



THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
Faculty of Forestry

Dear Dr. Wake,

Please consider our paper, entitled “Overlooked model uncertainties may misinform forest management strategies”, for publication in *Nature Climate Change*. This manuscript leverages methods from climatology to decompose ecological forecasts to advance at once ecological modeling and guide forest management amidst uncertainty.

Forests are already showing signs of becoming carbon sources, putting pressure on ecological forecasts to guide management now. Yet, current studies often rely on a narrow set of models and ignore a large part of the uncertainties^{1,2}, limiting their practical insights for forest management. Misinformed decision-making—because of incomplete forecasts—could have long-term adversarial consequences on ecosystems^{3,4}, driving forest declines and reduced carbon storage.

Here, we apply methods from climatology designed to aid decision-making given many models and related uncertainties. We considered over 1,350 projections of tree species range shifts, with diverse ecological and climatological models, and across different emissions scenarios. By fully encompassing the different sources of uncertainty, we were able to quantify each source contribution to the forecast uncertainty, across species and across biomes.

Our results show that ecological models represent the largest source of uncertainty—up to two thirds—even under vastly different emission scenarios. While previous studies have strongly underestimated the overall forecast uncertainty, our workflow provides a comprehensive view of potential futures for forests. We identify regions where management could take immediate action with low risk of failure, and regions where models strongly disagree for which uncertainty management and diversification of options would be necessary.

Our findings can reshape the debate on ecological forecasting of future ranges. While ecologists have spent decades debating types of ecological models, our results provide a path to guide forest policy and management while the science advances. We advocate for a more systematic incorporation of uncertainties into decision-making³⁻⁵, and suggest that managers need to internalize ecological uncertainty through diversified and more risk-adverse strategies.

All authors contributed to this work and approve this version for submission. The manuscript is 2200 words, with 59 references and 4 figures, and is not under consideration elsewhere. We hope you find it suitable for publication in *Nature Climate Change*, and look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads 'V. Van der Meersch'.

Victor Van der Meersch, PhD
Forest & Conservation Sciences
University of British Columbia

References

- [1] J. Wessely et al. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* (2024).
- [2] M. Hanewinkel et al. *Nature Climate Change* (2013).
- [3] T. P. Dawson et al. *Science* (2011).
- [4] M. C. Urban et al. *Science* (2016).
- [5] A. Saltelli et al. *Nature* (2020).