.4 Full log posterior likelihood

$$\log p(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = \log p(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \log p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ z_{t} \log \left[ w \mathcal{N}(y_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \sigma_{1}^{2}) \right] + (1 - z_{t}) \log \left[ (1 - w) \mathcal{N}(y_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \sigma_{2}^{2}) \right] \right\}$$

$$+ \log \left( \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_{w} + \beta_{w})}{\Gamma(\alpha_{w})\Gamma(\beta_{w})} \right) + (\alpha_{w} - 1) \log(w) + (\beta_{w} - 1) \log(1 - w)$$

$$- 0.5 P \log(2\pi\sigma_{1}^{2}) - 0.5 \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\phi}| - \frac{1}{2\sigma_{1}^{2}} (\boldsymbol{\phi}_{1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi})^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\phi} (\boldsymbol{\phi}_{1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi})$$

$$- 0.5 P \log(2\pi\sigma_{2}^{2}) - 0.5 \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\phi}| - \frac{1}{2\sigma_{2}^{2}} (\boldsymbol{\phi}_{2} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi})^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\phi} (\boldsymbol{\phi}_{2} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi})$$

$$+ \log \left( \frac{\beta_{\sigma^{2}}^{\alpha_{\sigma^{2}}}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{\sigma^{2}})} \right) - (\alpha_{\sigma^{2}} + 1) \sigma_{1}^{2} - \frac{\beta_{\sigma^{2}}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}$$

$$+ \log \left( \frac{\beta_{\sigma^{2}}^{\alpha_{\sigma^{2}}}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{\sigma^{2}})} \right) - (\alpha_{\sigma^{2}} + 1) \sigma_{2}^{2} - \frac{\beta_{\sigma^{2}}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}$$

## 2 Derivation of the EM update equations for the parameters of this model

Properties of the Gaussian distribution used in the derivation of the update equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu, \sigma^2) \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma^2}$$
 (15)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu, a\sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu, a\sigma^2) \frac{x - \mu}{a\sigma^2}$$
 (16)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu, \sigma^2) \frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2(\sigma^2)^2} - \mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu, \sigma^2) \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}$$
(17)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \mathcal{N}(x \mid a\mu, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(x \mid a\mu, \sigma^2) \frac{\mu(x - a\mu)}{\sigma^2}$$
(18)

#### Deriving the responsibilities

From the posterior of the latent variables given the parameters  $\theta$  we can derive the responsibility PDFs:

$$p(z_t = 1 \mid \boldsymbol{x}_t, \theta) \propto p(z_t = 1 \mid w) p(\boldsymbol{x}_t = 1 \mid z_t, \theta) = w \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{x}_t \boldsymbol{\phi}_1, \sigma_1^2)$$
(19)

$$p(z_t = 0 \mid \boldsymbol{x}_t, \theta) \propto p(z_t = 0 \mid w) p(\boldsymbol{x}_t = 0 \mid z_t, \theta) = (1 - w) \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{x}_t \boldsymbol{\phi}_2, \sigma_2^2)$$
(20)

By normalizing and using the current parameter estimates  $\theta_S$  we derive the responsibility:

$$\gamma_t \equiv p(z_t = 1 \mid \theta_S) = p(z_t = 1 \mid \phi_{1_S}, \phi_{2_S}, \sigma_{1_S}, \sigma_{2_S}, w_S)$$
 (21)

$$= \frac{w_S \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{x}_t \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1_S}, \sigma_{1_S}^2)}{w_S \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{x}_t \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1_S}, \sigma_{1_S}^2) + (1 - w_S) \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{x}_t \boldsymbol{\phi}_{2_S}, \sigma_{2_S}^2)}$$
(22)

## Deriving Q

Now we can derive the expectation of the complete data log-likelihood over the posterior of the latent variables:

$$Q(\cdot) \equiv Q(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}, \theta, \theta_S) \equiv \boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}, \theta_S} [\log \ p(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x}, \theta)] + \log p(\theta)$$
(23)

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ \boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x},\theta_{S}}[z_{t}] \log \left[ w \mathcal{N}(y_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \sigma_{1}^{2}) \right] \right\}$$
(24)

+ 
$$\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x},\theta_S}[(1-z_t)] \log \left[ (1-w)\mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_2 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_2^2) \right] \right\} + \log p(\theta)$$
 (25)

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ \gamma_t \log \left[ w \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_1^2) \right] + (1 - \gamma_t) \log \left[ (1 - w) \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_2 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_2^2) \right] \right\}$$
(26)

$$+ \log p(\phi_1) + \log p(\phi_2) + \log p(\sigma_1^2) + \log p(\sigma_2^2) + \log p(w)$$
 (27)

## 2.1 Differentials for $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1}} Q(\cdot) = \underbrace{\sum_{t}^{T} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1}} \gamma_{t} \log \left[ w \mathcal{N}(y_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \sigma_{1}^{2}) \right] \right\}}_{I} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1}} \log \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{1} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\phi}})}_{II}$$
(28)

Solving I, using the property from equation (18) above:

$$I = \sum_{t}^{T} \left\{ \gamma_{t} \frac{w \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{1}} \mathcal{N}(y_{t} \mid \phi_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \sigma_{1}^{2})}{w \mathcal{N}(y_{t} \mid \phi_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \sigma_{1}^{2})} \right\} = \sum_{t}^{T} \left\{ \gamma_{t} \frac{\boldsymbol{x}_{t}(y_{t} - \phi_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{t})}{\sigma_{1}^{2}} \right\}$$
(29)

Solving II, using equation (16), we note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{1}} \log \prod_{p=1}^{P} \mathcal{N}(\phi_{1,p} \mid \mu_{\phi}, \sigma_{1}^{2} \lambda_{\phi})$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{1}} \log \mathcal{N}(\phi_{1,p} \mid \mu_{\phi}, \sigma_{1}^{2} \lambda_{\phi})$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{1}} \mathcal{N}(\phi_{1,p} \mid \mu_{\phi}, \sigma_{1}^{2} \lambda_{\phi})}{\mathcal{N}(\phi_{1,p} \mid \mu_{\phi}, \sigma_{1}^{2} \lambda_{\phi})}$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{P} \left\{ -\frac{\mathcal{N}(\phi_{1,p} \mid \mu_{\phi}, \sigma_{1}^{2} \lambda_{\phi})}{\mathcal{N}(\phi_{1,p} \mid \mu_{\phi}, \sigma_{1}^{2} \lambda_{\phi})} \left( \frac{\phi_{1,p} - \mu_{\phi}}{\sigma_{1}^{2} \lambda_{\phi}} \right) \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{P} \left\{ -\frac{\phi_{1,p} - \mu_{\phi}}{\sigma_{1}^{2} \lambda_{\phi}} \right\}$$

$$II = -\frac{\phi_{1} - \mu_{\phi}}{\sigma_{1}^{2} \lambda_{\phi}}$$
(30)

Putting I and II together:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}_1} Q(\cdot) = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left\{ \gamma_t \frac{\boldsymbol{x}_t (y_t - \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t)}{\sigma_1^2} \right\} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\phi}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi}}{\sigma_1^2 \lambda_{\phi}}$$
(31)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}_2} Q(\cdot) = \sum_{t}^{T} \left\{ (1 - \gamma_t) \frac{\boldsymbol{x}_t (y_t - \boldsymbol{\phi}_2 \boldsymbol{x}_t)}{\sigma_2^2} \right\} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\phi}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_\phi}{\sigma_2^2 \lambda_\phi}$$
(32)

## 2.2 Updating $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}_1} Q(\cdot) = \sum_{t}^{T} \left\{ \gamma_t \frac{\boldsymbol{x}_t (y_t - \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t)}{\sigma_1^2} \right\} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\phi}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}}{\sigma_1^2 \lambda_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}} \qquad | \times \sigma_1^2$$
 (33)

$$0 = \sum_{t}^{T} \left\{ \gamma_t y_t \boldsymbol{x}_t \right\} - \sum_{t}^{T} \left\{ \gamma_t (\boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t) \boldsymbol{x}_t \right\} - \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \Sigma_{\phi}^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi} \Sigma_{\phi}^{-1}$$
(34)

$$0 = \gamma(\boldsymbol{y} \odot \boldsymbol{x}) - (\boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{X}^T)(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \odot \boldsymbol{X}) - \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\phi}^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\phi}^{-1}$$
(35)

$$\phi_1 = \left( \boldsymbol{X}^T (\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \odot \boldsymbol{X}) + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\phi}^{-1} \right)^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{\gamma} (\boldsymbol{y} \odot \boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\phi}^{-1} \right)$$
(36)

$$\phi_2 = \left( \boldsymbol{X}^T ((1 - \boldsymbol{\gamma})^T \odot \boldsymbol{X}) + \Sigma_{\phi}^{-1} \right)^{-1} \left( (1 - \boldsymbol{\gamma}) (\boldsymbol{y} \odot \boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi} \Sigma_{\phi}^{-1} \right)$$
(37)

Where  $\odot$  signifies elementwise multiplication.

## 2.3 Differentials for $\sigma_1^2$ and $\sigma_2^2$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} Q(\cdot) = \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \gamma_t \log \left[ w \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_1^2) \right] \right\}}_{I} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} \log p(\sigma_1^2)}_{II} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} \log p(\boldsymbol{\phi}_1)}_{III}$$
(38)

Solving I using the property in equation 17:

$$I = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ \gamma_t \frac{w \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_1^2)}{w \mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_1^2)} \right\}$$
(39)

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ \gamma_t \frac{\mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_1^2)}{\mathcal{N}(y_t \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t, \sigma_1^2)} \left( \frac{(y_t - \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t)^2}{2(\sigma_1^2)^2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \right) \right\}$$
(40)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ \gamma_t \frac{(y_t - \phi_1 x_t)^2}{(\sigma_1^2)^2} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ \gamma_t \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} \right\}$$
(41)

Solving II:

$$II = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} \log p(\sigma_1^2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} \log \text{InvGamma}(\sigma_1^2 \mid \alpha_{\sigma^2}, \beta_{\sigma^2})$$
 (42)

$$= \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} \operatorname{InvGamma}(\sigma_1^2 \mid \alpha_{\sigma^2}, \beta_{\sigma^2})}{\operatorname{InvGamma}(\sigma_1^2 \mid \alpha_{\sigma^2}, \beta_{\sigma^2})}$$
(43)

$$= \frac{\sigma_1^{2(-\alpha+3)}(\beta - (\alpha+1)\sigma_1^2)}{\sigma_1^{2(-\alpha-1)}}$$
(44)

$$= \frac{\beta - (\alpha + 1)\sigma_1^2}{(\sigma_1^2)^2} = \frac{\beta}{(\sigma_1^2)^2} - \frac{(\alpha + 1)}{\sigma_1^2}$$
(45)

Solving III using the property in equation 16:

$$III = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} \log p(\phi_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} \log \mathcal{N}(\phi_1 \mid \mu_{\phi}, \Sigma_{\phi})$$
 (46)

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} \sum_{p=1}^P \log \mathcal{N}(\phi_{1,p} \mid \mu_\phi, \sigma_1^2 \lambda_\phi) = \sum_{p=1}^P \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} \mathcal{N}(\phi_{1,p} \mid \mu_\phi, \sigma_1^2 \lambda_\phi)}{\mathcal{N}(\phi_{1,p} \mid \mu_\phi, \sigma_1^2 \lambda_\phi)}$$
(47)

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{P} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{N}(\phi_{1,p} \mid \mu_{\phi}, \sigma_{1}^{2} \lambda_{\phi})}{\mathcal{N}(\phi_{1,p} \mid \mu_{\phi}, \sigma_{1}^{2} \lambda_{\phi})} \left( \frac{(\phi_{1,p} - \mu_{\phi})^{2}}{2(\sigma_{1}^{2} \lambda_{\phi})^{2}} - \frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{2(\sigma_{1}^{2} \lambda_{\phi})} \right) \right\}$$
(48)

$$= \frac{1}{2(\sigma_1^2)^2} \left( (\boldsymbol{\phi}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}})^T \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\phi}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}) \right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} P$$
 (49)

Putting I, II and III together:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} Q(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \gamma_t \frac{(y_t - \phi_1 \mathbf{x}_t)^2}{(\sigma_1^2)^2} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \gamma_t \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} \right\} + \frac{\beta}{(\sigma_1^2)^2} - \frac{(\alpha + 1)}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma_1^2)^2} \left( (\phi_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi})^T \Sigma_{\phi}^{-1} (\phi_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi}) \right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} P$$
(50)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_2^2} Q(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \gamma_t \frac{(y_t - \phi_2 \mathbf{x}_t)^2}{(\sigma_2^2)^2} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \gamma_t \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2} \right\} + \frac{\beta}{(\sigma_2^2)^2} - \frac{(\alpha + 1)}{\sigma_2^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma_2^2)^2} \left( (\phi_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi})^T \Sigma_{\phi}^{-1} (\phi_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi}) \right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} P$$
(51)

## 2.4 Updating $\sigma_1^2$ and $\sigma_2^2$

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_1^2} Q(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \gamma_t \frac{(y_t - \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t)^2}{(\sigma_1^2)^2} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \gamma_t \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} \right\} + \frac{\beta}{(\sigma_1^2)^2} - \frac{(\alpha + 1)}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma_1^2)^2} \left( (\boldsymbol{\phi}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}})^T \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\phi}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}) \right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} P$$
(52)

$$\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \gamma_t \right\} + 2\alpha + 2 + P \right) = \frac{1}{2(\sigma_1^2)^2} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \gamma_t (y_t - \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \boldsymbol{x}_t)^2 \right\} + 2\beta + (\boldsymbol{\phi}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}})^T \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\phi}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}) \right)$$
(53)

$$\sigma_1^2 = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \gamma_t (y_t - \phi_1 x_t)^2 \right\} + 2\beta + (\phi_1 - \mu_\phi)^T \sum_{\phi}^{-1} (\phi_1 - \mu_\phi)}{\sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \gamma_t \right\} + 2\alpha + 2 + P}$$
(54)

$$\sigma_2^2 = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ (1 - \gamma_t)(y_t - \boldsymbol{\phi}_2 \boldsymbol{x}_t)^2 \right\} + 2\beta + (\boldsymbol{\phi}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}})^T \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\phi}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}})}{\sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ (1 - \gamma_t) \right\} + 2\alpha + 2 + P}$$
(55)

#### 2.5 Differentials for w

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w}Q(\cdot) = \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ \gamma_{t} \log \left[ w \mathcal{N}(y_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1}\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \sigma_{1}^{2}) \right] + (1 - \gamma_{t}) \log \left[ (1 - w) \mathcal{N}(y_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_{2}\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \sigma_{2}^{2}) \right] \right\}}_{I} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \log p(w)}_{II}$$

$$(56)$$

Solving I:

$$I = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ \frac{\gamma_t}{w} - \frac{1 - \gamma_t}{1 - w} \right\} \tag{57}$$

Solving II:

$$II = \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \log p(w) \tag{58}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Beta}'(w \mid \alpha_w, \beta_w)}{\text{Beta}(w \mid \alpha_w, \beta_w)}$$
(59)

$$= \frac{\alpha_w - 1}{w} - \frac{\beta_w - 1}{1 - w} \tag{60}$$

Putting I and II together:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w}Q(\cdot) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ \frac{\gamma_t}{w} - \frac{1 - \gamma_t}{1 - w} \right\} + \frac{\alpha_w - 1}{w} - \frac{\beta_w - 1}{1 - w} \tag{61}$$

### 2.6 Updating w

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial w}Q(\cdot) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ \frac{\gamma_t}{w} - \frac{1 - \gamma_t}{1 - w} \right\} + \frac{\alpha_w - 1}{w} - \frac{\beta_w - 1}{1 - w}$$

$$(62)$$

$$0 = \frac{1}{w} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t - \frac{1}{1-w} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (1-\gamma_t) + \frac{1}{w} (\alpha_w - 1) - \frac{1}{1-w} (\beta_w - 1) \qquad | \times (1-w)$$
 (63)

$$\frac{1-w}{w}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t + \alpha_w - 1\right) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} (1-\gamma_t) + \beta_w - 1$$
(64)

$$\frac{1}{w}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t + \alpha_w - 1\right) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t + \alpha_w - 1 + \sum_{t=1}^{T} (1 - \gamma_t) + \beta_w - 1$$
 (65)

$$\frac{1}{w} = \frac{T + \alpha_w + \beta_w - 2}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t + \alpha_w - 1}$$
 (66)

$$w = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t + \alpha_w - 1}{T + \alpha_w + \beta_w - 2}$$
 (67)

(68)

## 3 Implementation of the model

```
def reset(self):
    """

Reset priors and draw parameter estimates from prior.

"""

# priors
```

```
= self.h["alpha_w0"]
       self.alpha_w0
                            = self.h["beta_w0"]
       self.beta_w0
7
       # Same priors for phil and phi2, s2_1, s2_2, don't bother to copy vars twice
       # i.e. alpha_s2_1_0 = alpha_s2_2_0 = alpha_s20
10
                            = self.h["lbd_phi0"]
       self.lbd_phi0
11
                            = self.h["alpha_s20"]
       self.alpha_s20
12
                            = self.h["beta_s20"]
       self.beta_s20
13
                            = eye(self.pdata) * self.h["lbd_phi0"]
       self.sigma_phi0
14
       self.sigma_phi0_inv = eye(self.pdata) / self.h["lbd_phi0"]
15
       self.mu_phi0
                            = ones(self.pdata) * self.h["mu_phi0"]
16
17
       # Precalculations:
18
       self.w_gamma_ln_multiplier = gammaln(self.alpha_w0 + self.beta_w0)
19
       self.w_gamma_ln_multiplier -= gammaln(self.alpha_w0)
20
       self.w_gamma_ln_multiplier -= gammaln(self.beta_w0)
22
       # initial parameter estimates drawn from prior
       self.p
                           = dict()
24
       # Weights
       self.p["w"]
                           = beta(self.alpha_w0, self.beta_w0)
26
       # Responsibilities
27
                           = binomial(1, self.p["w"], self.ndata)
       self.gamma
28
       # Component 1
29
       # inverse gamma
30
       self.p["sigma2_1"] = 1.0 / gamma(self.alpha_s20, 1.0 / self.beta_s20)
31
       self.p["phi_1"]
                           = mvnormal(self.mu_phi0, self.p["sigma2_1"] * self.sigma_phi0)
32
       # Component 2
33
       # inverse gamma
34
       self.p["sigma2_2"] = 1.0 / gamma(self.alpha_s20, 1.0 / self.beta_s20)
35
       self.p["phi_2"]
                           = mvnormal(self.mu_phi0, self.p["sigma2_2"] * self.sigma_phi0)
36
   def draw(self, item):
1
       11 11 11
2
            Draw a data sample from the current predictive distribution.
3
            Returns the y-value and z-value
       11 11 11
5
       mean1 = float(item.dot(self.p["phi_1"]))
       std1 = sqrt(self.p["sigma2_1"])
       mean2 = float(item.dot(self.p["phi_2"]))
       std2 = sqrt(self.p["sigma2_2"])
9
10
       if np.random.rand() < self.p["w"]:</pre>
11
           return normal(mean1, std1), 1
12
       else:
13
```

```
return normal(mean2, std2), 0
   def logl(self):
       11 11 11
2
            Calculates the full log likelihood for this model.
3
            Returns the logl (and the values of each term for debugging purposes)
       11 11 11
5
6
       # Our complete data posterior log likelihood seems to result in incorrect
       # values. Use incomplete data posterior log likelihood instead.
       return self.incompletelogl()
10
       11
                   = zeros(20)
11
       phi_1_diff = self.p["phi_1"] - self.mu_phi0
12
       phi_2_diff = self.p["phi_2"] - self.mu_phi0
13
       phi_1_err = phi_1_diff.T.dot(phi_1_diff)
14
       phi_2_err = phi_2_diff.T.dot(phi_2_diff)
                   = (self.Y - self.X.dot(self.p["phi_1"])) ** 2
       err_1
16
                   = (self.Y - self.X.dot(self.p["phi_2"])) ** 2
       err_2
17
18
       gamma = self.gamma
19
20
       ### posterior factorizes p(y,z,w,phi,sigma) = p(y,z)p(w)p(phi)p(sigma)
21
                                                       = p(y)p(z)p(w)p(phi)p(sigma)
22
23
       ### p(y,z)
24
       11[0] =
                    gamma.dot(
                                  self.p["w"] * norm.logpdf( \
25
                    self.Y, self.X.dot(self.p["phi_1"]), sqrt(self.p["sigma2_1"])) )
26
       ll[1] = (1-gamma).dot((1-self.p["w"]) * norm.logpdf()
27
                    self.Y, self.X.dot(self.p["phi_2"]), sqrt(self.p["sigma2_2"])) )
28
29
       ### p(z) already in p(y,z)
30
       \#ll[4] = np.sum((qamma * log(self.p["w"])) + \
31
                         ((1 - gamma) * log(1 - self.p["w"])))
33
       ### p(w)
34
       11[5] = self.w_gamma_ln_multiplier
35
       ll[6] = (self.alpha_w0 - 1) * self.p["w"]
36
       11[7] = (self.beta_w0 - 1) * (1 - self.p["w"])
37
       ### p(phi)
39
       # phi_1
40
             = -0.5 * (self.pdata * log(2 * pi * self.p["sigma2_1"]) 
41
                           + log(self.lbd_phi0) )
42
              = - 0.5 * phi_1_err / (self.lbd_phi0 * self.p["sigma2_1"])
43
```

```
# phi_2
       11[10] = -0.5 * (self.pdata * log(2 * pi * self.p["sigma2_2"]) 
45
                            + log(self.lbd_phi0) )
46
       11[11] = - 0.5 * phi_2_err / (self.lbd_phi0 * self.p["sigma2_2"])
47
       ### p(sigma2)
49
        # siqma2_1
50
       11[12] = self.alpha_s20 * log(self.beta_s20)
51
       11[13] = - \operatorname{gammaln}(\operatorname{self.alpha}_s20)
52
       ll[14] = - (self.alpha_s20 + 1.0) * log(self.p["sigma2_1"])
53
       11[15] = - self.beta_s20 / self.p["sigma2_1"]
54
       # sigma2_2
55
       ll[16] = self.alpha_s20 * log(self.beta_s20)
56
       ll[17] = - gammaln(self.alpha_s20)
57
       11[18] = - (self.alpha_s20 + 1.0) * log(self.p["sigma2_2"])
58
       ll[19] = - self.beta_s20 / self.p["sigma2_2"]
59
60
       return np.sum(11), 11
61
62
63
   def incompletelogl(self):
64
        11 11 11
65
            Calculates the incomplete data log likelihood for this model.
66
            Returns the incomplete logl (and the values of each term for
            debugging purposes)
68
        11 11 11
69
       11
                   = zeros(20)
70
       phi_1_diff = self.p["phi_1"] - self.mu_phi0
71
       phi_2_diff = self.p["phi_2"] - self.mu_phi0
72
       phi_1_err = phi_1_diff.T.dot(phi_1_diff)
73
       phi_2_err = phi_2_diff.T.dot(phi_2_diff)
74
75
       ### p(y)
76
       N1 = norm.pdf(self.Y, self.X.dot(self.p["phi_1"]), sqrt(self.p["sigma2_1"]))
77
       N2 = norm.pdf(self.Y, self.X.dot(self.p["phi_2"]), sqrt(self.p["sigma2_2"]))
78
       11[0] = \text{np.sum}(\text{np.log}(\text{self.p["w"]}*N1 + (1-\text{self.p["w"]})*N2))
79
80
       ### p(w)
81
       11[1] = self.w_gamma_ln_multiplier
       11[2] = (self.alpha_w0 - 1) * self.p["w"]
83
       11[3] = (self.beta_w0 - 1) * (1 - self.p["w"])
84
85
        ### p(phi)
86
       # phi_1
87
       11[4] = -0.5 * (self.pdata * log(2 * pi * self.p["sigma2_1"]) 
88
```

```
+ log(self.lbd_phi0) )
89
       11[5] = -0.5 * phi_1_err / (self.lbd_phi0 * self.p["sigma2_1"])
90
       # phi_2
91
       11[6] = -0.5 * (self.pdata * log(2 * pi * self.p["sigma2_2"]) 
92
                         + log(self.lbd_phi0) )
93
       11[7] = -0.5 * phi_2_err / (self.lbd_phi0 * self.p["sigma2_2"])
94
       ### p(sigma2)
96
       # sigma2_1
97
       11[8] = self.alpha_s20 * log(self.beta_s20)
98
       11[9] = - gammaln(self.alpha_s20)
99
       ll[10] = - (self.alpha_s20 + 1.0) * log(self.p["sigma2_1"])
100
       11[11] = - self.beta_s20 / self.p["sigma2_1"]
101
       # sigma2_2
102
       11[12] = self.alpha_s20 * log(self.beta_s20)
103
       ll[13] = - gammaln(self.alpha_s20)
104
       l1[14] = - (self.alpha_s20 + 1.0) * log(self.p["sigma2_2"])
105
       ll[15] = - self.beta_s20 / self.p["sigma2_2"]
106
107
       return np.sum(11), 11
108
   def EM_iter(self):
        11 11 11
 2
            Executes a single round of EM updates for this model.
 3
 4
            Has checks to make sure that updates increase logl and
            that parameter values stay in sensible limits.
 6
        11 11 11
        10
       # norm.pdf works on a vector, returning probability for each separately
                             self.p["w"] * norm.pdf( \
       propto_gamma1 =
12
                      self.Y, self.X.dot(self.p["phi_1"]), sqrt(self.p["sigma2_1"]))
13
       propto_gamma2 = (1 - self.p["w"]) * norm.pdf( \
14
                     self.Y, self.X.dot(self.p["phi_2"]), sqrt(self.p["sigma2_2"]))
16
       self.gamma = propto_gamma1 / (propto_gamma1 + propto_gamma2) # responsibilities
17
18
        # ============== M-STEP =============
19
20
        # ====== Component weights w =======
21
       num = 2*np.sum(self.gamma) + self.alpha_w0 - 1
22
       den = 2*self.ndata + self.alpha_w0 + self.beta_w0 - 2
23
       self.p["w"] = num / den
24
```

```
self.assert_logl_increased("w")
26
28
       # ======= Variances sigma2 =======
29
       # phi_1 and phi_2 still have the previous value, i.e. from step s, and
30
       # we are calculating sigma for step s+1
32
       # sigma2_1
33
       phie = np.sum((self.p["phi_1"] - self.mu_phi0) ** 2) / self.lbd_phi0
34
       phiX = self.p["phi_1"].dot(self.X.T)
35
       target_err = (self.Y - phiX)**2
36
       err = self.gamma.dot(target_err)
37
       num = 2*self.beta_s20 + err + phie
38
       den = 2*self.alpha_s20 + 2.0 + np.sum(self.gamma) + self.pdata
39
       self.p["sigma2_1"] = num / den
40
       if self.p["sigma2_1"] < 0.0:
41
           raise ValueError("sigma2_1 < 0.0")</pre>
43
       # sigma2_2
       phie = np.sum((self.p["phi_2"] - self.mu_phi0) ** 2) / self.lbd_phi0
45
       phiX = self.p["phi_2"].dot(self.X.T)
       target_err = (self.Y - phiX)**2
47
       err = (1-self.gamma).dot(target_err)
       num = 2*self.beta_s20 + err + phie
49
       den = 2*self.alpha_s20 + 2.0 + np.sum(1-self.gamma) + self.pdata
50
       self.p["sigma2_2"] = num / den
51
       if self.p["sigma2_2"] < 0.0:
52
           raise ValueError("sigma2_2 < 0.0")</pre>
53
54
55
       # ====== Variables phi =======
56
57
       # phi_1
58
       sum_gammayx = self.gamma.T.dot( (Y * self.X.T).T )
59
       resp_matrix = eye(self.ndata) * self.gamma
60
       sum_gammaxx = self.X.T.dot(resp_matrix.dot(self.X))
61
                        = self.sigma_phi0_inv.dot(self.mu_phi0)
       sigma_mu
62
                        = self.sigma_phi0_inv + sum_gammaxx
       sigma_phi_inv
       self.p["phi_1"] = solve(sigma_phi_inv, sigma_mu + sum_gammayx)
64
       # phi_2
66
       sum_gammayx = (1-self.gamma).T.dot((Y * self.X.T).T)
       resp_matrix = eye(self.ndata) * (1-self.gamma)
68
       sum_gammaxx = self.X.T.dot(resp_matrix.dot(self.X))
69
```

```
sigma_mu = self.sigma_phi0_inv.dot(self.mu_phi0)
sigma_phi_inv = self.sigma_phi0_inv + sum_gammaxx
self.p["phi_2"] = solve(sigma_phi_inv, sigma_mu + sum_gammayx)
self.assert_logl_increased("phi and sigma updates")
```

## 4 Tests with the mixture model

| P  | T   | $\mathcal{L}_1$ train | $\mathcal{L}_{100}$ train | $\mathcal{L}_{\delta}$ train | $\mathcal{L}_1$ val | $\mathcal{L}_{100}$ val | $\mathcal{L}_{\delta}$ val |
|----|-----|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 16 | 128 | -239.79               | -150.54                   | -89.25                       | -118.51             | -77.28                  | -41.23                     |
| 16 | 64  | -47.09                | -47.09                    | -0.00                        | -60.07              | -60.07                  | 0.00                       |
| 16 | 32  | -28.29                | -22.46                    | -5.84                        | -48.46              | -48.81                  | 0.35                       |
| 16 | 16  | -3.55                 | -3.55                     | -0.00                        | -105.22             | -105.22                 | 0.00                       |
| 16 | 8   | 15.53                 | 15.53                     | -0.00                        | 12.41               | 12.41                   | 0.00                       |
| 8  | 128 | -116.32               | -116.32                   | -0.00                        | -45.82              | -45.82                  | 0.00                       |
| 8  | 64  | -27.83                | -27.83                    | -0.00                        | -17.98              | -17.98                  | -0.00                      |
| 8  | 32  | -41.25                | -26.56                    | -14.69                       | -37.56              | -25.33                  | -12.23                     |
| 8  | 16  | -5.51                 | -5.01                     | -0.51                        | -6.24               | -6.14                   | -0.10                      |
| 8  | 8   | -4.47                 | -0.37                     | -4.09                        | -9.77               | -14.14                  | 4.36                       |
| 2  | 128 | -132.82               | -132.82                   | -0.00                        | -42.92              | -42.92                  | -0.00                      |
| 2  | 64  | -21.59                | -21.59                    | -0.00                        | -5.95               | -5.95                   | 0.00                       |
| 2  | 32  | -7.74                 | -7.74                     | -0.00                        | 5.28                | 5.28                    | -0.00                      |
| 2  | 16  | -5.18                 | -5.18                     | -0.00                        | -1.05               | -1.05                   | -0.00                      |
| 2  | 8   | 2.19                  | 2.19                      | -0.00                        | 3.76                | 3.76                    | -0.00                      |
| 1  | 128 | -117.97               | -117.97                   | -0.00                        | -49.94              | -49.94                  | -0.00                      |
| 1  | 64  | -39.05                | -39.05                    | -0.00                        | -5.51               | -5.51                   | 0.00                       |
| 1  | 32  | -15.64                | -15.64                    | -0.00                        | 0.45                | 0.45                    | 0.00                       |
| 1  | 16  | -4.65                 | -4.65                     | -0.00                        | 3.68                | 3.68                    | -0.00                      |
| 1  | 8   | 6.03                  | 6.03                      | -0.00                        | -1.35               | -1.35                   | -0.00                      |

Figure 1: Comparison of likelihood using the mixture model a single initialization compared to a 100 initializations and with varying dimensionality and number of data points.

Generally more dimensions and more training data make the model harder to fit, decreasing the likelihood. This can be seen from the results and especially the dimensionality of the data has a strong effect on the decrease of the likelihood since we are trying to fit a model with a 2-dimensional basis (due to its two linear components) into a feature space with increasing dimensionality.

EM is a local optimization procedure that can converge to local minima. Hence running it multiple times and choosing the model with the best training likelihood can be beneficial as it increases the probability for a better fit that is closer to the global optimum. Thus, multiple initializations tend to lead to an increase in likelihood of the training data and this can observed in many cases in the results shown in table 4 as well.

On the other hand we can also observe the effect of over-fitting due to the multiple initializations. While in several cases the test validation likelihood of a single initialization is lower than the test validation likelihood using multiple initializations as expected, there are also cases where the likelihood with multiple initializations is actually lower than using just a single initialization (e.g. in the case of P = 8 and T = 8).

## 5 Comparison the mixture model with the simple linear model

NOTE: These values for the Mixture Model have been calculated with the full log posterior likelihood, which might have problems in the code. Regardless of debugging it for a long time, we did not manage to locate the problem. Thus these results might not be comparable.

#### 5.1 Data from the simple linear model

| P  | T   | $\mathcal{L}$ LM train | $\mathcal{L}$ MM train | $\mathcal{L}$ LM validation | $\mathcal{L}$ MM validation |
|----|-----|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 10 | 100 | -92.81                 | -82.74                 | -31.51                      | -25.79                      |
| 10 | 10  | -10.87                 | -2.51                  | -13.81                      | -4.10                       |
| 2  | 100 | -73.44                 | -69.05                 | -17.29                      | -2.97                       |
| 2  | 10  | -2.37                  | 4.07                   | 0.10                        | 7.03                        |

Figure 2: Likelihood calculations for data drawn from the simple linear model

Already with the data from the simple linear model the mixture model seems to find a better fit.

#### 5.2 Data from the mixture model

| P  | T   | $\mathcal{L}$ LM train | $\mathcal{L}$ MM train | $\mathcal{L}$ LM validation | $\mathcal{L}$ MM validation |
|----|-----|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 10 | 100 | -144.07                | -97.19                 | -62.76                      | -78.94                      |
| 10 | 10  | -2.37                  | 5.15                   | -46.00                      | 0.69                        |
| 2  | 100 | -63.90                 | -56.44                 | -23.18                      | -9.53                       |
| 2  | 10  | -4.79                  | 2.52                   | -1.99                       | 5.70                        |

Figure 3: Likelihood calculations for data drawn from the mixture model

It seems that in with high dimensions with a lot of data points, the mixture model can overfit. With less data or less dimensions the mixture model gives better results.