

1

2

3

4

5

6

An investigation of preoperative cardiopulmonary exercise testing in patients undergoing major pancreatic surgery.

by

VISHNU VARDHAN CHANDRABALAN
M.B.B.S., M.R.C.S., Dip.N.B.

SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF MEDICINE

7

to

THE UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW

BASED ON RESEARCH CONDUCTED IN THE
UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF SURGERY,
GLASGOW ROYAL INFIRMARY
AUGUST 2015

©Vishnu V Chandrabalan 2015

Pebbles on the beach.

Dedicated to A, I, A

1 UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW (IN BLOCK CAPITALS)

2 *Abstract*

3 Faculty Name

4 School of Medicine

5 Doctor of Medicine

6 **An investigation of preoperative cardiopulmonary exercise testing in**
7 **patients undergoing major pancreatic surgery.**

8 by VISHNU VARDHAN CHANDRABALAN

9 To be finalised...

Contents

| | | |
|----|---|-------------|
| 2 | Abstract | iii |
| 3 | Contents | iv |
| 4 | List of Figures | viii |
| 5 | List of Tables | ix |
| 6 | Acknowledgements | x |
| 7 | Declaration of Authorship | xi |
| 8 | Abbreviations | xii |
| 9 | 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 10 | 1.1 Pancreatic Neoplasia | 2 |
| 11 | 1.1.1 Epidemiology of pancreatic cancer | 2 |
| 12 | 1.1.2 Clinical presentation | 3 |
| 13 | 1.1.3 Diagnosis and staging | 4 |
| 14 | 1.1.4 Treatment of pancreatic cancer | 5 |
| 15 | 1.2 Surgical treatment of pancreatic cancer | 5 |
| 16 | 1.2.1 Patient selection | 6 |
| 17 | 1.2.1.1 Resectability criteria | 6 |
| 18 | 1.2.1.2 Patient factors | 6 |
| 19 | 1.2.2 Operative technique | 6 |
| 20 | 1.2.3 Postoperative care | 8 |
| 21 | 1.2.4 Complications | 9 |
| 22 | 1.2.4.1 Postoperative pancreatic fistula | 9 |
| 23 | 1.2.4.2 Post-pancreatectomy haemorrhage | 10 |
| 24 | 1.2.4.3 Clavien-Dindo classification of complications | 11 |
| 25 | 1.3 Adjuvant and Neoadjuvant treatment | 11 |
| 26 | 1.4 Comorbidity and Risk Stratification | 14 |
| 27 | 1.4.1 Comorbidity | 14 |

| | | | |
|----|---------|--|----|
| 1 | 1.4.2 | Risk Stratification | 15 |
| 2 | 1.4.3 | Static Versus Dynamic Testing | 15 |
| 3 | 1.5 | Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing | 16 |
| 4 | 1.5.1 | History of CPET in Surgery | 16 |
| 5 | 1.5.2 | Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test Methodology | 16 |
| 6 | 1.5.3 | Measuring the Anaerobic Threshold | 18 |
| 7 | 1.5.3.1 | V-slope method | 19 |
| 8 | 1.5.3.2 | Ventilatory equivalents method | 19 |
| 9 | 1.6 | Description of CPET Parameters | 19 |
| 10 | 1.6.1 | Exercise Load | 19 |
| 11 | 1.6.2 | Minute Ventilation, \dot{V}_E | 20 |
| 12 | 1.6.3 | Oxygen Uptake, \dot{V}_{O_2} | 20 |
| 13 | 1.6.4 | Oxygen Pulse, O_2Pulse | 22 |
| 14 | 1.6.5 | Respiratory Exchange Ratio, RER | 22 |
| 15 | 1.6.6 | Ventilatory Equivalent for O_2 and CO_2 , \dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{O_2} , \dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{CO_2} | 22 |
| 16 | 1.6.7 | End-tidal O_2 and CO_2 , $P_{ET_{O_2}}$, $P_{ET_{CO_2}}$ | 23 |
| 17 | 1.6.8 | Heart Rate, HR | 23 |
| 18 | 1.6.9 | Breathing frequency, B_f | 24 |
| 19 | 1.7 | Role of CPET in preoperative assessment | 24 |
| 20 | 1.7.1 | General Surgery | 24 |
| 21 | 1.7.2 | Oesophago-gastric and bariatric surgery | 27 |
| 22 | 1.7.3 | Vascular Surgery | 28 |
| 23 | 1.7.4 | Liver Transplantation | 28 |
| 24 | 1.7.5 | Thoracic Surgery | 29 |
| 25 | 1.8 | Systemic inflammation and outcome | 32 |
| 26 | 1.8.1 | Measuring systemic inflammation | 32 |
| 27 | 1.8.2 | Systemic inflammation and long-term survival | 33 |
| 28 | 1.8.3 | Systemic inflammation and postoperative complications | 34 |
| 29 | 1.8.3.1 | Preoperative systemic inflammation | 34 |
| 30 | 1.8.3.2 | Postoperative systemic inflammation | 35 |
| 31 | 1.8.3.3 | Compensatory Anti-inflammatory Response Syndrome (CARS) | 36 |
| 32 | 1.8.4 | Postoperative complications and long-term survival | 38 |
| 33 | 1.8.5 | Relationship between systemic inflammation and comorbidity | 41 |
| 34 | 1.9 | The Jaundiced Patient | 41 |
| 35 | 1.9.1 | Impact of jaundice on cardiovascular physiology | 41 |
| 36 | 1.9.2 | Impact of jaundice on renal function | 43 |
| 37 | 1.9.3 | Impact of jaundice on the immune system | 44 |
| 38 | 1.9.4 | Role of preoperative biliary drainage and outcome after pan-creaticoduodenectomy | 44 |
| 39 | 1.9.5 | Role of preoperative biliary drainage | 45 |
| 40 | 1.10 | Body Composition | 45 |
| 41 | 2 | An investigation into the role of preoperative cardiopulmonary exercise testing in predicting adverse postoperative events after major pancreatic surgery. | 46 |
| 42 | | | |
| 43 | | | |
| 44 | | | |
| 45 | | | |

| | | | |
|----|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | 2.1 | Introduction | 47 |
| 2 | 2.2 | Methods | 49 |
| 3 | 2.2.1 | Statistics | 51 |
| 4 | 2.3 | Results | 53 |
| 5 | 2.4 | Discussion | 62 |
| 6 | 3 | An investigation into the relationship between obstructive jaun- | |
| 7 | | dice and preoperative pathophysiology in patients undergoing ma- | |
| 8 | | ajor pancreatic surgery. | 67 |
| 9 | 3.1 | Introduction | 68 |
| 10 | 3.2 | Patients and Methods | 71 |
| 11 | 3.2.1 | Preoperative Data | 71 |
| 12 | 3.2.2 | Obstructive Jaundice | 72 |
| 13 | 3.2.3 | Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test | 72 |
| 14 | 3.2.4 | Statistics | 73 |
| 15 | 3.3 | Results | 74 |
| 16 | 3.3.0.1 | Univariate analysis of obstructive jaundice versus CPET | 75 |
| 17 | 3.3.0.2 | Association between preoperative clinico-pathological | |
| 18 | | factors and VO_2AT | 78 |
| 19 | 3.3.0.3 | Scatter-plot analysis | 78 |
| 20 | 3.4 | Discussion | 81 |
| 21 | 3.5 | Conclusions | 85 |
| 22 | 4 | An investigation into the relationship between cardiopulmonary | |
| 23 | | exercise testing and body composition in patients undergoing major | |
| 24 | | pancreatic surgery. | 86 |
| 25 | 4.1 | Introduction | 87 |
| 26 | 4.1.1 | Role of preoperative CPET | 87 |
| 27 | 4.1.2 | The pathophysiological basis of CPET | 88 |
| 28 | 4.1.3 | Factors influencing aerobic fitness | 89 |
| 29 | 4.1.4 | Aims | 90 |
| 30 | 4.2 | Methods | 91 |
| 31 | 4.2.1 | Patients | 91 |
| 32 | 4.2.2 | Body composition calculation | 91 |
| 33 | 4.2.3 | Cardiopulmonary exercise testing | 94 |
| 34 | 4.2.4 | Statistics | 94 |
| 35 | 4.3 | Results | 96 |
| 36 | 4.3.1 | Body composition and Clinico-pathological characteristics . . | 96 |
| 37 | 4.3.2 | Body Composition in Normal BMI vs Overweight/Obese Pa- | |
| 38 | | tients | 96 |
| 39 | 4.3.3 | Correlation with Pulmonary Function Tests | 99 |
| 40 | 4.3.4 | Correlation with Exercise Load | 99 |
| 41 | 4.3.5 | Correlation with Oxygen consumption | 101 |
| 42 | 4.4 | Discussion | 104 |
| 43 | 4.4.1 | Oxygen consumption and body composition | 104 |
| 44 | 4.4.2 | Comparison with previous studies | 105 |

| | | |
|----|--|------------|
| 1 | 4.4.3 Clinical implications of spurious correlation | 108 |
| 2 | 4.4.4 Measuring impact of Prehabilitation | 110 |
| 3 | 5 An investigation into the relationship between cardiopulmonary | |
| 4 | exercise testing, comorbidity, systemic inflammation and survival | |
| 5 | after pancreaticoduodenectomy for cancer. | 111 |
| 6 | 5.1 Introduction | 112 |
| 7 | 5.2 Aim | 113 |
| 8 | 5.3 Patients and Methods | 113 |
| 9 | 5.3.1 Statistics | 114 |
| 10 | 5.4 Results | 115 |
| 11 | 5.5 Discussion | 115 |
| 12 | 6 Conclusion | 116 |
| 13 | A Breath-by-breath CPET sample data | 118 |
| 14 | Bibliography | 121 |

1 List of Figures

| | | | |
|----|-----|--|-----|
| 2 | 1.1 | 9-panel view of trending parameters during incremental cardiopul- | |
| 3 | | monary exercise. | 30 |
| 4 | 2.1 | Distribution of VO_2AT across the study population. | 54 |
| 5 | 2.2 | Kaplan-Meier Plot of postoperative length of stay in patients with | |
| 6 | | $\text{VO}_2\text{AT} \geq 10\text{ml/kg/min}$ versus $< 10\text{ml/kg/min}$ | 58 |
| 7 | 4.1 | Selection of components of body composition from CT images using | |
| 8 | | GIMP. | 93 |
| 9 | 4.2 | Differences in body composition according to gender and body mass | |
| 10 | | index. | 98 |
| 11 | 4.3 | Correlation between exercise load and skeletal muscle area. | 101 |
| 12 | 4.4 | Correlation between body composition and VO_2AT before and after | |
| 13 | | correction for total body weight. | 102 |
| 14 | A.1 | Breath-by-breath sample data with values averaged every 10 seconds | |
| 15 | | - Part 1. | 119 |
| 16 | A.2 | Breath-by-breath sample data with values averaged every 10 seconds | |
| 17 | | - Part 2. | 120 |

List of Tables

| | | | |
|----|-----|---|-----|
| 2 | 1.1 | Postoperative pancreatic fistula: ISGPF definition. | 12 |
| 3 | 1.2 | Postpancreatectomy haemorrhage: ISGPS definition. | 12 |
| 4 | 1.3 | The Clavien-Dindo Classification of Surgical Complications | 13 |
| 5 | 1.4 | Parameters measured at spirometry. | 31 |
| 6 | 1.5 | Common parameters measured at cardiopulmonary exercise testing. . | 31 |
| 7 | 1.6 | The modified Glasgow Prognostic Score | 33 |
| 8 | 2.1 | Clinico-pathological characteristics of patients undergoing major pan- | |
| 9 | | creatic surgery during the study period. | 55 |
| 10 | 2.2 | The relationship between anaerobic threshold and complications in | |
| 11 | | patients undergoing major pancreatic surgery. | 57 |
| 12 | 2.3 | The relationship between clinico-pathological characteristics and post- | |
| 13 | | operative stay in patients (excluding operative mortality) undergoing | |
| 14 | | major pancreatic surgery (n=93): Cox regression analysis | 59 |
| 15 | 2.4 | The relationship between clinico-pathological characteristics and re- | |
| 16 | | ceipt of adjuvant therapy in patients undergoing major pancreatic | |
| 17 | | surgery (n = 55) - Binary logistic regression | 61 |
| 18 | 3.1 | Association between obstructive jaundice and preoperative patient | |
| 19 | | characteristics in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy (n=138) | 75 |
| 20 | 3.2 | Association between obstructive jaundice and preoperative biochemi- | |
| 21 | | cal parameters in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy (n=138) | 76 |
| 22 | 3.3 | Association between obstructive jaundice and preoperative pulmonary | |
| 23 | | function tests in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy . . . | 77 |
| 24 | 3.4 | Association between obstructive jaundice and CPET in patients un- | |
| 25 | | dergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy (n=138) | 79 |
| 26 | 3.5 | The relationship between clinico-pathological characteristics and low | |
| 27 | | anaerobic threshold (< 10 ml/kg/min) in patients undergoing pan- | |
| 28 | | creatic surgery: Univariate and multivariate binary logistic regression | |
| 29 | | analysis | 80 |
| 30 | 4.1 | The relationship between body composition and clinico-pathological | |
| 31 | | characteristics of patients undergoing major pancreatic surgery. . . . | 97 |
| 32 | 4.2 | The relationship between body composition and cardiopulmonary ex- | |
| 33 | | ercise testing controlled for gender. | 100 |

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the following people, for their help, advice and encouragement:

Professor Donald C McMillan,

University Department of Surgery, Glasgow Royal Infirmary

Professor Paul Horgan,

University Department of Surgery, Glasgow Royal Infirmary

Declaration of Authorship

I declare that the work presented in this thesis was carried out solely by me, as a clinical research fellow in the University Dept of Surgery, Royal Infirmary, Glasgow, except where indicated below:

Measurement of biochemical and haematological data was performed by the hospital laboratory service.

Statistical analysis was performed with the assistance of Prof Donald C McMillan, University Dept of Surgery, Royal Infirmary, Glasgow.

In addition, no work referred to in this thesis has been submitted in support of an application for another degree or qualification in this or any other university.

¹ Abbreviations

LAH List Abbreviations **H**ere

¹ Chapter 1

² Introduction

1.1 Pancreatic Neoplasia

1.1.1 Epidemiology of pancreatic cancer

[Crozier et al. 2007] Tumours involving the head of the pancreas and the peripapillary region account for a small proportion of gastrointestinal tumours. They may be broadly classified as benign and malignant. Most pancreatic neoplasia are malignant and arise from the exocrine component of the gland, the ductal epithelium.

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is the most common cancer of the pancreas. However, the head of the pancreas is anatomically related to several other epithelium lined structures that can also give rise to cancers. These include the distal common bile duct that can give rise to cholangiocarcinoma, the duodenum that can give rise to duodenal adenocarcinoma and the ampulla that can give rise to ampullary adenocarcinoma. The endocrine portion of the pancreas can give rise to a variety of tumours that are collectively called neuroendocrine tumours (NET). The milieu of tumours is complicated by other neoplasia such as intra-ductal papillary neoplasms (IPMN) as well as rare stromal tumours. Occasionally, chronic pancreatitis may present with features similar to pancreatic cancer and can be morphologically, radiologically and histologically difficult to differentiate from cancer.

Pancreatic cancer is the tenth most common cancer in the UK but the fifth most common cause of cancer death with only 21% surviving beyond the first year and 3%

1 surviving beyond 5 years.[CancerResearchUK 2014] The majority of patients (80-
2 85%) with pancreatic cancer present with inoperable disease.[CancerResearchUK
3 2014; Sener et al. 1999]

4 In patients with resectable disease, surgery [Sener et al. 1999; Sohn et al. 2000; Geer
5 et al. 1993] followed by adjuvant chemotherapy [Neoptolemos et al. 2004; Neoptole-
6 mos et al. 2009] remains the primary modality of cure. However, major pancreatic
7 surgery places significant physiological stresses on multiple organ systems. The abil-
8 ity of the cardiac and respiratory systems, in particular, to cope with the increased
9 physiological demand placed by general anaesthesia and major pancreatic surgery
10 plays an important role in determining outcome after surgery.

11 **1.1.2 Clinical presentation**

12 The anatomical location of the pancreas, deep within the retroperitoneum sur-
13 rounded by numerous vital blood vessels including the coeliac trunk and its branches,
14 the superior mesenteric artery, portal vein and superior mesenteric vein as well as
15 proximity to other viscera such as the stomach, duodenum, transverse colon result
16 in early involvement of these structures even by relatively small tumours. Moreover,
17 symptoms are often absent in the early stages and when present are too non-specific
18 to help with diagnosis. Obstructive jaundice is the most common presenting symp-
19 tom and painless, obstructive jaundice in an elderly patient should always raise the
20 suspicion of a neoplastic process in the head of the pancreas or the perianapillary

1 region. Other non-specific symptoms include weight loss, early satiety, vomiting,
2 fatigue and pain in the epigastrium or the back.

3 **1.1.3 Diagnosis and staging**

4 Aside from a thorough history, clinical examination, blood tests including liver
5 function tests, diagnosis requires cross-sectional imaging in the form of a contrast-
6 enhanced computerised tomogram (CECT) of the abdomen using a pancreas-specific
7 protocol (a modified form of the portal-venous phase). CECT of the pancreas when
8 combined with CT Thorax also provides accurate information on staging of the
9 disease with regards to metastasis and this can be supplemented by further imag-
10 ing such as Positron Emission Tomography (PET-CT) or contrast-enhanced MRI
11 Liver in specific cases. CECT-pancreas is also useful for assessing local resectability
12 with regards to vascular involvement. Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) is also useful
13 in assessing vascular involvement and for obtaining tissue samples for histological
14 examination. In jaundiced patients, endoscopic retrograde cholangio pancreatogra-
15 phy (ERCP) plays an important role in the alleviation of jaundice by placing stents
16 across the obstructed bile ducts, accurate visualisation of the biliary anatomy as
17 well as obtaining brushings from within the bile ducts for cytological examination.
18 The role of preoperative biliary drainage is discussed in more detail in section

1.1.4 Treatment of pancreatic cancer

Pancreaticoduodenectomy followed by adjuvant chemotherapy offers the only chance of cure in patients with resectable pancreatic cancer who are fit enough to undergo surgery. In patients with unresectable disease or who are not fit to undergo surgery, palliative chemotherapy plays a limited role in prolonging survival. Assessing the resectability is discussed in the next section while the assessment of patient fitness and the impact of comorbidity are discussed in detail in section 1.4 on p14.

1.2 Surgical treatment of pancreatic cancer

Pancreaticoduodenectomy remains a technically challenging and complex surgical procedure over a hundred years after its description. The procedure was performed as a two-stage operation by a German surgeon, Walther Kausch in 1909 at Augusta-Viktoria-Krankenhaus in Berlin-Schöneberg.[Kausch 1912]. The operation was further popularised initially as a two-stage procedure by Whipple[Whipple et al. 1935] before evolving into the current single stage operation by the 1950s.[Whipple 1941; Whipple 1950]

1.2.1 Patient selection

1.2.1.1 Resectability criteria

Resectable pancreatic cancer is defined as a tumour that - does not involve the coeliac axis or the superior mesenteric artery - and is not associated with distant metastatic disease

Tumours involving the portal vein or superior mesenteric vein are considered borderline resectable and can still be resected completely (R0) with en-bloc venous resection. Research is ongoing to assess the role of neoadjuvant therapy and newer treatment modalities such as electroporation in these patients to improve resectability.

1.2.1.2 Patient factors

1.2.2 Operative technique

Pancreaticoduodenectomy is considered one of the most technically challenging operations on the gastrointestinal tract. While the procedure is carried out in a broadly similar fashion in all major centres, there remain some variations in perioperative care as well as some operative steps. The following is a description of the procedure as performed at the West of Scotland Pancreatic Unit.

After a comprehensive preoperative work-up including both assessments of the tumour as well as patient fitness, informed consent was obtained. Patients received

1 thrombo-prophylaxis on the night before surgery which was continued until discharge
2 from hospital. General anaesthesia with complete muscle relaxation was used in all
3 patients. Epidural analgesia was used routinely in patients during the early part of
4 the study period while all patients in the later half of the study period received spinal
5 diamorphine. Antibiotic prophylaxis is administered at induction. While the use of
6 Octreotide, a somatostatin analogue, to reduce the risk of postoperative pancreatic
7 fistula formation is still debated, it was routinely used in all patients at this centre.
8 Octreotide was administered intra-operatively (200 mcg s.c.) and was continued for
9 5 days postoperatively (200 mcg s.c., t.d.s.).

10 A roof-top incision was used for access. After assessing the peritoneal cavity for ab-
11 sence of metastatic disease, an early assessment was made for local resectability. This
12 involved complete Kocherisation of the duodenum to assess the retroperitoneum.
13 Both the superior mesenteric artery and coeliac axis were assessed early for tumour
14 involvement ('artery-first' approach). The rest of the procedure was performed as
15 described extensively elsewhere. The gastrocolic omentum was divided to enter the
16 lesser sac. The superior mesenteric vein was identified and a retro-pancreatic tunnel
17 was created between the pancreatic neck and the portal vein. If less than half the
18 circumference of the SMV or PV was involved, an en-bloc resection was performed
19 with vein repair at the same time. The hepatoduodenal ligament was dissected after
20 a fundus-first cholecystectomy to isolate the common bile duct which was transected
21 after ascertaining the hepatic artery anatomy. The gastro-duodenal artery was di-
22 vided. Resection was then completed by dividing the stomach (classical Whipple

1 procedure) or the first part of the duodenum (pylorus-preserving pancreaticoduo-
2 denectomy, PPPD) and transecting the pancreatic neck.

3 Reconstruction was performed as follows: Either a pancreatico-jejunostomy was
4 performed using 4-0 Biosyn sutures in a two-layer duct-to-mucosa technique or a
5 pancreatico-gastrostomy was performed using 3/0 Biosyn sutures placed in a similar
6 manner. Hepaticojejunostomy was performed using interrupted 4/0 Biosyn sutures
7 while the gastrojejunostomy or duodenojejunostomy (in PPPD) was performed
8 using continuous 3/0 PDS sutures in a 2-layers. One or two surgical drains were
9 placed and the abdomen was closed after ensuring haemostasis.

10 **1.2.3 Postoperative care**

11 All patients were routinely admitted to the Surgical High Dependency Unit un-
12 less intra-operative events necessitated admission to the Intensive Care Unit. A
13 standardised regimen of intravenous fluids, naso-jejunal feeding, mobilisation and
14 physiotherapy was implemented in all patients. Standard physiological parameters
15 including haemodynamic parameters, renal function and arterial blood gases were
16 used to monitor adequate end organ perfusion. All patients received proton pump
17 inhibitors and octreotide. Patients were discharged to the general surgical ward as
18 early as possible.

1.2.4 Complications

The incidence of complications after pancreaticoduodenectomy remains high in spite of a steady decline in postoperative mortality from over 40% in the 1950's to less than 5% in most large volume centres around the world.[DeOliveira et al. 2006; Emick et al. 2006; Yeo et al. 1997; Winter et al. 2006; Teh et al. 2009; Gouma et al. 2000]

1.2.4.1 Postoperative pancreatic fistula

Postoperative pancreatic fistula is one of the most dreaded complications after a pancreaticoduodenectomy and can be associated with significant short-term morbidity as well as long-term disability. The reported incidence of postoperative pancreatic fistula varies from 2% to 30% after pancreaticoduodenectomy.[Yeo et al. 1997; DeOliveira et al. 2006; Bassi et al. 2005; Winter et al. 2007; Pratt et al. 2008a] The variation in reported incidence has been largely due to lack of clear definition of what constituted a postoperative pancreatic fistula. It can be a result of breakdown or poor healing at the pancreaticojejunostomy/pancreaticogastrostomy or may be the result of direct parenchymal leak unrelated to the anastomosis. It is now generally accepted that 1 in 4 patients will develop a pancreatic fistula as defined by the International Study Group for Pancreatic Fistula (ISGPF) which has published a consensus statement on the definition and grading of postoperative pancreatic fistula.[Bassi et al. 2005] A postoperative pancreatic fistula is defined as drain output of any measurable quantity after the third postoperative day with amylase content

1 greater than three times the upper limit of the normal serum amylase value at the
2 laboratory used for testing. Three grades of postoperative pancreatic fistula have
3 been defined based on clinical severity as described in Table 1.1 on p12. Grade B
4 and C fistulae are considered to be clinically significant in that they alter patient
5 management and are often associated with other secondary complications such as
6 intra-abdominal sepsis, post-pancreatectomy haemorrhage, delayed gastric emptying
7 as well as need for intervention (either radiological or operative) and/or prolonged
8 critical care support.

9 **1.2.4.2 Post-pancreatectomy haemorrhage**

10 Post-pancreatectomy haemorrhage is reported to occur in 1 to 8% of patients un-
11 dergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy. However, it accounts for 11% to 38% of mor-
12 tality after pancreaticoduodenectomy. Post-pancreatectomy haemorrhage may ei-
13 ther be intra-luminal into the gastrointestinal tract or intra-abdominal into the
14 peritoneal/retro-peritoneal space. Post-pancreatectomy haemorrhage may be from
15 any of a number of potential sources although bleeding from the stump of the gas-
16 troduodenal artery is the most common cause. Other potential sources include
17 suture lines at the anastomoses, gastric/duodenal ulcers or diffuse gastritis, pseu-
18 doaneurysms of the gastro-duodenal, splenic or rarely the hepatic artery or rarely,
19 haemobilia.

20 Haemorrhage is often secondary to non-healing of the pancreatico-jejunal anasto-
21 mosis leading to leakage of amylase-rich pancreatic juices into the retroperitoneum

1 or secondary to intra-abdominal sepsis or bile leak.[Tien et al. 2005; Koukoutsis
2 et al. 2006; Choi et al. 2004; Balladur et al. 1996] This can then lead to erosion
3 of ligated blood vessels, most commonly the stump of the gastro-duodenal artery.
4 Post-pancreatectomy haemorrhage is often managed with angiographic embolisation
5 of the bleeding vessel and surgical intervention is only rarely required. The grading
6 of severity of post-pancreatectomy haemorrhage as described by the International
7 Study Group of Pancreatic Surgery[Wente et al. 2007] is shown in Table 1.2 on p12.

8 **1.2.4.3 Clavien-Dindo classification of complications**

9 A number of other adverse events may occur following pancreaticoduodenectomy
10 including cardiopulmonary complications such as myocardial infarction, cardiac ar-
11 rhythias, pneumonia, pleural effusions, wound complications such as wound sep-
12 sis and dehiscence, intra-abdominal sepsis including intra-abdominal sepsis, leakage
13 from the hepaticojejunostomy or the gastrojejunostomy, renal dysfunction, etc. The
14 Clavien-Dindo method grades the severity of complications based on the impact
15 the complication has on the management of the patient and has been validated on
16 large numbers of surgical patients.[Clavien et al. 2009; Dindo et al. 2004] This is
17 summarised in Table 1.3 on p13 and has been used to grade complications in this
18 thesis.

19 **1.3 Adjuvant and Neoadjuvant treatment**

TABLE 1.1: Postoperative pancreatic fistula: ISGPF definition.

| Grade | A | B | C |
|--|----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Clinical conditions | Well | Often well | Ill appearing/bad |
| Specific treatment | No | Yes/no | Yes |
| US/CT (if obtained) | Negative | Negative/positive | Positive |
| Persistent drainage (after 3 weeks) [†] | No | Usually yes | Yes |
| Reoperation | No | No | Yes |
| Death related to POPF | No | No | Possibly yes |
| Signs of infections | No | Yes | Yes |
| Sepsis | No | No | Yes |
| Readmission | No | Yes/no | Yes/no |

TABLE 1.2: Postpancreatectomy haemorrhage: ISGPS definition.

| Grade | A | B | C |
|---|--|---|--|
| Time of onset, location, severity and clinical impact of bleeding | Early, intra- or extraluminal, mild | Early, intra- or extraluminal, severe or Late, intra- or extraluminal, mild | Late, intra- or extraluminal, severe |
| Clinical condition | Well | Often well/ intermediate, very rarely life-threatening | Severely impaired, life-threatening |
| Diagnostic consequence | Observation, blood count, ultrasonography and, if necessary, computed tomography | Observation, blood count, ultrasonography, computed tomography, angiography, endoscopy | Angiography, computed tomography, endoscopy |
| Therapeutic consequence | No | Transfusion of fluid/blood, intermediate care unit (or ICU), therapeutic endoscopy, [†] embolization, relaparotomy for early PPH | Localization of bleeding, angiography and embolization, (endoscopy [†]) or relaparotomy, ICU |

TABLE 1.3: The Clavien-Dindo Classification of Surgical Complications

| Grade | Description |
|-------------|---|
| Grade I | Any deviation from the normal postoperative course without the need for pharmacological treatment or surgical, endoscopic and radiological interventions. |
| Grade II | Requiring pharmacological treatment with drugs other than such allowed for grade I complications. Blood transfusions and total parenteral nutrition are also included. |
| Grade III | Requiring surgical, endoscopic or radiological intervention |
| Grade IV | Grade III-a: - intervention not under general anesthesia |
| | Grade III-b: - intervention under general anesthesia |
| | Life-threatening complication (including CNS complications)‡ requiring IC/ICU-management |
| | Grade IV-a: - single organ dysfunction (including dialysis) |
| Grade V | Grade IV-b: - multi organ dysfunction |
| | Death of a patient |
| Suffix 'd': | If the patients suffers from a complication at the time of discharge, the suffix "d" (for 'disability') is added to the respective grade of complication. This label indicates the need for a follow-up to fully evaluate the complication. |

1.4 Comorbidity and Risk Stratification

1.4.1 Comorbidity

Comorbidity is defined as the presence of or the effect of other diseases that a patient has in addition to the primary disease of interest. The presence of comorbid conditions is associated with adverse outcomes in patients undergoing treatment for pancreatic cancer[Mann et al. 2010] and often limits therapeutic options available due to the associated complications or side effects of surgery or chemoradiotherapy.[Sandroussi et al. 2010]

Patients with multiple comorbidities are more likely to have higher readmission rates, morbidity and mortality following discharge after pancreaticoduodenectomy.[Schneider et al. 2012] DeOliveira and co-workers reported that cardiovascular disease was a risk factor not only for overall morbidity but also complication severity after pancreaticoduodenectomy.[DeOliveira et al. 2006] Cancer cachexia is associated with increased incidence of complications and mortality after pancreaticoduodenectomy[Pausch et al. 2012] while obesity is known to be associated with greater incidence and severity of postoperative complications.[Benms et al. 2009]

Major pancreatic surgery requires the patient to have adequate physiological reserve to cope with the increased demand during and immediately after surgery. However, existing methods of measuring the impact of comorbidity on physiological fitness are limited and do not adequately predict outcomes after major pancreatic surgery. [Shah et al. 2012]

1.4.2 Risk Stratification

Physiological fitness or reserve may be defined as the ability of the patient's organ systems to respond appropriately and adequately to the stress of major surgery. Major surgery places a significant physiological stress on multiple organ systems, especially the cardiorespiratory system. The ability of the cardiorespiratory system as well as other physiological systems including renal, gastrointestinal, hepatic, coagulatory and immunological systems to cope with major surgery and the postoperative recovery plays a major role in determining short-term outcomes.

Accurate measurement of physiological fitness

1.4.3 Static Versus Dynamic Testing

Objective measurement of oxygen delivery at the tissue level at times of physiological stress allows for identification of patients who may struggle during the perioperative phase. Identification of such high-risk patients allows not only for improved patient selection, but also for risk-stratified, anaesthetic and postoperative critical care. Preoperative risk stratification will also allow for prehabilitation of these patients in an attempt to improve outcomes.

Several tests have been used for preoperative assessment of cardiac function. These include - electrocardiography - echocardiography - exercise tolerance testing - myocardial perfusion scans

1 Tests of respiratory function that are commonly performed in selected patients un-
2 dergoing major surgery include - pulmonary function tests including forced expira-
3 tory volume and forced vital capacity - spirometry

4 However, neither of the above cardiac or respiratory function tests adequately mea-
5 sure the ability of the cardiopulmonary and circulatory systems to deliver oxygen to
6 the tissues at times of increased demand.

7 **1.5 Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing**

8 **1.5.1 History of CPET in Surgery**

9 **1.5.2 Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test Methodology**

10 CPET is composed of several components that involve measuring not only the re-
11 sponse of the cardiac and respiratory system to exercise but the test also helps
12 establish the adequacy of this response to sustain oxygen delivery to skeletal muscle
13 as demand increases with increasing exercise.

14 Cardiopulmonary exercise tests were performed in the Department of Respiratory
15 Medicine at the Glasgow Royal Infirmary using the ZAN-600 CPET suite (nSpire
16 Health, Longmont, CO 80501, USA). The equipment was calibrated regularly to the
17 standards set by the manufacturer and currently published guidelines[]. All tests

1 were performed by specialist respiratory physiologists. Suitable equipment for car-
2 diopulmonary resuscitation were available in the department in case of unexpected
3 problems. The department was situated within the main hospital premises and
4 therefore was easily accessible to the hospital cardiac arrest team. All patients were
5 fully informed of the steps involved in the procedure, the reasons for performing the
6 test as well as the risks involved.


7 Spirometry was performed in all patients prior to CPET. Capillary blood gases were
8 measured in all patients after CPET. An electronically braked cycle ergometer was
9 used to increase resistance to pedalling in preset increments. A tight-fitting face
10 mask was placed on the patient covering the nose and the mouth. This allowed
11 breath-by-breath gas analysis thus allowing measurement of several respiratory pa-
12 rameters as listed in table []. 12-lead electrocardiogram was recorded at the same
13 time.

14 The test started with an initial 3-minute rest period to allow measurement of baseline
15 parameters. This was followed by an incremental work-load test that involved the
16 patient pedalling approximately at 60 revolutions per minute while the resistance
17 to pedalling was gradually increased in preset increments. The test was terminated
18 when patients reached volitional fatigue (maximal exercise tolerance), significant
19 ischaemic changes on ECG or for other safety reasons.

20 The parameters measured at spirometry are shown in Table 1.4 and those measured
21 during cardiopulmonary exercise testing are shown in Table 1.5 on p31.

1.5.3 Measuring the Anaerobic Threshold

The anaerobic threshold (variously described as the lactate threshold or ventilatory threshold) is the point during exercise when oxygen demand by exercising skeletal muscle outstrips supply. Therefore, muscle tissues use anaerobic respiration to supplement aerobic respiration to continue generation of ATP. The resulting metabolic lactic acidosis is almost immediately compensated by the bicarbonate buffer as below:



The resulting excess CO_2 is exhaled and is one of the many parameters measured during cardiopulmonary exercise testing. This transition from aerobic to anaerobic respiration may be determined using the V-slope method[Sue et al. 1988] or the ventilatory equivalents method.[Beaver et al. 1986] Most centres, like ours, use both methods supplemented by information from a variety of other parameters to enable accurate determination of the anaerobic threshold as recommended by the American Thoracic Society/American College of Chest Physicians Statement on cardiopulmonary exercise testing.[Society et al. 2003]

The software presents a standard 9-panel view of trending plots of various parameters measured during incremental exercise. All of these trends are taken into consideration rather than any one particular parameter value in determining the overall outcome of the test. A sample 9-panel view derived from parameters belonging to one of the patients studied is shown in Figure 1.1. The data used to generate these plots is included in Appendix A.

1.5.3.1 V-slope method

During aerobic exercise, $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{V}CO_2$ share a linear relationship as shown in segment A of the graph in figure 1. However, as anaerobic respiration starts to supplement aerobic respiration, $\dot{V}CO_2$ increases disproportionate to $\dot{V}O_2$ as a direct result of the respiratory buffer described in equation 1.1 on p18. This results in a distinct difference in the slope of the initial part of the graph (seg A) and the later part (seg B). The point at which the two slopes intersect is the anaerobic threshold and the $\dot{V}O_2$ at this point in exercise is commonly referred to as the anaerobic threshold, $\dot{V}O_{2at}$ or simply AT.

1.5.3.2 Ventilatory equivalents method

[...]

1.6 Description of CPET Parameters

1.6.1 Exercise Load

The most common form of cardiopulmonary exercise testing for clinical purposes involves a cycle ergometer with steadily increasing resistance delivered through electric braking allowing accurate measurement of work load in Watts. The relationship between $\dot{V}O_2$ and work rate is usually linear and the slope of this relationship is

1 independent of sex, age or height. An abnormality in this relationship is usually due
 2 to cardiopulmonary or circulatory causes.

3 **1.6.2 Minute Ventilation, \dot{V}_E**

4 Minute ventilation or respiratory minute volume is the volume of air that is in-
 5 haled/expired in a minute.

$$\dot{V}_E = \dot{V}_T \times Bf \quad (1.2)$$

6 where \dot{V}_T = Tidal Volume and Bf = Breathing Frequency.

7 Increasing \dot{V}_E is one of the main mechanisms involved in increasing oxygen delivery
 8 during exercise. It is also an important factor in clearing CO_2 from the blood.

9 **1.6.3 Oxygen Uptake, \dot{V}_{O_2}**

10 \dot{V}_{O_2} or oxygen uptake is measured breath-by-breath using digital analysis of the
 11 inspired and expired gases. This is then averaged, usually over time, to smooth-out
 12 any significant breath-by-breath variation. \dot{V}_{O_2} increases with increasing work load
 13 and is influenced by several factors that have a role in the transport and utilisation
 14 of oxygen. These may be broadly classified as cardiac, pulmonary, circulatory and
 15 tissue factors. Some of the factors are encompassed in the following formula for \dot{V}_{O_2} .

$$\dot{V}_{O_2} = CaO_2 \times Cardiac\ Output \quad (1.3)$$

1 where CaO_2 is O_2 content per ml of blood and is defined by,

$$CaO_2 = Haemoglobin \times 1.34 \times SaO_2 \quad (1.4)$$

2 and cardiac output, the primary cardiac factor that influences \dot{V}_{O_2} , is:

$$Cardiac\ Output = Stroke\ Volume \times Heart\ Rate \quad (1.5)$$

3 Stroke volume is in turn influenced by ventricular function and end-diastolic volumes.

4 The heart rate response to exercise is discussed in section 1.6.8 on p23.

5 Pulmonary gas exchange plays an important role in the oxygenation of blood and re-
6 moval of CO_2 and is influenced by numerous factors, the detailed discussion of which
7 is beyond the scope of this chapter. However, ventilation, pulmonary blood flow,
8 gas-exchange across the alveolar membrane and ventilation-perfusion mismatches
9 (V/Q mismatch) all play an important role in determining the response of the lungs
10 to exercise.

11 The quality of the peripheral circulation, both anatomical and its physiologic re-
12 sponse to exercise which involves redistribution of blood flow to exercising muscle,
13 has an important role in increasing availability of oxygen. The oxygen carrying ca-
14 pacity of blood determined by haemoglobin concentration, its saturation and the O_2
15 dissociation curve as well as the ability of tissues to extract and utilise oxygen are
16 equally important factors that influence \dot{V}_{O_2} .

1.6.4 Oxygen Pulse, O_2Pulse

Oxygen pulse is defined as the oxygen uptake per heart beat.

$$O_2Pulse = \frac{\dot{V}_{O_2}}{Heart\ rate} \quad (1.6)$$

While some authors have suggested that oxygen pulse may be a surrogate for stroke volume others disagree. The clinical application of oxygen pulse in surgical patients remains unclear.

1.6.5 Respiratory Exchange Ratio, RER

The ratio of $\dot{V}_{CO_2}/\dot{V}_{O_2}$ is called the Respiratory Exchange Ratio. An RER greater than 1.0 may be caused either by lactic acidosis or due to hyperventilation. The RER is also a marker of the fuel being used for metabolism with RER less than 1.0 indicating mixed fuel source in the form of carbohydrate and fat while an RER of 1.0 or greater indicates a primarily carbohydrate source.

1.6.6 Ventilatory Equivalent for O_2 and CO_2 , \dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{O_2} , \dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{CO_2}

The change in \dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{O_2} and \dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{CO_2} during exercise provide valuable information regarding the ventilatory response to exercise. Both \dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{O_2} and \dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{CO_2} tend to decrease initially during exercise. However, as the anaerobic threshold is passed, \dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{O_2} starts increasing before \dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{CO_2} . This change in direction is yet another

1 method to confirm the anaerobic threshold. \dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{CO_2} eventually starts increasing as
2 well as respiratory compensation of metabolic acidosis results in increased \dot{V}_E .

3 **1.6.7 End-tidal O_2 and CO_2 , $P_{ET_{O_2}}$, $P_{ET_{CO_2}}$**

4 $P_{ET_{O_2}}$ and $P_{ET_{CO_2}}$ are the partial pressures of O_2 and CO_2 at the end of an
5 exhaled breath and are closely related to PaO_2 and $PaCO_2$ respectively. $P_{ET_{CO_2}}$
6 is dependent on pulmonary gas-exchange which is in turn influenced by the right
7 ventricular output, pulmonary blood flow and alveolar gas exchange. The changes
8 in $P_{ET_{O_2}}$ and $P_{ET_{CO_2}}$ during exercise help identify ventilation-perfusion mismatch
9 as well as hyperventilation.

10 **1.6.8 Heart Rate, HR**

11 The heart rate response during exercise in healthy individuals is a linear function
12 of \dot{V}_{O_2} increasing linearly with increasing work load and increasing \dot{V}_{O_2} . The dif-
13 ference between the predicted peak heart rate and the observed peak heart rate
14 is called the Heart Rate Reserve or HRR. Failure to achieve the predicted peak
15 heart rate or a wide HRR may be due to cardiac disease or due to medication used
16 to treat cardiovascular disorders such as beta-blockers or calcium-channel blockers.
17 This information in conjunction with 12-lead ECG evidence of ischaemia provides
18 undeniable evidence of primary cardiac dysfunction.

1.6.9 Breathing frequency, B_f

1.7 Role of CPET in preoperative assessment

1.7.1 General Surgery

Several studies over the past 2 decades have established the value of cardiopulmonary exercise testing in patients, especially elderly, undergoing major abdominal surgery.

Older and co-workers conducted a prospective study of 187 patients over the age of 60 undergoing major abdominal surgery. All patients underwent a symptom-limited exercise test on a cycle ergometer with real-time 12-lead ECG monitoring. The average \dot{V}_{O_2} across all patients was 12.4 ml/min/kg. There were a total of 11 deaths related to cardiovascular causes (5.9%) and 3 deaths due to non-cardiovascular causes. They reported that 10 out of the 11 deaths due to cardiovascular causes occurred in patients with $\dot{V}_{O_2}AT < 11$ ml/kg/min (n=55) while only one patient in the group with $\dot{V}_{O_2}AT \geq 11$ ml/kg/min (n=132) died of cardiovascular complications (18% vs 0.8%, $p < 0.001$). Eight out of the 10 patients in the low $\dot{V}_{O_2}AT$ group who died also had evidence of myocardial ischaemia ($p < 0.01$). [Older et al. 1993] In a later, larger prospective study of 548 patients who underwent major abdominal surgery including colorectal and abdominal aneurysm surgery, they used a risk stratification system that combined $\dot{V}_{O_2}AT < 11$ ml/kg/min, $\dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{CO_2} > 35$ and evidence of myocardial ischaemia during exercise. High risk patients ($\dot{V}_{O_2}AT < 11$ ml/kg/min, n=153) were admitted to intensive care after surgery and had a mortality due to

1 cardiovascular causes of 4.6%. Moderate risk group ($\dot{V}_{O_2}AT > 11\text{ml/kg/min}$ and
2 either $\dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{CO_2} > 35$ or evidence of myocardial ischaemia, $n=115$) was managed
3 in the high dependency unit after surgery and had a cardiovascular mortality of
4 1.7%. Low risk patients (with none of the risk factors mentioned above, $n=280$)
5 were managed on the general surgical ward with no cardiovascular mortality.[Older
6 et al. 1999] Subsequent literature reviews by Older and co-workers have emphasised
7 the value of cardiopulmonary exercise testing in risk assessment as well as optimising
8 perioperative care in the high-risk surgical patient. [Older et al. 2000; Older et al.
9 2004; Older et al. 2005]

10 These findings have since been replicated in several other studies although the thresh-
11 old value of $\dot{V}_{O_2}AT$ as well as the inclusion of other CPET parameters to attribute
12 risk differ in these studies.

13 Hightower and co-workers studied 32 patients over the age of 18 undergoing a variety
14 of major elective abdominal surgery. In this heterogenous cohort, they reported
15 that the percent predicted anaerobic threshold achieved $< 75\%$, heart rate at the
16 anaerobic threshold and the heart rate response from rest to anaerobic threshold
17 were all independently associated with postoperative morbidity. While it is difficult
18 to extrapolate results from this small heterogenous cohort to the general surgical
19 population, it would appear that other cardiopulmonary exercise test parameters
20 may have a role in predicting risk in these patients.[Hightower et al. 2010]

21 Snowden and coworkers reported in a study of 171 patients of who 123 underwent

1 surgery, that $\dot{V}_{O_2}AT < 10.1$ ml/kg/min was associated with not only cardiovas-
2 cular complications but also with other complications including pulmonary, renal,
3 gastrointestinal, infective and haematological complications. One of the strengths
4 of this study was the fact that the clinicians treating these patients were blinded
5 to the results of preoperative cardiopulmonary exercise testing results thus avoid-
6 ing management bias. They also included other cardiopulmonary exercise test pa-
7 rameters such as $\dot{V}_{O_2}Peak$ and \dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{CO_2} at the anaerobic threshold in addition to
8 $\dot{V}_{O_2}AT$ in their analysis and showed that $\dot{V}_{O_2}AT$ was more predictive of postoper-
9 ative morbidity than POSSUM derived morbidity, cardiac risk scoring index or a
10 validated activity questionnaire. However, this study also included a heterogenous
11 cohort of diseases including vascular, pancreatic and hepatobiliary disorders and
12 sarcomas.[Snowden et al. 2010]

13 In a study of 847 patients undergoing elective abdominal surgery for colorectal
14 disease, bladder or renal cancer, Wilson and co-workers found that $\dot{V}_{O_2}AT < 11$
15 ml/kg/min in patients with no documented history of cardiac risk factors was asso-
16 ciated with a relative risk of mortality of 10.0 (95% CI 1.7-61.9).[Wilson et al. 2010]
17 This emphasises the value of cardiopulmonary exercise testing in diagnosing sub-
18 clinical or previously undiagnosed (and untreated) cardiovascular and respiratory
19 disease. Moreover, 90-day survival was also better in patients with better aerobic
20 capacity ($\dot{V}_{O_2}AT > 11$ ml/kg/min and $\dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{CO_2} < 34$) and in patients without is-
21 chaemic heart disease. It would appear therefore that This appears to suggest that
22 aerobic capacity influenced not only postoperative outcomes but also medium term
23 survival after patients left hospital.

1.7.2 Oesophago-gastric and bariatric surgery

In a retrospective study involving 91 patients who underwent curative oesophagectomy with 3-field lymphadenectomy via a right thoracotomy for squamous cell carcinoma of the thoracic oesophagus between 1991 and 1995, Nagamatsu and co-workers reported that $\dot{V}_{O_2}max/m^2 < 800ml/min/m^2$ predicted cardiopulmonary complications.[Nagamatsu et al. 2001] They also reported that $\dot{V}_{O_2}AT/m^2$ and routine spirometry did not predict cardiopulmonary complications. They recommended that in patients with $\dot{V}_{O_2}max/m^2 < 800ml/min/m^2$, surgical treatment be modified either into a 2-stage procedure or a trans-hiatal procedure avoiding a thoracotomy where possible.

Cardiopulmonary exercise testing has also been useful in predicting short-term complications after bariatric surgery. McCullough and co-workers defined a composite primary outcome measure that included myocardial infarction, unstable angina, deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, renal failure, stroke and death and applied this in a cohort of 109 consecutive patients who underwent Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery. This composite adverse outcome was more likely in patients with high BMI (> 45) and low $\dot{V}_{O_2}Peak$ ($< 15.9ml/kg/min$). In fact, patients with neither of these two risk factors had no complications after surgery. They also observed a significant negative relationship between BMI and \dot{V}_{O_2} ($p < 0.0001$) and age($p < 0.0001$). Poor aerobic capacity was also associated with the presence of diabetes and hypertension suggesting further evidence of the metabolic cost of obesity in these patients.[McCullough 2006]

1 However, Forshaw and co-workers reported that cardiopulmonary exercise test-
2 ing was of limited use in predicting complications after oesophagectomy.[Forshaw
3 et al. 2008] They studied 78 patients undergoing either trans-hiatal (n=39) or
4 trans-thoracic (n=39) oesophagectomy. They evaluated several thresholds for both
5 \dot{V}_{O_2} Peak and \dot{V}_{O_2} AT and found no useful correlation with cardiopulmonary com-
6 plications, non-cardiopulmonary complications, length of stay in critical care or in
7 hospital. While \dot{V}_{O_2} Peak was significantly lower in patients who developed post-
8 operative cardiopulmonary complications, receiver-operator characteristics (ROC)
9 analysis did not identify a clinically useful threshold that would stratify patients into
10 different risk groups. They postulated that the fact that post-oesophagectomy com-
11 plications such as anastomotic leak or sepsis often happen within the thorax and are
12 not necessarily due to cardiopulmonary dysfunction, preoperative cardiopulmonary
13 exercise testing may not have identified these patients. Older and Hall, the pioneers
14 of cardiopulmonary exercise test in surgical patients, also raise this issue in their
15 letter to the authors of the above study. [Hall et al. 2009]

16 **1.7.3 Vascular Surgery**

17 **1.7.4 Liver Transplantation**

18 Peak $\dot{V}_{O_2} < 60\%$ predicted and \dot{V}_{O_2} AT $< 50\%$ predicted have been reported to be
19 associated with increased 100-day mortality in patients undergoing liver transplan-
20 tation. In patients with cirrhosis awaiting hepatic transplantation, reduced aerobic

1 capacity may not only be due to primary cardiorespiratory insufficiency but may also
2 be due to the secondary effects of hepatic dysfunction itself. These include cirrhotic
3 cardiomyopathy, hepatopulmonary syndrome and decreased peripheral oxygen util-
4 isation due to cirrhotic myopathy.[Epstein et al. 2004] This is further supported by
5 the fact that patients who undergo liver transplantation have been shown to have
6 improved aerobic capacity a year after surgery. [Iscar et al. 2009] However, there is
7 very little other evidence of the application of cardiopulmonary exercise testing in
8 patients undergoing major hepato-pancreato-biliary surgery or liver transplantation.

9 **1.7.5 Thoracic Surgery**




FIGURE 1.1: 9-panel view of trending parameters during incremental cardiopulmonary exercise.

TABLE 1.4: Parameters measured at spirometry.

| Parameter | Units | Description |
|-----------|--------|--------------------------------------|
| FVC | litres | Forced Vital Capacity |
| FEV1 | litres | Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second |
| FEV1/FVC | % | Tiffeneau-Pinelli[1] index |

TABLE 1.5: Common parameters measured at cardiopulmonary exercise testing.

| Parameter | Units | Description |
|-----------|-------------|--|
| %peakVO2 | % | VO2 as a % of predicted VO2Peak |
| Load | Watts | Exercise Workload |
| VE | litres/min | Ventilatory Equivalent |
| Vt | litres | Tidal volume |
| VO2 | litres/min | Absolute Oxygen uptake/consumption |
| VO2/kg | ml/(kg*min) | Corrected Oxygen uptake/consumption |
| VE/VO2 | | Ventilatory Equivalent for O ₂ |
| VCO2 | litres/min | Carbon-dioxide output |
| VE/VCO2 | | Ventilatory Equivalent for CO ₂ |
| RER | | Respiratory Exchange Ratio |
| PETO2 | mmHg | End Tidal O2 |
| PETCO2 | mmHg | End Tidal CO2 |
| O2Pulse | ml/beat | Oxygen pulse |
| HR | beats/min | Heart Rate |
| Bf | /min | Breathing Frequency |
| P(A-a)O2 | mmHg | Alveolar-arterial PO2 difference |
| Vd/Vt | | Physiologic dead space-to-tidal volume ratio |
| SBP | mmHg | Systolic blood pressure |
| DBP | mmHg | Diastolic blood pressure |
| O2sat | % | Oxygen saturation |

1.8 Systemic inflammation and outcome

The host inflammatory response to cancer, comorbidity and surgical trauma has been known to influence both short-term and long-term outcomes after major cancer surgery. Moreover, postoperative complications have been reported to be associated with poorer oncologic outcomes and cancer-specific survival in patients undergoing potentially curative surgery for cancer. The complex interactions between pro-inflammatory cytokines and anti-inflammatory cytokines at different phases during the perioperative period further impact upon the incidence of complications as well as survival.

1.8.1 Measuring systemic inflammation

Numerous tests are available to not only measure systemic inflammation in general but also to quantify the various components of the inflammatory response. The most commonly employed measures in the clinical setting are the serum levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) and the differential leucocyte count.

One of the earliest reports on the use of CRP to predict cancer-specific survival was by McMillan and co-workers in 1995 when they reported that an elevated CRP 4 months after curative resection for colorectal cancer was associated with earlier recurrence.[McMillan et al. 1995] The modified Glasgow Prognostic Score (mGPS)[Elahi et al. 2004] is based on a combination of C-reactive protein and serum albumin and is outlined in Table 1.6. Since its introduction, mGPS has been

1 validated in over a hundred studies looking at several thousand patients with a wide-
 2 range of cancers and an increasing score is associated with poorer long-term survival
 3 in patients with operable as well as inoperable cancers.

TABLE 1.6: The modified Glasgow Prognostic Score

| mGPS | CRP (mg/dL) | Albumin (mg/dL) |
|------|-------------|-----------------|
| 0 | ≤ 10 | ≥ 35 |
| 1 | > 10 | ≥ 35 |
| 2 | > 10 | < 35 |

4 1.8.2 Systemic inflammation and long-term survival

5 Systemic inflammation is associated with poorer survival in patients undergoing
 6 potentially curative surgery for pancreatic cancer [Jamieson et al. 2005; Clark et
 7 al. 2007; Bhatti et al. 2010] as well as in patients with inoperable pancreatic can-
 8 cer.[Glen et al. 2006] Patients with ductal adenocarcinoma of the head of the pan-
 9 creas undergoing potentially curative resection survived for a median of 21.5 months
 10 if their CRP was ≤ 10 mg/dl a month after their surgery but only 8.4 months if
 11 their CRP remained persistently elevated at over 10 mg/dl approximately a month
 12 after their operation.[Jamieson et al. 2005] Similar findings have been reported in
 13 cancers involving other organs using both the mGPS and other scores such as the
 14 neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR). A selection of these studies are presented in
 15 Table

1.8.3 Systemic inflammation and postoperative complications

Abnormalities of systemic inflammatory processes present as a continuum that starts in the preoperative phase possibly as a consequence of underlying comorbid illnesses, presence of cancer, or an abnormality of the immune system or a due to a combination of all of these factors. Surgical trauma in such 'primed' patients results in a cascade of events that trigger several inflammatory pathways that have now shown to have a direct impact not only on the incidence of postoperative complications but also on cancer recurrence and long-term survival.

1.8.3.1 Preoperative systemic inflammation

Elevated levels of interleukin-6, alpha-1 antitrypsin and CRP and decreased levels of albumin and prealbumin before surgery have been reported to be associated with a more exaggerated postoperative systemic inflammatory response and infectious complications after major abdominal surgery.[Haupt et al. 1997]

Preoperative systemic inflammation has been reported to be associated with infectious complications in patients undergoing potentially curative surgery for colorectal cancer.[Moyes et al. 2009] In a study of 455 patients, Moyes and coworkers reported that an elevated preoperative modified Glasgow Prognostic Score (1.6) was associated with increased incidence of infectious complications in patients undergoing elective as well emergency colorectal cancer surgery. They postulated that several

1 mechanisms may have a role including dysregulation of cell-mediated immunity, im-
2 paired T-lymphocyte response, disorders in the complement pathway and possibly
3 due to loss of lean tissue and protein as a consequence of systemic inflammation.
4 Preoperative mGPS has also been shown to predict postoperative morbidity in pa-
5 tients undergoing oesophageal resection for cancer.[Vashist et al. 2010]

6 **1.8.3.2 Postoperative systemic inflammation**

7 An exaggerated and persistent systemic inflammatory response in the early postop-
8 erative period is associated with an increased incidence of complications. One of the
9 earliest studies comparing several 'acute-phase proteins' and their role in predict-
10 ing postoperative complications reported that in patients who developed surgical
11 inflammatory complications, CRP remained elevated after the third postoperative
12 day while other acute-phase proteins such as ceruloplasmin and alpha-1 antitrypsin
13 were not useful in monitoring the postoperative course.[Fischer et al. 1976]

14 Further studies have established the value of monitoring trends in serum CRP levels
15 in predicting complications after both elective and emergency surgery.[Mustard et
16 al. 1987]

17 In a study of 383 patients undergoing elective rectal cancer surgery with primary
18 anastomosis, Welsch and co-workers reported that persistently raised CRP level over
19 140 mg/L after the third/fourth postoperative day was associated with anastomotic
20 leak.[Welsch et al. 2007] They also reported in a separate study of 688 patients un-
21 dergoing pancreatic resection with pancreaticojejunostomy for neoplastic disease or

1 chronic pancreatitis, that persistently elevated CRP levels greater than 140 mg/L
2 on the fourth postoperative day was associated with increased incidence of compli-
3 cations.

4 Similar findings have been reported after elective colorectal surgery[Ortega-Deballon
5 et al. 2010; Woeste et al. 2010], oesophago-gastric surgery[Dutta et al. 2011], spinal
6 surgery[Meyer et al. 1995; Mok et al. 2008], neurosurgery[Al-Jabi et al. 2010], simul-
7 taneous pancreas-kidney transplantation[Wullstein et al. 2004], stem-cell transplan-
8 tation[McNeer et al. 2010] and paediatric surgery[Laporta Baez et al. 2011].

9 While CRP level between the third and fifth postoperative day has been reported
10 to be most predictive of complications, the complications themselves do not become
11 clinically apparent until a later in the postoperative course, often after the fifth post-
12 operative period. This has led some authors to postulate that the elevated CRP
13 levels may in fact be due to an abnormally modulated postoperative inflammatory
14 response resulting in an initial exaggerated systemic inflammatory response syn-
15 drome (SIRS) followed by a compensatory anti-inflammatory response syndrome
16 (CARS).

17 **1.8.3.3 Compensatory Anti-inflammatory Response Syndrome (CARS)**

18 The compensatory anti-inflammatory response syndrome is characterised by several
19 features including reduction in lymphocyte numbers by apoptosis, decreased respon-
20 siveness of monocytes to cytokines, reduced number of human leukocyte antigen

1 presenting receptors on monocytes, expression of cytokines that suppress Tumour
2 Necrosis Factor (TNF) and clonal anergy.

3 In their seminal work on the role of SIRS and CARS in the pathogenesis of sep-
4 sis and organ dysfunction, Bone and co-workers described a state of 'immunologic
5 dissonance' where a 'pre-primed' immune system may result in an inappropriate,
6 out-of-balance massive pro-inflammatory response which is followed by a propor-
7 tionately large compensatory anti-inflammatory response that leaves the patient
8 immunosuppressed and prone to further organ dysfunction, infections and death.
9 [Bone et al. 1997; Bone 1996] It is very likely that similar mechanisms are involved
10 in surgical patients except that the initial stressor in this case is surgical trauma
11 rather than a bacterial infection as in sepsis.

12 This form of 'immunoparalysis' was first described in patients after major trauma
13 with tissue damage [Abraham et al. 1985; Bandyopadhyay et al. 2007] or after
14 haemorrhage on its own without associated tissue trauma. [Stephan et al. 1987] In a
15 detailed review of the mechanisms underlying the compensatory anti-inflammatory
16 response syndrome, Ward and coworkers describe SIRS and CARS to be mirror
17 images suggesting that a disproportionately high SIRS is followed by a period of
18 immunosuppression that leaves the patient prone to further complications.[Ward et
19 al. 2008]

20 Patients who developed infectious complications after major cancer surgery had
21 higher levels of interleukin-10 (IL-10), an anti-inflammatory cytokine and marker
22 of the compensatory anti-inflammatory process.[Mokart et al. 2002] Major surgery

1 and the associated surgical trauma is associated with elevated levels of IL-10 which
2 in turn is associated with increase in lymphocyte apoptosis [Delogu et al. 2001],
3 reduced monocyte expression of HLA-DR antigens [Klava et al. 1997] and a blunted
4 response to endotoxins [Ogata et al. 2000; Kawasaki et al. 2001], all considered to
5 be key features of a compensatory anti-inflammatory response syndrome.

6 Yamaguchi and co-workers compared the levels of pro- and anti-inflammatory cy-
7 tokines in patients undergoing cholecystectomy versus patients undergoing trans-
8 thoracic oesophagectomy. They reported that the initial inflammatory phase was
9 followed by an immunosuppressive phase that started around the seventh postop-
10 erative day in patients undergoing oesophagectomy. However, patient who under-
11 went underwent an open cholecystectomy did not experience this immunosuppressive
12 phase, leading them to postulate that the degree of immunosuppression was directly
13 proportional to the initial pro-inflammatory process. This in turn was related to the
14 greater degree of surgical stress and tissue trauma that occurs with a trans-thoracic
15 oesophagectomy. They also reported that in a randomised cohort that received an
16 infusion of lymphokine-activated natural killer cells immediately after oesophagec-
17 tomy, there was a trend towards fewer infectious complications.[Yamaguchi et al.
18 2006]

19 **1.8.4 Postoperative complications and long-term survival**

20 There has been increasing evidence that postoperative complications not only have
21 an impact on the short-term outcomes but also on long-term survival after major

1 cancer surgery. A recent meta-analysis of 21 studies including 21,902 patients found
2 that anastomotic leakage was associated with earlier local recurrence after rectal
3 cancer surgery, a trend towards early local recurrence in other colonic cancer surgery
4 and a significant reduction in overall survival.[Mirnezami et al. 2011] The reviewers
5 suggested that several mechanisms may be involved in early recurrence including
6 local spillage of cancer cells from within the bowel lumen. However, the role of the
7 local inflammatory processes that occur as a consequence of anastomotic leakage
8 may play a more important role. This inflammatory process with the attendant
9 milieu of pro-inflammatory cytokines and angiogenic factors may provide a fertile
10 ground for tumour seeding and proliferation.

11 McArdle and co-workers reported in their study of 2235 patients undergoing colorec-
12 tal cancer surgery that anastomotic leakage was associated with early local recur-
13 rence and reduced survival. They suggested that the 'double-hit' of surgery followed
14 by anastomotic leak may result in an inflammatory response that is greater and
15 more protracted and that this may explain the poorer cancer outcomes in these pa-
16 tients.[McArdle et al. 2005] In a study of 207 patients undergoing surgery for Duke's
17 B colorectal cancer, Katoh and co-workers reported that anastomotic leakage and
18 persistently elevated CRP 2 weeks after surgery were independent risk factors for sys-
19 temic recurrence, further emphasising the important role of inflammation in cancer
20 recurrence as a consequence of complications.[Katoh et al. 2011] Wound infections
21 and intra-abdominal infections have also been associated with poorer survival in
22 colorectal cancer patients.[Nespoli et al. 2006] Similar findings have been reported
23 after curative surgery for advanced gastric cancer with patients who develop an

1 anastomotic leak surviving for 30.5 months while patients who did not have a leak
2 survived for a median of 96.2 months ($p < 0.001$). [Yoo et al. 2011]

3 Patients who develop severe postoperative complications after pancreaticoduodenec-
4 tomy for cancer had significantly shortened survival in a study involving 428 patients
5 (16.5 vs. 12.4 months, $p = 0.002$) and this was independent of other recognised risk
6 factors such as tumour grade and lymph node status. [**kamphues'postoperative'2011**
7] Similar finding were reported by Raut and co-workers in their study of 360 pa-
8 tients who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic ductal adenocarci-
9 noma [Raut et al. 2007] and by Kang and co-workers in their report on 103 patients
10 undergoing R0 resections for cancer of the pancreatic head. [Kang et al. 2009]

11 These reports in conjunction with the studies on preoperative inflammation, sepsis,
12 SIRS and CARS emphasise the important role of perioperative systemic inflam-
13 mation as a causative factor in postoperative complications and the impact of the
14 'second-hit' of postoperative complications on long-term survival after curative can-
15 cer surgery.

1.8.5 Relationship between systemic inflammation and co-morbidity

1.9 The Jaundiced Patient

Obstructive jaundice is the most common presenting symptom in patients with pancreatic cancer involving the head of the pancreas and the perampullary region due to the anatomical location of the distal bile duct. Obstructive jaundice is known to have a wide range of effects on multiple organ systems including the cardiovascular system, immune system, coagulation cascade, as well as hepatic function.

Until recently, major surgery in jaundiced patients has been considered to be more prone to adverse postoperative events. While this concept has been recently challenged, surgeons remain wary of operating on the severely jaundiced patient. In fact, pancreaticoduodenectomy was initially described as a two-stage procedure where the first stage involved a biliary bypass aimed at relieving obstructive jaundice before the second stage of resection was carried out.

1.9.1 Impact of jaundice on cardiovascular physiology

The detrimental effects of jaundice on the heart and the circulatory system has been recognised for over 150 years now. King and coworkers reported that the intravenous injection of bile caused bradycardia, hypotension and eventually death in dogs.[King et al. 1909] The concept of a '*jaundiced heart*' was first put forth by Green and

1 coworkers in 1986.[Green et al. 1986] They performed choledocho-caval anastomoses
2 in 5 dogs and studied cardiac function before and 2 weeks after this procedure. They
3 reported that 'cholemia' was associated with impaired left ventricular function and
4 blunted reponse to sympathomimetic agents. Similar findings have been reported
5 by other authors in animal studies. [Binah et al. 1985; Bomzon et al. 1986]

6 The role of atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) has also been investigated. Obstructive
7 jaundice is associated with increased levels of ANP as a result of increased cardiac
8 endocrine activity in bile duct ligated rabbits.[Pereira et al. 1994] Similar findings
9 have been reported in humans as well. [Gallardo et al. 1998; Martínez-Ródenas et al.
10 1998]

11 Moreover, relief of obstructive jaundice is associated with improvement in endocrine
12 markers of fluid homeostasis as well as cardiac function. [Padillo et al. 2001; Gallardo
13 et al. 1998] Padillo and co-workers reported that there was a negative correlation
14 between serum bilirubin levels and left ventricular systolic work and this was associ-
15 ated with elevated ANP and BNP (brain natriuretic peptide) levels. However, both
16 ANP and BNP levels decreased after biliary drainage and there was a significant
17 improvement in cardiac output, cardiac index, systolic volume and left ventricular
18 systolic work.[Padillo et al. 2001]

19 More recently, TNF- α levels have been reported to mediate cardiac dysfunction in
20 animal studies and treatment with an anti-TNF- α agent restored myocardial con-
21 tractility.[Yang et al. 2010] Obstructive jaundice is also associated with systemic

hypotension and there has been increasing evidence that some of this may be mediated by bile acid receptors on the vascular tree.[Green et al. 1995; Lefebvre et al. 2009] Bile acids can thus cause vasodilation by decreasing arterial tone and this may partly explain some of the haemodynamic adverse events that occur after surgery in jaundiced patients.

1.9.2 Impact of jaundice on renal function

Several studies have reported that obstructive jaundice is associated with significant abnormalities in fluid homeostasis. Obstructive jaundice is associated with reduction in the interstitial volume as well as the circulating plasma volume.[Sitges-Serra et al. 1992; Padillo et al. 1999] In a study of 63 patients with obstructive jaundice, Padillo and co-workers reported that severity of jaundice, age of patient and reduced urinary sodium excretion were independently related to postoperative renal dysfunction. They also reported that these variables were related to abnormalities in the levels of hormones responsible for sodium and water homeostasis including atrial natriuretic peptide.[Padillo et al. 2005a] Endotoxemia consequent to lack of bile salts in the gut has also been postulated as a possible mechanism for renal dysfunction in patients with severe jaundice.[Bailey 1976] Jaundice is one of the leading causes of acute renal failure in tertiary-care hospitals.[Liano et al. 1996]

While expansion of the intravascular volume and avoiding dehydration by the judicious use of intravenous fluids has been recommended in avoiding renal dysfunction in jaundiced patients [Parks et al. 1994], others have reported that relief of

2 obstructive jaundice by restoring bile flow improves renal function independent of
3 fluid therapy.[Padillo et al. 2005b] Nonetheless, adequate perioperative fluid man-
4 agement, avoidance of hypotension, sepsis control and relief of obstructive jaundice
5 play important roles in the prevention of renal failure in jaundiced patients.

6 **1.9.3 Impact of jaundice on the immune system**

7 **1.9.4 Role of preoperative biliary drainage and outcome af-** 8 **ter pancreaticoduodenectomy**

9 The increased incidence of adverse events after surgery in patients with obstructive
10 jaundice resulted in routine preoperative biliary drainage becoming the standard
11 practice in patients undergoing major pancreatic surgery. However, several studies
12 over the past 20 years have challenged this paradigm. There appears to be increas-
13 ing evidence that preoperative biliary drainage may be associated with increased
14 morbidity both as a consequence of the drainage procedure itself as well as from
15 infectious complications after surgery.

16 The paucity of good quality evidence on the role of preoperative biliary drainage in
17 patients with obstructive jaundice undergoing major surgery and the lack of clear
18 guidelines on the perioperative management of the jaundiced patients has resulted
19 in wide variation in practice across different centres.

1 The effect of obstructive jaundice on cardiopulmonary exercise testing in patients
2 with pancreatic disease has not been reported previously. Chapter 3 reports on the
3 investigation into the relationship between obstructive jaundice and preoperative
4 cardiopulmonary exercise testing.

5 **1.9.5 Role of preoperative biliary drainage**

6 **1.10 Body Composition**

7 Make this a brief description of body composition.

¹ Chapter 2

² An investigation into the role of
³ preoperative cardiopulmonary
⁴ exercise testing in predicting
⁵ adverse postoperative events after
⁶ major pancreatic surgery.

2.1 Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is the tenth most common cancer in the UK but the fifth most common cause of cancer death with only 16-17% surviving beyond the first year and 3% surviving beyond 5 years. [CancerResearchUK 2014] The majority of patients (80-85%) with pancreatic cancer present with inoperable disease.[CancerResearchUK 2014; Sener et al. 1999] In patients with resectable disease, surgery [Sener et al. 1999; Sohn et al. 2000; Geer et al. 1993] followed by adjuvant chemotherapy[Neoptolemos et al. 2004; Neoptolemos et al. 2009] remains the primary modality of cure.

The decision to operate on these patients depends not only on preoperative tumour stage but also on patient factors.[Bilimoria et al. 2007; Sandroussi et al. 2010] Patient factors, in particular those that affect fitness, are also important in determining short term outcome in those that do undergo potentially curative surgery. [Mann et al. 2010; Mayo et al. 2012] However, major pancreatic surgery is associated with significant morbidity and mortality and patients who have postoperative complications are less likely to get adjuvant therapy.[Teh et al. 2009]

There have been a number of attempts to objectively define patient fitness and its relationship with postoperative outcome. Copeland and co-workers (1991) reported that the Physiological and Operative Severity Score for the enumeration of Mortality and Morbidity (POSSUM) criteria, in particular the POSSUM physiology score (PPS) could be used to quantify the risk of postoperative morbidity and mortality.[Copeland et al. 1991] However, the role of POSSUM in predicting postoperative outcome after surgery for pancreatic cancer is not entirely clear.[Castro et al. 2009;

1 Khan et al. 2003; Kocher et al. 2005; Pratt et al. 2008b; Tamijmarane et al. 2008] The
2 physiological component of POSSUM as well as other similar risk scoring systems
3 such as E-PASS (Estimation of Physiologic Ability and Surgical Stress)[Haga et al.
4 1999] are calculated based on known comorbidities, clinically evident abnormalities
5 in patient physiology or blood tests.

6 More recently, there has been some evidence that the presence of an ongoing systemic
7 inflammatory response before surgery is associated with the development of postop-
8 erative complications in patients undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer[Moyes et
9 al. 2009], oesophageal cancer[Vashist et al. 2010] as well as pancreatic cancer.[Knight
10 et al. 2010]

11 Older and co-workers (1993) reported that cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET)
12 was an objective evaluation of the response of the cardiovascular and respiratory
13 systems to an increase in oxygen demand during exercise and was useful in predict-
14 ing perioperative morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing major abdominal
15 surgery.[Older et al. 1993]

16 The aim of the present study was to evaluate the role of various measures of patient
17 physiological fitness including cardiopulmonary exercise testing in predicting postop-
18 erative adverse events as well as fitness for adjuvant therapy in patients undergoing
19 major pancreatic surgery.

2.2 Methods

Patients who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy or total pancreatectomy for pancreatic head lesions between August 2008, when cardiopulmonary exercise testing was first used for fitness assessment at our hospital, and January 2012 were considered for this retrospective study. Patients who had not undergone cardiopulmonary exercise testing as part of their preoperative assessment and patients who underwent cardiopulmonary exercise testing but did not undergo surgery were excluded.

Data on patient demographics, comorbidity including cardiovascular and respiratory disease, preoperative blood tests, chest x-ray and cardiopulmonary exercise tests were collected from prospectively maintained databases (march 2009 - January 2012) and case note review (August 2008 - March 2009). Data was also collected for patients who did not undergo cardiopulmonary exercise testing to allow comparison with the study group. The POSSUM Physiology Score was calculated based on 11 physiological parameters (cardiac disease including hypertension, ischaemic heart disease and heart failure, respiratory disease causing breathlessness on exertion and COPD, ECG changes, pulse rate, blood pressure, haemoglobin, white cell count, serum sodium, serum potassium, serum urea and Glasgow Coma Scale) as described previously.

Cardiopulmonary exercise tests were performed in the Department of Respiratory Medicine at the Glasgow Royal Infirmary using the ZAN-600 CPET suite (nSpire Health, Longmont, CO 80501, USA). An electrically-braked cycle ergometer was

used to perform a symptom-limited, incremental work-load test preceded by a 3-minute rest period. The test was stopped at maximum exercise tolerance, significant ischaemic changes on ECG or for other safety reasons. The VO_2AT was calculated using the V-slope[Beaver et al. 1986; Sue et al. 1988] and ventilatory equivalents[Sue et al. 1988] methods. Low VO_2AT was defined as oxygen consumption less than 10ml/kg/min based on work by Snowden and co-workers[Snowden et al. 2010] who reported that VO_2AT less than 10.1 ml/kg/min was associated with an increase in postoperative complications after major abdominal surgery.

The decision to operate was based on overall preoperative evaluation of the patient's comorbid conditions and performance status and not exclusively on the result of cardiopulmonary exercise testing. Whilst the results of cardiopulmonary exercise tests were available to the clinicians before surgery, no specific changes were made to perioperative management based exclusively on these results. These results were used in conjunction with other established forms of preoperative evaluation for risk assessment and perioperative care. All patients were routinely admitted to the surgical high dependency unit unless intra-operative events or postoperative complications required admission to the intensive care unit. Patients were discharged after resolution of organ dysfunction and/or sepsis and when nutrition, analgesia and mobilisation were adequately established to the clinician's and patient's satisfaction.

Postoperative adverse events were recorded using internationally recognised definitions. The International Study Group for Pancreatic Surgery (ISGPS) definitions

were used to classify pancreatic fistulae[Bassi et al. 2005] and post-operative haemorrhage[Wente et al. 2007]. The Clavien-Dindo (CD) classification[Clavien et al. 2009; Dindo et al. 2004] was used to grade other complications and CD grades III-V were considered major. Multiple admissions to critical care as well as re-operations were recorded. Operative mortality was defined as postoperative death in-hospital regardless of duration of stay or occurring within 30 days of the surgery. All complications were discussed at a weekly multidisciplinary meeting attended by three pancreatic surgeons and a radiologist with a specialist interest in pancreatic diseases and recorded in a prospective database.

Primary outcome measures were length of stay in hospital, major postoperative adverse events including operative mortality and fitness to undergo adjuvant therapy when indicated. Secondary outcome measures included cumulative length of stay in critical care and number of critical care admissions.

2.2.1 Statistics

Grouping of the variables was carried out using standard or previously published thresholds. In the absence of such thresholds, the variables were treated as continuous variables and analysed using non-parametric statistical methods. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was used to study the relationship between preoperative risk factors and length of hospital stay. Chi-square test was used to examine the relationship between complications and VO_2AT as a categorical variable. Univariate binary logistic regression analysis with calculation of hazard ratios (HR)

and 95% confidence intervals was used to explore the association between perioperative clinico-pathological factors and receipt of adjuvant therapy. Multivariate binary logistic regression analysis was performed on all variables showing a significant association on univariate analysis. Backward stepwise regression was used starting with a saturated model and variables with $P\text{-value} > 0.1$ were excluded at each step until no more variables could be excluded. SPSS software (Version 17.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to perform statistical analysis.

2.3 Results

One hundred and twenty-nine patients had undergone pancreaticoduodenectomy (n=127), sub-total pancreatectomy (n=1) or total pancreatectomy (n=1) during the study period. Sub-total and total pancreatectomy were performed in patients scheduled for a pancreaticoduodenectomy but were found to have pancreatic remnants either too friable or too atrophic during the operation to perform an anastomosis. Of these, 100 patients (pancreaticoduodenectomy - 98, sub-total/total pancreatectomy - 2) had undergone cardiopulmonary exercise testing as part of their preoperative assessment and were included in the study. Pathological examination of the resected specimen showed pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (n=37), ampullary adenocarcinoma (n=18), cholangiocarcinoma (n=17), duodenal adenocarcinoma (n=6), intra-ductal papillary mucinous neoplasia (n=4), neuroendocrine tumours (n=7), other neoplasia (n=4) or chronic pancreatitis (n=2).

Twenty-nine patients did not undergo cardiopulmonary exercise testing due to reasons including subjective assessment of fitness, resource constraints and logistics. Table 2.1 shows the clinico-pathological characteristics of patients included in the study compared to the excluded patients. The median age in the study cohort was higher than in the excluded cohort (66 vs. 54 years, $p=0.001$). However, there was no difference in gender, body mass index, preoperative biliary drainage, jaundice at the time of surgery, modified Glasgow Prognostic Score, POSSUM physiology score, preoperative blood tests including haemoglobin and liver function tests and length of critical care/hospital stay. The overall postoperative mortality during the study

period was 5.4% (7/129) with all deaths occurring in the study cohort ($p=0.144$).

The median VO_2AT was 10.3 ml/kg/min (inter-quartile range, IQR 8.8 - 11.6). The VO_2AT was less than 10ml/kg/min in 49 patients. The distribution of VO_2AT across the study cohort is shown in Figure 2.1.




FIGURE 2.1: Distribution of VO_2AT across the study population.

The relationship between VO_2AT and major postoperative adverse events including mortality is shown in Table 2.2. Patients with VO_2AT less than 10ml/kg/min had significantly greater incidence of postoperative pancreatic fistula (35.4% vs.16%, $p=0.028$) as well as major intra-abdominal abscesses (Clavien-Dindo Grade III - V, 22.4% vs.7.8%, $p=0.042$). While there was an association between low VO_2AT and grade of pancreatic fistula, this was not statistically significant ($p=0.091$). There was

TABLE 2.1: Clinico-pathological characteristics of patients undergoing major pancreatic surgery during the study period.

| | All Patients n = 129 | Excluded n = 29 | Included n = 100 | p |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------|
| Age (years) | | | | |
| ≤ 65 | 71 (55%) | 24 | 47 | 0.001 |
| > 65 | 58 (45%) | 5 | 53 | |
| Sex | | | | |
| Male | 77 (60%) | 17 | 60 | 0.894 |
| Female | 52 (40%) | 12 | 40 | |
| BMI (kg/sq.m) | | | | |
| ≤ 25 | 53 (44%) | 8 | 45 | 0.817 |
| > 25 | 66 (56%) | 11 | 55 | |
| Preoperative Biliary Drainage | | | | |
| No | 68 (59%) | 12 | 56 | 0.154 |
| Yes | 48 (41%) | 4 | 44 | |
| mGPS | | | | |
| 0 | 76 (59%) | 13 | 63 | 0.279 |
| 1 | 11 (9%) | 5 | 6 | |
| 2 | 41 (32.0%) | 10 | 31 | |
| Haemoglobin (g/dl) | | | | |
| ≥ 12 | 80 (64%) | 18 | 62 | 0.353 |
| < 12 | 45 (36%) | 7 | 38 | |
| POSSUM Physiology Score | | | | |
| 11-14 | 61 (51%) | 12 | 50 | 0.701 |
| > 14 | 59 (49%) | 10 | 50 | |
| Serum Bilirubin (micromol/L) | | | | |
| ≤ 35 | 70 (55%) | 12 | 58 | 0.156 |
| > 35 | 58 (45%) | 16 | 42 | |
| Operation Type | | | | |
| Pancreatico-duodenectomy | 127 (98%) | 29 | 98 | 0.045 |
| (Sub-)Total Pancreatectomy | 2 (2%) | 0 | 2 | |
| Operative mortality | 7 (5%) | 0 | 7 | 0.144 |
| Postoperative stay (days) | 17 (13-27) | 20 (13-30) | 17 (13-26) | 0.518 |
| Critical care stay (days) | 7 (6-12) | 7 (6-14) | 7 (6-12) | 0.448 |

Values are either median (inter-quartile range) with p statistic using Mann-Whitney test or number of patients (percentage) with p statistic using Chi-square test.

no association between low VO_2AT and cardiopulmonary complications or postoperative mortality. Major cardiopulmonary complications occurred more often in patients with major intra-abdominal adverse events including major intra-abdominal abscesses or Grade B and C pancreatic fistulae or haemorrhage than in patients who did not have these complications (5/31, 16.1% vs. 2/69, 2.9%, $p=0.017$). Postoperative mortality was not associated with VO_2AT (HR 0.77, 95% CI 0.16-3.61, $p=0.737$) or the POSSUM Physiology Score (HR 0.39, 95% CI 0.07-2.12, $p=0.277$). Postoperative mortality was associated with postoperative pancreatic fistula ($n=5$), post-pancreatectomy haemorrhage ($n=3$), major intra-abdominal sepsis ($n=6$) and major cardiorespiratory complications ($n=4$) with 6 patients requiring radiological or operative intervention.


The median length of postoperative stay was 17 days (IQR 13 - 26). The median cumulative length of stay in critical care was 7 days (IQR 6 - 12). Twenty-six patients were admitted to critical care more than once. The relationship between preoperative clinico-pathological characteristics and length of postoperative stay in patients who were discharged from hospital ($n=93$) is shown in Table 2.3. On univariate analysis, age over 65 years ($p=0.072$) and low VO_2AT ($p=0.010$) were associated with prolonged postoperative stay. On multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis, VO_2AT less than 10ml/kg/min (hazard ratio 1.74, 95% confidence intervals 1.14-2.65, $p=0.010$) was the only significant factor associated with prolonged postoperative stay. A Kaplan-Meier plot for the probability of remaining in hospital over time for patients with low and normal VO_2AT s is shown in Figure 2.2. Patients with a low VO_2AT stayed a median 6 days longer in hospital (14 versus 20 days,

TABLE 2.2: The relationship between anaerobic threshold and complications in patients undergoing major pancreatic surgery.

| Complications | VO ₂ AT ≥10 | | VO ₂ AT < 10 | |
|--|------------------------|----|-------------------------|-------|
| | n | n | n | p* |
| Cardiac complications | | | | |
| Grade 0 - II | 99 | 51 | 48 | 0.308 |
| Grade III - V | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| Respiratory complications | | | | |
| Grade 0 - II | 93 | 48 | 45 | 0.657 |
| Grade III - V | 7 | 3 | 4 | |
| Intra-abdominal abscess | | | | |
| Grade 0 - II | 85 | 47 | 38 | 0.042 |
| Grade III - V | 15 | 4 | 11 | |
| Pancreatic Fistula (Total/Sub-total pancreatectomies excluded) | | | | |
| No | 73 | 42 | 31 | 0.028 |
| Yes | 25 | 8 | 17 | |
| Pancreatic Fistula (ISGPS Classification) | | | | |
| No | 73 | 42 | 31 | 0.091 |
| Grade A | 9 | 3 | 6 | |
| Grade B | 8 | 1 | 7 | |
| Grade C | 8 | 4 | 4 | |
| Post-Pancreatectomy Haemorrhage (ISGPS Classification) | | | | |
| No | 84 | 41 | 43 | 0.207 |
| Grade A | 4 | 2 | 2 | |
| Grade B | 4 | 2 | 2 | |
| Grade C | 8 | 6 | 2 | |
| Admissions to critical care | | | | |
| 1 | 74 | 38 | 36 | 0.906 |
| >1 | 26 | 13 | 13 | |
| Reoperation | | | | |
| No | 89 | 47 | 42 | 0.306 |
| Yes | 11 | 4 | 7 | |
| Operative mortality | | | | |
| No | 93 | 47 | 46 | 0.737 |
| Yes | 7 | 4 | 3 | |

* Chi-square test

Mann-Whitney Test $p=0.001$). There was no significant association between any of the preoperative factors including VO_2AT and length of critical care stay or number of critical care admissions.



| Number of patients remaining in hospital | | | | | | |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Postoperative Day | 0 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 35 |
| AT \geq 10 ml/kg/min | 46 | 46 | 22 | 9 | 7 | 1 |
| AT<10 ml/kg/min | 45 | 45 | 34 | 20 | 11 | 7 |

FIGURE 2.2: Kaplan-Meier Plot of postoperative length of stay in patients with $\text{VO}_2\text{AT} \geq 10 \text{ ml/kg/min}$ versus $< 10 \text{ ml/kg/min}$.

The relationship between clinico-pathological patient factors and receipt of adjuvant therapy is shown in Table 2.4. Fifty-five patients were included in the analysis. Patients were excluded if chemotherapy was not indicated ($n=28$), in the event of operative mortality ($n=7$), if chemotherapy was offered but declined by the patient

TABLE 2.3: The relationship between clinico-pathological characteristics and postoperative stay in patients (excluding operative mortality) undergoing major pancreatic surgery (n=93): Cox regression analysis

| Variable | n | HR | 95% CI | P | HR | 95% CI | p |
|---------------------------------|----|------|-----------|-------|------|-----------|-------|
| Age (years) | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 65 | 44 | | | | | | |
| > 65 | 49 | 1.47 | 0.97-2.24 | 0.072 | 1.48 | 0.97-2.25 | 0.068 |
| Sex | | | | | | | |
| Male | 56 | | | | | | |
| Female | 37 | 1.32 | 0.86-2.03 | 0.199 | | | |
| BMI (kg/sq.m) | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 25 | 42 | | | | | | |
| > 25 | 51 | 0.87 | 0.58-1.32 | 0.512 | | | |
| Smoking | | | | | | | |
| No | 56 | | | | | | |
| Yes | 37 | 1.26 | 0.82-1.94 | 0.294 | | | |
| POSSUM Physiology Score | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 14 | 45 | | | | | | |
| > 14 | 48 | 1.28 | 0.85-1.95 | 0.24 | | | |
| Preoperative Biliary Drainage | | | | | | | |
| No | 53 | | | | | | |
| Yes | 40 | 1.08 | 0.71-1.65 | 0.724 | | | |
| Serum Bilirubin (micromol/L) | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 35 | 54 | | | | | | |
| > 35 | 39 | 1.26 | 0.83-1.92 | 0.277 | | | |
| mGPS | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 59 | | | | | | |
| 1 | 5 | 1.22 | 0.78-1.92 | 0.387 | | | |
| 2 | 29 | 1.87 | 0.71-4.88 | 0.204 | | | |
| Haemoglobin (g/dl) | | | | | | | |
| ≥ 12 | 57 | | | | | | |
| < 12 | 36 | 1.19 | 0.78-1.81 | 0.422 | | | |
| Anaerobic Threshold (ml/kg/min) | | | | | | | |
| ≥ 10 | 47 | | | | | | |
| < 10 | 46 | 1.74 | 1.14-2.64 | 0.01 | 1.74 | 1.14-2.65 | 0.01 |
| Anaerobic Threshold (ml/kg/min) | | | | | | | |
| ≥ 11 | 33 | | | | | | |
| < 11 | 60 | 1.44 | 0.94-2.22 | 0.097 | | | 0.395 |

⁷ (n=4), or where they had not been seen by an oncologist yet (n=6). On binary
¹ logistic regression analysis, VO₂AT less than 10ml/kg/min was the only preoperative
² factor that was associated with with non-receipt of adjuvant therapy (HR 6.30, 95%
³ CI 1.25-31.75, p=0.026).

TABLE 2.4: The relationship between clinico-pathological characteristics and receipt of adjuvant therapy in patients undergoing major pancreatic surgery (n = 55) - Binary logistic regression

| Variable | n = 55 | HR | 95% CI | P |
|---------------------------------|--------|------|------------|-------|
| Age (years) | | | | |
| ≤ 65 | 25 | | | |
| > 65 | 30 | 2.63 | 0.71-9.74 | 0.149 |
| Sex | | | | |
| Male | 31 | | | |
| Female | 24 | 2.08 | 0.61-7.13 | 0.242 |
| BMI (kg/sq.m) | | | | |
| ≤ 25 | 25 | | | |
| > 25 | 30 | 0.78 | 0.23-2.64 | 0.693 |
| Smoking | | | | |
| No | 35 | | | |
| Yes | 20 | 0.96 | 0.27-3.41 | 0.953 |
| POSSUM Physiology Score | | | | |
| ≤ 14 | 25 | | | |
| > 14 | 30 | 1.63 | 0.46-5.73 | 0.447 |
| Preoperative Biliary Drainage | | | | |
| No | 27 | | | |
| Yes | 28 | 0.95 | 0.28-3.21 | 0.937 |
| Serum Bilirubin (micromol/L) | | | | |
| ≤ 35 | 27 | | | |
| > 35 | 28 | 2.08 | 0.60-7.30 | 0.251 |
| mGPS | | | | |
| 0 | 32 | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 | 21 | 1.2 | 0.35-4.15 | 0.773 |
| Haemoglobin (g/dl) | | | | |
| ≥ 12 | 31 | | | |
| < 12 | 24 | 0.96 | 0.28-3.26 | 0.946 |
| Anaerobic Threshold (ml/kg/min) | | | | |
| ≥ 10 | 23 | | | |
| < 10 | 32 | 6.3 | 1.25-31.75 | 0.026 |
| Anaerobic Threshold (ml/kg/min) | | | | |
| ≥ 11 | 16 | | | |
| < 11 | 39 | 3.11 | 0.61-15.88 | 0.172 |

2.4 Discussion

The results of the present study show that a low VO_2AT is associated with prolonged postoperative stay in hospital, postoperative pancreatic fistula and intra-abdominal abscesses in patients undergoing major resections for pancreatic head lesions. The results of this study also show that patients with low VO_2AT are less likely to receive adjuvant therapy.

Therefore, it would appear that objective measurement of patient physiological fitness using cardiopulmonary exercise testing is superior to conventional measures of patient fitness including the POSSUM Physiology Score or the modified Glasgow Prognostic Score and may have a role in predicting short-term outcome which in turn affects the overall management of these patients including receipt of adjuvant therapy.

Patients with a low VO_2AT stayed longer in hospital after their operation. While length of stay in hospital is influenced by multiple factors including postoperative complications, it would appear that patients with a low VO_2AT take longer to recover from the physiological stress placed by major pancreatic surgery and its sequelae.

The incidence of pancreatic fistula was greater in patients with a low VO_2AT . This association needs further evaluation taking into consideration other well-recognised risk factors for pancreatic fistula such as pancreatic texture, pancreatic duct size and intra-operative blood loss.[Braga et al. 2011; Pratt et al. 2008b; Winter et al. 2006]

20 It is possible that local or operative factors may be compounded by poor oxygen de-
21 livery and organ perfusion as measured by cardiopulmonary exercise testing. There
1 was a non-significant trend towards clinically relevant pancreatic fistulae (ISGPS
2 Grades B and C) as well as a significant association with major intra-abdominal ab-
3 scesses (Clavien-Dindo Grades 3-5 i.e., requiring intervention, associated with organ
4 dysfunction requiring intensive care or resulting in mortality). This would suggest
5 that complications in patients with low VO_2AT are more likely to be severe than in
6 patients with normal VO_2AT . However, there was no difference in mortality between
7 patients with normal or low VO_2AT , indicating that multiple factors including preop-
8 erative patient fitness, local and operative factors, systemic inflammatory response,
9 number of complications as well as perioperative critical care all play a role.

10 The results of this study also show that patients with a low VO_2AT were less likely
11 to receive adjuvant therapy. Adjuvant therapy in patients undergoing pancreatic re-
12 sections for cancer has been shown in multiple randomised trials to improve survival
13 significantly.[Neoptolemos et al. 2004; Neoptolemos et al. 2009] While postoperative
14 mortality after pancreatic surgery has steadily improved over the years with major
15 improvements in the quality of surgical and critical care over the past decade[Winter
16 et al. 2006] even in elderly patients[Makary et al. 2006], postoperative morbidity re-
17 mains high.[Mann et al. 2010] The results of this study show that poor preoperative
18 fitness is not only associated with a protracted postoperative course with
19 complications but also with non-receipt of adjuvant therapy.

20 In the present study, VO_2AT was less than 10ml/kg/min in 49% of patients and less

than 11 ml/kg/min in 64% of patients. The proportion of patients with VO_2AT less than 11 ml/kg/min in this study was much greater than reported in studies involving patients undergoing oesophageal surgery (16%), [Forshaw et al. 2008] liver transplantation (39%) [Epstein et al. 2004] or other major abdominal surgery (29%) [Older et al. 1993] and may indicate the poor preoperative fitness levels of patients undergoing major pancreatic surgery at our unit. While several studies have shown that low VO_2AT and/or low VO_2peak are associated with postoperative complications or prolonged hospital stay following major abdominal surgery as well as non-abdominal surgery, [Older et al. 1993; Epstein et al. 2004; McCullough 2006; Nagamatsu et al. 2001; Older et al. 1999; Older et al. 2004] others have disputed this. [Forshaw et al. 2008; Clayton et al. 2011; Hightower et al. 2010] Older and co-workers reported in 1993 that low VO_2AT less than 11ml/kg/min was associated with a significantly higher risk of postoperative mortality from cardiovascular causes in a series of 187 elderly patients undergoing major abdominal surgery. [Older et al. 1993]

However, Snowden and co-workers [Snowden et al. 2010] reported that patients with an VO_2AT less than 10.1 ml/kg/min had significantly greater cardiopulmonary complications as well as non-cardiopulmonary and infectious complications while Forshaw and co-workers [Forshaw et al. 2008] reported that using a cut-off of 11 ml/kg/min for the VO_2AT did not predict postoperative adverse events less after oesophagectomy. The lack of association between low VO_2AT and cardiopulmonary complications in this study may have been due to two reasons. Major cardiopulmonary complications occurred more often in association with major intra-abdominal adverse events which are determined largely by pancreatic morphology

22 and local anatomy.[Braga et al. 2011] Moreover, the stringent fitness criteria for
23 undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy may have excluded patients with known co-
1 morbid cardiorespiratory diseases such as severe chronic obstructive pulmonary dis-
2 ease or cardiac failure.

3 The results of this study are consistent with the results of the study by Ausania and
4 co-workers[Ausania et al. 2012] who reported increased incidence of pancreatic fistula
5 and prolonged postoperative stay in patients with VO_2AT less than 10.1 ml/kg/min.
6 However, this study did not report the association between VO_2AT and receipt of
7 adjuvant therapy.

8 The physiological demands placed on a patient undergoing major pancreatic surgery
9 are significant, both during and after the operation. It is not entirely surprising
10 therefore, that conventional parameters of patient fitness like the POSSUM Phys-
11 iology Score or the modified Glasgow Prognostic Score are limited in their ability
12 to distinguish patients based on their performance under physiological stress. Car-
13 diopulmonary exercise testing overcomes this disadvantage by replicating some of
14 the physiological burden major pancreatic surgery places on the functional capacity
15 of the patient's cardiovascular and respiratory systems.

16 This functional capacity of patients to withstand the physiological burden of major
17 surgery can be improved by the process of 'prehabilitation'.[Topp et al. 2002] It has
18 been suggested that prehabilitation not only improves aerobic capacity[Jones et al.
19 2007] but may also improve postoperative recovery.[Mayo et al. 2011; Pehlivan et al.
20 2011] The results of this study show that impaired aerobic capacity is associated

21 with postoperative adverse events. Therefore, it would appear that prehabilitation
22 using interventions such as exercise and nutrition, by improving physiological fitness,
1 may have a role in improving postoperative outcomes after major pancreatic surgery
2 and may improve the proportion of patients receiving adjuvant therapy.

3 Further work needs to be carried out to study the value of cardiopulmonary exercise
4 testing in predicting postoperative complications in conjunction with previously es-
5 tablished factors such as pancreatic morphology and operative factors before it can
6 be used on its own to select or exclude patients for pancreaticoduodenectomy. Car-
7 diopulmonary exercise testing would play an important role not only in identifying
8 patients who will benefit from prehabilitation, but also in the objective measurement
9 of the effects of such interventions on aerobic capacity as well as in identifying high
10 risk patients who may not be able to complete oncological treatment. Prehabilita-
11 tion and optimised perioperative care may allow a greater proportion of high risk
12 patients to progress to oncological treatment after surgery.

¹³ Chapter 3

¹⁴ An investigation into the
¹⁵ relationship between obstructive
¹ jaundice and preoperative
² pathophysiology in patients
³ undergoing major pancreatic
⁴ surgery.

3.1 Introduction

Patients with tumours involving the pancreatic head or the periampullary region often present with inoperable disease. In the minority of patients with operable disease, resectional surgery in the form of a pancreaticoduodenectomy remains the main modality of treatment and only chance of a potential cure. However, major pancreatic surgery is associated with significant morbidity and mortality and is only undertaken in specialist centres. Patient selection, preoperative optimisation, good surgical technique and improvements in postoperative care have all contributed to a reduction in mortality [Winter et al. 2006] but morbidity remains high. While several technical strategies have been described in recent years to minimise morbidity, these strategies are not necessarily based on a better understanding of the physiological basis of postoperative complications in these patients.

The anatomical relationship between the distal bile duct, distal pancreatic duct, head of the pancreas and the duodenum is responsible for obstructive jaundice being the most common presenting symptom in patients with tumours affecting this region. Distal bile duct strictures also occur in a small proportion of patients with severe chronic pancreatitis involving the pancreatic head. The perioperative management of the patient with obstructive jaundice is complex and management algorithms are still evolving.

Obstructive jaundice has been reported to be associated with abnormal cardiovascular physiology in several animal and human studies. Surgery in the jaundiced patient has been reported to be associated with adverse postoperative haemodynamic events

20 and renal dysfunction.[Pain et al. 1985; Green et al. 1995] The association between
21 jaundice and cardiovascular physiology was reported over a hundred years ago by
22 King and co-workers who found that injection of porcine bile pigment into dogs
1 resulted in bradycardia, hypotension and eventually death.[King et al. 1909] Green
2 and co-workers (1986) described the effects of ‘cholemia’ in dogs that were subjected
3 to choledochocaval anastomosis. The resultant myocardial depression was described
4 by them as the ‘jaundiced heart’[Green et al. 1986] and has been reported to be as-
5 sociated with poor myocardial response to inotropic stimulation in dogs[Binah et al.
6 1985; Bomzon et al. 1986] as well as humans.[Lumlertgul et al. 1991]

7 Preoperative biliary drainage used to be advocated before subjecting a patient to
8 pancreaticoduodenectomy with the intention of reducing postoperative morbidity.
9 However, several recent studies have reported that routine PBD is associated with
10 increased complication rates as a consequence of the drainage procedure itself as
11 well as increased incidence of postoperative complications. The DROP trial reported
12 that PBD was associated with drainage related complication as well as postoperative
13 infectious complications. However, this trial excluded patients with a bilirubin levels
14 greater than 250 mg/dl from the study.

15 We have recently reported that poor performance at cardiopulmonary exercise test-
16 ing (CPET) was associated with adverse outcomes after pancreaticoduodenectomy
17 resulting in an increased incidence of POPF and prolonged hospital stay. However,
18 the effects of ‘severe jaundice’ where bilirubin levels exceed 250 on preoperative
19 patient physiology have not been studied adequately.

20 The aim of the present study was to evaluate the relationship between obstruc-
21 tive jaundice and preoperative pathophysiology including cardiopulmonary exercise
22 physiology in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy.

3.2 Patients and Methods

Patients who underwent classical or pylorus-preserving pancreaticoduodenectomy for periampullary lesions (both benign and malignant) between August 2008 and April 2013 and had undergone cardiopulmonary exercise testing as part of their preoperative workup at the West of Scotland Pancreatic Unit, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Glasgow were included in the study. Established criteria for resectability in patients with malignant disease were used as outlined in previous published work. Segmental or wedge resection of the portal vein or superior mesenteric vein was carried out if the lesion was otherwise resectable.

3.2.1 Preoperative Data

Patient demographics, preoperative clinico-pathological characteristics including cardiorespiratory comorbidity, results of preoperative blood tests, chest x-ray, ECG and cardiopulmonary exercise tests were collected from prospectively held databases. The POSSUM Physiology Score was calculated based on 11 physiological parameters (cardiac disease, respiratory disease, ECG changes, pulse rate, blood pressure, haemoglobin, white cell count, serum sodium, serum potassium, serum urea and Glasgow Coma Scale) and was used as an objective score of comorbidity. Cardiovascular comorbidity was defined as a score of 2 or more for either the cardiac disease or ECG component of the POSSUM score. Respiratory comorbidity was defined as a score of 2 or more for the respiratory disease component of the POSSUM score.

3.2.2 Obstructive Jaundice

Serum bilirubin levels were measured in all patients on the day before surgery. Obstructive jaundice (OJ) was defined as bilirubin levels greater than 35 micromol/litre and severe obstructive jaundice (sOJ) was defined as bilirubin levels greater than 250 micromol/litre. This threshold was selected because the DROP trial did not investigate patients with bilirubin levels greater than 250 micromol/litre and this study aimed to evaluate preoperative pathophysiology in this particular group.

Data on PBD (PBD) was also recorded. Serum bilirubin levels before and after biliary stenting were recorded [I will expand this section when I get the updated stent data]

3.2.3 Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test

Cardiopulmonary exercise tests were performed in the Department of Respiratory Medicine at the Glasgow Royal Infirmary using the ZAN-600 CPET suite (nSpire Health, Longmont, CO 80501, USA) (9). All patients underwent standard pulmonary function tests and spirometry prior to cardiopulmonary exercise testing. A cycle ergometer was used to perform a symptom-limited, incremental work-load test preceded by a 3-minute rest period. The test was stopped when patients achieved their maximum exercise tolerance, when significant ischaemic changes occurred on ECG or for other safety reasons. Peak oxygen consumption achieved at this stage was defined as VO_2Peak . The VO_2AT was calculated using the V-slope[Beaver et

al. 1986; Sue et al. 1988] and ventilatory equivalents[Society et al. 2003] methods. VO₂AT less than 10 ml/kg/min was considered to be low based on previous work by us[Chandrabalan et al. 2013] as well as Ausania and co-workers[Ausania et al. 2012] which has shown increased incidence of complications in these patients. Oxygen consumption at peak exercise (VO₂Peak) was dichotomised using a cut-off of 16 ml/kg/min. Detailed description of cardiopulmonary exercise testing as well as the physiological parameters described in this study are published elsewhere.[Balady et al. 2010]

3.2.4 Statistics

Grouping of the variables was carried out using standard or previously published thresholds. In the absence of such thresholds, the variables were treated as continuous variables. Non-parametric tests were used to analyse the association between categorical and continuous variables while Chi-square tests were used to analyse the association between categorical variables. Univariate and multivariate binary logistic regression analysis was used to study the relationship between preoperative patient characteristics and VO₂AT / VO₂Peak. SPSS software (Version 17.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to perform statistical analysis.

3.3 Results

One-hundred and thirty eight patients had undergone pancreaticoduodenectomy (n=138), with preoperative cardiopulmonary exercise testing during the study period. Over half the patients were male (n=93, 67%). Approximately half the number of patients were over the age of 65 (n=68, 49%) and overweight or obese (n=69, 50%). Cardiovascular comorbidity was present in 58 patients (42%) and respiratory comorbidity was present in 12 patients (9%). Fifty patients (36%) had a history of cigarette smoking. The POSSUM Physiology Score was greater than 14 in 61 patients (44%). Obstructive jaundice (serum bilirubin 35 – 250) was present in 32 (23%) patients while severe obstructive jaundice (serum bilirubin \geq 250) was present in 19 (14%) patients. The baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of non-jaundiced and jaundiced patients are shown in Table 3.1. A larger proportion of jaundiced patients were females compared to the non-jaundiced cohort ($p<0.05$) and smokers ($p<0.05$). Patients with jaundice were more likely to have an elevated POSSUM Physiology Score ($p<0.005$). Patients with cancer were more likely to be jaundiced ($p<0.001$). However, there was no statistically significant difference in age, BMI, cardiovascular comorbidity, or respiratory comorbidity between the non-jaundiced and jaundiced patients.

The relationship between obstructive jaundice and preoperative blood tests is shown in Table 3.2. While obstructive jaundice was statistically associated with multiple haematological and biochemical abnormalities, most of these did not appear to be of clinical significance. As expected, obstructive jaundice was associated with

TABLE 3.1: Association between obstructive jaundice and preoperative patient characteristics in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy (n=138)

| | Preoperative Serum Bilirubin | | | | P |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------|--------|---------|-----------|
| | ≤ 17 | 18-35 | 35-250 | > 250 | |
| Age ($\leq 65 / > 65$) | 32/33 | 13/9 | 16/16 | 9/10 | 0.935 |
| Sex (Male/Female) | 48/17 | 14/8 | 22/10 | 9/10 | 0.028 |
| BMI (Normal/Overweight) | 30/35 | 12/10 | 20/12 | 7/12 | 0.82 |
| Smoking (No / Yes) | 48/17 | 12/10 | 18/14 | 10/9 | 0.038 |
| PPS ($\leq 14 / > 14$) | 39/22 | 16/5 | 9/23 | 8/11 | 0.004 |
| Cardiac disease (No/Yes) | 35/28 | 13/9 | 17/15 | 13/6 | 0.539 |
| Respiratory disease (No/Yes) | 57/6 | 20/2 | 29/3 | 18/1 | 0.664 |
| Biliary Stent (No/Yes) | 29/20 | 3/12 | 6/17 | 18/0 | 0.201 |
| Cancer (No/Yes) | 26/39 | 3/19 | 3/29 | 0/19 | < 0.001 |

markedly elevated liver enzymes with severity of derangement associated with severity of jaundice. Obstructive jaundice and sOJ were associated with increasing CRP levels ($p < 0.001$) and decreasing serum albumin levels ($p < 0.001$). Obstructive jaundice was not associated with deranged renal function with both urea and creatinine remaining similar across all cohorts ($p = 0.09$ and $p = 0.22$ respectively).

There was no association between obstructive jaundice and preoperative pulmonary function tests (Table 3.3).

3.3.0.1 Univariate analysis of obstructive jaundice versus CPET

The relationship between obstructive jaundice and multiple physiological parameters measured at cardiopulmonary exercise testing is shown in Table 3.4. There was an inverse relationship between oxygen consumption at the anaerobic threshold

TABLE 3.2: Association between obstructive jaundice and preoperative biochemical parameters in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy (n=138)

| | Preoperative Serum Bilirubin | | | | P |
|------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | ≤ 17 | 18-35 | 35-250 | > 250 | |
| Hb | 13(6.1-16.8) | 13.2(10.8-15.8) | 11.85(9.2-15.5) | 11.7(10.3-13.6) | 0.001 |
| Hct | 0.391(0.201-0.484) | 0.397(0.34-0.456) | 0.355(0.285-0.449) | 0.355(0.294-0.392) | 0.001 |
| MCV | 90.1(72-109.2) | 93.85(88.4-102.5) | 92.95(80-104.7) | 87.85(61-94.7) | 0.001 |
| WCC | 7.6(4-12.7) | 7.55(5-19.3) | 8.15(4.6-11.7) | 7(3.9-11.1) | 0.591 |
| PT | 11(10-14) | 11(9-14) | 11(9-17) | 11(10-16) | 0.618 |
| Urea | 5(3-11.2) | 5.2(3-14.4) | 5.5(2.3-9.5) | 4.5(1.6-8.6) | 0.093 |
| Creatinine | 71(49-121) | 74.5(54-129) | 71(42-140) | 65(40-129) | 0.221 |
| Sodium | 138(131-143) | 138(131-142) | 138(129-142) | 135(128-140) | 0.001 |
| Potassium | 4.1(3.4-5.1) | 4.3(3.8-5.5) | 4.1(3-4.8) | 3.8(2.9-4.3) | 0.001 |
| Chloride | 104(97-110) | 104(98-112) | 104(92-113) | 99(92-107) | 0.002 |
| AST | 21(8-123) | 29(17-120) | 68.5(20-374) | 92.5(33-420) | 0.001 |
| ALT | 25(6-227) | 31(18-239) | 86.5(18-671) | 95(34-427) | 0.001 |
| GGT | 81(9-3165) | 111(10-916) | 263(37-1921) | 495(51-1881) | 0.001 |
| ALP | 110(47-1438) | 150(69-413) | 233(97-1517) | 372(166-1432) | 0.001 |
| CRP | 3.6(0.3-89) | 4.3(0.3-135) | 6.85(0.7-94) | 13(1.7-51) | 0.001 |
| Albumin | 37(18-46) | 36(26-42) | 31(19-38) | 25(18-33) | 0.001 |

TABLE 3.3: Association between obstructive jaundice and preoperative pulmonary function tests in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy

| | Preoperative Serum Bilirubin | | | | P |
|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-------|
| | ≤ 17 | 18-35 | 35-250 | > 250 | |
| FVC | 4.09 (2.48-6.75) | 3.76 (1.5-5.79) | 3.76 (2.26-5.96) | 3.35 (2.36-5.37) | 0.092 |
| FEV1 | 2.95 (1.14-5.27) | 2.90 (1.3-4.77) | 2.68 (1.83-3.86) | 2.72 (1.31-4.76) | 0.556 |
| PREDICTED FEV1 (%) | 105.00 (36-153) | 98.50 (59-148) | 103.00 (79-140) | 101.00 (81-137) | 0.761 |
| FEV1/FVC | 72.00 (29-88) | 73.00 (58-86) | 75.50 (60-85) | 78.00 (55-88) | 0.115 |
| PREDICTED FEV1/FVC | 94.00 (37-117) | 96.00 (73-114) | 99.00 (77-111) | 102.00 (72-112) | 0.107 |

(VO₂AT) and increasing severity of jaundice ($p < 0.05$). However, no such linear relationship was noted between any of the other parameters measured both at anaerobic threshold and at peak exercise in spite of apparent statistically significant associations.

3.3.0.2 Association between preoperative clinico-pathological factors and VO₂AT

On multivariate analysis female sex (HR 3.75 CI 1.57-8.95 $p < 0.005$), high BMI (HR 3.65 CI 1.61-8.26 $p < 0.005$), presence of cancer (HR 4.02 CI 1.33-12.16 $p < 0.05$) and raised CRP (HR 2.98 CI 1.29-6.86 $p < 0.05$) were independently associated with low VO₂AT (< 10 mls/kg/min). However, jaundice was not associated with low VO₂AT. These results are shown in Table 3.5

3.3.0.3 Scatter-plot analysis

Scatter-plot analysis comparing serum bilirubin and VO₂AT as continuous variables is depicted in Figure 1. This shows that the relationship between serum bilirubin and AT is weak with an r^2 value of only 0.04 (I will have to confirm this but it is not more than 0.1).

TABLE 3.4: Association between obstructive jaundice and CPET in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy (n=138)

| | Preoperative Serum Bilirubin | | | | P |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------|
| | ≤ 17 | 18-35 | 35-250 | > 250 | |
| At Anaerobic Threshold | | | | | |
| Load (Watts) | 44.34 (0-120) | 33.50 (7.33-69) | 41.00 (0-68) | 38.33 (11-96) | .313 |
| Min Ventilation (VE) (l/min) | 25.00 (14-41) | 23.04 (13-34.5) | 23.00 (14-35) | 22.00 (13-39) | .107 |
| Tidal Volume (litres) | 1.26 (0.83-2.37) | 1.09 (0.59-1.73) | 1.06 (0.54-1.76) | 1.08 (0.58-2.02) | .017 |
| VO ₂ (ml/kg/min) | 11.20 (6-16.9) | 10.65 (7.2-13.3) | 10.30 (7.7-16.5) | 9.83 (6.7-17.4) | .033 |
| Heart Rate | 108.25 (75-149.5) | 107.25 (70-139.5) | 101.00 (66.5-136) | 112.33 (76.67-153) | .393 |
| Respiratory Rate | 19.00 (12-36.67) | 22.00 (15-31) | 21.00 (10.33-32) | 19.00 (14.5-26) | .022 |
| At Peak Exercise | | | | | |
| Load | 94.00 (48-192) | 87.50 (41-134) | 73.00 (30-160) | 85.00 (38-153) | .150 |
| Minute Ventilation(VE) (l/min) | 53.50 (30-125) | 46.50 (25-79) | 46.00 (22-88) | 48.00 (32-100) | .066 |
| Tidal Volume (litres) | 1.95 (1.22-3.3) | 1.64 (0.82-3.27) | 1.62 (1.05-2.82) | 1.86 (1.03-2.71) | .088 |
| VO ₂ (ml/kg/min) | 16.60 (10.2-33.2) | 14.80 (10.5-24.7) | 15.55 (9.6-28.1) | 15.20 (9.8-24.8) | .093 |

TABLE 3.5: The relationship between clinico-pathological characteristics and low anaerobic threshold (< 10 ml/kg/min) in patients undergoing pancreatic surgery: Univariate and multivariate binary logistic regression analysis

| Variable | n (%) | HR | 95% CI | P-value | HR | 95% CI | P-value |
|--------------------------|-------|------|------------|---------|------|------------|---------|
| Clinical Characteristics | | | | | | | |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 65 | 70 | | | | | | |
| > 65 | 68 | 1.19 | 0.60-2.35 | 0.628 | | | |
| Sex | | | | | | | |
| Male | 95 | | | | | | |
| Female | 43 | 2.74 | 1.30-5.74 | 0.008 | 3.75 | 1.57-8.95 | 0.003 |
| BMI | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 25 | 69 | | | | | | |
| > 25 | 69 | 3.09 | 1.51-6.32 | 0.002 | 3.65 | 1.61-8.26 | 0.002 |
| Smoking | | | | | | | |
| No | 88 | | | | | | |
| Yes | 50 | 1.38 | 0.68-2.79 | 0.378 | | | |
| Cardiovascular disease | | | | | | | |
| No | 78 | | | | | | |
| Yes | 58 | 0.82 | 0.41-1.64 | 0.569 | | | |
| Respiratory disease | | | | | | | |
| No | 124 | | | | | | |
| Yes | 12 | 2.37 | 0.71-7.91 | 0.159 | | | |
| Cancer | | | | | | | |
| No | 32 | | | | | | |
| Yes | 106 | 3.59 | 1.36-9.43 | 0.010 | 4.02 | 1.33-12.16 | 0.014 |
| POSSUM Physiology Score | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 14 | 72 | | | | | | |
| > 14 | 61 | 2.06 | 1.02-4.17 | 0.044 | | | 0.164 |
| PBD | | | | | | | |
| No | 56 | | | | | | |
| Yes | 49 | 0.69 | 0.32-1.50 | 0.347 | | | |
| Bilirubin (μ mol/L) | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 17 | 65 | | | | | | |
| 18-35 | 22 | 1.49 | 0.54-4.16 | 0.444 | | | 0.911 |
| 36-250 | 32 | 2.30 | 0.95-5.56 | 0.064 | | | 0.537 |
| > 250 | 19 | 5.66 | 1.87-17.16 | 0.002 | | | 0.443 |
| Haemoglobin (g/dL) | | | | | | | |
| ≥ 12 | 95 | | | | | | |
| < 12 | 43 | 2.74 | 1.30-5.74 | 0.008 | | | 0.214 |
| CRP (mg/dL) | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 10 | 90 | | | | | | |
| > 10 | 46 | 2.18 | 1.06-4.51 | 0.035 | 2.98 | 1.29-6.86 | 0.010 |
| Albumin | | | | | | | |
| ≥ 35 | 65 | | | | | | |
| < 35 | 73 | 1.53 | 0.76-3.05 | 0.231 | | | |
| Prothrombin Time | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 12 | 117 | | | | | | |
| > 12 | 21 | 2.38 | 0.93-6.12 | 0.071 | | | |

14 **3.4 Discussion**

15 The optimal preoperative management of obstructive jaundice, especially with ex-
16 tremely high serum bilirubin levels, in the patient with periampullary cancer requir-
1 ing pancreaticoduodenectomy is still unclear. The results of the present study also
2 show for the first time that while obstructive jaundice is associated with a range of
3 biochemical and haematological abnormalities, it does not affect cardiopulmonary
4 physiology as measured by cardiopulmonary exercise testing.

5 The use of CPET in preoperative risk prediction was first made popular over two
6 decades ago by Older and co-workers.[Older et al. 1993] Since then cardiopulmonary
7 exercise testing has been reported to be useful in identifying high risk patients prior
8 to major general[Snowden et al. 2010], pancreatic[Chandrabalan et al. 2013; Ausania
9 et al. 2012], oesophagogastric[Nagamatsu et al. 2001] as well as vascular[Carlisle et
10 al. 2007] surgery. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing has been reported to be superior
11 to conventional measures of comorbidity chiefly due to the dynamic nature of the
12 test that evaluates the adequacy of oxygen delivery to tissues under physiological
13 stress. However, the factors responsible for poor aerobic capacity in preoperative
14 patients have not been adequately studied.

15 The association between jaundice and cardiovascular physiology was reported over a
16 hundred years ago by King and co-workers who found that injection of porcine bile
17 pigment into dogs resulted in bradycardia, hypotension and eventually death.[King
18 et al. 1909]

19 Jaundice has been reported to be associated with myocardial depression[Green et
20 al. 1986], poor myocardial response to inotropic stimulation[Lumlertgul et al. 1991],
21 impaired sympathetic baroreflex sensitivity[Song et al. 2009], deranged atrial natri-
1 uretic peptide levels[Pereira et al. 1994; Gallardo et al. 1998] as well as multiple
2 other bile-acid receptor mediated effects on the cardiovascular system.[Khurana et
3 al. 2011] Moreover, some of these effects appear to be partly reversible by biliary
4 drainage as demonstrated by Padillo and coworkers.[Padillo et al. 2001]

5 Historically, obstructive jaundice has also been reported to be associated with ad-
6 verse haemodynamic events in patients undergoing major surgery. Intraoperative
7 blood loss, postoperative hypotension, increased susceptibility to shock and renal
8 dysfunction were all more common in patients with obstructive jaundice. This in-
9 creased incidence of complications as a consequence of obstructive jaundice resulted
10 in routine PBD being recommended in these patients in order to alleviate their
11 jaundice before undertaking major surgery. In fact, Whipple described his earliest
12 pancreaticoduodenectomy as a two-stage operation, with the first stage aimed at
13 performing a biliary bypass to reduce jaundice levels before undertaking the resec-
14 tion at a later second operation.

15 However, more recently, there has been increasing evidence that such routine PBD
16 may itself be associated with increased complications both associated with the
17 drainage procedure itself as well as the effects of PBD on surgical outcomes.

18 Pitt and coworkers in a prospective randomised trial comparing outcomes in jaun-
19 diced patients undergoing surgery with or without PBD reported that PBD was asso-
20 ciated with increased cost without any decrease in postoperative complications.[Pitt
1 et al. 1985] But, this study looked at a heterogenous group of patients of which only
2 7 underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy.

3 A recent meta-analysis[Sewnath et al. 2002] analysed data from 5 randomised con-
4 trolled trials comparing surgery with PBD versus surgery without PBD and con-
5 cluded that PBD not only did not improve postoperative complication rates or
6 mortality but resulted in a higher overall complication rate due to the morbidity
7 associated with the procedure itself. All five RCTs included in this meta-analysis
8 included a heterogenous group of operations with only a few undergoing pancre-
9 aticoduodenectomy while more than 50% of patients underwent palliative bypass or
10 exploratory laparotomy making comparison of outcomes difficult. A recent Cochrane
11 Collaboration review of six trials including 520 patients concluded that PBD may be
12 associated with serious adverse events and must not be performed routinely outwith
13 trial settings.[Wang et al. 2008]

14 The DROP trial sought to clarify the role of PBD in patients undergoing pancre-
15 aticoduodenectomy.[Gaag et al. 2010] It randomised patients with bilirubin levels
16 between 40 and 250 either to undergo surgery without PBD or to undergo PBD
17 followed by surgery after 4 - 6 weeks. The authors reported that PBD resulted in
18 an increase in incidence of complications of which the majority were related to the
19 drainage procedure itself. However, this trial excluded patients with bilirubin levels

20 over 250.

21 While the aforementioned studies have undermined the role of PBD in jaundiced pa-
22 tients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy, the results of the present study show
1 for the first time that the premise for performing PBD, namely the adverse effect of
2 jaundice on cardiopulmonary physiology may itself be flawed in patients undergo-
3 ing pancreaticoduodenectomy. In our study, obstructive jaundice including severe
4 obstructive jaundice did not affect cardiopulmonary exercise capacity as measured
5 by VO_2AT or the peak oxygen consumption. These findings taken together with
6 previously published findings of adverse effects of PBD further support the fact that
7 major surgery may be safe in jaundiced patients without subjecting them to pre-
8 operative biliary drainage. The basis of the relationship between low VO_2AT and
9 raised BMI is not clear.

10 However, such an association has been previously reported.[Horwich et al. 2009] This
11 may reflect the difficulty in obtaining accurate VO_2AT values in obese patients as a
12 result of the calculations involved rather than due to true cardiopulmonary dysfunc-
13 tion. Other authors have suggested that different thresholds for CPET parameters
14 may have to be considered in obese patients to improve risk-prediction.[Donnelly
15 et al. 1990; Hulens et al. 2001] Cardiopulmonary exercise testing measures oxygen
16 delivery to skeletal muscle. Adipose tissue, however, does not contribute to the
17 metabolic activity that is measured during CPET. However, AT as normally re-
18 ported, is calculated by dividing the oxygen consumption per minute at the ‘anaer-
19 obic threshold’ into the weight of the patient. However, this does not account for

the disproportionately higher amount of adipose tissue in overweight/obese patients resulting in a spuriously low AT (in mls/kg/min). The present study found no association between cardiorespiratory comorbidity and VO_2AT . Low VO_2AT in female patients and overweight/obese patients should be interpreted with caution as this may not be due to true poor aerobic capacity.

3.5 Conclusions

Obstructive jaundice, including severe obstructive jaundice (serum bilirubin ≥ 250 mg/dl) does not affect preoperative cardiopulmonary exercise physiology. Reduction of cardiovascular adverse events can no longer be the rationale for preoperative biliary drainage even in patients with severe obstructive jaundice. Future studies must evaluate the safety of elective surgery in patients with severe jaundice and show comparable outcomes to non-jaundiced patients before PBD can be completely abandoned except in special circumstances.

¹¹ Chapter 4

¹² An investigation into the

¹³ relationship between

¹ cardiopulmonary exercise testing

² and body composition in patients

³ undergoing major pancreatic

⁴ surgery.

4.1 Introduction

Major abdominal surgery especially for pancreatic disease is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Patient selection is as important as identifying surgical treatable pathology in ensuring optimal outcomes. [Balthazar 2002]

4.1.1 Role of preoperative CPET

The role of cardiopulmonary exercise testing in the preoperative evaluation and risk assessment/stratification of patients undergoing major thoracic and abdominal surgery has become well established. A number of studies have shown that poor aerobic fitness demonstrated by a low anaerobic threshold or low peak VO_2 or both as measured at cardiopulmonary exercise testing is associated with increased morbidity and mortality after major surgery including bariatric [McCullough 2006], pancreatic [Chandrabalan et al. 2013; Ausania et al. 2012], liver [Epstein et al. 2004], cardiothoracic [Brunelli 2010; Campione et al. 2010; Torchio et al. 2010] and abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery. [Carlisle et al. 2007; Thompson et al. 2011] CPET is now routinely used as part of the preoperative processes used to select patients for surgery as well as to help in decision making regarding preoperative care including the need for additional tests, preoperative and intraoperative optimisation, admission to critical care and postoperative care. Patients are sometimes denied surgery if their performance at cardiopulmonary exercise testing is felt to be poor based on currently available evidence.

18 4.1.2 The pathophysiological basis of CPET

19 Aerobic fitness, as defined by the ability to perform physical exercise, is dependant
20 on and often limited by the ability of the cardiorespiratory and circulatory systems
1 (henceforth simply the cardiorespiratory system) to supply O₂ to skeletal muscles
2 at times of increased demand as well as remove the main end product of aerobic
3 metabolism, namely CO₂. Several factors play an important role in this increased
4 response of the cardiorespiratory system. The most important factor is an increase
5 in cardiac output which in healthy adults can increase by upto six-fold during exer-
6 cise. Aside from increased stroke volume and heart rate, the redistribution of blood
7 volume from the splanchnic circulation increases venous return to the heart. A con-
8 sequent increase in pulmonary blood flow and skeletal blood flow occurs which in
9 turn is assisted by vasodilation in these circulatory beds.

10 Oxygenation of the increased pulmonary blood flow and removal of the excess CO₂
11 generated by aerobic exercise is effected by increased minute ventilation as a result
12 of increase in its constituent factors namely respiratory rate and tidal volume. Oxy-
13 genation of skeletal muscle is further dependant on numerous other factors including
14 the oxygen carrying capacity of blood (primary determinant being haemoglobin), ad-
15 equate peripheral circulation and the ability of the mitochondria within the skeletal
16 muscle to utilise the oxygen that is being delivered to them.

17 It is clear that limitations in the patient's physiology resulting in inadequate or
18 inappropriate response in any of the above mentioned factors will result in over-
19 all limitation of their aerobic fitness. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing allows the

20 accurate measurement of most of these factors either directly or indirectly during
21 dynamic exercise thus allowing identifying not only limitations in aerobic fitness but
22 also the cause for such limitation.

1 **4.1.3 Factors influencing aerobic fitness**

2 A low anaerobic threshold and/or low peak VO_2 have universally been attributed
3 to low aerobic fitness due to an inadequate response of the cardiovascular and res-
4 piratory systems to increased oxygen demand during exercise. This is often thought
5 to be due to cardiorespiratory disease either overt or subclinical. Occasionally other
6 factors such as anaemia, peripheral vascular disease and rarely mitochondrial dis-
7 eases have been recognised as factors contributing to low anaerobic threshold/peak
8 VO_2 or abnormalities in other parameters measured at cardiopulmonary exercise
9 testing but this is uncommon in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery.

10 The most common parameters used to quantify perioperative risk in surgical patients
11 are oxygen consumption at the anaerobic threshold ($\text{VO}_{2\text{AT}}$) and at peak exercise
12 capacity ($\text{VO}_{2\text{Peak}}$). Conventionally these have been reported as per weight ratios
13 in mls/kg/min . However, numerous studies on cardiorespiratory exercise physiology
14 have reported that normalising VO_2 using total body weight leads to spurious cor-
15 relation errors unfairly penalising obese subjects.[Seltzer 1940; Tanner 1949; Toth
16 et al. 1993; Batterham et al. 1999; Goran et al. 2000; Krachler et al. 2014]

17 4.1.4 Aims

18 In chapter 2, we reported that low anaerobic threshold in patients undergoing pan-
19 creaticoduodenectomy was associated with an increased incidence of postoperative
1 pancreatic fistula and prolonged hospital stay. We also reported that patients with
2 a VO_2AT less than 10mls/kg/min were less likely to receive postoperative adjuvant
3 chemotherapy as a result of postoperative complications, prolonged hospital stay and
4 likely due to lack of physiological reserve post-surgery to be fit enough to undergo
5 chemotherapy.

6 However, we noted that high BMI was associated with a low VO_2AT independent
7 of all other clinicopathological characteristics. Moreover, most of our patients did
8 not have overt cardiac or respiratory comorbidity to explain the very low levels
9 of VO_2AT . The aim of the present study was to explore the association between
10 body composition, total body weight and the physiological parameters measured at
11 cardiopulmonary exercise testing.

12 **4.2 Methods**

13 **4.2.1 Patients**

14 Patients who underwent major abdominal surgery for malignant or benign disease
1 involving the head of the pancreas and periampullary region at a single institution
2 between August 2008 and October 2010 were included in this study. All data were
3 recorded in a prospectively maintained database. Data was collected on demograph-
4 ics, preoperative clinicopathological characteristics including blood tests, body mass
5 index, weight, height and the underlying surgical pathology. Detailed breath-by-
6 breath data on a variety of physiological and gas-exchange parameters measured
7 at cardiopulmonary exercise testing were also collected from a prospectively main-
8 tained database. A detailed description of methodology of cardiopulmonary exercise
9 testing and a description of the measured parameters is provided in CHAPTERX.

10 **4.2.2 Body composition calculation**

11 Preoperative computed tomography that had been performed as part of the routine
12 assessment of these patients was used to calculate body composition. Previously
13 published and well established methods were used were used to calculate body com-
14 position information from single CT slices.[Bredella et al. 2010; Shen et al. 2004]

15 The coronal and sagittal reconstructions were used to accurately identify the L3
16 and L4 vertebrae. The cross-sectional images at these levels were then exported as

17 bitmap images with C40 W350 settings [speak to a radiologist about what these
18 numbers mean]. The scale in millimeters was included with every image. A repre-
19 sentative image is shown in Fig. 1. The GNU Image Manipulation Program (GIMP),
1 an advanced, free, open-source, raster graphics editor was used for analysis of all im-
2 ages (www.gimp.org). The use of GIMP to analyse cross-sectional imaging for body
3 composition has been described previously although by using a different technique
4 to what has been employed by us. [Anblagan et al. 2013]

5 The first step involved converted the bitmap images into JPEG images using lossy
6 compression set at 85% to minimise sharp transitions between grey areas of very
7 similar colour values. This aided easier automatic selection of contiguous areas of
8 similar grey shades.

9 The next step involved standardising the scale of all images by dividing the length
10 of the scale on every image by the number of pixels along the scale thus providing
11 a length in millimetres for each pixel in each image. As pixels on a CT image are
12 square, the area of each pixel was calculated as a square of its length.

13 The Fuzzy Select (Magic Wand) tool was used to select contiguous areas of similar
14 colour while simultaneously using visual confirmation that the correct anatomical
15 structures had been selected without overspill into unwanted areas. The number of
16 pixels within the selection was obtained using the 'Histogram' dialog window and
17 entered into an excel spreadsheet against the selected area of interest. The area in
18 mm² was calculated by multiplying the number of pixels by the area of each pixel.

19 **Body compartment selection methodology:**

20 The sequence of steps is depicted in Fig. 4.1 on p 93. The total cross-sectional
 21 area of the abdomen at the level of L3/L4 was calculated by first selecting all the
 22 empty space outside the image followed by inverting this selection. This is depicted
 1 in Fig. 4.1a. Subcutaneous fat in the image was selected using the Fuzzy Select
 2 tool (if necessary by choosing multiple times and removing any unnecessary areas)
 3 as depicted in Fig. 4.1b. The same process was repeated for visceral adipose tissue
 4 and skeletal muscle as depicted in Fig. 4.1c and Fig. 4.1d respectively. Every
 5 selection was visually confirmed for anatomical accuracy by using the layer selection
 6 tool to inspect the area under selection as shown in the insets in each of the images.




FIGURE 4.1: Selection of components of body composition from CT images using GIMP.

(* The selected area has been removed for representation purposes. The inset confirms the area selected.)

8 **4.2.3 Cardiopulmonary exercise testing**

9 All patients performed cardiopulmonary exercise testing on a cycle ergometer as
10 described in chapterx. Raw data of all breath-by-breath parameters averaged every
1 10 seconds was collected for analysis. The first three minutes of the recorded data
2 were during the rest period when the patients were on the exercise bike but did not
3 do exercise. The average of each parameter measured between the first and second
4 minute was treated as the rest value. Anaerobic threshold was identified using
5 previously established methods. [Beaver et al. 1986; Sue et al. 1988] Peak exercise
6 was identified by the maximum oxygen consumption recorded towards the end of
7 the exercise period and all other parameters recorded at this point were considered
8 as peak exercise values.

9 **4.2.4 Statistics**

10 All analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical package for Microsoft Win-
11 dows (version 22). Comparisons between body composition and cardiopulmonary
12 exercise testing parameters were done using the partial correlations controlling for
13 the effect of gender (and/or age). All p-values reported are two-sided. The re-
14 lationship between body composition and various preoperative clinico-pathological
15 characteristics (in the form of categorical variables) was analysed using the Mann-
16 Whitney U test for variables with two categories and the Kruskal-Wallis Test for
17 variables with more than two categories. Previously established cut-offs were used

¹⁸ for categorising continuous variables where applicable. The level of significance was
¹⁹ set at $p < 0.05$.

4.3 Results

4.3.1 Body composition and Clinico-pathological characteristics

Eighty-two patients (35 male) were included in the study. The clinico-pathological characteristics of the study patients and their relationship to body composition is shown in Table 4.1 on page 97. There were several significant associations between clinico-pathological variables and body composition as depicted in this table.

4.3.2 Body Composition in Normal BMI vs Overweight/Obese Patients

The body composition differences between patients with a normal BMI and patients who are overweight or obese is shown in Figure 4.2 on page 98. There were significant differences in the proportion of subcutaneous adipose tissue versus visceral adipose tissue between males and females. Men had generally larger cross-sectional area, less SAT but greater VAT and SM areas. However, the proportion of skeletal muscle in both males and females decreased significantly with increasing BMI.

The proportion of skeletal muscle area at L3/L4 decreases from 38% in male patients with normal BMI to 22% in males who are obese. There was a greater decrease in the proportion of skeletal muscle area in females with normal BMI (32%) and obese females (14%). The higher weight in the high BMI patients was due to a

TABLE 4.1: The relationship between body composition and clinico-pathological characteristics of patients undergoing major pancreatic surgery.

| | | n | CSA | | | p | TAT | | | p | SM | | |
|----------------------|-----------|----|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|------|--------|----|---|
| | | | Mean | SD | | | Mean | SD | | | Mean | SD | p |
| Age | < 65 | 35 | 688.6 | 192.8 | 0.386 | 297.0 | 178.5 | 0.309 | 128.7 | 29.4 | 0.590 | | |
| | ≥ 65 | 47 | 704.3 | 150.6 | | 322.7 | 156.6 | | 124.1 | 31.3 | | | |
| Gender | M | 52 | 738.4 | 171.2 | <0.001 | 316.6 | 170.8 | 0.665 | 141.3 | 26.1 | <0.001 | | |
| | F | 30 | 626.9 | 141.6 | | 303.0 | 159.3 | | 99.7 | 15.6 | | | |
| BMI | ≤ 25 | 39 | 579.9 | 103.6 | <0.001 | 205.9 | 97.0 | <0.001 | 114.6 | 26.6 | 0.002 | | |
| | 25-30 | 31 | 754.0 | 109.4 | | 350.6 | 99.6 | | 136.0 | 30.4 | | | |
| SMID | > 30 | 12 | 934.6 | 145.4 | | 554.6 | 185.9 | | 137.6 | 30.9 | | | |
| | > 3 | 49 | 684.4 | 163.1 | 0.366 | 288.7 | 175.5 | 0.040 | 123.2 | 31.6 | 0.380 | | |
| Pathology | ≤ 3 | 21 | 718.5 | 187.2 | | 365.7 | 165.0 | | 128.2 | 31.9 | | | |
| | Benign | 10 | 737.9 | 228.4 | 0.766 | 352.8 | 278.1 | 0.955 | 122.4 | 24.0 | 0.788 | | |
| VO ₂ AT | Malignant | 72 | 692.0 | 160.3 | | 305.9 | 145.9 | | 126.6 | 31.3 | | | |
| | ≥ 10 | 39 | 659.8 | 173.1 | 0.035 | 257.2 | 144.3 | 0.003 | 131.5 | 33.2 | 0.111 | | |
| VO ₂ Peak | < 10 | 43 | 731.9 | 159.4 | | 361.0 | 170.1 | | 121.2 | 27.1 | | | |
| | ≥ 16 | 35 | 663.8 | 172.5 | 0.112 | 249.3 | 143.0 | 0.002 | 136.9 | 31.1 | <0.001 | | |
| CRP | < 16 | 47 | 722.8 | 163.6 | | 358.1 | 167.7 | | 118.0 | 27.5 | | | |
| | ≤ 10 | 50 | 691.4 | 183.1 | 0.512 | 303.7 | 145.2 | 0.985 | 128.7 | 33.5 | 0.392 | | |
| Albumin | > 10 | 32 | 707.3 | 146.4 | | 324.0 | 195.6 | | 122.0 | 24.7 | | | |
| | ≥ 35 | 32 | 743.8 | 173.5 | 0.062 | 339.4 | 179.3 | 0.213 | 134.5 | 34.1 | 0.054 | | |
| Hb | < 35 | 50 | 668.1 | 160.8 | | 293.8 | 155.8 | | 120.7 | 26.7 | | | |
| | ≥ 12 | 50 | 698.0 | 172.4 | 0.725 | 292.5 | 145.4 | 0.372 | 133.4 | 32.1 | 0.005 | | |
| PPS | < 12 | 32 | 697.1 | 166.2 | | 341.5 | 192.2 | | 114.6 | 23.6 | | | |
| | ≤ 14 | 41 | 708.7 | 169.8 | 0.444 | 323.2 | 155.0 | 0.347 | 129.8 | 34.5 | 0.351 | | |
| Cardiac disease | > 14 | 41 | 686.5 | 169.5 | | 300.0 | 177.1 | | 122.4 | 25.5 | | | |
| | No | 43 | 675.2 | 185.7 | 0.109 | 305.5 | 195.5 | 0.208 | 120.7 | 33.0 | 0.047 | | |
| Resp. disease | Yes | 39 | 722.3 | 146.8 | | 318.4 | 127.6 | | 132.0 | 26.4 | | | |
| | No | 72 | 704.8 | 170.8 | 0.269 | 319.0 | 169.3 | 0.342 | 125.8 | 30.5 | 0.810 | | |
| | Yes | 10 | 646.1 | 153.2 | | 258.3 | 133.3 | | 128.0 | 30.9 | | | |




FIGURE 4.2: Differences in body composition according to gender and body mass index.

disproportionate increase in adipose tissue rather than skeletal muscle. Moreover, the distribution of the adipose tissue differed between males and females with visceral adipose tissue contributing more to weight in obese males (43% VAT vs. 35% SAT) while obese females had a greater proportion of subcutaneous adipose tissue than visceral adipose tissue (68% SAT vs. 18% VAT)

4.3.3 Correlation with Pulmonary Function Tests

Partial correlation analysis was performed to study the relationship between pulmonary function tests and body composition. It has been well-established in previous studies that pulmonary function tests are correlated with age and gender and the analysis was therefore adjusted for these two variables. Forced Vital Capacity (FVC, litres), Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second (FEV1, litres) and the ratio FEV1/FVC (Tiffeneau-Pinelli index, %) were compared against the various components of body composition. Both FVC and FEV1 were positively correlated with skeletal muscle area but not with adipose tissue area or total cross-sectional area. FEV1/FVC was not correlated with any of the body composition components. This would indicate that pulmonary function was dependent on skeletal muscle area while FEV1/FVC, a calculated index to quantify restrictive or obstructive lung disease, was not associated with skeletal muscle area. These results are shown in Table 4.2 on page 100.

4.3.4 Correlation with Exercise Load

Exercise loads achieved at anaerobic threshold and at peak exercise capacity (at volitional stop rather than maximal exercise) were plotted against skeletal muscle area and subcutaneous adipose tissue area measured at L3/L4 to create scatter-plots (Fig. 4.3, p101). Exercise load correlated positively with skeletal muscle area both at anaerobic threshold ($r^2 = 0.284, p < 0.001$, Fig. 4.3a) and at peak exercise ($r^2 = 0.350, p < 0.001$, Fig. 4.3b). However, no correlation was identified between


TABLE 4.2: The relationship between body composition and cardiopulmonary exercise testing controlled for gender.

| Variable | CSA | | TAT | | SM | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | ρ | p | ρ | p | ρ | p |
| Pulmonary Function Tests ^a | | | | | | |
| FVC | -0.026 | 0.823 | -0.112 | 0.325 | 0.303 | 0.007 |
| FEV1 | 0.083 | 0.468 | -0.012 | 0.919 | 0.350 | 0.002 |
| FEV1/FVC | 0.096 | 0.398 | 0.101 | 0.374 | 0.003 | 978 |
| At Rest ^b | | | | | | |
| Minute Ventilation | 0.104 | 0.358 | 0.116 | 0.307 | 0.136 | 0.230 |
| Tidal Volume | 0.234 | 0.037 | 0.116 | 0.305 | 0.301 | 0.007 |
| Absolute VO2 | 0.251 | 0.025 | 0.164 | 0.145 | 0.353 | 0.001 |
| Corrected VO2 | -0.473 | <0.001 | -0.482 | <0.001 | -0.194 | 0.085 |
| O2 Pulse | 0.303 | 0.006 | 0.141 | 0.212 | 0.192 | 0.087 |
| At Anaerobic Threshold ^b | | | | | | |
| Exercise Load | 0.173 | 0.123 | 0.105 | 0.349 | 0.377 | 0.001 |
| Minute Ventilation | 0.203 | 0.069 | 0.198 | 0.076 | 0.263 | 0.018 |
| Tidal Volume | 0.259 | 0.020 | 0.170 | 0.128 | 0.436 | <0.001 |
| Absolute VO2 | 0.340 | 0.002 | 0.231 | 0.038 | 0.463 | <0.001 |
| Corrected VO2 | -0.373 | 0.001 | -0.400 | <0.001 | -0.078 | 0.487 |
| O2 Pulse | 0.432 | <0.001 | 0.242 | 0.029 | 0.338 | 0.002 |
| At Peak Exercise ^b | | | | | | |
| Exercise Load | 0.113 | 0.314 | 0.020 | 0.859 | 0.373 | 0.001 |
| Minute Ventilation | 0.139 | 0.217 | 0.112 | 0.321 | 0.242 | 0.029 |
| Tidal Volume | 0.239 | 0.032 | 0.138 | 0.219 | 0.409 | <0.001 |
| Absolute VO2 | 0.192 | 0.086 | 0.093 | 0.407 | 0.375 | 0.001 |
| Corrected VO2 | -0.334 | 0.002 | -0.374 | 0.001 | -0.027 | 0.813 |
| O2 Pulse | 0.377 | 0.001 | 0.261 | 0.019 | 0.363 | 0.001 |

CAT - Cross-sectional area, TAT - Total Adipose Tissue area

SM - Skeletal Muscle area, all in cm². ρ - Pearson's r adjusted for *a* - gender and sex and *b* - gender.

19 exercise loads achieved and subcutaneous adipose tissue area either at anaerobic
20 threshold ($r^2 = 0.004, p = 0.587$) or peak exercise ($r^2 = 0.020, p = 0.206$).



(A) Anaerobic Threshold

(B) Peak Exercise

FIGURE 4.3: Correlation between exercise load and skeletal muscle area.

21 4.3.5 Correlation with Oxygen consumption

1 The correlations between cardiopulmonary exercise parameters and body composi-
2 tion were adjusted for gender. Our own findings (3) and the findings of other authors
3 suggest that age is not related to VO_2AT or VO_2Peak and therefore no adjustments
4 were made for age. The results of this analysis are shown in Table 4.2 (p100).

5 Tidal volume (litres) was significantly correlated with skeletal muscle area at all
6 phases of exercise including at rest, anaerobic threshold and peak exercise. There
7 was a statistically significant but weak positive correlation between Minute Ventila-
8 tion (Tidal Volume x Respiratory Rate) and skeletal muscle at anaerobic threshold
9 and peak exercise but not at rest. There was no correlation between either of these
10 measures of pulmonary function and total adipose tissue area at any phase of exer-
11 cise.




FIGURE 4.4: Correlation between body composition and VO₂AT before and after correction for total body weight.

12 Absolute oxygen consumption (litres/min) had a strong positive correlation with
 13 skeletal muscle area at rest ($\rho = 0.125, p = 0.001$), at anaerobic threshold ($\rho =$
 14 $0.463, p < 0.001$) and at peak exercise ($\rho = 0.375, p < 0.001$). However, this corre-
 1 lation was lost after correction of oxygen consumption for total body weight and in
 2 fact there was a non-significant change in the direction of correlation to the negative.

3 Absolute oxygen consumption (litres/min) had no correlation with total adipose
 4 tissue at rest or at peak exercise and only a weak correlation at anaerobic threshold.
 5 However, when it was corrected for total body weight, there was a strong correlation
 6 between corrected oxygen consumption (mls/kg/min) and total adipose tissue at rest
 7 ($\rho = -0.482, p < 0.001$), anaerobic threshold ($\rho = -0.400, p < 0.001$) and peak
 8 exercise ($\rho = -0.374, p = 0.001$).

9 The loss of the physiological relationship between VO₂ and skeletal muscle after
 10 correcting for total body weight is shown in Fig.4.4a and the creation of a spurious
 11 relationship with total adipose tissue after correction for total body weight is shown

₁₂ in Fig. 4.4b.

13 4.4 Discussion

14 The results of this study show that the most important cardiopulmonary exercise
 1 test parameters as used for preoperative risk evaluation in surgery are influenced
 1 significantly by the patient's body composition.

2 4.4.1 Oxygen consumption and body composition

3 The positive correlation between absolute oxygen consumption and skeletal muscle
 4 area is easily explained by the physiology of aerobic exercise. During periods of
 5 increased physical activity, the greater oxygen demand is primarily due to increased
 6 metabolic activity within the skeletal muscle.

7 Current convention is to report oxygen consumption measured at cardiopulmonary
 8 exercise testing according the following formula:

$$Corrected\ VO_2(mls.kg^{-1}.min^{-1}) = \frac{Absolute\ VO_2\ (litres.min^{-1}) * 1000}{Total\ body\ weight\ (kg)}$$

9 In a previous analysis (refer to chapter and table), we reported that there was a
 10 significant negative correlation between oxygen consumption at anaerobic thresh-
 11 old and the patient's body mass index in spite of no observable cardiopulmonary
 12 comorbid disease.

13 The results of the present study suggest that the negative correlation between cor-
14 rected VO_2 (mls/kg/min) and BMI is consequent to the reporting convention rather
15 than due to any pathophysiological effect of obesity.

1 The loss of the strong positive correlation between absolute VO_2 (litres/min) and
2 skeletal muscle area after correcting for body weight further supports the argument
3 that the corrected value under-reports aerobic capacity in obese patients. Moreover,
4 the lack of correlation between pulmonary function tests, tidal volume and minute
5 ventilation and adipose tissue area as well as the slight but statistically significant
6 positive correlation between O2Pulse and adipose tissue area appear to suggest that
7 adiposity did not contribute to poor cardiopulmonary exercise performance in this
8 cohort of patients.

9 **4.4.2 Comparison with previous studies**

10 Our findings are similar to those reported by several authors previously. The rela-
11 tionship between body size, body composition and aerobic capacity both at rest and
12 during exercise has been studied extensively for over a hundred years.

13 Seltzer reported in his 1940 study of 34 subjects, that the individuals who were more
14 "lateral" than "linear" had lower oxygen intakes per kilo body weight.[Seltzer 1940]
15 Tanner in his article titled "*Fallacy of per-weight and per-surface area standards,*
16 *and their relation to spurious correlation*"[Tanner 1949] in the Journal of Applied
17 Physiology in 1947 recognised the dangers of expressing physiological variables as a
18 function of total body mass. In a detailed analysis comparing oxygen consumption

19 and body build, he concludes that *"as the index wt./stature increases, O₂/wt. must*
20 *be expected to decrease purely as a result of the method used for representing the*
21 *data."*

1 Batterham et al studied 1314 apparently healthy men employed at the National
2 Aeronautics and Space Administration Johnson Space Center in Houston, Texas.[Batterham
3 et al. 1999] The authors report that as body mass increased, the proportion com-
4 posed of fat-free mass decreased. They also found that fat-free mass had a linear
5 relationship with oxygen consumption while total body mass did not. They suggest
6 that ideally estimates of fat-free mass should be used in the representation of oxygen
7 consumption to allow more reliable comparison between subjects.

8 Janz et al studied oxygen consumption and aerobic capacity in adolescents over sev-
9 eral years as part of the Muscatine study and reported their findings in 1997[Janz
10 et al. 1997] and 1998.[Janz et al. 1998] Aerobic capacity in the form of VO₂peak was
11 evaluated annually in 126 children (mean age 10.3 years) for five years. Body com-
12 position changes were also tracked over this period. They reported on the changes
13 in body composition that occur over time and the differences in these changes be-
14 tween circum-pubertal boys and girls. They reported on the significant difficulties
15 in normalising VO₂ using total body mass and suggested that fat-free mass was the
16 most appropriate variable for normalising VO₂. They found that VO₂ normalised
17 using total body mass underestimated aerobic fitness levels of heavier boys and girls.
18 However, this underestimation was greater in girls than in boys.

19 Goran et al reported that total body fat did not affect maximal aerobic capac-
20 ity.[Goran et al. 2000] They reported on VO_2max in obese women before and after
21 weight loss. VO_2max corrected for total body weight was significantly lower in the
22 obese state while VO_2max corrected for fat-free mass did not change significantly
1 after weight loss. They also reported that the limiting factor in the obese state was
2 not the cardio-respiratory system but the fact that it was more difficult for obese
3 individuals to do the same amount of work as a normal weight person in weight-
4 bearing activities. This is likely due to the extra fat mass in these individuals that
5 did not contribute to aerobic capacity but instead may increase the exercise load.

6 These findings have been replicated by several other authors in different subject
7 groups.[Loftin et al. 2001; Lemaitre et al. 2006; Savonen et al. 2012; Krachler et
8 al. 2014] Several of the above studies also recommend using allometric scaling to
9 avoid the confounding effects of total body weight. However, this has not gained
10 widespread clinical use.

11 In a study aimed at determining the optimal method of expressing VO_2max , Ma-
12 ciejczyk and coworkers analysed the differing influence of body fat and lean body
13 mass on aerobic performance in a two groups of physically fit men categorised based
14 on their body fat percentage.[Maciejczyk et al. 2014] They reported that high body
15 mass regardless of composition was correlated negatively with VO_2 when it was
16 corrected for total body weight penalising otherwise fit men purely based on the
17 proportion of body weight that was contributed by body fat. However, when VO_2
18 was corrected for lean body mass, they found that the results were similar between

the low body fat and high fat body groups. They, similar to Goran et al.[Goran et al. 2000], recommend that VO_2 be normalised to lean body mass rather than total body weight.

The conclusion from the above studies would be that oxygen consumption normalised for total body weight unfairly penalises obese patients in the absence of true impairment of cardio-respiratory function. This has significant clinical implications as outlined below.

4.4.3 Clinical implications of spurious correlation

Older et al in their pioneering study in 1993 reported that $\text{VO}_2\text{AT} \leq 11\text{mls/kg/min}$ was associated with increased mortality in elderly patients undergoing major abdominal surgery.[Older et al. 1993] While they did not provide any data on other preoperative or intra-operative factors, they concluded that cardiopulmonary exercise testing was useful in predicting postoperative outcome. However, this first report on the use of cardiopulmonary exercise testing as a preoperative risk assessment tool repeatedly states that a $\text{VO}_2\text{AT} \leq 11\text{mls/kg/min}$ represented cardiac failure. This association is repeated in their later work on 548 patients which also showed a clear association between $\text{VO}_2\text{AT} \leq 11\text{mls/kg/min}$ and mortality due to cardiovascular causes. [Older et al. 1999] The concepts of '*surgical anaerobic threshold*' and '*postoperative cardiac failure*' were introduced later and were described as the '*inability of the heart to meet the demand of postoperative stress.*'[Society et al. 2003]

18 Swart and Carlisle reported that $\text{VO}_2\text{AT} \leq 11\text{mls/kg/min}$ in patients undergoing
19 open colorectal surgery was associated with adverse outcomes.[Swart et al. 2012]
20 However, the proportion of females in the low VO_2AT group was significantly greater
1 than that in the normal VO_2AT groups (24% vs 51%). The average VO_2AT in men
2 calculated from the data presented in their paper was 11.02 mls/kg/min while in
3 women it was 9.81 mls/kg/min. In a study by Wilson et al that reported car-
4 diopulmonary exercise testing predicted outcome in major elective intra-abdominal
5 surgery, the proportion of females in the low VO_2AT group was 51% while it was
6 28% in the group with normal AT.[Wilson et al. 2010] There was no data presented
7 on body mass index in this study.

8 This is similar to the findings in our cohort of patients. This may have been due to
9 the increased incidence of obesity especially in the subcutaneous plane as we have
10 found in our cohort of patients as shown in Fig. ??.

11 It is clear from the review presented in Chapter 1, that cardiopulmonary exercise
12 testing is useful in predicting risk after major surgery. Cardiopulmonary exercise
13 testing has become ubiquitous in the preoperative workup of complex surgical pa-
14 tients. However, the results of the present study suggest that the results especially in
15 the obese, female patient must be interpreted with caution, especially when used to
16 select patients who may be declined surgery based on their cardiopulmonary exercise
17 test results.

18 4.4.4 Measuring impact of Prehabilitation

19 Where time to surgery is not critical, prehabilitation has gained an increasingly im-
20 portant role in optimising patients for surgery and mitigating the effects of neoadju-
1 vant oncological therapy. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing has been reported to be
2 a useful objective measure of the impact of prehabilitation in surgical patients.[West
3 et al. 2015]

4 The design of such prehabilitation programs must not depend solely on body weight
5 adjusted parameters of cardiopulmonary exercise testing when assessing the success
6 of the interventions in these programs. Instead, improvement in the absolute values
7 of VO_2AT and VO_2Peak in conjunction with other parameters that are not affected
8 by body composition such as O_2Pulse , tidal volume[Jones et al. 2007] or maximal
9 exercise load may provide more reliable evidence of improvement in aerobic capacity.

¹⁰ Chapter 5

¹¹ An investigation into the
¹² relationship between
¹³ cardiopulmonary exercise testing,
¹ comorbidity, systemic
² inflammation and survival after
³ pancreaticoduodenectomy for
⁴ cancer.

5.1 Introduction

Median survival after pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma varies from approximately 18 months to 24 months.[Winter et al. 2006; Neoptolemos et al. 2010]

Selecting patients who will benefit from the survival advantage that a pancreaticoduodenectomy offers is important to maximise the usefulness of this morbid procedure.

Comorbidity is not only associated with postoperative morbidity and mortality (Section 1.4) but has also been reported to be associated with poor long-term survival in patients undergoing surgery several different cancers including colorectal cancer [] and breast cancer.[] With 10-year survival rates approaching 60% and 80% in these patients, it is not surprising that some patients with significant comorbidity may die from their comorbid disease rather than from cancer recurrence. However, cancer-specific survival is also shorter in patients with significant comorbidities.

Systemic inflammation has been proposed as one of the intermediary mechanism in these patients that increases rates of recurrence and decreases disease free survival. The modified Glasgow Prognostic Score, a measure of preoperative systemic inflammation in cancer patients, is associated with poor survival regardless of the site or stage of cancer. This is discussed in detail in Section 1.8.

However, an objective method to measure comorbidity itself remains elusive and various scores have been used for this purpose. The Charlson Comorbidity Index is one

18 such score and has been reported to predict long-term survival in cancer patients.
19 Cardiopulmonary exercise testing is an objective measure of aerobic fitness and of
20 cardiorespiratory comorbidity and has been shown to be useful in predicting com-
21 plications after major abdominal surgery including pancreatic surgery. ([Ausania
1 et al. 2012] and Chapter 2)

2 Moreover, cardiopulmonary exercise testing has been used to predict medium term
3 survival after aortic aneurysm surgery [Carlisle et al. 2007] as well as overall survival
4 in patients with medical diseases such as chronic heart failure or chronic obstructive
5 airways disease. The relationship between cardiopulmonary exercise testing and
6 long-term survival in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy for cancer has
7 not been reported before.

8 **5.2 Aim**

9 The aim of the present study was to investigate the relationship between cardiopul-
10 monary exercise testing, comorbidity, systemic inflammation and survival in patients
11 undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

12 **5.3 Patients and Methods**

13 All patients who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic ductal adeno-
14 carcinoma between August 2008 and July 2012 were included in the study. Data

was collected prospectively in a structured database and included demographics, preoperative clinico-pathological characteristics, cardiopulmonary exercise testing, postoperative complications, tumour characteristics and long-term survival. Survival data was collected using the Greater Glasgow and Clyde NHS Clinical Portal and the Scottish National Statutory Register of Deaths. The modified Glasgow Prognostic Score was calculated as described in Table 1.6 on p33. The POSSUM Physiology Score was calculated as described in ??.

The Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SMID) combines 38 indicators across 7 domains including income, employment, health, education, skills and training, housing, geographic access and crime. All of Scotland's population is placed into 6505 geographical groups ranked in descending order of deprivation. SMID quintiles place these into 5 categories with 1 representing the most deprived areas and 5 representing the least deprived. The SMID quintile for each patient was derived from the postcode of their primary residence.

5.3.1 Statistics

Standard thresholds were used to categorise continuous variables where applicable. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and Cox-regression analysis were used to study the relationship between preoperative clinico-pathological characteristics and long-term survival. SPSS version 22 statistical software package was used for all analysis.

16 **5.4 Results**

17 **5.5 Discussion**

18 This is considerably less than other common cancers such as colorectal cancer or
19 breast cancer where 5-year survivals across all stages are 60% and 90% respectively.

1 However, only 10-20% of pancreatic cancers are suitable for potentially curative
2 surgery and of patients who undergo curative surgery 5-year survival remains low at
3 approximately 20%.[CancerResearchUK 2014]

⁴ Chapter 6

⁵ Conclusion

-
- ⁶ This is the easy bit

⁷ Appendix A

¹ Breath-by-breath CPET sample ² data

FIGURE A.1: Breath-by-breath sample data with values averaged every 10 seconds - Part 1.

| Time min:sec | %peakVO ₂ | Load W | VE l/min | Vt l | VO ₂ l/min | VO ₂ /kg ml/(kg*min) | VE/V O ₂ l/l | VCO ₂ l/min | VE/V CO ₂ l/l | RER | PET O ₂ mmHg | PET CO ₂ mmHg | O ₂ P uls ml/beat | HR beat/min | Bf 1/min | Vd/ Vt % | O2sat % |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|------------|
| 00:10 | 20 | - | 13 | 0.74 | 0.39 | 4.6 | 31.3 | 0.38 | 31.9 | 0.98 | 111 | 34 | 5 | 75 | 18 | 34 | 97 |
| 00:20 | 18 | - | 12 | 0.71 | 0.35 | 4.2 | 30.6 | 0.34 | 31.3 | 0.98 | 110 | 34 | 5 | 74 | 16 | 33 | 97 |
| 00:30 | 18 | - | 12 | 0.78 | 0.37 | 4.4 | 29.7 | 0.36 | 30.7 | 0.97 | 109 | 35 | 5 | 73 | 15 | 33 | 97 |
| 00:40 | 20 | - | 12 | 0.77 | 0.36 | 4.4 | 30.4 | 0.36 | 30.9 | 0.98 | 110 | 35 | 5 | 73 | 16 | 33 | 97 |
| 00:50 | 17 | - | 12 | 0.82 | 0.35 | 4.2 | 31.8 | 0.36 | 31.3 | 1.02 | 111 | 34 | 5 | 73 | 15 | 33 | 97 |
| 01:00 | 18 | - | 12 | 0.84 | 0.34 | 4.1 | 33.2 | 0.35 | 32 | 1.04 | 113 | 34 | 5 | 74 | 14 | 34 | 97 |
| 01:10 | 17 | - | 11 | 0.73 | 0.29 | 3.5 | 34.9 | 0.31 | 33.3 | 1.05 | 114 | 33 | 4 | 75 | 15 | 35 | 98 |
| 01:20 | 13 | - | 12 | 0.71 | 0.29 | 3.5 | 38.3 | 0.31 | 36.2 | 1.06 | 117 | 31 | 4 | 75 | 17 | 35 | 98 |
| 01:30 | 25 | - | 14 | 1.03 | 0.34 | 4.1 | 39.5 | 0.38 | 35.9 | 1.1 | 119 | 30 | 5 | 73 | 14 | 33 | 98 |
| 01:40 | 12 | - | 14 | 1.2 | 0.36 | 4.3 | 36.2 | 0.4 | 31.9 | 1.14 | 119 | 31 | 5 | 74 | 11 | 27 | 98 |
| 01:50 | 15 | - | 10 | 0.95 | 0.28 | 3.3 | 35.7 | 0.31 | 31.3 | 1.14 | 117 | 33 | 4 | 76 | 11 | 30 | 98 |
| 02:00 | 16 | - | 10 | 0.81 | 0.25 | 3 | 37.6 | 0.28 | 33.4 | 1.12 | 117 | 33 | 3 | 76 | 13 | 34 | 98 |
| 02:10 | 9 | - | 11 | 0.76 | 0.29 | 3.4 | 35.6 | 0.31 | 32.9 | 1.08 | 115 | 33 | 4 | 76 | 15 | 34 | 98 |
| 02:20 | 15 | - | 12 | 0.57 | 0.28 | 3.4 | 38.5 | 0.29 | 36.9 | 1.04 | 116 | 32 | 4 | 78 | 21 | 38 | 97 |
| 02:30 | 22 | - | 15 | 0.6 | 0.41 | 4.9 | 33.8 | 0.4 | 34.5 | 0.98 | 113 | 33 | 5 | 84 | 25 | 36 | 97 |
| 02:40 | 28 | - | 20 | 0.75 | 0.55 | 6.5 | 33.1 | 0.55 | 32.9 | 1.01 | 114 | 34 | 6 | 86 | 26 | 34 | 97 |
| 02:50 | 25 | - | 19 | 0.73 | 0.5 | 5.9 | 35.9 | 0.53 | 33.7 | 1.06 | 117 | 33 | 6 | 88 | 26 | 35 | 97 |
| 03:00 | 27 | - | 20 | 1 | 0.51 | 6 | 36.7 | 0.56 | 33.2 | 1.11 | 117 | 33 | 6 | 87 | 20 | 34 | 98 |
| 03:10 | 22 | - | 18 | 0.87 | 0.43 | 5.2 | 38.3 | 0.49 | 33.9 | 1.13 | 117 | 33 | 5 | 86 | 21 | 36 | 98 |
| 03:20 | 24 | - | 18 | 0.82 | 0.47 | 5.6 | 35.1 | 0.51 | 32.1 | 1.09 | 116 | 34 | 5 | 86 | 22 | 34 | 98 |
| 03:30 | 24 | - | 17 | 0.95 | 0.49 | 5.8 | 33.6 | 0.53 | 30.6 | 1.1 | 115 | 35 | 6 | 87 | 18 | 32 | 98 |
| 03:40 | 27 | - | 18 | 0.95 | 0.49 | 5.8 | 34.1 | 0.53 | 31.1 | 1.1 | 115 | 35 | 6 | 86 | 19 | 33 | 98 |
| 03:50 | 25 | - | 17 | 0.94 | 0.47 | 5.7 | 34.2 | 0.52 | 31.4 | 1.09 | 115 | 35 | 6 | 86 | 18 | 33 | 98 |
| 04:00 | 21 | - | 16 | 0.73 | 0.42 | 5 | 35.4 | 0.45 | 33 | 1.07 | 116 | 33 | 5 | 85 | 22 | 35 | 98 |
| 04:10 | 25 | 4 | 18 | 0.7 | 0.48 | 5.7 | 35.4 | 0.51 | 33.3 | 1.06 | 116 | 33 | 6 | 85 | 26 | 34 | 98 |
| 04:20 | 21 | 6 | 17 | 0.68 | 0.44 | 5.3 | 34.9 | 0.46 | 33.3 | 1.05 | 115 | 34 | 5 | 86 | 25 | 36 | 98 |
| 04:30 | 29 | 9 | 19 | 0.92 | 0.53 | 6.4 | 33.7 | 0.57 | 31.6 | 1.07 | 114 | 34 | 6 | 86 | 21 | 33 | 98 |
| 04:40 | 25 | 13 | 19 | 0.91 | 0.5 | 6 | 35.3 | 0.56 | 31.7 | 1.11 | 116 | 34 | 6 | 87 | 21 | 33 | 98 |
| 04:50 | 25 | 16 | 19 | 0.94 | 0.5 | 6 | 35.2 | 0.56 | 31.8 | 1.11 | 115 | 34 | 6 | 88 | 21 | 34 | 98 |
| 05:00 | 35 | 20 | 20 | 1.17 | 0.5 | 5.9 | 38.5 | 0.56 | 34.3 | 1.12 | 116 | 34 | 6 | 88 | 17 | 37 | 98 |
| 05:10 | 18 | 23 | 18 | 0.98 | 0.44 | 5.2 | 38.9 | 0.49 | 34.6 | 1.12 | 116 | 34 | 5 | 88 | 19 | 37 | 98 |
| 05:20 | 24 | 26 | 16 | 0.74 | 0.46 | 5.5 | 33.2 | 0.48 | 31.6 | 1.05 | 113 | 35 | 5 | 89 | 22 | 34 | 98 |
| 05:30 | 26 | 29 | 17 | 0.73 | 0.52 | 6.2 | 30.3 | 0.5 | 31.2 | 0.97 | 111 | 35 | 6 | 92 | 23 | 34 | 98 |
| 05:40 | 31 | 32 | 17 | 0.82 | 0.58 | 6.9 | 27.9 | 0.54 | 29.8 | 0.94 | 109 | 36 | 6 | 92 | 21 | 32 | 98 |
| 05:50 | 31 | 36 | 18 | 1 | 0.63 | 7.5 | 27.5 | 0.59 | 29.2 | 0.94 | 109 | 36 | 7 | 91 | 19 | 30 | 97 |
| 06:00 | 35 | 40 | 21 | 0.98 | 0.66 | 7.9 | 29.8 | 0.64 | 30.8 | 0.97 | 111 | 35 | 7 | 91 | 21 | 32 | 97 |
| 06:10 | 33 | 43 | 21 | 0.88 | 0.66 | 7.9 | 30.1 | 0.64 | 31.1 | 0.97 | 111 | 35 | 7 | 92 | 24 | 33 | 98 |
| 06:20 | 37 | 45 | 21 | 1.05 | 0.69 | 8.3 | 29.3 | 0.67 | 30.2 | 0.97 | 110 | 36 | 8 | 91 | 20 | 33 | 98 |
| 06:30 | 34 | 49 | 21 | 0.96 | 0.7 | 8.4 | 28.5 | 0.68 | 29.6 | 0.96 | 109 | 36 | 8 | 92 | 22 | 32 | 98 |
| 06:40 | 41 | 53 | 23 | 1.08 | 0.78 | 9.4 | 27.4 | 0.75 | 28.5 | 0.96 | 108 | 37 | 8 | 92 | 21 | 30 | 98 |
| 06:50 | 42 | 56 | 23 | 1.07 | 0.8 | 9.5 | 27.8 | 0.77 | 28.8 | 0.96 | 109 | 37 | 9 | 93 | 22 | 31 | 98 |
| 07:00 | 40 | 59 | 25 | 1.25 | 0.8 | 9.6 | 29.5 | 0.79 | 30 | 0.98 | 110 | 36 | 8 | 94 | 20 | 33 | 97 |

FIGURE A.2: Breath-by-breath sample data with values averaged every 10 seconds - Part 2.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|
| 07:10 | 39 | 63 | 24 | 1.27 | 0.75 | 9 | 29.9 | 0.75 | 29.9 | 1 | 110 | 37 | 8 | 94 | 19 | 33 | 97 |
| 07:20 | 40 | 67 | 23 | 0.96 | 0.78 | 9.4 | 28.1 | 0.76 | 28.8 | 0.98 | 109 | 37 | 8 | 93 | 24 | 31 | 98 |
| 07:30 | 44 | 70 | 25 | 1.11 | 0.85 | 10.2 | 27.5 | 0.82 | 28.4 | 0.97 | 109 | 37 | 9 | 95 | 22 | 31 | 97 |
| 07:40 | 47 | 73 | 25 | 1.22 | 0.9 | 10.8 | 26.6 | 0.88 | 27.4 | 0.97 | 108 | 38 | 9 | 97 | 21 | 29 | 97 |
| 07:50 | 46 | 76 | 25 | 1.15 | 0.88 | 10.5 | 27.3 | 0.86 | 28 | 0.97 | 107 | 38 | 9 | 98 | 22 | 32 | 97 |
| 08:00 | 35 | 80 | 24 | 1.3 | 0.86 | 10.3 | 26.6 | 0.84 | 27.4 | 0.97 | 106 | 39 | 9 | 100 | 18 | 31 | 97 |
| 08:10 | 56 | 83 | 28 | 1.27 | 1.01 | 12 | 26.4 | 0.97 | 27.4 | 0.97 | 107 | 39 | 10 | 102 | 22 | 30 | 97 |
| 08:20 | 54 | 86 | 31 | 1.46 | 1.04 | 12.5 | 28.5 | 1.06 | 28.1 | 1.01 | 109 | 38 | 10 | 103 | 21 | 31 | 97 |
| 08:30 | 52 | 90 | 31 | 1.44 | 1.02 | 12.2 | 28.9 | 1.07 | 27.5 | 1.05 | 110 | 39 | 10 | 103 | 22 | 30 | 97 |
| 08:40 | 50 | 93 | 32 | 1.58 | 0.99 | 11.9 | 31.4 | 1.06 | 29.3 | 1.07 | 111 | 38 | 10 | 104 | 21 | 33 | 97 |
| 08:50 | 49 | 96 | 30 | 1.16 | 0.95 | 11.4 | 29.8 | 1.01 | 28.2 | 1.06 | 111 | 38 | 9 | 105 | 26 | 32 | 98 |
| 09:00 | 56 | 100 | 32 | 1.34 | 1.09 | 13 | 28.2 | 1.14 | 26.9 | 1.05 | 109 | 39 | 10 | 105 | 24 | 30 | 98 |
| 09:10 | 57 | 103 | 33 | 1.54 | 1.12 | 13.3 | 28.8 | 1.18 | 27.2 | 1.06 | 110 | 39 | 10 | 107 | 22 | 30 | 97 |
| 09:20 | 63 | 107 | 35 | 1.69 | 1.14 | 13.7 | 29.5 | 1.24 | 27.2 | 1.08 | 110 | 39 | 11 | 108 | 21 | 30 | 98 |
| 09:30 | 56 | 109 | 35 | 1.5 | 1.14 | 13.6 | 29.7 | 1.24 | 27.3 | 1.09 | 111 | 39 | 11 | 108 | 23 | 30 | 98 |
| 09:40 | 64 | 112 | 36 | 1.73 | 1.17 | 13.9 | 29.7 | 1.28 | 26.9 | 1.1 | 111 | 39 | 11 | 110 | 21 | 29 | 98 |
| 09:50 | 55 | 116 | 35 | 1.56 | 1.14 | 13.6 | 29.3 | 1.24 | 26.8 | 1.09 | 110 | 40 | 10 | 111 | 22 | 30 | 98 |
| 10:00 | 64 | 120 | 36 | 1.64 | 1.23 | 14.7 | 28.7 | 1.34 | 26.2 | 1.09 | 110 | 40 | 11 | 112 | 22 | 29 | 97 |
| 10:10 | 72 | 123 | 43 | 1.94 | 1.39 | 16.5 | 30.4 | 1.56 | 27 | 1.13 | 111 | 39 | 12 | 113 | 22 | 28 | 97 |
| 10:20 | 67 | 126 | 43 | 1.65 | 1.32 | 15.8 | 31.4 | 1.54 | 26.9 | 1.17 | 113 | 38 | 12 | 114 | 26 | 28 | 97 |
| 10:30 | 72 | 130 | 42 | 1.65 | 1.38 | 16.5 | 29.4 | 1.58 | 25.7 | 1.15 | 112 | 39 | 12 | 116 | 26 | 26 | 98 |
| 10:40 | 68 | 133 | 42 | 1.85 | 1.35 | 16.2 | 30.3 | 1.57 | 26.2 | 1.16 | 112 | 39 | 11 | 118 | 23 | 27 | 98 |
| 10:50 | 68 | 136 | 39 | 2.08 | 1.31 | 15.6 | 29.3 | 1.49 | 25.6 | 1.14 | 110 | 40 | 11 | 120 | 19 | 26 | 98 |
| 11:00 | 77 | 140 | 44 | 1.99 | 1.49 | 17.8 | 28.7 | 1.69 | 25.3 | 1.13 | 111 | 40 | 12 | 120 | 22 | 24 | 98 |
| 11:10 | 79 | 142 | 48 | 1.85 | 1.53 | 18.3 | 30.8 | 1.81 | 26 | 1.18 | 113 | 38 | 13 | 121 | 26 | 25 | 97 |
| 11:20 | 75 | 147 | 47 | 2.06 | 1.47 | 17.6 | 31 | 1.75 | 25.9 | 1.19 | 113 | 39 | 12 | 125 | 23 | 25 | 97 |
| 11:30 | 77 | 150 | 47 | 1.96 | 1.52 | 18.1 | 30.2 | 1.75 | 26.1 | 1.15 | 112 | 40 | 12 | 127 | 24 | 26 | 98 |
| 11:40 | 81 | 153 | 51 | 1.92 | 1.59 | 19 | 31.3 | 1.9 | 26.3 | 1.19 | 114 | 38 | 12 | 128 | 27 | 24 | 97 |
| 11:50 | 83 | 156 | 54 | 2.02 | 1.59 | 18.9 | 32.9 | 1.95 | 26.8 | 1.23 | 116 | 38 | 12 | 130 | 27 | 24 | 97 |
| 12:00 | 90 | 159 | 61 | 2.56 | 1.78 | 21.2 | 33.8 | 2.22 | 27 | 1.25 | 116 | 37 | 14 | 132 | 24 | 22 | 97 |
| 12:10 | 104 | 163 | 71 | 2.65 | 2 | 23.8 | 34.9 | 2.57 | 27.1 | 1.29 | 119 | 35 | 15 | 134 | 27 | 18 | 97 |
| 12:20 | 98 | 166 | 75 | 2.36 | 1.95 | 23.3 | 37.6 | 2.55 | 28.7 | 1.31 | 121 | 34 | 14 | 136 | 32 | 21 | 97 |
| 12:30 | 95 | 169 | 74 | 2.13 | 1.85 | 22.1 | 39.3 | 2.46 | 29.5 | 1.33 | 122 | 33 | 13 | 138 | 35 | 23 | 98 |
| 12:40 | 91 | 172 | 73 | 2.16 | 1.77 | 21.2 | 39.9 | 2.36 | 30 | 1.33 | 121 | 34 | 13 | 139 | 34 | 25 | 97 |
| 12:50 | 89 | - | 72 | 2.15 | 1.71 | 20.4 | 41 | 2.32 | 30.1 | 1.36 | 122 | 33 | 12 | 140 | 33 | 24 | 98 |
| 13:00 | 81 | - | 71 | 2.05 | 1.58 | 18.9 | 43.9 | 2.23 | 31.1 | 1.41 | 124 | 32 | 11 | 138 | 35 | 25 | 98 |
| 13:10 | 68 | - | 55 | 1.91 | 1.29 | 15.5 | 40.9 | 1.88 | 28.2 | 1.45 | 123 | 34 | 10 | 136 | 29 | 20 | 98 |
| 13:20 | 72 | - | 56 | 2.11 | 1.45 | 17.3 | 37.7 | 2.05 | 26.6 | 1.41 | 122 | 36 | 11 | 130 | 27 | 19 | 98 |
| 13:30 | 65 | - | 65 | 1.89 | 1.28 | 15.3 | 49.5 | 1.97 | 32.1 | 1.54 | 127 | 31 | 10 | 125 | 34 | 26 | 97 |
| 13:40 | 46 | - | 46 | 1.69 | 0.95 | 11.4 | 46.6 | 1.5 | 29.6 | 1.57 | 126 | 32 | 8 | 119 | 27 | 23 | 95 |
| 13:50 | 49 | - | 50 | 1.51 | 0.89 | 10.6 | 54.6 | 1.42 | 34.1 | 1.6 | 128 | 28 | 8 | 117 | 33 | 25 | 96 |
| 14:00 | 36 | - | 48 | 1.53 | 0.74 | 8.8 | 63.1 | 1.24 | 37.5 | 1.68 | 129 | 29 | 6 | 115 | 32 | 33 | 96 |
| 14:10 | 40 | - | 43 | 1.22 | 0.78 | 9.4 | 53 | 1.25 | 33.3 | 1.59 | 127 | 31 | 7 | 110 | 35 | 29 | 97 |
| 14:20 | 39 | - | 43 | 1.15 | 0.77 | 9.2 | 52.4 | 1.18 | 34.2 | 1.53 | 127 | 30 | 7 | 107 | 37 | 31 | 97 |
| 14:30 | 36 | - | 39 | 1.14 | 0.71 | 8.4 | 52.6 | 1.07 | 34.7 | 1.52 | 127 | 30 | 7 | 105 | 34 | 31 | 98 |
| 14:40 | 34 | - | 39 | 0.99 | 0.67 | 8 | 54.5 | 1.02 | 35.7 | 1.52 | 127 | 29 | 6 | 104 | 39 | 32 | 97 |

1 Bibliography

- 1 Abraham, E. and Y. H. Chang (1985). “The effects of hemorrhage on mitogen-
2 induced lymphocyte proliferation”. In: *Circulatory Shock* 15.2, pp. 141–149. ISSN:
3 0092-6213.
- 1 Anblagan, D. et al. (2013). “Measurement of fetal fat in utero in normal and diabetic
2 pregnancies using magnetic resonance imaging”. In: *Ultrasound in Obstetrics &
3 Gynecology* 42.3, pp. 335–340. ISSN: 1469-0705. DOI: [10.1002/uog.12382](https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.12382).
- 4 Ausania, F et al. (2012). “Effects of low cardiopulmonary reserve on pancreatic leak
5 following pancreaticoduodenectomy”. In: *Br J Surg* 99.9, pp. 1290–4.
- 6 Bailey, M. E. (1976). “Endotoxin, bile salts and renal function in obstructive jaun-
7 dice”. In: *British Journal of Surgery* 63.10, pp. 774–778. ISSN: 1365-2168. DOI:
8 [10.1002/bjs.1800631011](https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.1800631011).
- 9 Balady, G. J. et al. (2010). “Clinician’s Guide to Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing
10 in Adults: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association”. In:
11 *Circulation* 122.2, pp. 191–225. ISSN: 0009-7322, 1524-4539. DOI: [10.1161/CIR.
12 0b013e3181e52e69](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0b013e3181e52e69).

- 13 Balladur, P et al. (1996). "Bleeding of the pancreatic stump following pancreateo-
14 duodenectomy for cancer". In: *Hepatogastroenterology* 43.7, pp. 268–70.
- 15 Balthazar, Emil J (2002). "Acute pancreatitis: assessment of severity with clinical
16 and CT evaluation". In: *Radiology* 223.3, pp. 603–13.
- 1 Bandyopadhyay, Gautam et al. (2007). "Negative signaling contributes to T-cell
2 anergy in trauma patients". In: *Critical Care Medicine* 35.3, pp. 794–801. ISSN:
3 0090-3493. DOI: [10.1097/01.CCM.0000256847.61085.A5](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000256847.61085.A5).
- 4 Bassi, Claudio et al. (2005). "Postoperative pancreatic fistula: an international study
5 group (ISGPF) definition". In: 138.
- 6 Batterham, A. M. et al. (1999). "Modeling the influence of body size on V(O₂) peak:
7 effects of model choice and body composition". In: *Journal of Applied Physiology*
8 (*Bethesda, Md.: 1985*) 87.4, pp. 1317–1325. ISSN: 8750-7587.
- 9 Beaver, W L, K Wasserman, and B J Whipp (1986). "A new method for detecting
10 anaerobic threshold by gas exchange". In: *J Appl Physiol* 60.6, pp. 2020–7.
- 11 Bennis, Matthew et al. (2009). "The Impact of Obesity on Outcomes Following Pan-
12 createctomy for Malignancy". In: *Annals of Surgical Oncology* 16.9, pp. 2565–
13 2569. ISSN: 1068-9265, 1534-4681. DOI: [10.1245/s10434-009-0573-7](https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-009-0573-7).
- 14 Bhatti, Imran et al. (2010). "Preoperative hematologic markers as independent pre-
15 dictors of prognosis in resected pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: neutrophil-
16 lymphocyte versus platelet-lymphocyte ratio". In: *The American Journal of Surgery*
17 200.2, pp. 197–203. ISSN: 0002-9610. DOI: [10.1016/j.amjsurg.2009.08.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2009.08.041).
- 18 Bilimoria, Karl Y et al. (2007). "National failure to operate on early stage pancreatic
19 cancer". In: *Ann Surg* 246.2, pp. 173–80.

- 20 Binah, O et al. (1985). "Obstructive jaundice blunts myocardial contractile response
21 to isoprenaline in the dog: a clue to the susceptibility of jaundiced patients to
22 shock?" In: *Clin Sci (Lond)* 69.6, pp. 647–53.
- 23 Bomzon, A et al. (1986). "Systemic hypotension and decreased pressor response in
1 dogs with chronic bile duct ligation". In: *Hepatology* 6.4, pp. 595–600.
- 2 Bone, Roger C. (1996). "Immunologic Dissonance: A Continuing Evolution in Our
3 Understanding of the Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS) and
4 the Multiple Organ Dysfunction Syndrome (MODS)". In: *Annals of Internal
5 Medicine* 125.8, pp. 680–687. ISSN: 0003-4819. DOI: [10.7326/0003-4819-125-8-
6 199610150-00009](https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-125-8-199610150-00009).
- 7 Bone, Roger C., Charles J. Grodzin, and Robert A. Balk (1997). "Sepsis: a new
8 hypothesis for pathogenesis of the disease process". In: *CHEST Journal* 112.1,
9 pp. 235–243.
- 10 Braga, Marco et al. (2011). "A prognostic score to predict major complications after
11 pancreaticoduodenectomy". In: *Ann Surg* 254.5, pp. 702–7, 702–7.
- 12 Bredella, Miriam A. et al. (2010). "Comparison of DXA and CT in the Assessment
13 of Body Composition in Premenopausal Women With Obesity and Anorexia Ner-
14 vosa". In: *Obesity (Silver Spring, Md.)* 18.11, pp. 2227–2233. ISSN: 1930-7381. DOI:
15 [10.1038/oby.2010.5](https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2010.5).
- 16 Brunelli, Alessandro (2010). "Risk assessment for pulmonary resection". In: *Semin
17 Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 22.1, pp. 2–13.
- 18 Campione, Andrea et al. (2010). "Oxygen pulse as a predictor of cardiopulmonary
19 events in lung resection". In: *Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann* 18.2, pp. 147–52.

- 20 CancerResearchUK (2014). *Cancer Stats report - Pancreatic Cancer, Cancer Re-*
21 *search UK*.
- 22 Carlisle, J and M Swart (2007). “Mid-term survival after abdominal aortic aneurysm
23 surgery predicted by cardiopulmonary exercise testing”. In: *Br J Surg* 94.8, pp. 966–
1 9.
- 2 Castro, S M M de et al. (2009). “Evaluation of POSSUM for patients undergoing
3 pancreatoduodenectomy”. In: *World J Surg* 33.7, pp. 1481–7.
- 4 Chandrabalan, Vishnu V et al. (2013). “Pre-operative cardiopulmonary exercise
5 testing predicts adverse post-operative events and non-progression to adjuvant
6 therapy after major pancreatic surgery”. In: *HPB: the official journal of the In-*
7 *ternational Hepato Pancreato Biliary Association*. ISSN: 1477-2574. DOI: [10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/hpb.12060)
8 [hpb.12060](https://doi.org/10.1111/hpb.12060).
- 9 Choi, Seong Ho et al. (2004). “Delayed hemorrhage after pancreaticoduodenectomy”.
10 In: *J Am Coll Surg* 199.2, pp. 186–91.
- 11 Clark, E.J. et al. (2007). “Preoperative lymphocyte count as a prognostic factor in
12 resected pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma”. In: *HPB : The Official Journal of*
13 *the International Hepato Pancreato Biliary Association* 9.6, pp. 456–460. ISSN:
14 1365-182X. DOI: [10.1080/13651820701774891](https://doi.org/10.1080/13651820701774891).
- 15 Clavien, Pierre A. et al. (2009). “The Clavien-Dindo Classification of Surgical Com-
16 plications: Five-Year Experience”. In: *Annals of Surgery* 250.2, pp. 187–196. ISSN:
17 0003-4932. DOI: [10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181b13ca2](https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181b13ca2).

- 18 Clayton, R A et al. (2011). “Cardiopulmonary exercise testing and length of stay
19 in patients undergoing major surgery”. In: *Anaesthesia* 66.5. No association with
20 LOS, pp. 393–4.
- 21 Copeland, G P, D Jones, and M Walters (1991). “POSSUM: a scoring system for
1 surgical audit”. In: *Br J Surg* 78.3, pp. 355–60.
- 2 Crozier, J. E. M. et al. (2007). “Preoperative but not postoperative systemic inflam-
3 matory response correlates with survival in colorectal cancer.” In: *The British*
4 *journal of surgery* 94.8, pp. 1028–1032. DOI: [10.1002/bjs.5706](https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.5706).
- 5 Delogu, G. et al. (2001). “Interleukin-10 and apoptotic death of circulating lympho-
6 cytes in surgical/anesthesia trauma”. In: *The Journal of Trauma* 51.1, pp. 92–97.
7 ISSN: 0022-5282.
- 8 DeOliveira, Michelle L et al. (2006). “Assessment of complications after pancreatic
9 surgery: A novel grading system applied to 633 patients undergoing pancreatoco-
10 duodenectomy”. In: *Ann Surg* 244.6, pp. 931–7, 931–7.
- 11 Dindo, Daniel, Nicolas Demartines, and Pierre-Alain Clavien (2004). “Classification
12 of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336
13 patients and results of a survey”. In: *Ann Surg* 240.2, pp. 205–13.
- 14 Donnelly, J E et al. (1990). “Criteria to verify attainment of maximal exercise toler-
15 ance test with obese females”. In: *Diabetes research and clinical practice* 10 Suppl
16 1, S283–286. ISSN: 0168-8227.

- 17 Dutta, Sumanta et al. (2011). "Persistent elevation of C-reactive protein following
18 esophagogastric cancer resection as a predictor of postoperative surgical site in-
19 fectionous complications." In: *World journal of surgery* 35.5, pp. 1017–1025. DOI:
20 [10.1007/s00268-011-1002-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-011-1002-1).
- 1 Elahi, Maqsood M. et al. (2004). "Score based on hypoalbuminemia and elevated
2 C-reactive protein predicts survival in patients with advanced gastrointestinal can-
3 cer." In: *Nutrition and cancer* 48.2, pp. 171–173. DOI: [10.1207/s15327914nc4802_](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327914nc4802_6)
4 [6](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327914nc4802_6).
- 5 Emick, Dawn M et al. (2006). "Hospital readmission after pancreaticoduodenec-
6 tomy". In: *J Gastrointest Surg* 10.9, pp. 1243–52, 1243–52.
- 7 Epstein, Scott K et al. (2004). "Aerobic capacity is associated with 100-day outcome
8 after hepatic transplantation". In: *Liver Transpl* 10.3, pp. 418–24.
- 9 Fischer, C. L. et al. (1976). "Quantitation of "acute-phase proteins" postoperatively.
10 Value in detection and monitoring of complications". In: *American Journal of*
11 *Clinical Pathology* 66.5, pp. 840–846. ISSN: 0002-9173.
- 12 Forshaw, Matthew J. et al. (2008). "Is Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing a Useful
13 Test Before Esophagectomy?" In: *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery* 85.1, pp. 294–
14 299. ISSN: 00034975. DOI: [10.1016/j.athoracsur.2007.05.062](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2007.05.062).
- 15 Gaag, Niels A van der et al. (2010). "Preoperative biliary drainage for cancer of
16 the head of the pancreas". In: *N Engl J Med* 362.2. BD increases complications,
17 pp. 129–37.

- 18 Gallardo, J M et al. (1998). "Increased plasma levels of atrial natriuretic peptide
19 and endocrine markers of volume depletion in patients with obstructive jaundice".
20 In: *Br J Surg* 85.1, pp. 28–31.
- 21 Geer, R J and M F Brennan (1993). "Prognostic indicators for survival after resection
1 of pancreatic adenocarcinoma". In: *Am J Surg* 165.1. Cited 474, pp. 68–72, 68–72.
- 2 Glen, Paul et al. (2006). "Evaluation of an inflammation-based prognostic score in
3 patients with inoperable pancreatic cancer." In: *Pancreatology : official journal of*
4 *the International Association of Pancreatology (IAP) ... [et al.]* 6.5, pp. 450–453.
5 DOI: [10.1159/000094562](https://doi.org/10.1159/000094562).
- 6 Goran, M. et al. (2000). "Total body fat does not influence maximal aerobic capac-
7 ity". In: *International Journal of Obesity* 24.7. WOS:000088025500005, pp. 841–
8 848. ISSN: 0307-0565. DOI: [10.1038/sj.ijo.0801241](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0801241).
- 9 Gouma, D J et al. (2000). "Rates of complications and death after pancreaticodu-
10 denectomy: risk factors and the impact of hospital volume". In: *Ann Surg* 232.6,
11 pp. 786–95.
- 12 Green, J and O S Better (1995). "Systemic hypotension and renal failure in obstruc-
13 tive jaundice-mechanistic and therapeutic aspects". In: *J Am Soc Nephrol* 5.11,
14 pp. 1853–71.
- 15 Green, J et al. (1986). "The "jaundiced heart": a possible explanation for postoper-
16 ative shock in obstructive jaundice". In: *Surgery* 100.1. cited 54, pp. 14–20.

- 17 Haga, Y, S Ikei, and M Ogawa (1999). "Estimation of Physiologic Ability and Sur-
18 gical Stress (E-PASS) as a new prediction scoring system for postoperative mor-
19 bidity and mortality following elective gastrointestinal surgery". In: *Surg Today*
20 29.3, pp. 219–25.
- 1 Hall, Adrian and Paul Older (2009). "Cardiopulmonary exercise testing accurately
2 predicts risk of major surgery including esophageal resection: letter 1". In: *Ann*
3 *Thorac Surg* 87.2, pp. 670–1, 670–1.
- 4 Haupt, W. et al. (1997). "Association between preoperative acute phase response
5 and postoperative complications". In: *The European Journal of Surgery = Acta*
6 *Chirurgica* 163.1, pp. 39–44. ISSN: 1102-4151.
- 7 Hightower, C E et al. (2010). "A pilot study evaluating predictors of postoperative
8 outcomes after major abdominal surgery: physiological capacity compared with
9 the ASA physical status classification system". In: *Br J Anaesth*.
- 10 Horwich, Tamara B. et al. (2009). "The relationship between body mass index and
11 cardiopulmonary exercise testing in chronic systolic heart failure". In: *American*
12 *Heart Journal* 158.4, S31–S36. ISSN: 00028703. DOI: [10.1016/j.ahj.2009.07.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2009.07.016)
13 [016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2009.07.016).
- 14 Hulens, M et al. (2001). "Exercise capacity in lean versus obese women". In: *Scandi-*
15 *navian journal of medicine & science in sports* 11.5, pp. 305–309. ISSN: 0905-7188.
- 16 Iscar, M. et al. (2009). "Functional Capacity Before and After Liver Transplanta-
17 tion". In: *Transplantation Proceedings* 41.3, pp. 1014–1015. ISSN: 00411345. DOI:
18 [10.1016/j.transproceed.2009.02.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2009.02.013).

- 19 Al-Jabi, Yasser and Amr El-Shawarby (2010). "Value of C-reactive protein after neurosurgery: a prospective study". In: *British Journal of Neurosurgery* 24.6, pp. 653–
20 659. ISSN: 1360-046X. DOI: [10.3109/02688697.2010.500408](https://doi.org/10.3109/02688697.2010.500408).
- 22 Jamieson, N. B. et al. (2005). "Systemic inflammatory response predicts outcome in
1 patients undergoing resection for ductal adenocarcinoma head of pancreas." In:
2 *British journal of cancer* 92.1, pp. 21–23. DOI: [10.1038/sj.bjc.6602305](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6602305).
- 3 Janz, Kathleen F. and Larry T. Mahoney (1997). "Three-Year Follow-up of Changes
4 in Aerobic Fitness during Puberty: The Muscatine Study". In: *Research Quarterly*
5 *for Exercise and Sport* 68.1, pp. 1–9. ISSN: 0270-1367, 2168-3824. DOI: [10.1080/
6 02701367.1997.10608861](https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.1997.10608861).
- 7 Janz, KATHLEEN F. et al. (1998). "Longitudinal analysis of scaling VO2 for dif-
8 ferences in body size during puberty: the Muscatine Study." In: *Medicine and*
9 *science in sports and exercise* 30.9, pp. 1436–1444.
- 10 Jones, Lee W et al. (2007). "Effects of presurgical exercise training on cardiores-
11 piratory fitness among patients undergoing thoracic surgery for malignant lung
12 lesions". In: *Cancer* 110.3, pp. 590–8.
- 13 Kang, Chang Moo et al. (2009). "Detrimental effect of postoperative complications
14 on oncologic efficacy of R0 pancreatectomy in ductal adenocarcinoma of the pan-
15 creas". In: *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery: Official Journal of the Society*
16 *for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract* 13.5, pp. 907–914. ISSN: 1873-4626. DOI:
17 [10.1007/s11605-009-0823-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11605-009-0823-9).
- 18 Katoh, Hiroshi et al. (2011). "Anastomotic leakage contributes to the risk for sys-
19 temic recurrence in stage II colorectal cancer". In: *Journal of Gastrointestinal*

- 20 *Surgery: Official Journal of the Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract* 15.1,
21 pp. 120–129. ISSN: 1873-4626. DOI: [10.1007/s11605-010-1379-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11605-010-1379-4).
- 22 Kausch, W (1912). “Das carcinom der papilla duodeni und seine radikale Entfein-
23 ung”. In: *Beitr Z Clin Chir* 78, pp. 439–486.
- 1 Kawasaki, T. et al. (2001). “Surgical stress induces endotoxin hyporesponsiveness
2 and an early decrease of monocyte mCD14 and HLA-DR expression during surgery”.
3 In: *Anesthesia and Analgesia* 92.5, pp. 1322–1326. ISSN: 0003-2999.
- 4 Khan, Abdaal W et al. (2003). “Evaluation of the POSSUM scoring system for
5 comparative audit in pancreatic surgery”. In: *Dig Surg* 20.6, pp. 539–45.
- 6 Khurana, Sandeep, Jean-Pierre Raufman, and Thomas L Pallone (2011). “Bile acids
7 regulate cardiovascular function”. In: *Clin Transl Sci* 4.3, pp. 210–8.
- 8 King, J H and H A Stewart (1909). “Effect of the injection of bile on the circulation”.
9 In: *J Exp Med* 11.5, pp. 673–85.
- 10 Klava, A. et al. (1997). “Interleukin-10. A role in the development of postoperative
11 immunosuppression”. In: *Archives of Surgery (Chicago, Ill.: 1960)* 132.4, pp. 425–
12 429. ISSN: 0004-0010.
- 13 Knight, B C et al. (2010). “Evaluation of surgical outcome scores according to IS-
14 GPS definitions in patients undergoing pancreatic resection”. In: *Dig Surg* 27.5,
15 pp. 367–74.
- 16 Kocher, Hemant M et al. (2005). “Risk-adjustment in hepatobiliary pancreatic surgery”.
17 In: *World J Gastroenterol* 11.16, pp. 2450–5.
- 18 Koukoutsis, I et al. (2006). “Haemorrhage following pancreaticoduodenectomy: risk
19 factors and the importance of sentinel bleed”. In: *Dig Surg* 23.4, pp. 224–8.

- 20 Krachler, Benno et al. (2014). "Cardiopulmonary fitness is a function of lean mass,
21 not total body weight: The DR's EXTRA study". In: *European Journal of Pre-*
22 *ventive Cardiology*, p. 2047487314557962. ISSN: 2047-4873, 2047-4881. DOI: [10 .
23 1177/2047487314557962](https://doi.org/10.1177/2047487314557962).
- 1 Laporta Baez, Yolanda et al. (2011). "C-reactive protein in the diagnosis of post-
2 operative infection in pediatric patients: a prospective observational study of 103
3 patients". In: *Journal of Pediatric Surgery* 46.9, pp. 1726–1731. ISSN: 1531-5037.
4 DOI: [10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2011.03.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2011.03.014).
- 5 Lefebvre, Philippe et al. (2009). "Role of Bile Acids and Bile Acid Receptors in
6 Metabolic Regulation". In: *Physiological Reviews* 89.1, pp. 147–191. ISSN: 0031-
7 9333, 1522-1210. DOI: [10.1152/physrev.00010.2008](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00010.2008).
- 8 Lemaitre, J et al. (2006). "Maximum oxygen uptake corrected for skeletal muscle
9 mass accurately predicts functional improvements following exercise training in
10 chronic heart failure". In: *European Journal of Heart Failure* 8.3, pp. 243–248.
11 ISSN: 13889842. DOI: [10.1016/j.ejheart.2005.07.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejheart.2005.07.011).
- 12 Liano, F. et al. (1996). "Epidemiology of acute renal failure: A prospective, multicen-
13 ter, community-based study". In: *Kidney International* 50.3. WOS:A1996VD76600011,
14 pp. 811–818. ISSN: 0085-2538. DOI: [10.1038/ki.1996.380](https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.1996.380).
- 15 Loftin, Mark et al. (2001). "Scaling Vo2 Peak in Obese and Non-obese Girls". In:
16 *Obesity Research* 9.5, pp. 290–296. ISSN: 1550-8528. DOI: [10.1038/oby.2001.36](https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2001.36).
- 17 Lumlertgul, D et al. (1991). "The jaundiced heart: evidence of blunted response to
18 positive inotropic stimulation". In: *Ren Fail* 13.1, pp. 15–22.

- 19 Maciejczyk, Marcin et al. (2014). “The Influence of Increased Body Fat or Lean
20 Body Mass on Aerobic Performance”. In: *PLoS ONE* 9.4. Ed. by Marià Alemany,
21 e95797. ISSN: 1932-6203. DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0095797](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095797).
- 22 Makary, Martin A et al. (2006). “Pancreaticoduodenectomy in the very elderly”. In:
1 *J Gastrointest Surg* 10.3, pp. 347–56.
- 2 Mann, Chris D et al. (2010). “A review of factors predicting perioperative death
3 and early outcome in hepatopancreaticobiliary cancer surgery”. In: *HPB (Oxford)*
4 12.6, pp. 380–8.
- 5 Martínez-Ródenas, F et al. (1998). “Circulating bile is the main factor responsible
6 for atrial natriuretic peptide release in experimental obstructive jaundice”. In: *Br*
7 *J Surg* 85.4, pp. 480–4.
- 8 Mayo, Nancy E et al. (2011). “Impact of preoperative change in physical func-
9 tion on postoperative recovery: argument supporting prehabilitation for colorectal
10 surgery”. In: *Surgery* 150.3, pp. 505–14.
- 11 Mayo, Skye C. et al. (2012). “Management of Patients with Pancreatic Adenocar-
12 cinoma: National Trends in Patient Selection, Operative Management, and Use
13 of Adjuvant Therapy”. In: *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 214.1,
14 pp. 33–45. ISSN: 10727515. DOI: [10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2011.09.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2011.09.022).
- 15 McArdle, C. S., D. C. McMillan, and D. J. Hole (2005). “Impact of anastomotic leak-
16 age on long-term survival of patients undergoing curative resection for colorectal
17 cancer”. In: *British Journal of Surgery* 92.9, pp. 1150–1154. ISSN: 1365-2168. DOI:
18 [10.1002/bjs.5054](https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.5054).

- 19 McCullough, P. A. (2006). "Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Short-term Complications
20 After Bariatric Surgery". In: *Chest* 130.2, pp. 517–525. ISSN: 0012-3692. DOI: [10.1378/chest.130.2.517](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.130.2.517).
21
- 22 McMillan, D. C. et al. (1995). "A prospective study of tumor recurrence and the
1 acute-phase response after apparently curative colorectal cancer surgery." In:
2 *American journal of surgery* 170.4, pp. 319–322.
- 3 McNeer, Jennifer L. et al. (2010). "Early elevation of C-reactive protein correlates
4 with severe infection and nonrelapse mortality in children undergoing allogeneic
5 stem cell transplantation". In: *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation:*
6 *Journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation* 16.3,
7 pp. 350–357. ISSN: 1523-6536. DOI: [10.1016/j.bbmt.2009.10.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbmt.2009.10.036).
- 8 Meyer, B. et al. (1995). "The C-reactive protein for detection of early infections
9 after lumbar microdiscectomy". In: *Acta Neurochirurgica* 136.3, pp. 145–150. ISSN:
10 0001-6268.
- 11 Mirnezami, Alexander et al. (2011). "Increased Local Recurrence and Reduced Sur-
12 vival From Colorectal Cancer Following Anastomotic Leak: Systematic Review
13 and Meta-Analysis". In: *Annals of Surgery* 253.5, pp. 890–899. ISSN: 0003-4932.
14 DOI: [10.1097/SLA.0b013e3182128929](https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e3182128929).
- 15 Mok, James M. et al. (2008). "Use of C-reactive protein after spinal surgery: com-
16 parison with erythrocyte sedimentation rate as predictor of early postoperative
17 infectious complications". In: *Spine* 33.4, pp. 415–421. ISSN: 1528-1159. DOI: [10.1097/BRS.0b013e318163f9ee](https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e318163f9ee).
18

- 19 Mokart, D. et al. (2002). "Early postoperative compensatory anti-inflammatory
20 response syndrome is associated with septic complications after major surgical
21 trauma in patients with cancer". In: *British Journal of Surgery* 89.11, pp. 1450–
22 1456. ISSN: 1365-2168. DOI: [10.1046/j.1365-2168.2002.02218.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2168.2002.02218.x).
- 1 Moyes, L. H. et al. (2009). "Preoperative systemic inflammation predicts postopera-
2 tive infectious complications in patients undergoing curative resection for colorec-
3 tal cancer". In: *British Journal of Cancer* 100.8, pp. 1236–1239. ISSN: 0007-0920.
4 DOI: [10.1038/sj.bjc.6604997](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6604997).
- 5 Mustard, R. A. et al. (1987). "C-reactive protein levels predict postoperative septic
6 complications". In: *Archives of Surgery (Chicago, Ill.: 1960)* 122.1, pp. 69–73.
7 ISSN: 0004-0010.
- 8 Nagamatsu, Y et al. (2001). "Preoperative evaluation of cardiopulmonary reserve
9 with the use of expired gas analysis during exercise testing in patients with squa-
10 mous cell carcinoma of the thoracic esophagus". In: *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*
11 121.6, pp. 1064–8.
- 12 Neoptolemos, J P et al. (2009). "Adjuvant 5-fluorouracil and folinic acid vs observa-
13 tion for pancreatic cancer: composite data from the ESPAC-1 and -3(v1) trials".
14 In: *Br J Cancer* 100.2, pp. 246–50.
- 15 Neoptolemos, John P et al. (2004). "A randomized trial of chemoradiotherapy and
16 chemotherapy after resection of pancreatic cancer". In: *N Engl J Med* 350.12,
17 pp. 1200–10.

- 18 Neoptolemos, John P et al. (2010). “Adjuvant chemotherapy with fluorouracil plus
19 folinic acid vs gemcitabine following pancreatic cancer resection: a randomized
20 controlled trial”. In: *JAMA* 304.10, pp. 1073–81.
- 21 Nespoli, Angelo et al. (2006). “Impact of postoperative infections on survival in colon
1 cancer patients”. In: *Surgical Infections* 7 Suppl 2, S41–43. ISSN: 1096-2964. DOI:
2 [10.1089/sur.2006.7.s2-41](https://doi.org/10.1089/sur.2006.7.s2-41).
- 3 Ogata, M. et al. (2000). “Role of interleukin-10 on hyporesponsiveness of endotoxin
4 during surgery”. In: *Critical Care Medicine* 28.9, pp. 3166–3170. ISSN: 0090-3493.
- 5 Older, P, A Hall, and R Hader (1999). “Cardiopulmonary exercise testing as a
6 screening test for perioperative management of major surgery in the elderly”. In:
7 *Chest* 116.2, pp. 355–62.
- 8 Older, Paul and Adrian Hall (2004). “Clinical review: how to identify high-risk
9 surgical patients”. In: *Crit Care* 8.5, pp. 369–72.
- 10 – (2005). “Preoperative evaluation of cardiac risk”. In: *Br J Hosp Med (Lond)* 66.8,
11 pp. 452–7.
- 12 Older, P et al. (1993). “Preoperative evaluation of cardiac failure and ischemia in
13 elderly patients by cardiopulmonary exercise testing”. In: *Chest* 104.3, pp. 701–
14 4. DOI: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=8365279)
15 [ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=8365279](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=8365279).
- 16 Older, P et al. (2000). “Preoperative cardiopulmonary risk assessment by cardiopul-
17 monary exercise testing”. In: *Crit Care Resusc* 2.3, pp. 198–208.

- 18 Ortega-Deballon, Pablo et al. (2010). "C-reactive protein is an early predictor of septic complications after elective colorectal surgery". In: *World J Surg* 34.4, pp. 808–
19 14.
- 21 Padillo, F. J. et al. (2005a). "Multivariate analysis of factors associated with renal
1 dysfunction in patients with obstructive jaundice". In: *British Journal of Surgery*
2 92.11, pp. 1388–1392. ISSN: 0007-1323, 1365-2168. DOI: [10.1002/bjs.5091](https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.5091).
- 3 Padillo, F. J. et al. (2005b). "Randomized clinical trial of the effect of intravenous
4 fluid administration on hormonal and renal dysfunction in patients with obstructive
5 jaundice undergoing endoscopic drainage". In: *British Journal of Surgery* 92.1,
6 pp. 39–43. ISSN: 00071323. DOI: [10.1002/bjs.4790](https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.4790).
- 7 Padillo, Francisco J. et al. (1999). "Preoperative Assessment of Body Fluid Distur-
8 bances in Patients with Obstructive Jaundice". In: *World Journal of Surgery* 23.7,
9 pp. 681–687. ISSN: 0364-2313, 1432-2323. DOI: [10.1007/PL00012368](https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00012368).
- 10 Padillo, Javier et al. (2001). "Improved cardiac function in patients with obstructive
11 jaundice after internal biliary drainage: hemodynamic and hormonal assessment".
12 In: *Annals of surgery* 234.5, p. 652.
- 13 Pain, J A, C J Cahill, and M E Bailey (1985). "Perioperative complications in
14 obstructive jaundice: therapeutic considerations". In: *Br J Surg* 72.12, pp. 942–5.
- 15 Parks, R W et al. (1994). "Prospective study of postoperative renal function in
16 obstructive jaundice and the effect of perioperative dopamine". In: *Br J Surg*
17 81.3, pp. 437–9.

- 18 Pausch, Thomas et al. (2012). “Cachexia but not obesity worsens the postoperative
19 outcome after pancreatoduodenectomy in pancreatic cancer”. In: *Surgery* 152.3,
20 S81–8.
- 21 Pehlivan, Esra et al. (2011). “The effects of preoperative short-term intense physical
1 therapy in lung cancer patients: a randomized controlled trial”. In: *Ann Thorac*
2 *Cardiovasc Surg* 17.5, pp. 461–8.
- 3 Pereira, J A et al. (1994). “Increased cardiac endocrine activity after common bile
4 duct ligation in the rabbit. Atrial endocrine cells in obstructive jaundice”. In: *Ann*
5 *Surg* 219.1, pp. 73–8.
- 6 Pitt, H A et al. (1985). “Does preoperative percutaneous biliary drainage reduce
7 operative risk or increase hospital cost?” In: *Ann Surg* 201.5. Cochrane review
8 paper, pp. 545–53.
- 9 Pratt, Wande B, Mark P Callery, and Charles M Jr Vollmer (2008a). “Risk predic-
10 tion for development of pancreatic fistula using the ISGPF classification scheme”.
11 In: *World J Surg* 32.3, pp. 419–28.
- 12 Pratt, Wande et al. (2008b). “POSSUM accurately predicts morbidity for pancreatic
13 resection”. In: *Surgery* 143.1, pp. 8–19.
- 14 Raut, Chandrajit P. et al. (2007). “Impact of Resection Status on Pattern of Failure
15 and Survival After Pancreaticoduodenectomy for Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma”.
16 In: *Annals of Surgery* 246.1, pp. 52–60. ISSN: 0003-4932. DOI: [10.1097/01.sla.
17 0000259391.84304.2b](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.sla.0000259391.84304.2b).

- 18 Sandroussi, Charbel et al. (2010). “Sociodemographics and comorbidities influence
19 decisions to undergo pancreatic resection for neoplastic lesions”. In: *J Gastrointest*
20 *Surg* 14.9, pp. 1401–8.
- 21 Savonen, K. et al. (2012). “The current standard measure of cardiorespiratory fitness
1 introduces confounding by body mass: the DR’s EXTRA study”. In: *International*
2 *Journal of Obesity (2005)* 36.8, pp. 1135–1140. ISSN: 1476-5497. DOI: [10.1038/
3 ijo.2011.212](https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2011.212).
- 4 Schneider, Eric B et al. (2012). “Patient readmission and mortality after surgery for
5 hepato-pancreato-biliary malignancies”. In: *J Am Coll Surg* 215.5, pp. 607–15.
- 6 Seltzer, Carl C. (1940). “BODY BUILD AND OXYGEN METABOLISM AT REST
7 AND DURING EXERCISE”. In: *American Journal of Physiology – Legacy Con-*
8 *tent* 129.1, pp. 1–13. ISSN: 0002-9513.
- 9 Sener, Stephen F. et al. (1999). “Pancreatic cancer: a report of treatment and sur-
10 vival trends for 100,313 patients diagnosed from 1985–1995, using the National
11 Cancer Database”. In: *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 189.1, pp. 1–
12 7.
- 13 Sewnath, Miguel E et al. (2002). “A meta-analysis on the efficacy of preoperative
14 biliary drainage for tumors causing obstructive jaundice”. In: *Ann Surg* 236.1,
15 pp. 17–27.
- 16 Shah, Rupen et al. (2012). “Limitations of patient-associated co-morbidity model in
17 predicting postoperative morbidity and mortality in pancreatic operations”. In: *J*
18 *Gastrointest Surg* 16.5, pp. 986–92.

- 19 Shen, Wei et al. (2004). "Total body skeletal muscle and adipose tissue volumes:
20 estimation from a single abdominal cross-sectional image". In: *Journal of Applied*
21 *Physiology* (Bethesda, Md.: 1985) 97.6, pp. 2333–2338. ISSN: 8750-7587. DOI: [10.1152/jappphysiol.00744.2004](https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00744.2004).
22
- 1 Sitges-Serra, A et al. (1992). "Body water compartments in patients with obstructive
2 jaundice". In: *Br J Surg* 79.6, pp. 553–6.
- 3 Snowden, Chris P. et al. (2010). "Submaximal Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing
4 Predicts Complications and Hospital Length of Stay in Patients Undergoing Major
5 Elective Surgery." in: *Annals of Surgery* 251.3, pp. 535–541. ISSN: 0003-4932. DOI:
6 [10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181cf811d](https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181cf811d).
- 7 Society, American Thoracic and American College of Chest Physicians (2003). "AT-
8 S/ACCP Statement on cardiopulmonary exercise testing". In: *Am J Respir Crit*
9 *Care Med* 167.2, pp. 211–77.
- 10 Sohn, Taylor A. et al. (2000). "Resected adenocarcinoma of the pancreas—616 pa-
11 tients: results, outcomes, and prognostic indicators". In: *Journal of Gastrointesti-*
12 *nal Surgery* 4.6, pp. 567–579.
- 13 Song, Jian-Gang et al. (2009). "Baroreflex sensitivity is impaired in patients with
14 obstructive jaundice". In: *Anesthesiology* 111.3, pp. 561–5.
- 15 Stephan, R. N. et al. (1987). "Hemorrhage without tissue trauma produces im-
16 munosuppression and enhances susceptibility to sepsis". In: *Archives of Surgery*
17 *(Chicago, Ill.: 1960)* 122.1, pp. 62–68. ISSN: 0004-0010.

- 18 Sue, D Y et al. (1988). "Metabolic acidosis during exercise in patients with chronic
19 obstructive pulmonary disease. Use of the V-slope method for anaerobic threshold
20 determination". In: *Chest* 94.5, pp. 931–8.
- 21 Swart, M. and J. B. Carlisle (2012). "Case-controlled study of critical care or surgical
1 ward care after elective open colorectal surgery". In: *British Journal of Surgery*
2 99.2, pp. 295–299. ISSN: 00071323. DOI: [10.1002/bjs.7789](https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.7789).
- 3 Tamijmarane, Appou et al. (2008). "Application of Portsmouth modification of phys-
4 iological and operative severity scoring system for enumeration of morbidity and
5 mortality (P-POSSUM) in pancreatic surgery". In: *World J Surg Oncol* 6, p. 39.
- 6 Tanner, J. M. (1949). "Fallacy of Per-Weight and Per-Surface Area Standards, and
7 Their Relation to Spurious Correlation". In: *Journal of Applied Physiology* 2.1,
8 pp. 1–15. ISSN: 8750-7587, 1522-1601.
- 9 Teh, Swee H. et al. (2009). "Patient and hospital characteristics on the variance of
10 perioperative outcomes for pancreatic resection in the United States: a plea for
11 outcome-based and not volume-based referral guidelines". In: *Archives of surgery*
12 144.8, p. 713.
- 13 Thompson, A R et al. (2011). "Cardiopulmonary exercise testing provides a predic-
14 tive tool for early and late outcomes in abdominal aortic aneurysm patients". In:
15 *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 93.6, pp. 474–81.
- 16 Tien, Yu-Wen et al. (2005). "Risk factors of massive bleeding related to pancreatic
17 leak after pancreaticoduodenectomy". In: *J Am Coll Surg* 201.4, pp. 554–9.

- 18 Topp, Robert et al. (2002). "The effect of bed rest and potential of prehabilitation
19 on patients in the intensive care unit". In: *AACN Advanced Critical Care* 13.2,
20 pp. 263–276.
- 21 Torchio, Roberto et al. (2010). "Exercise ventilatory inefficiency and mortality in
1 patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease undergoing surgery for non-
2 small-cell lung cancer". In: *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 38.1, pp. 14–9.
- 3 Toth, Mj et al. (1993). "Examination of Data Normalization Procedures for Ex-
4 pressing Peak V-Center-Dot-O2 Data". In: *Journal of Applied Physiology* 75.5.
5 WOS:A1993MG96000051, pp. 2288–2292. ISSN: 8750-7587.
- 6 Vashist, Yogesh K et al. (2010). "Glasgow Prognostic Score is a Predictor of Perioper-
7 ative and Long-term Outcome in Patients with only Surgically Treated Esophageal
8 Cancer". In: *Ann Surg Oncol*.
- 9 Wang, Qin et al. (2008). "Preoperative biliary drainage for obstructive jaundice". In:
10 *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 3, p. CD005444. ISSN: 1469-493X.
11 DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD005444.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD005444.pub2).
- 12 Ward, Nicholas S., Brian Casserly, and Alfred Ayala (2008). "The Compensatory
13 Anti-inflammatory Response syndrome (CARS) in Critically ill patients". In: *Clin-
14 ics in chest medicine* 29.4, pp. 617–viii. ISSN: 0272-5231. DOI: [10.1016/j.ccm.
15 2008.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccm.2008.06.010).
- 16 Welsch, T. et al. (2007). "C-reactive protein as early predictor for infectious post-
17 operative complications in rectal surgery". In: *International Journal of Colorectal
18 Disease* 22.12, pp. 1499–1507. ISSN: 0179-1958, 1432-1262. DOI: [10.1007/s00384-
19 007-0354-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00384-007-0354-3).

- 20 Wente, Moritz N et al. (2007). "Postpancreatectomy hemorrhage (PPH): an Interna-
21 tional Study Group of Pancreatic Surgery (ISGPS) definition". In: *Surgery* 142.1,
22 pp. 20–5.
- 23 West, M. A. et al. (2015). "Effect of prehabilitation on objectively measured phys-
1 ical fitness after neoadjuvant treatment in preoperative rectal cancer patients:
2 a blinded interventional pilot study". In: *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 114.2,
3 pp. 244–251. ISSN: 0007-0912, 1471-6771. DOI: [10.1093/bja/aeu318](https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aeu318).
- 4 Whipple, Allen O. (1941). "THE RATIONALE OF RADICAL SURGERY FOR
5 CANCER OF THE PANCREAS AND AMPULLARY REGION". In: *Annals of*
6 *Surgery* 114.4, pp. 612–615. ISSN: 0003-4932.
- 7 – (1950). "Radical Surgery in the Treatment of Cancer". In: *Annals of Surgery*
8 131.6, pp. 812–818. ISSN: 0003-4932.
- 9 Whipple, Allen O., William Barclay Parsons, and Clinton R. Mullins (1935). "TREAT-
10 MENT OF CARCINOMA OF THE AMPULLA OF VATER". In: *Annals of*
11 *Surgery* 102.4, pp. 763–779. ISSN: 0003-4932.
- 12 Wilson, R. J. T. et al. (2010). "Impaired functional capacity is associated with all-
13 cause mortality after major elective intra-abdominal surgery". In: *British Journal*
14 *of Anaesthesia* 105.3, pp. 297–303. ISSN: 0007-0912, 1471-6771. DOI: [10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aeq128)
15 [bja/aeq128](https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aeq128).
- 16 Winter, Jordan M et al. (2006). "1423 pancreaticoduodenectomies for pancreatic
17 cancer: A single-institution experience". In: *J Gastrointest Surg* 10.9, pp. 1199–
18 210, 1199–210.

- 19 Winter, Jordan M et al. (2007). “Biochemical markers predict morbidity and mor-
20 tality after pancreaticoduodenectomy”. In: *J Am Coll Surg* 204.5, pp. 1029–36,
21 1029–36.
- 22 Woeste, Guido et al. (2010). “Increased serum levels of C-reactive protein precede
1 anastomotic leakage in colorectal surgery”. In: *World J Surg* 34.1, pp. 140–6.
- 2 Wullstein, C. et al. (2004). “High levels of C-reactive protein after simultaneous
3 pancreas-kidney transplantation predict pancreas graft-related complications and
4 graft survival”. In: *Transplantation* 77.1, pp. 60–64. ISSN: 0041-1337. DOI: [10 .
5 1097/01.TP.0000100683.92689.27.](#)
- 6 Yamaguchi, Yoshiyuki et al. (2006). “Postoperative immunosuppression cascade and
7 immunotherapy using lymphokine-activated killer cells for patients with esophageal
8 cancer: possible application for compensatory anti-inflammatory response syn-
9 drome”. In: *Oncology Reports* 15.4, pp. 895–901. ISSN: 1021-335X.
- 10 Yang, Ying-Ying et al. (2010). “Mechanisms of TNFalpha-induced cardiac dysfunc-
11 tion in cholestatic bile duct-ligated mice: interaction between TNFalpha and en-
12 docannabinoids”. In: *J Hepatol* 53.2, pp. 298–306.
- 13 Yeo, C J et al. (1997). “Six hundred fifty consecutive pancreaticoduodenectomies in
14 the 1990s: pathology, complications, and outcomes”. In: *Ann Surg* 226.3, pp. 248–
15 57, 248–57.
- 16 Yoo, Han Mo et al. (2011). “Negative impact of leakage on survival of patients
17 undergoing curative resection for advanced gastric cancer”. In: *Journal of Surgical
18 Oncology* 104.7, pp. 734–740. ISSN: 1096-9098. DOI: [10.1002/jso.22045.](#)