

Thesis Plan  
Streaming Parallelism On A Multicore DSP  
Week 27

Risto Vuorio (84525R)  
`risto.vuorio@aalto.fi`

June 29, 2015

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Objectives</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Method</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Material / Resources</b>	<b>5</b>
5.1	Where's all the material? . . . . .	5
5.2	I/O . . . . .	5
5.3	People . . . . .	5
<b>6</b>	<b>Challenges</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Work Plan</b>	<b>6</b>
7.1	First iteration . . . . .	6
7.2	Second iteration . . . . .	6
<b>8</b>	<b>Schedule</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Dissemination</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Thesis structure</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Key Literature</b>	<b>9</b>

# 1 Summary

This thesis investigates stream processing on a multicore DSP featuring hardware accelerated scheduling. The research platform is the Texas Instruments implementation of Open Event Machine which utilizes hardware accelerated scheduling through Multicore Navigator.

In this thesis: 1. Two versions of a realistic stream processing application are implemented using PREESM and OpenEM. 2. The performance of the applications is measured under dynamic load. 3. A resource network simulation model of the OpenEM application is constructed.

# 2 Background

Potentially confusing concepts are explained here. The background section in the thesis will be written to explain the context to a reader who's not familiar with the problem space. The background chapter will explain 1. How the thesis fits in to context of Industrial Internet. 2. Why stream processing is an important problem. 3. What kinds of programming models are used. 4. Why DSPs are a promising candidate for stream processing. 5. ...

**Stream Processing** is a programming paradigm that aims to simplify the design of parallel programs. In stream processing computations happen in kernel functions. The kernel functions are applied upon each element of the input stream. Stream Processing is a high level programming model and can be implemented in a multitude of ways. Stream Processing is called uniform if all data is processed by all kernels. (NVIDIA Streaming Multiprocessor references this type of streaming.)

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stream\\_processing](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stream_processing)

**Stream Computing** is often considered in IoT or Industrial Internet context. In Stream Computing data is not in the memory as in V. Neumann architecture but in a "stream" that passes through the process. A problem or a task involving lots of continuous I/O without necessarily knowing the end of the input is a stream computing problem.

**Flow**, a high-level concept where computations happen when dependencies are updates. For example excel implements kind of flow computation.

**Dataflow Programming** models a program as a directed graph of data flowing between operations. Operations have explicitly defined inputs and outputs. Operations are carried out as soon as the inputs to that particular operation become valid. The explicit dependencies between the operations make Dataflow Programming well suited for parallel processing because when the operation becomes ready it can be executed any time on any hardware resource without further need for considering its dependencies.

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dataflow\\_programming](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dataflow_programming)

**Dataflow Architecture** is a hardware architecture in which the execution of

instructions is based on the availability of input arguments. The execution order of the instructions is thus indeterministic.

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dataflow\\_architecture](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dataflow_architecture)

**Dataflow (computing)** is a software architecture based on the Flow concept. Writing software in dataflow pattern helps reduce coupling related code in programs, meaning programmers need to write less code to explicitly update values based on their dependencies.

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dataflow>

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kahn\\_process\\_networks](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kahn_process_networks)

**Actor model** Actors can be thought of as similar to OOP objects but with special emphasis on parallelism. Anything actors do can be done in parallel with other actors. In actor model all computations are done in actors. Actor model is based on the idea of actors sending messages to each other. As a response to receiving a message an actor can create more actors, send messages, change how to respond to next message etc. Actors can send messages at any time, but the receiver can respond whenever it is ready.

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Actor\\_model](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Actor_model)

### 3 Objectives

The objective of this thesis is to understand the Open Event Machine programming model and the OpenEM runtime system in the context of stream processing. A stream processing application is implemented using OpenEM to understand the dynamic scheduling. A resource network simulation model of the OpenEM application is constructed to further understand the OpenEM runtime system and to evaluate modeling and simulation as analysis method for stream processing on load balanced platforms.

For example a DSP faces a situation where it is processing N video streams at time A. At time B there are M video streams. The problem this thesis solves is how to allocate the computation resources on the DSP dynamically so that the variable amount of streams can be processed efficiently.

### 4 Method

Workload construction, measurements and modeling are discussed in detail in the experiment plan.

The OpenEM programming model and runtime system are studied using **comparison** and **modeling** as the methods. A workload application is constructed with a simple runtime system (PREESM) and then converted to OpenEM runtime. The two applications are compared under different load conditions.

The OpenEM application is modeled for resource network simulation. The construction of simulation model needs detailed information about the OpenEM

runtime system and the workload application. The data from the measurements is used for the timings needed in the simulation model.

To keep the implementation simple all I/O is omitted from the experiment. The omission of I/O is justified by keeping the experiment strictly focused on the computation in stream processing. The effects of an I/O layer to the studied workload are investigated through literature.

## 5 Material / Resources

### 5.1 Where's all the material?

The key material to the experiment is introduced in detail in the experiment plan. The key material can be found in the following listing.

- Workload
- PREESM
- TMS320C6678
- Analysis
- Code Composer Studio v5
- OpenEM

### 5.2 I/O

The thesis will include a section about I/O in context of stream processing, virtualized I/O and the omission of I/O from the thesis experiment. The importance of I/O to the stream processing and I/O virtualization was explored in ESG study circle.

### 5.3 People

**Vesa Hirvisalo** - instructor

**Jussi Hanhiova** - PHD student researching similar subjects

**Kristian Hartikainen** - research on similar subjects

## 6 Challenges

Risto is currently an IEM (TuTa) student. Switching to CS is only a matter of time now. All the necessary paperwork has been signed and submitted. The thesis topic can be officially approved only after Risto has started at CSE which will happen in the beginning of September.

## 7 Work Plan

### 7.1 First iteration

The PREESM workload has been implemented. The current focus is on instrumentation of the applications. After instrumentation is understood well enough and some usable performance data can be captured, the focus shifts to OpenEM.

Hopefully most of the implementation of the applications is completed by week 30. After both applications are implemented and instrumented, the simulation model will be constructed. The construction of simulation model will be interleaved with some of the measurements because the precise measurements needed are dictated by the simulation model.

The goal of the first iteration is to create a working experiment setup which yields some measurement results.

### 7.2 Second iteration

The experiment will be refined in the second iteration. Unnecessary parts of the experiment are abandoned and the rest are improved to produce publishable results. The construction of the simulation model in the end of first iteration will help shape the experiment to a better form. After the second iteration we should have a simulation model based on measurement data.

## 8 Schedule

Week 25	Planning the experiment, studying virtual I/O <b>done</b>
Week 26	Implement the workload with PREESM <b>done</b>
Week 27	Studying the instrumentation for the performance measurements. Instrumenting the PREESM application.
Week 28	Begin the workload implementation with OpenEM
Week 29	OpenEM workload implementation completed
Week 30	Vacation
Week 31	Instrumentation of OpenEM application and Measurements
Week 32	Modeling
Week 33	Measurements
Week 34	Second iteration and Writing
Week 35	Second iteration and Writing
Week 36	Second iteration and Writing
Week 37	The contribution and most of the writing should be done by week 37. Risto has a lot of school in the fall so hopefully only simple additions and editions after week 37.

## 9 Dissemination

ParallaX2 / ParallaX3 OpenEM streaming vs. PSE

# Appendices

## A Thesis structure

- Abstract
- Preface
- Contents
- Abbreviations

### 1. Introduction

Research Problem  
Contributions  
Structure

### 2. Background (subject to change until implementation is completed)

Industrial Internet  
Streams  
DSPs

### 3. Analysis of Parallelism

Static Analysis  
Dynamic Analysis  
Tools  
Modeling and Simulation

### 4. Texas Instruments TMS320C6678

Overview  
Multicore Navigator in TMS320C6678

### 5. OpenEM

Runtime System  
OpenEM programming model  
Texas Instruments OpenEM implementation

### 6. PREESM

Runtime System  
Programming model

### 7. Performance Simulation Environment

Resource Network Simulation



- 8. Construction
  - PREESM
  - OpenEM
  - Instrumentation
  - Simulation Model
  - Omission of IO
- 9. Experiments
  - Parameters and Factors
  - Measurement setups
- 10. Results
  - PREESM
  - OpenEM
  - Simulation Model
- 11. Discussion
  - Challenges
  - Discoveries
  - Related Work
  - Future Work
- 12. Conclusions

## B Key Literature

### General Background

**E.A. Lee.** The Problem with Threads. doi:10.1109/MC.2006.180

**Bonomi & al.** Fog computing and its role in the internet of things. doi:10.1145/2342509.2342513

**D. Perino & al.** a content router for high-speed forwarding on content names

**Zhou & al.** Scheduling of Parallelized Synchronous Dataflow Actors

### Bachelor's theses

**Teemaa, Taavi** Rinnakkaisuuden mallit ohjelmointikielissä

**Kiljunen, Olli** Tehtävärinnakkaisuus ohjelmoinnissa

### Master's theses

**Hanhirova, Jussi** Performance analysis of hardware accelerated scheduling

**Saarinen, Joonas** Parallel Processing of Vehicle Telemetric Data

**Rasa, Marko** Instrumentation of OpenMP task scheduling

#### Texas Instruments Documentation

**Texas Instruments** SPRUGR9H KeyStone Architecture Multicore Navigator User's Guide

**Texas Instruments** SPRUGW0C TMS320C66x DSP CorePac User Guide

**Texas Instruments** SPRUGW7A KeyStone Architecture Multicore Shared Memory Controller (MSMC)

**Texas Instruments** SPRUGZ2A KeyStone I Architecture Debug and Trace User Guide

**Texas Instruments** TMS320C6678 datasheet

#### Dataflow & Actors

**Lee, Seshia** Introduction to Embedded Systems

#### Preesm

**Pelcat M. et. al.** Preesm: A dataflow-based rapid prototyping framework for simplifying multicore DSP programming 10.1109/EDERC.2014.6924354

#### OpenEM

**Texas Instruments** Open Event Machine Library API Spec

**Texas Instruments** Open Event Machine library User Guide

**Texas Instruments** OpenEM White Paper

**F. Moerman.** Open event machine: A multi-core run-time designed for performance

**Stotzer & al.** OpenMP on the Low-Power TI Keystone II ARM/DSP System-on-Chip

#### Static and Dynamic Analysis

**Wilhelm et al.** The Worst-Case Execution-Time Problem—Overview of Methods and Survey of Tools

**Banks et al.** Introduction to Discrete-Event Simulation

**Gustavsson et al.** Timing Analysis of Parallel Software Using Abstract Execution

**Fujimoto et al.** Parallel Discrete-Event Simulation