CSCI 544 – Applied Natural Language Processing Homework 1 – Vu Truong Si – 6031936649

Python version used: 3.8.8

New library used: contractions, TfidfVectorizer, train_test_split, Perceptron, LinearSVC, make_pipeline, StandardScaler, LogisticRegression, MultinomialNB.

1. Data Preparation

I downloaded and extracted the dataset from Amazon S3 bucket, then read the dataset into a dataframe using Pandas. During data reading, I skipped bad lines by setting the parameter **error_bad_line** to False.

To keep the Reviews and Ratings, I only selected the two fields **review_body** and **star_rating**. I converted the ratings into readable numerical values, then encoded them as instructed using the following map {1:1, 2:1, 3:2, 4:3, 5:3}.

I randomly sampled 20000 rows from each class in order to create a balanced dataset with a total of 60000 rows.

2. Data Cleaning

I implemented 5 different data cleaning techniques:

- Convert reviews into lowercase: I used .lower() to achieve this.
- Remove HTML and URLs from the reviews: I used regular expression to eliminate the patterns of HTML tags and URLs. For example, "<[^<]+?>" will target all the HTML tags and I can remove them from the text.
- Expand contractions: I used the library **contractions**, specifically **contractions.fix()** to perform this technique.
- Remove non-alphabetical characters: I also used regular expression to achieve this. I kept all the characters between a-z and A-Z.
- Remove extra spaces: I combined regular expression with .strip() to remove extra spaces in the reviews.

Afterward, I counted the number of characters for each review by computing the length of each string using .len() on the dataframe, then averaged those results using .mean(). For one of the cases, the average before and after cleaning were 269.942433333333 and 259.18215.

3. Preprocessing

To remove stopwords, I used **stopwords.words("english")** to get the list of stopwords, then excluded a word in the review if that word belongs to the list.

Note: I performed two experiments, one with stopwords removed, and one with stopwords. The results were noticeably better when stopwords were not removed. I will demonstrate the results down below.

To lemmatize the sentences, I used WordNetLemmatizer. Before lemmatizing, I identified the part-of-speech tag of each word using **nltk.pos_tag()** then put that as an argument for the **lemmatize()** function. I had to convert the tags from nltk to tags that WordNetLemmatizer can understand. For example,

Afterward, I counted the number of characters for each review after preprocessing, averaged those results, then compare it with the result from the previous step. The result for this section is based on the dataset with stopwords retained since the dataset gave a better model performance. I also included the average length of reviews without stopwords in the notebook for comparison. For one of the cases, the average before and after preprocessing (with stopwords removal) were 259.18215 and 151.5338, while the average before and after preprocessing (without stopwords removal) were 259.18215 and 249.26885. In the .py file, I only showed the average in the case without stopwords removal, but I kept both cases' results in the notebook.

4. Feature Extraction

I used TfidfVectorizer with **min_df**, **max_df**, and **ngram_range** paramters to extract the features from the reviews. After that, I split the dataset into train and test sets with 48000 instances in the training set and 12000 instances in the test set (80/20 ratio).

5. Perceptron

Note: I implemented GridSearchCV to tune the hyperparameters for all 4 models, however, the best hyperparameters after cross validation did not give good results on the test set, therefore, I did not keep these results. Also, for each model, I used two different datasets, one with stopwords and one without. The results of the models with stopwords retained were clearly better so chose to print them in the .py file.

For perceptron, I used the library Perceptron from sklearn.linear_model. I initialized a model with the following hyperparameters and fit the training data into it:

Perceptron(alpha = 0.0001, tol = 1e-3)

Below are the metrics for Perceptron following the required format, with and without stopwords respectively:

```
0.7006257822277847,0.6899186591077151,0.695230998509687
0.5805063291139241,0.5828673106253177,0.5816844241501776
0.7713933415536375,0.7802444499875281,0.7757936507936508
0.6841751509651154,0.6843434732401871,0.6842363578178384
0.6251508568670046,0.6321698804002929,0.6286407766990292
0.5039239001189061,0.5333501132645356,0.5182196135974566
0.7009857612267251,0.6513994910941476,0.6752835663413348
0.6100201727375452,0.605639828252992,0.6073813188792735
```

We can see that with stopwords retained, Perceptron performed better in all three classes.

6. SVM

I implemented LinearSVC from sklearn.svm with and without normalization to test the results. I found that the model without normalization worked better so I kept that model. The following model was used:

```
LinearSVC(C = 1.0, tol = 1e-3)
```

Below are the metrics for SVM following the required format, with and without stopwords respectively:

```
0.732760736196319,0.7360118314025141,0.734382685686178

0.62851929092805,0.6128622267412303,0.6205920205920205

0.797260943996087,0.8131703666749813,0.8051370708816992

0.719513657040152,0.7206814749395752,0.7200372590532993

0.678027556200145,0.6846473029045643,0.6813213504979354

0.5739722440429432,0.5517241379310345,0.5626283367556468

0.7334322453016815,0.7547073791348601,0.743917732631051

0.6618106818482566,0.663692939990153,0.6626224732948778
```

7. Logistic Regression

I used the library LogisticRegression from sklearn.linear_model and set the "max_iter" hyperparameter to 1000 in order for convergence to happen. I used the following settings:

```
LogisticRegression(C = 1.0, tol = 1e-3, max_iter = 1000)
```

Below are the metrics for Logistic Regression following the required format, with and without stopwords respectively:

```
0.7514822134387352,0.749815134335716,0.7506477483035164
0.6492796820665673,0.6644636502287747,0.6567839195979899
0.8273051451859399,0.8101771015215764,0.8186515437933206
0.7426890135637475,0.7414852953620223,0.742027737231609
0.710822722820764,0.708567244325116,0.7096931915413763
0.6020304568527919,0.5970299521771961,0.5995197775811956
0.7593058350100603,0.7681933842239186,0.763723754110802
```

8. Naïve Bayes

I implemented a Multinomial Naïve Bayes model with alpha = 1.0 and kept all the default hyperparameters.

MultinomialNB(alpha = 1.0)

Below are the metrics for Logistic Regression following the required format, with and without stopwords respectively:

```
\begin{array}{c} 0.7561962569549823, 0.7369977816120286, 0.7464735988016478\\ 0.6328524895300139, 0.6914082358922217, 0.6608357628765792\\ 0.8441835645677694, 0.7892242454477426, 0.815779296119634\\ 0.744410770350922, 0.7392100876506643, 0.741029552599287\\ 0.7078305519897304, 0.6729314132291921, 0.6899399399399399\\ 0.5812712275594372, 0.6030707274100177, 0.5919703520691785\\ 0.7434094903339191, 0.7534351145038168, 0.7483887274105903\\ 0.6775037566276955, 0.6764790850476755, 0.6767663398065696\\ \end{array}
```

In order to calculate the metrics for each model, I created a function called "metrics_calculator". This function computes the confusion matrix then the precision, recall, f1-score, and the average of those scores. After that, it prints the desired outputs line by line.

Comments on the metrics: It seems like the precision, recall and f1-score for all three classes improved when stopwords were not removed. This can be due to the fact that some stopwords hold important meanings to the instances and removing them worsen the performance of the models. Therefore, I decided to keep the stopwords in the .py file and report the best scores accordingly.

Below is the Jupyter Notebook:

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import nltk
nltk.download('wordnet', quiet = True)
import re
from bs4 import BeautifulSoup
import contractions
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
nltk.download('averaged_perceptron_tagger', quiet = True)
```

Out[1]: True

Read Data

```
In [2]: # Read the data while skipping bad lines.
dataframe = pd.read_table("amazon_reviews_us_Beauty_v1_00.tsv", error_bad_lines = False, warn_bad_lines=False)
```

In [3]: dataframe

Out[3]:	ma	rketplace	customer_id	review_id	product_id	product_parent	product_title	product_category	star_rating	helpful_vo1
	0	US	1797882	R3I2DHQBR577SS	B001ANOOOE	2102612	The Naked Bee Vitmin C Moisturizing Sunscreen	Beauty	5	1
	1	US	18381298	R1QNE9NQFJC2Y4	B0016J22EQ	106393691	Alba Botanica Sunless Tanning Lotion, 4 Ounce	Beauty	5	1
	2	US	19242472	R3LIDG2Q4LJBAO	B00HU6UQAG	375449471	Elysee Infusion Skin Therapy Elixir, 2oz.	Beauty	5	ſ

	marketplace	customer_id	review_id	product_id	product_parent	product_title	product_category	star_rating	helpful_vot
3	US	19551372	R3KSZHPAEVPEAL	B002HWS7RM	255651889	Diane D722 Color, Perm And Conditioner Process	Beauty	5	(
4	US	14802407	RAI2OIG50KZ43	B00SM99KWU	116158747	Biore UV Aqua Rich Watery Essence SPF50+/PA+++	Beauty	5	(
•••									
5094302	US	50113639	RZ7RZ02MTP4SL	B000050B70	185454094	Conair NE150NSCS Cordless Nose and Ear Hair Tr	Beauty	5	11
5094303	US	52940456	R2IRC0IZ8YCE5T	B000050FF2	678848064	Homedics Envirascape Sound Spa Alarm Clock Radio	Beauty	3	2.
5094304	US	47587881	R1U4ZSXOD228CZ	B000050B6U	862195513	Conair Instant Heat Curling Iron	Beauty	5	8!
5094305	US	53047750	R3SFJLZE09URWM	B000050FDE	195242894	Oral-B Professional Care 1000 Power Toothbrush	Beauty	5	1(
5094306	US	51193940	R1MEWK4I7YS5XK	B000050AUD	190668305	Sonicare PL-4 (4700) Sonic Toothbrush	Beauty	5	2.

5094307 rows × 15 columns

Keep Reviews and Ratings

```
In [4]:
           reviews and ratings = dataframe[["review body", "star rating"]]
In [5]:
           # Convert string to numerical values ("1.0" -> 1.0) and mark as None if the value is invalid.
           reviews and ratings.loc[: , "star rating"] = pd.to numeric(reviews and ratings["star rating"], errors = 'coerce', downcas
In [6]:
           # Drop null values.
           reviews and ratings = reviews and ratings.dropna(how = "any")
In [7]:
           reviews and ratings
Out[7]:
                                                    review_body star_rating
                 0
                                     Love this, excellent sun block!!
                                                                          5.0
                       The great thing about this cream is that it do...
                                                                          5.0
                 2
                        Great Product, I'm 65 years old and this is al...
                                                                          5.0
                 3
                     I use them as shower caps & conditioning caps....
                                                                          5.0
                        This is my go-to daily sunblock. It leaves no ...
                                                                          5.0
          5094302
                      After watching my Dad struggle with his scisso...
                                                                          5.0
          5094303
                    Like most sound machines, the sounds choices a...
                                                                          3.0
          5094304
                      I bought this product because it indicated 30 ...
                                                                          5.0
          5094305
                      We have used Oral-B products for 15 years; thi...
                                                                          5.0
          5094306
                         I love this toothbrush. It's easy to use, and ...
                                                                          5.0
```

5093907 rows × 2 columns

We form three classes and select 20000 reviews randomly from each class.

```
In [8]:
            ratings dict = \{1:1, 2:1, 3:2, 4:3, 5:3\}
 In [9]:
            # Map the ratings to appropriate classes.
            reviews and ratings.loc[:,"class"] = reviews_and_ratings["star_rating"].map(ratings_dict)
In [10]:
            # Randomly sample 20000 rows from each class.
            class 1 = reviews and ratings[reviews and ratings["class"] == 1].sample(20000)
            class 2 = reviews and ratings[reviews and ratings["class"] == 2].sample(20000)
            class 3 = reviews and ratings[reviews and ratings["class"] == 3].sample(20000)
In [11]:
            balanced dataset = pd.concat([class 1, class 2, class 3], axis = 0)
In [12]:
            balanced dataset
Out[12]:
                                                   review body star rating class
           4965866 You'll need heavy duty shears to open packages...
                                                                       1.0
                                                                               1
           2225032
                        It didn't take the brassiness out of my hair a...
           3826255
                      I have a suspicion that this brush may be the ...
                                                                       2.0
                                                                              1
           4812345
                        I was so thrilled to find a cologne that has b...
                                                                       1.0
                                                                              1
           2084719
                     While the toothbrush does an excellent job cle...
                                                                       1.0
                       The picture is deceptive in that you only get ...
           3386104
                                                                       4.0
                                                                               3
           4127620
                       Most of these colors are very natural. A lot o...
                                                                       4.0
                                                                               3
           2404233
                                                   it does work.
                                                                               3
            279257
                                          Exactly what I expected
                                                                       5.0
                                                                               3
```

```
review_body star_rating class

Love the fragrance 5.0 3
```

60000 rows × 3 columns

```
In [13]: balanced_dataset["review_length"] = [len(str(x)) for x in balanced_dataset["review_body"]]
In [14]: # Calculate the average length of the reviews before cleaning.
length_before_cleaning = balanced_dataset["review_length"].mean()
```

Data Cleaning

```
In [15]:
           # Convert text to Lowercase
           balanced dataset["review body"] = balanced dataset["review body"].str.lower()
In [16]:
           # Remove HTML tags
           balanced dataset["review body"] = [re.sub('<[^<]+?>', '', str(x)) for x in balanced dataset["review body"]]
In [17]:
           # Remove URLs
           balanced_dataset["review_body"] = [re.sub(r"http\S+","", str(x)) for x in balanced_dataset["review_body"]]
In [18]:
           # Expand contractions
           balanced dataset["review body"] = [contractions.fix(str(x)) for x in balanced dataset["review body"]]
In [19]:
           # Remove non-alphabetical characters
           balanced\_dataset["review\_body"] = [re.sub(r"[^a-zA-Z ]", "", str(x)) \  \, \textbf{for} \  \, x \  \, \textbf{in} \  \, balanced\_dataset["review\_body"]]
In [20]:
           # Remove excess spaces
           balanced dataset["review body"] = balanced dataset["review body"].replace("\s+", " ", regex = True).str.strip()
```

Pre-processing

remove the stop words

perform lemmatization

```
In [26]: from nltk.stem import WordNetLemmatizer
In [27]: # Function to convert nltk pos tags into tags that WordNetLemmatizer can understand.

def nltk_pos_converter(tag):
    if tag.startswith("J"):
        return "a"
    elif tag.startswith("V"):
        return "v"
    elif tag.startswith("R"):
        return "r"
```

balanced dataset["review body"] = balanced dataset["review body"].apply(lambda x: ' '.join([lemmatizer,lemmatize(word, pc

In [30]: balanced_dataset

Out[30]:

lemmatizer = WordNetLemmatizer()

	review_body	star_rating	class	review_length	review_body_no_stopwords
4965866	you will need heavy duty shear to open package	1.0	1	516	need heavy duty shear open package like packag
2225032	it do not take the brassiness out of my hair a	1.0	1	445	take brassiness hair infact add brassiness can
3826255	i have a suspicion that this brush may be the \dots	2.0	1	436	suspicion brush may recent breakage experience
4812345	i be so thrilled to find a cologne that have b	1.0	1	472	thrill find cologne discountinued time even am
2084719	while the toothbrush do an excellent job clean	1.0	1	253	toothbrush excellent job clean teeth manufactu
•••					
3386104	the picture be deceptive in that you only get \dots	4.0	3	566	picture deceptive get little guy arrive adorab
4127620	most of these color be very natural a lot of b	4.0	3	183	color natural lot brown grey black white vibra
2404233	it do work	4.0	3	12	work
279257	exactly what i expect	5.0	3	23	exactly expect
2552877	love the fragrance	5.0	3	18	love fragrance

60000 rows × 5 columns

```
In [31]: balanced_dataset["review_length"] = [len(str(x)) for x in balanced_dataset["review_body_no_stopwords"]]
```

```
In [32]: # Calculate the average length of reviews after preprocessing (Stopwords removed).
length_after_preprocessing = balanced_dataset["review_length"].mean()

In [33]: print(str(length_after_cleaning) + "," + str(length_after_preprocessing))

258.84695,151.47631666666666

In [34]: balanced_dataset["review_length"] = [len(str(x)) for x in balanced_dataset["review_body"]]

In [35]: # Calculate the average length of reviews after preprocessing (Stopwords retained).
length_after_preprocessing = balanced_dataset["review_length"].mean()

In [36]: print(str(length_after_cleaning) + "," + str(length_after_preprocessing))

258.84695,248.88255
```

TF-IDF Feature Extraction

Perceptron

```
In [45]:
          # Function to calculate the metrics and print them.
          def metrics_calculator(y_test, y_pred):
              class 1 cm = dict()
              class 2 cm = dict()
              class 3 cm = dict()
              confusion_matrix = [[0]*3 for i in range(3)]
              for i in range(len(y test)):
                   if y test[i] == 1:
                       if y pred[i] == 1:
                          confusion matrix[0][0] += 1
                       elif y pred[i] == 2:
                           confusion matrix[0][1] += 1
                       else:
                           confusion matrix[0][2] += 1
                   elif y test[i] == 2:
                       if y pred[i] == 1:
                           confusion matrix[1][0] += 1
                       elif y pred[i] == 2:
                           confusion matrix[1][1] += 1
```

```
else:
            confusion matrix[1][2] += 1
    else:
        if y pred[i] == 1:
            confusion matrix[2][0] += 1
        elif y pred[i] == 2:
            confusion matrix[2][1] += 1
        else:
            confusion matrix[2][2] += 1
class 1 tp = confusion matrix[0][0]
class 1 tn = confusion matrix[1][1] + confusion matrix[1][2] + confusion matrix[2][1] + confusion matrix[2][2]
class 1 fp = confusion matrix[1][0] + confusion matrix[2][0]
class 1 fn = confusion matrix[0][1] + confusion matrix[0][2]
class 2 tp = confusion matrix[1][1]
class 2 tn = confusion matrix[0][0] + confusion matrix[0][2] + confusion matrix[2][0] + confusion matrix[2][2]
class 2 fp = confusion matrix[0][1] + confusion matrix[2][1]
class 2 fn = confusion matrix[1][0] + confusion matrix[1][2]
class 3 tp = confusion matrix[2][2]
class 3 tn = confusion matrix[0][0] + confusion matrix[0][1] + confusion matrix[1][0] + confusion matrix[1][1]
class 3 fp = confusion matrix[0][2] + confusion <math>matrix[1][2]
class 3 fn = confusion matrix[2][0] + confusion matrix[2][1]
class 1 precision = (class 1 tp) / (class 1 tp + class 1 fp)
class 1 recall = (class 1 tp) / (class 1 tp + class 1 fn)
class 1 f1 score = 2 * class 1 precision * class 1 recall / (class 1 precision + class 1 recall)
class 2 precision = (class 2 tp) / (class 2 tp + class 2 fp)
class 2 recall = (class 2 tp) / (class 2 tp + class 2 fn)
class 2 f1 score = 2 * class 2 precision * class 2 recall / (class 2 precision + class 2 recall)
class 3 precision = (class 3 tp) / (class 3 tp + class 3 fp)
class 3 recall = (class 3 tp) / (class 3 tp + class 3 fn)
class 3 f1 score = 2 * class 3 precision * class 3 recall / (class 3 precision + class 3 recall)
print(str(class_1_precision) + "," + str(class_1_recall) + "," + str(class_1_f1_score))
print(str(class 2 precision) + "," + str(class 2 recall) + "," + str(class 2 f1 score))
print(str(class_3_precision) + "," + str(class_3_recall) + "," + str(class 3 f1 score))
average precision = (class 1 precision + class 2 precision + class 3 precision)/3
average recall = (class 1 recall + class 2 recall + class 3 recall)/3
average f1 score = (class 1 f1 score + class 2 f1 score + class 3 f1 score)/3
```

```
In [46]:
          from sklearn.linear model import Perceptron
In [47]:
          # Perceptron without stopwords.
          perceptron = Perceptron(alpha = 0.0001, tol = 1e-3)
          perceptron.fit(X train wos, y train wos)
          y pred wos = perceptron.predict(X test wos)
          metrics calculator(y test wos.values, y pred wos)
          # 0.5979827089337176, 0.6264150943396226, 0.6118687799483966
          # 0.5076035658101731, 0.49137055837563454, 0.4993551715243745
          # 0.6954251616111388, 0.6847001223990208, 0.6900209695325028
          # 0.6003371454516765, 0.6008285917047593, 0.6004149736684247
         0.5979827089337176,0.6264150943396226,0.6118687799483966
         0.5076035658101731,0.49137055837563454,0.4993551715243745
         0.6954251616111388,0.6847001223990208,0.6900209695325028
         0.6003371454516765,0.6008285917047593,0.6004149736684247
In [48]:
          # Perceptron with stopwords.
          perceptron = Perceptron(alpha = 0.0001, tol = 1e-3)
          perceptron.fit(X train, y train)
          v pred = perceptron.predict(X test)
          metrics calculator(y test.values, y pred)
         0.6828846628797234,0.688667496886675,0.6857638888888889
         0.5745800952619704,0.5727136431784108,0.5736453510198974
         0.773346794548208, 0.7692693949284459, 0.7713027061044683
         0.6769371842299673, 0.6768835116645106, 0.6769039820044181
         SVM
In [49]:
          from sklearn.svm import LinearSVC
          from sklearn.pipeline import make pipeline
          from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
```

```
In [50]:
          # Linear SVC without scaling and without stopwords.
          lsvc = LinearSVC(C = 1.0, tol = 1e-3)
          lsvc.fit(X train wos, y train wos)
          y pred wos = lsvc.predict(X test wos)
          metrics calculator(y test wos.values, y pred wos)
          # 0.662357036300348, 0.670188679245283, 0.6662498436913843
          # 0.577438370846731,0.5469543147208121,0.5617831074035454
          # 0.7416391898257183, 0.7708690330477356, 0.7559716720681791
          # 0.6604781989909325,0.6626706756712769,0.6613348743877029
         0.662357036300348, 0.670188679245283, 0.6662498436913843
         0.577438370846731,0.5469543147208121,0.5617831074035454
         0.7416391898257183,0.7708690330477356,0.7559716720681791
         0.6604781989909325, 0.6626706756712769, 0.6613348743877029
In [51]:
          # Linear SVC with scaling and without stopwords.
          pipeline = make pipeline(StandardScaler(with mean = False), LinearSVC(C = 1.0, tol = 1e-3))
          pipeline.fit(X train wos, y train wos)
          y pred = pipeline.predict(X test wos)
          metrics calculator(y test wos.values, y pred wos)
          # 0.662357036300348, 0.670188679245283, 0.6662498436913843
          # 0.577438370846731,0.5469543147208121,0.5617831074035454
          # 0.7416391898257183, 0.7708690330477356, 0.7559716720681791
          # 0.6604781989909325, 0.6626706756712769, 0.6613348743877029
         0.662357036300348, 0.670188679245283, 0.6662498436913843
         0.577438370846731,0.5469543147208121,0.5617831074035454
         0.7416391898257183,0.7708690330477356,0.7559716720681791
         0.6604781989909325, 0.6626706756712769, 0.6613348743877029
In [52]:
          # Linear SVC without scaling and with stopwords.
          lsvc = LinearSVC(C = 1.0, tol = 1e-5)
          lsvc.fit(X train, y train)
          y pred = lsvc.predict(X test)
          metrics calculator(y test.values, y pred)
         0.7243842364532019,0.7325031133250312,0.7284210526315789
         0.6320467242254951,0.6219390304847576,0.6269521410579346
```

0.811344327836082,0.8152146623148381,0.8132748904195367 0.7225917628382597,0.7232189353748756,0.7228826947030167

0.6495160468670402,0.635118306351183,0.6422364941443143 0.5422086202499362,0.5312343828085957,0.5366654045184905 0.713700939080183,0.7441626914386141,0.7286135693215339 0.6351418687323865,0.6368384601994643,0.6358384893281129

```
In [53]: # Linear SVC with scaling and with stopwords.

pipeline = make_pipeline(StandardScaler(with_mean = False), LinearSVC(C = 1.0, tol = 1e-3))
pipeline.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred = pipeline.predict(X_test)
metrics_calculator(y_test.values, y_pred)

# 0.6495160468670402,0.635118306351183,0.6422364941443143
# 0.5422086202499362,0.5312343828085957,0.5366654045184905
# 0.713700939080183,0.7441626914386141,0.7286135693215339
# 0.6351418687323865,0.6368384601994643,0.6358384893281129
```

Logistic Regression

```
In [54]: from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression

In [55]: # Logistic Regression without stopwords.

logreg = LogisticRegression(C = 1.0, tol = 1e-3, max_iter = 1000)
logreg.fit(X_train_wos, y_train_wos)
y_pred_wos = logreg.predict(X_test_wos)
metrics_calculator(y_test_wos.values, y_pred_wos)

# 0.6908588648920141, 0.6920754716981132, 0.6914666331531985
# 0.5923927011051143, 0.5850253807106599, 0.5886859915719576
# 0.7685970438575236, 0.7764993880048959, 0.7725280077934729
# 0.6839495366182172, 0.6845334134712231, 0.694466331531985
0.5923927011051143, 0.5850253807106599, 0.5886859915719576
```

0.7685970438575236,0.7764993880048959,0.7725280077934729 0.6839495366182172,0.6845334134712231,0.6842268775062097

```
Vu Truong Si Homework1
In [56]:
          # Logistic Regression with stopwords.
          logreg = LogisticRegression(C = 1.0, tol = 1e-3, max iter = 1000)
          logreg.fit(X train, y train)
          y pred = logreg.predict(X test)
          metrics_calculator(y_test.values, y_pred)
         0.7475,0.7447073474470735,0.746101060511541
         0.6444007858546169,0.655672163918041,0.6499876145652712
         0.8294297352342159,0.8179763996987196,0.8236632536973835
         0.740443507029611,0.7394519703546113,0.7399173095913986
         Naive Bayes
In [57]:
          from sklearn.naive bayes import MultinomialNB
```

```
In [58]:
          # Naive Bayes without stopwords.
          mnb = MultinomialNB(alpha = 1.0)
          mnb.fit(X train wos, y train wos)
          y pred wos = mnb.predict(X test wos)
          metrics calculator(y test wos.values, y pred wos)
          # 0.6936582809224319, 0.6659119496855346, 0.6795019894750353
          # 0.5726536445926632,0.6101522842639594,0.5908085524698944
          # 0.7601605619668841, 0.7417380660954712, 0.7508363275926156
          # 0.6754908291606597, 0.672600766681655, 0.6737156231791818
         0.6936582809224319,0.6659119496855346,0.6795019894750353
         0.5726536445926632,0.6101522842639594,0.5908085524698944
         0.7601605619668841,0.7417380660954712,0.7508363275926156
         0.6754908291606597, 0.672600766681655, 0.6737156231791818
In [59]:
          # Naive Bayes with stopwords.
          mnb = MultinomialNB(alpha = 1.0)
          mnb.fit(X train, y train)
          y pred = mnb.predict(X test)
          metrics calculator(y test.values, y pred)
```

- 0.7457237681899412,0.7275217932752179,0.7365103378719111
- 0.627000695894224,0.6754122938530734,0.6503067484662577
- 0.8374867444326617,0.7931207632437861,0.8147001934235976
- 0.736737069505609, 0.7320182834573591, 0.7338390932539222