

Supersymmetry

Vicente V. Figueira — NUSP 11809301

March 5, 2025

1 Poincaré Group Representations

The Poincaré Group is parametrized as,

$$U(\Lambda, a) = \exp \left(+\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} - i a_\mu P^\mu \right)$$

With the multiplication law,

$$U(\bar{\Lambda}, \bar{a}) U(\Lambda, a) = U(\bar{\Lambda}\Lambda, \bar{\Lambda}a + \bar{a})$$

Which we can use to derive the algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} U(\Lambda, a) U(\bar{\Lambda}, \bar{a}) U^{-1}(\Lambda, a) &= U(\Lambda, a) U(\bar{\Lambda}, \bar{a}) U(\Lambda^{-1}, -\Lambda^{-1}a) \\ U(\Lambda, a) U(\bar{\Lambda}, \bar{a}) U^{-1}(\Lambda, a) &= U(\Lambda, a) U(\bar{\Lambda}\Lambda^{-1}, -\bar{\Lambda}\Lambda^{-1}a + \bar{a}) \\ U(\Lambda, a) U(\bar{\Lambda}, \bar{a}) U^{-1}(\Lambda, a) &= U(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\Lambda^{-1}, -\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\Lambda^{-1}a + \Lambda\bar{a} + a) \\ U(\Lambda, a) U(\mathbb{1} + \bar{\omega}, \bar{a}) U^{-1}(\Lambda, a) &= U(\mathbb{1} + \Lambda\bar{\omega}\Lambda^{-1}, -\Lambda\bar{\omega}\Lambda^{-1}a + \Lambda\bar{a}) \\ U(\Lambda, a) \left[\mathbb{1} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\omega}_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} - i \bar{a}_\mu P^\mu \right] U^{-1}(\Lambda, a) &= \mathbb{1} + \frac{i}{2} (\Lambda\bar{\omega}\Lambda^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} M^{\alpha\beta} - i (\Lambda\bar{a} - \Lambda\bar{\omega}\Lambda^{-1}a)_\alpha P^\alpha \\ \frac{i}{2} \bar{\omega}_{\mu\nu} U M^{\mu\nu} U^{-1} - i \bar{a}_\mu U P^\mu U^{-1} &= \frac{i}{2} (\Lambda\bar{\omega}\Lambda^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} M^{\alpha\beta} - i (\Lambda\bar{a} - \Lambda\bar{\omega}\Lambda^{-1}a)_\alpha P^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

As the generators are independent,

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\omega}_{\mu\nu} U M^{\mu\nu} U^{-1} &= (\Lambda\bar{\omega}\Lambda^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} M^{\alpha\beta} + 2(\Lambda\bar{\omega}\Lambda^{-1}a)_\alpha P^\alpha \\ \bar{a}_\mu U P^\mu U^{-1} &= (\Lambda\bar{a})_\alpha P^\alpha \\ \bar{\omega}_{\mu\nu} U M^{\mu\nu} U^{-1} &= \Lambda_\alpha{}^\mu \bar{\omega}_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^{-1\nu}{}_\beta M^{\alpha\beta} + 2\Lambda_\alpha{}^\mu \bar{\omega}_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^{-1\nu}{}_\beta a^\beta P^\alpha \\ \bar{a}_\mu U P^\mu U^{-1} &= \Lambda_\alpha{}^\mu \bar{a}_\mu P^\alpha \\ U M^{\mu\nu} U^{-1} &= \Lambda_\alpha{}^\mu \Lambda_\beta{}^\nu (M^{\alpha\beta} - a^\alpha P^\beta + a^\beta P^\alpha) \\ U P^\mu U^{-1} &= \Lambda_\alpha{}^\mu P^\alpha \end{cases}$$

Expanding again gives us the commutation relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbb{1} + \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} M^{\alpha\beta} - i a_\alpha P^\alpha \right) M^{\mu\nu} \left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} M^{\alpha\beta} + i a_\alpha P^\alpha \right) = \\
& \quad (\delta_\alpha^\mu + \omega_\alpha^\mu) (\delta_\beta^\nu + \omega_\beta^\nu) (M^{\alpha\beta} - a^\alpha P^\beta + a^\beta P^\alpha) \\
& \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} (M^{\alpha\beta} M^{\mu\nu} - M^{\mu\nu} M^{\alpha\beta}) - i a_\alpha (P^\alpha M^{\mu\nu} - M^{\mu\nu} P^\alpha) = \\
& \quad M^{\mu\beta} \omega_\beta^\nu + \omega_\alpha^\mu M^{\alpha\nu} - a^\mu P^\nu + a^\nu P^\mu
\end{aligned}$$

Rewriting,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} [M^{\alpha\beta}, M^{\mu\nu}] &= \omega_{\alpha\beta} (g^{\beta\mu} M^{\alpha\nu} - g^{\alpha\nu} M^{\mu\beta}) \\ i a_\alpha [P^\alpha, M^{\mu\nu}] &= a_\alpha (g^{\alpha\mu} P^\nu - g^{\alpha\nu} P^\mu) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} [M^{\alpha\beta}, M^{\mu\nu}] &= i (g^{\alpha\mu} M^{\beta\nu} - g^{\beta\mu} M^{\alpha\nu} + g^{\beta\nu} M^{\alpha\mu} - g^{\alpha\nu} M^{\beta\mu}) \\ [P^\alpha, M^{\mu\nu}] &= i (g^{\alpha\nu} P^\mu - g^{\alpha\mu} P^\nu) \end{cases}$$

The full algebra is then,

$$\begin{cases} [M^{\alpha\beta}, M^{\mu\nu}] &= i (g^{\alpha\mu} M^{\beta\nu} - g^{\beta\mu} M^{\alpha\nu} + g^{\beta\nu} M^{\alpha\mu} - g^{\alpha\nu} M^{\beta\mu}) \\ [P^\alpha, M^{\mu\nu}] &= i (g^{\alpha\nu} P^\mu - g^{\alpha\mu} P^\nu) \\ [P^\alpha, P^\mu] &= 0 \end{cases}$$

In our conventions ‘ $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ ’ is the totally anti-symmetric tensor, with the condition ‘ $\epsilon_{0123} = +1$ ’. The totally anti-symmetric tensor in three dimensions is ‘ $\epsilon_{ijk} = \epsilon_{0ijk}$ ’. We define,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{J} &= J_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} M^{jk} \\
\mathbf{K} &= K_i = M^{i0}
\end{aligned}$$

And now compute the commutation between these new generators,

$$\begin{aligned}
[J_i, J_j] &= \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{iab} \epsilon_{jlm} [M^{ab}, M^{lm}] \\
&= \frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{iab} \epsilon_{jlm} (g^{al} M^{bm} - g^{bl} M^{am} + g^{bm} M^{al} - g^{am} M^{bl}) \\
&= \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{iab} \epsilon_{jlm} (g^{al} M^{bm} + g^{bm} M^{al}) \\
&= \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{iab} \epsilon_{jlm} (g^{al} M^{bm} - g^{am} M^{bl}) \\
&= i \epsilon_{iab} \epsilon_{jlm} g^{al} M^{bm} = i \epsilon_i^l \epsilon_{jlm} M^{bm} \\
&= i \epsilon_{bi}^l \epsilon_{lmj} M^{bm} = i (g_{bm} g_{ij} - g_{bj} g_{im}) M^{bm} \\
&= -\frac{i}{2} (g_{bj} g_{mi} - g_{bi} g_{mj}) M^{bm} = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{lbm} \epsilon_{ij}^l M^{bm} \\
&= i \epsilon_{ij}^l J_l
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[J_i, K_j] &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{iab}[M^{ab}, M^{j0}] \\
&= \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{iab}(g^a_j M^{b0} - g^b_j M^{a0} + g^{b0} M^a_j - g^{a0} M^b_j) \\
&= \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{iab}2g^a_j M^{b0} = i\epsilon_{ijb}M^{b0} \\
&= i\epsilon_{ijk}K^k
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[K_i, K_j] &= [M^{i0}, M^{j0}] \\
&= i(g_{ij}M^{00} - g_i^0 M^0_j + g^{00}M_{ij} - g^0_j M^i_0) \\
&= -iM_{ij} = -ig_{ia}g_{jb}M^{ab} \\
&= -\frac{i}{2}(g_{ia}g_{jb} - g_{ib}g_{ja})M^{ab} \\
&= -\frac{i}{2}\epsilon^l_{ij}\epsilon_{lab}M^{ab} \\
&= -i\epsilon_{ijk}J^k
\end{aligned}$$

So the full Lorentz algebra is,

$$\begin{cases} [J_i, J_j] &= i\epsilon_{ijl}J^l \\ [J_i, K_j] &= i\epsilon_{ijk}K^k \\ [K_i, K_j] &= -i\epsilon_{ijk}J^k \end{cases}$$

We diagonalize it by introducing,

$$\begin{cases} N_i &= \frac{1}{2}(J_i - iK_i) \\ N_i^\dagger &= \frac{1}{2}(J_i + iK_i) \end{cases}$$

So the new diagonalized algebra is,

$$\begin{aligned}
[N_i, N_j] &= \frac{1}{4}[J_i - iK_i, J_j - iK_j] \\
&= \frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}J^k + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}K^k + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}K^k + \frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}J^k \\
&= i\epsilon_{ijk}\frac{1}{2}(J^k - iK^k) \\
&= i\epsilon_{ijk}N^k
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[N_i^\dagger, N_j^\dagger] &= \frac{1}{4}[J_i + iK_i, J_j + iK_j] \\
&= \frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}J^k - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}K^k - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}K^k + \frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}J^k \\
&= i\epsilon_{ijk}\frac{1}{2}(J^k + iK^k) \\
&= i\epsilon_{ijk}N^{\dagger k}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[N_i, N_j^\dagger] &= \frac{1}{4}[J_i - iK_i, J_j + iK_j] \\
&= \frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}J^k - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}K^k + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}K^k - \frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}J^k \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we got the algebra diagonalized,

$$\begin{cases} [N_i, N_j] &= i\epsilon_{ijk}N^k \\ [N_i^\dagger, N_j^\dagger] &= i\epsilon_{ijk}N^{\dagger k} \\ [N_i, N_j^\dagger] &= 0 \end{cases}$$

So all the representations of the Lorentz group can be constructed from the fundamental representation of these two ‘ $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ ’ algebra, which are indexed by two half integers ‘ (n, n') ’ corresponding to ‘ $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}^\dagger$ ’. The fundamental representation is,

$$\mathbf{N} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2}; \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The index structure is to be read,

$$\mathbf{N}_a{}^b = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_a{}^b$$

Together with,

$$\mathbf{N}^\dagger = 0$$

This is called the ‘ $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ ’ representation, which can be get by inverting the relations to give,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{J} &= \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \quad \mathbf{K} = \frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \\
\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}M^{jk} &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i, \quad M^{i0} = \frac{i}{2}\sigma^i \\
M_L^{lm} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{lm}{}_i\sigma^i, \quad M_L^{i0} = \frac{i}{2}\sigma^i
\end{aligned}$$

This representation is called the Left-Handed one. As this is a ‘ $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ ’ representation, it’s well known that the representations of it are not equivalent to the complex conjugate representations, which we’re going to call the Right-Handed, or, ‘ $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ ’. For this we’re going to restore the indexes,

$$M_L^{lm}{}_a{}^b = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{lm}{}_i\sigma^i{}_a{}^b, \quad M_L^{i0}{}_a{}^b = \frac{i}{2}\sigma^i{}_a{}^b$$

Under complex conjugate it will transform differently under Lorentz transformations, so, we’ll keep track of this by using dotted index, ‘ \dot{a} ’. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(M_{\text{L } a}^{lm \ b}\right)^* &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{lm}_i \left(\sigma_a^{i \ b}\right)^*, & \left(M_{\text{L } a}^{i0 \ b}\right)^* &= -\frac{i}{2}\left(\sigma_a^{i \ b}\right)^* \\
M_{\text{R } \dot{a}}^{lm \ \dot{b}} &= M_{\text{L } \dot{a}}^{*lm \ \dot{b}} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{lm}_i \sigma^{i*}_{\dot{a} \ \dot{b}}, & M_{\text{R } \dot{a}}^{i0 \ \dot{b}} &= M_{\text{L } \dot{a}}^{*i0 \ \dot{b}} = -\frac{i}{2}\sigma^{i*}_{\dot{a} \ \dot{b}} \\
M_{\text{R } \dot{a}}^{lm \dot{b}} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{lm}_i \sigma^{i\dot{b}}_{\dot{a}}, & M_{\text{R } \dot{a}}^{i0 \dot{b}} &= -\frac{i}{2}\sigma^{i\dot{b}}_{\dot{a}}
\end{aligned}$$

Or with the index structure implicit,

$$M_{\text{R}}^{lm} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{lm}_i \sigma^i, \quad M_{\text{R}}^{i0} = -\frac{i}{2}\sigma^i$$

Which consistently can be verified to be generated by,

$$\mathbf{N} = 0, \quad \mathbf{N}^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

Which gives a motif for calling this the ‘ $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ ’ representation. Of course these two representations cannot, alone, be a vector. As they must be of spin ‘ $\frac{1}{2}$ ’ and a vector should be of spin ‘1’. Possible vectorial representations encompass ‘ $(\frac{3}{2}, 0); (0, \frac{3}{2}); (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ ’. The most promising is the ‘ $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ ’ as it has only *bosonic* spins, also, it has the correct dimension ‘4’. Of course it is valid that,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \otimes \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Which say me must be able to rewrite a vectorial index as a Left-Handed and a Right-Handed spinorial indexes. This can be seen more clearly in the group theoretical relation,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \otimes \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = (0, 0) \oplus (1, 0) \oplus (0, 1) \oplus (1, 1)$$

It’s clear the left side should be a quantity with three indexes, ‘ $G^{\mu b}_a$ ’, but, on the right side we have a interesting combination of a scalar, together with more complicated structure. The presence of a scalar is a signal of the presence of a invariant quantity, just as for the vectorial indexes we have the metric and the Levi-Civita. The equation that sets it as a invariant quantity is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^\alpha_\beta L(\Lambda)_a^{\ b} R^{-1}(\Lambda)^{\dot{b}}_{\dot{a}} \sigma^\beta_{\dot{b}\dot{b}} &= \sigma^\alpha_{a\dot{a}} \\
\left(\delta^\alpha_\beta + \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu} M_V^{\mu\nu\alpha}_\beta\right) \left(\delta_a^{\ b} + \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu} M_{\text{L } a}^{\mu\nu \ b}\right) \left(\delta_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{b}} - \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu} M_{\text{R } \dot{a}}^{\mu\nu \dot{b}}\right) \sigma^\beta_{\dot{b}\dot{b}} &= \sigma^\alpha_{a\dot{a}} \\
\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu} \left\{ M_V^{\mu\nu\alpha}_\beta \sigma^\beta_{a\dot{a}} + M_{\text{L } a}^{\mu\nu \ b} \sigma^\alpha_{b\dot{a}} - M_{\text{R } \dot{a}}^{\mu\nu \dot{b}} \sigma^\alpha_{a\dot{b}} \right\} &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

We’re lucky, because we do know,

$$M_V^{\mu\nu\alpha}_\beta = \frac{1}{i}g^{\mu\alpha}g^\nu_\beta - \frac{1}{i}g^{\nu\alpha}g^\mu_\beta$$

Thus,

$$(g^{\mu\alpha}g^\nu_\beta - g^{\nu\alpha}g^\mu_\beta)\sigma^\beta_{a\dot{a}} + iM_L^{\mu\nu}{}_a{}^b\sigma^\alpha_{b\dot{a}} - iM_R^{\mu\nu\dot{b}}{}_a\sigma^\alpha_{a\dot{b}} = 0$$

To solve this equation we analyze it case by case, starting by, ‘ $\alpha = 0, \mu = i, \nu = j$ ’

$$\begin{aligned} M_L^{ij}{}_a{}^b\sigma^0_{b\dot{a}} - M_R^{ij\dot{b}}{}_a\sigma^0_{a\dot{b}} &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}{}_k\sigma^k{}_a{}^b\sigma^0_{b\dot{a}} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}{}_k\sigma^k{}_{\dot{a}}{}^b\sigma^0_{ab} &= 0 \\ \sigma^k{}_a{}^b\sigma^0_{b\dot{a}} - \sigma^k{}_{\dot{a}}{}^b\sigma^0_{ab} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Schur’s lemma we conclude that,

$$\sigma^0_{a\dot{a}} = \delta_{a\dot{a}}$$

Where we choose the normalization to be one. To get the other three matrices we have to look at the cases ‘ $\mu = \alpha = 0, \nu = i$ ’,

$$\begin{aligned} (g^{00}g^i_\beta - g^{i0}g^0_\beta)\sigma^\beta_{a\dot{a}} + iM_L^{0i}{}_a{}^b\sigma^0_{b\dot{a}} - iM_R^{0i\dot{b}}{}_a\sigma^0_{a\dot{b}} &= 0 \\ -\sigma^i_{a\dot{a}} - iM_L^{i0}{}_a{}^b\delta_{b\dot{a}} + iM_R^{i0\dot{b}}{}_a\delta_{a\dot{b}} &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^i{}_a{}^b\delta_{b\dot{a}} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{i\dot{b}}{}_a\delta_{ab} &= \sigma^i_{a\dot{a}} \end{aligned}$$

From this expression is straightforward to check that the solution is,

$$\sigma^i_{a\dot{a}} = \sigma$$

Hence, our invariant quantity is,

$$\sigma^\mu_{a\dot{a}} = (\mathbb{1}, \sigma)$$

We could want to find a kind of metric in this spinor space to be able to rise and lower the index, for this we can be assured such an object exists due to the group theoretical fact that,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) = (0, 0) \oplus (1, 0)$$

It’s clear that the left side describes an object with two undotted indexes, while the right side describes the sum of a scalar with an other kind of object, the two must possess the same index structure, but, as one of them is a scalar this means that it must be an invariant symbol. We’ll call it,

$$\begin{aligned} L_a{}^c L_b{}^d \epsilon_{cd} &= \epsilon_{ab} \\ \left(\delta_a{}^c + \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}M_L^{\mu\nu}{}_a{}^c\right)\left(\delta_b{}^d + \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}M_L^{\mu\nu}{}_b{}^d\right)\epsilon_{cd} &= \epsilon_{ab} \\ M_L^{\mu\nu}{}_b{}^d \epsilon_{ad} + M_L^{\mu\nu}{}_a{}^c \epsilon_{cb} &= 0 \\ M_L^{i0}{}_b{}^d \epsilon_{ad} &= -M_L^{i0}{}_a{}^c \epsilon_{cb} \\ \sigma_b{}^d \epsilon_{ad} &= -\sigma_a{}^c \epsilon_{cb} \\ \sigma_b{}^d \epsilon_{ad} &= -\sigma_a{}^c \epsilon_{cb} \end{aligned}$$

For ‘ $i = 1, a = 1, b = 1$ ’,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1^1{}^d \epsilon_{1d} &= -\sigma_1^1{}^c \epsilon_{c1} \\ \sigma_1^1{}^1 \epsilon_{11} + \sigma_1^1{}^2 \epsilon_{12} &= -\sigma_1^1{}^1 \epsilon_{11} - \sigma_1^1{}^2 \epsilon_{21} \rightarrow \epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{21}\end{aligned}$$

For ‘ $i = 3, a = 1, b = 1$ ’,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1^3{}^d \epsilon_{1d} &= -\sigma_1^3{}^c \epsilon_{c1} \\ \sigma_1^3{}^1 \epsilon_{11} + \sigma_1^3{}^2 \epsilon_{12} &= -\sigma_1^3{}^1 \epsilon_{11} - \sigma_1^3{}^2 \epsilon_{21} \rightarrow \epsilon_{11} = 0\end{aligned}$$

For ‘ $i = 3, a = 2, b = 2$ ’,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_2^3{}^d \epsilon_{1d} &= -\sigma_2^3{}^c \epsilon_{c2} \\ \sigma_2^3{}^1 \epsilon_{11} + \sigma_2^3{}^2 \epsilon_{12} &= -\sigma_2^3{}^1 \epsilon_{12} - \sigma_2^3{}^2 \epsilon_{22} \rightarrow \epsilon_{22} = 0\end{aligned}$$

Which the convention of normalization this gives,

$$\epsilon_{ab} = \epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So now we can construct the upper index version of these sigma matrices,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{a}a} &= \epsilon^{\dot{a}b} \epsilon^{ab} \sigma_{ab}^{\mu} \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{a}a} &= (\mathbb{1}, -\boldsymbol{\sigma})\end{aligned}$$

Other identities which are going to be useful are,

$$\begin{aligned}g_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{a\dot{a}}^{\mu} \sigma_{b\dot{b}}^{\nu} &= -\delta_{a\dot{a}} \delta_{b\dot{b}} + \sigma_{a\dot{a}}^i \sigma_{ib\dot{b}} \\ &= -\delta_{a\dot{a}} \delta_{b\dot{b}} + (\delta_{a1} \delta_{\dot{a}2} + \delta_{a2} \delta_{\dot{a}1})(\delta_{b1} \delta_{\dot{b}2} + \delta_{b2} \delta_{\dot{b}1}) - (\delta_{a1} \delta_{\dot{a}2} - \delta_{a2} \delta_{\dot{a}1})(\delta_{b1} \delta_{\dot{b}2} - \delta_{b2} \delta_{\dot{b}1}) \\ &\quad + (\delta_{a1} \delta_{\dot{a}1} - \delta_{a2} \delta_{\dot{a}2})(\delta_{b1} \delta_{\dot{b}1} - \delta_{b2} \delta_{\dot{b}2}) \\ &= -\delta_{a\dot{a}} \delta_{b\dot{b}} + 2\delta_{a1}\delta_{\dot{b}1}(\delta_{\dot{a}2}\delta_{b2}) + 2\delta_{a2}\delta_{\dot{b}2}(\delta_{\dot{a}1}\delta_{b1}) + \delta_{a1}\delta_{\dot{a}1}(\delta_{b1}\delta_{\dot{b}1}) + \delta_{a2}\delta_{\dot{a}2}(\delta_{b2}\delta_{\dot{b}2}) \\ &\quad - \delta_{a1}\delta_{\dot{a}1}(\delta_{b2}\delta_{\dot{b}2}) - \delta_{a2}\delta_{\dot{a}2}(\delta_{b1}\delta_{\dot{b}1}) \\ &= -\delta_{a\dot{a}} \delta_{b\dot{b}} + \delta_{a1}\delta_{\dot{b}1}(\delta_{b1}\delta_{\dot{a}1} + \delta_{b2}\delta_{\dot{a}2}) + \delta_{a2}\delta_{\dot{b}2}(\delta_{b1}\delta_{\dot{a}1} + \delta_{b2}\delta_{\dot{a}2}) \\ &\quad + \delta_{a1}\delta_{\dot{b}1}(\delta_{\dot{a}2}\delta_{b2}) + \delta_{a2}\delta_{\dot{b}2}(\delta_{\dot{a}1}\delta_{b1}) - \delta_{a1}\delta_{\dot{a}1}(\delta_{b2}\delta_{\dot{b}2}) - \delta_{a2}\delta_{\dot{a}2}(\delta_{b1}\delta_{\dot{b}1}) \\ &= -\delta_{a\dot{a}} \delta_{b\dot{b}} + 2(\delta_{a1}\delta_{\dot{b}1} + \delta_{a2}\delta_{\dot{b}2})(\delta_{b1}\delta_{\dot{a}1} + \delta_{b2}\delta_{\dot{a}2}) \\ &\quad - \delta_{a1}\delta_{\dot{b}1}\delta_{b1}\delta_{\dot{a}1} - \delta_{a2}\delta_{\dot{b}2}\delta_{b2}\delta_{\dot{a}2} - \delta_{a1}\delta_{\dot{a}1}\delta_{b2}\delta_{\dot{b}2} - \delta_{a2}\delta_{\dot{a}2}\delta_{b1}\delta_{\dot{b}1} \\ &= -\delta_{a\dot{a}} \delta_{b\dot{b}} + 2\delta_{a\dot{b}} \delta_{b\dot{a}} \\ &\quad - (\delta_{a1}\delta_{\dot{a}1} + \delta_{a2}\delta_{\dot{a}2})(\delta_{b1}\delta_{\dot{b}1} + \delta_{b2}\delta_{\dot{b}2}) \\ g_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{a\dot{a}}^{\mu} \sigma_{b\dot{b}}^{\nu} &= -2(\delta_{a\dot{a}} \delta_{b\dot{b}} - \delta_{a\dot{b}} \delta_{b\dot{a}}) \\ g_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{a\dot{a}}^{\mu} \sigma_{b\dot{b}}^{\nu} &= -2\epsilon_{ab} \epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\end{aligned}$$

Other useful expression is,

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon^{ab}\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu\sigma_{b\dot{b}}^\nu &= \sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu\bar{\sigma}^{\nu\dot{a}a} \\ \epsilon^{ab}\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu\sigma_{b\dot{b}}^\nu &= \text{Tr}[\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu] = -2g^{\mu\nu}\end{aligned}$$

Now we can go back to the generators to write a full covariant expression for them, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}(g^{\mu\alpha}g_{\beta}^\nu - g^{\nu\alpha}g_{\beta}^\mu)\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\beta + iM_{L\ a}^{\mu\nu}{}^b\sigma_{b\dot{a}}^\alpha + iM_{R\ \dot{a}}^{\mu\nu}{}^{\dot{b}}\sigma_{a\dot{b}}^\alpha &= 0 \\ g^{\mu\alpha}\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu - g^{\nu\alpha}\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu + iM_{L\ a}^{\mu\nu}{}^b\sigma_{b\dot{a}}^\alpha + iM_{R\ \dot{a}}^{\mu\nu}{}^{\dot{b}}\sigma_{a\dot{b}}^\alpha &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying everything by ‘ $\sigma_{\alpha\dot{c}\dot{c}}$ ’,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\mu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu - \sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\nu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu + iM_{L\ a}^{\mu\nu}{}^b\sigma_{b\dot{a}}^\alpha\sigma_{\alpha\dot{c}\dot{c}} + iM_{R\ \dot{a}}^{\mu\nu}{}^{\dot{b}}\sigma_{a\dot{b}}^\alpha\sigma_{\alpha\dot{c}\dot{c}} &= 0 \\ \sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\mu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu - \sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\nu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu - 2iM_{L\ a}^{\mu\nu}{}^b\epsilon_{bc}\epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{c}} - 2iM_{R\ \dot{a}}^{\mu\nu}{}^{\dot{b}}\epsilon_{ac}\epsilon_{\dot{b}\dot{c}} &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Now we use the identity ‘ $\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{c}}\epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{c}} = -2$ ’, and the fact that,

$$M_{L/R\ a}^{\mu\nu}{}^b\epsilon_{bc}\epsilon^{ca} = 0$$

Which can be checked to be true with the old version of the generators. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{c}}\sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\mu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu - \epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{c}}\sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\nu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu - 2iM_{L\ a}^{\mu\nu}{}^b\epsilon_{bc}\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{c}}\epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{c}} - \epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{c}}2iM_{R\ \dot{a}}^{\mu\nu}{}^{\dot{b}}\epsilon_{ac}\epsilon_{\dot{b}\dot{c}} &= 0 \\ \epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{c}}\sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\mu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu - \epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{c}}\sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\nu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu + 4iM_{L\ a}^{\mu\nu}{}^b\epsilon_{bc} &= 0 \\ \epsilon^{dc}\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{c}}\sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\mu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu - \epsilon^{dc}\epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{c}}\sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\nu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu + 4iM_{L\ a}^{\mu\nu}{}^b\epsilon^{dc}\epsilon_{bc} &= 0 \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{a}d}\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu - \bar{\sigma}^{\nu\dot{a}d}\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu - 4iM_{L\ a}^{\mu\nu}{}^b\delta_b^d &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}4iM_{L\ a}^{\mu\nu}{}^d &= \sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{a}d} - \sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu\bar{\sigma}^{\nu\dot{a}d} \\ M_{L\ a}^{\mu\nu}{}^d &= \frac{i}{4}(\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu\bar{\sigma}^{\nu\dot{a}d} - \sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{a}d}) \\ M_{L\ a}^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{i}{4}(\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu - \sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu\bar{\sigma}^\mu)\end{aligned}$$

The same can be done for the Right-Handed generator,

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon^{ac}\sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\mu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu - \epsilon^{ac}\sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\nu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu - 2\epsilon^{ac}iM_{L\ a}^{\mu\nu}{}^b\epsilon_{bc}\epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{c}} - 2iM_{R\ \dot{a}}^{\mu\nu}{}^{\dot{b}}\epsilon^{ac}\epsilon_{ac}\epsilon_{\dot{b}\dot{c}} &= 0 \\ \epsilon^{ac}\sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\mu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu - \epsilon^{ac}\sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\nu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu + 4iM_{R\ \dot{a}}^{\mu\nu}{}^{\dot{b}}\epsilon_{\dot{b}\dot{c}} &= 0 \\ \epsilon^{\dot{d}\dot{c}}\epsilon^{ac}\sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\mu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu - \epsilon^{\dot{d}\dot{c}}\epsilon^{ac}\sigma_{c\dot{c}}^\nu\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu + 4iM_{R\ \dot{a}}^{\mu\nu}{}^{\dot{b}}\epsilon^{\dot{d}\dot{c}}\epsilon_{\dot{b}\dot{c}} &= 0 \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{d}a}\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\nu - \bar{\sigma}^{\nu\dot{d}a}\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu - 4iM_{R\ \dot{a}}^{\mu\nu}{}^{\dot{b}}\delta_{\dot{b}}^{\dot{d}} &= 0\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$M_{\text{R}}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\dot{a}}{}^{\dot{d}} = \frac{i}{4} \left(\bar{\sigma}^{\nu\dot{d}a} \sigma^\mu_{a\dot{a}} - \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{d}a} \sigma^\nu_{a\dot{a}} \right)$$

This is not a natural expression, due to the funny index placement, but, it is completely correct. Due to nature of the complex representation not being equivalent to the Left-Handed one, to consider this representation with a more natural index structure we have to fuzz a little bit around the generators, the answer turns out to be,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\text{R}}^{\mu\nu\dot{d}}{}_{\dot{a}} &= - \left(M_{\text{R}}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\dot{a}}{}^{\dot{d}} \right)^{\text{T}} = - \frac{i}{4} \left(\bar{\sigma}^{\nu\dot{d}a} \sigma^\mu_{a\dot{a}} - \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{d}a} \sigma^\nu_{a\dot{a}} \right) \\ M_{\text{R}}^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{i}{4} (\bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu - \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu) \end{aligned}$$

Where the more convenient index convention takes place. A very interesting relation which tell us that ‘ σ^μ ’ are part of something bigger is that they obey the following *algebra*,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu + \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu &= -2g^{\mu\nu} \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu + \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu &= -2g^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

We also are going to set a notation for these generators,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\text{L}}^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{i}{4} (\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu - \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu) = \sigma^{\mu\nu} \\ M_{\text{R}}^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{i}{4} (\bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu - \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu) = \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

Also some more refined identities,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \bar{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned}$$

2 SUSY Algebra

The Supersymmetric version of the Poincarè algebra, or the Super-Poincarè algebra, is given by,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [M^{\alpha\beta}, M^{\mu\nu}] &= i(g^{\alpha\mu}M^{\beta\nu} - g^{\beta\mu}M^{\alpha\nu} + g^{\beta\nu}M^{\alpha\mu} - g^{\alpha\nu}M^{\beta\mu}) \\ [P^\alpha, M^{\mu\nu}] &= i(g^{\alpha\nu}P^\mu - g^{\alpha\mu}P^\nu) \\ [P^\alpha, P^\mu] &= 0 \\ [Q_a, Q_b^\dagger] &= -2\sigma_{\mu ab}P^\mu \\ [Q_a, M^{\mu\nu}] &= \sigma^{\mu\nu}_a{}^b Q_b \\ [Q^{\dagger\dot{a}}, M^{\mu\nu}] &= \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu\dot{a}}{}_{\dot{b}} Q^{\dagger\dot{b}} \\ [Q_a, Q_b] &= 0 \\ [Q_a, P^\mu] &= 0 \end{array} \right.$$

We do now that the Casimir operators of the Poincarè algebra are,

$$P^\mu P_\mu, \quad W^\mu W_\mu, \quad W_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\beta\mu\nu\alpha}M^{\nu\alpha}P^\beta$$

The momentum squared still succeed to be a Casimir of the full Super-Poincarè algebra, due to the trivial relation,

$$[Q, P^\mu] = 0 \Rightarrow [Q, P^\mu P_\mu] = 0$$

What about the Pauli-Lubanski vector?

$$\begin{aligned} [Q, W_\mu] &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\beta\mu\nu\alpha}[Q, M^{\nu\alpha}]P^\beta \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\beta\mu\nu\alpha}\sigma^{\nu\alpha}Q P^\beta \\ &= -i\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\beta\mu\nu\alpha}\sigma^{\nu\alpha}Q P^\beta \\ &= -i\sigma_{\beta\mu}Q P^\beta \\ &= -i\frac{1}{4}(\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}_\mu - \sigma_\mu\bar{\sigma}_\beta)Q P^\beta \\ &= -i\frac{1}{4}(\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}_\mu - (-\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}_\mu - 2g_{\mu\beta}))Q P^\beta \\ &= \frac{1}{2}P^\beta\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}_\mu Q + \frac{1}{2}P_\mu Q \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} [Q, W_\mu W^\mu] &= W^\mu [Q, W_\mu] + [Q, W_\mu] W^\mu \\ &= -W^\mu i\sigma_{\beta\mu}Q P^\beta - i\sigma_{\beta\mu}Q P^\beta W^\mu \\ &= -i\sigma_{\beta\mu}(W^\mu Q P^\beta + Q P^\beta W^\mu) \\ &= -i\sigma_{\beta\mu}(W^\mu Q + Q W^\mu)P^\beta \\ &= -i\sigma_{\beta\mu}(2W^\mu Q - i\sigma^{\alpha\mu}Q P_\alpha)P^\beta \\ &= 2iW^\mu\sigma_{\mu\nu}P^\nu Q - \sigma_{\beta\mu}\sigma^{\alpha\mu}P_\alpha P^\beta Q \end{aligned}$$

Let us analyse the relation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\mu\beta}\sigma^{\mu\alpha} &= -\frac{1}{16}(\sigma_\mu\bar{\sigma}_\beta - \sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}_\mu)(\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha\bar{\sigma}^\mu) \\
&= -\frac{1}{16}(\sigma_\mu\bar{\sigma}_\beta\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - \sigma_\mu\bar{\sigma}_\beta\sigma^\alpha\bar{\sigma}^\mu - \sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}_\mu\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\alpha + \sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}_\mu\sigma^\alpha\bar{\sigma}^\mu) \\
&= -\frac{1}{16}(\sigma_\mu(-\bar{\sigma}^\mu\sigma_\beta - 2g_\beta{}^\mu)\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - \sigma_\mu\bar{\sigma}_\beta\sigma^\alpha\bar{\sigma}^\mu + 4\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha + \sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}_\mu(-\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - 2g^{\mu\alpha})) \\
&= -\frac{1}{16}((4\sigma_\beta - 2\sigma_\beta)\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - \sigma_\mu\bar{\sigma}_\beta\sigma^\alpha\bar{\sigma}^\mu + 4\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha + \sigma_\beta(4\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - 2\bar{\sigma}^\alpha)) \\
&= -\frac{1}{16}(-(-\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}_\mu - 2g_{\mu\beta})(-\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - 2g^{\mu\alpha}) + 8\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha) \\
&= -\frac{1}{16}(-\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}_\mu\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - 2g^{\mu\alpha}\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}_\mu - 2g_{\mu\beta}\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - 2g_{\mu\beta}2g^{\mu\alpha} + 8\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha) \\
&= -\frac{1}{16}(4\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - 2\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - 2\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - 4g_\beta{}^\alpha + 8\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha) \\
&= -\frac{1}{16}(4\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - 2\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - 2\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha - 4g_\beta{}^\alpha + 8\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha) \\
&= \frac{1}{16}(4g_\beta{}^\alpha - 8\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}g_\beta{}^\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

But for our contraction what matters is just the symmetric part,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\mu\beta}\sigma^{\mu\alpha}P_\alpha P^\beta &= \frac{1}{4}P_\mu P^\mu - \frac{1}{2}P^\beta\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha P_\alpha \\
&= \frac{1}{4}P_\mu P^\mu - \frac{1}{4}P^\beta P_\alpha(\sigma_\beta\bar{\sigma}^\alpha + \sigma^\alpha\bar{\sigma}_\beta) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}P_\mu P^\mu + \frac{1}{2}P^\beta P_\alpha g_\beta{}^\alpha \\
&= \frac{3}{4}P_\mu P^\mu
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, up to now,

$$[Q, W_\mu W^\mu] = 2iW^\mu\sigma_{\mu\nu}P^\nu Q - \frac{3}{4}P_\alpha P^\alpha Q$$

The last thing we can check to be zero or not is,

$$\begin{aligned}
W^\mu\sigma_{\mu\nu}P^\nu &= \frac{i}{4}W^\mu P^\nu(\sigma_\mu\bar{\sigma}_\nu - \sigma_\nu\bar{\sigma}_\mu) \\
&= \frac{i}{4}W^\mu P^\nu((- \sigma_\nu\bar{\sigma}_\mu - 2g_{\mu\nu}) - \sigma_\nu\bar{\sigma}_\mu) \\
&= -\frac{i}{4}W^\mu P^\nu(2\sigma_\nu\bar{\sigma}_\mu + 2g_{\mu\nu}) \\
&= -\frac{i}{2}W^\mu P^\nu\sigma_\nu\bar{\sigma}_\mu
\end{aligned}$$

So at last we get,

$$[Q, W_\mu W^\mu] = W^\mu P^\nu \sigma_\nu \bar{\sigma}_\mu Q - \frac{3}{4} P_\alpha P^\alpha Q$$

Let us define for now, without any special reason,

$$X_\mu = Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu Q$$

We take then the commutation relation,

$$\begin{aligned} [Q, X_\mu] &= [Q, Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu Q] \\ &= [Q, Q^\dagger] \bar{\sigma}_\mu Q - Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu [Q, Q] \\ &= -2P^\nu \sigma_\nu \bar{\sigma}_\mu Q \end{aligned}$$

And now,

$$B_\mu = W_\mu + \alpha X_\mu$$

We're going to choose 'α' to simplify the commutation relation,

$$\begin{aligned} [Q, B_\mu] &= [Q, W_\mu] + \alpha [Q, X_\mu] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} P^\beta \sigma_\beta \bar{\sigma}_\mu Q + \frac{1}{2} P_\mu Q - 2\alpha P^\nu \sigma_\nu \bar{\sigma}_\mu Q \end{aligned}$$

That is, setting 'α = 1/4', we get,

$$B_\mu = W_\mu + \frac{1}{4} X_\mu$$

$$\begin{aligned} [Q, B_\mu] &= [Q, W_\mu] + \frac{1}{4} [Q, X_\mu] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} P_\mu Q \end{aligned}$$

Construct now,

$$C_{\mu\nu} = B_\mu P_\nu - B_\nu P_\mu$$

The commutation relation is,

$$\begin{aligned} [Q, C_{\mu\nu}] &= [Q, B_\mu P_\nu] - [Q, B_\nu P_\mu] \\ &= [Q, B_\mu] P_\nu + B_\mu [Q, P_\nu] - [Q, B_\nu] P_\mu - B_\nu [Q, P_\mu] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} P_\mu Q P_\nu - \frac{1}{2} P_\nu Q P_\mu = 0 \end{aligned}$$

That could be a Casimir, let's take a look at the other commutation relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
[C_{\mu\nu}, P_\alpha] &= [B_\mu P_\nu, P_\alpha] - [B_\nu P_\mu, P_\alpha] \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.1}$$

Because ‘ P^μ ’ commutes with everything apart from ‘ $M^{\mu\nu}$ ’. The last commutation is then with ‘ $M^{\mu\nu}$ ’, which is clearly non-trivial, but, as long as we work with a scalar, it will be trivial, hence, we assert that,

$$C^2 = C_{\mu\nu} C^{\mu\nu}$$

Is the other Casimir of the Super-Poincarè algebra.

3 Representations of the Super-Poincaré Algebra

As we have seen, the Super-Poincaré algebra is characterized by two Casimir operators, the first we already are familiar with, it is the mass,

$$P^\mu P_\mu$$

The second one is the not so trivial,

$$C_{\mu\nu} C^{\mu\nu}$$

Notice that the values of ‘ P^μ ’ are constrained, in particular,

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_a, Q_b^\dagger] &= -2\sigma_{\mu ab} P^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^{0\dot{b}a} [Q_a, Q_b^\dagger] &= -2\bar{\sigma}^{0\dot{b}a} \sigma_{\mu ab} P^\mu \\ [Q_1, Q_1^\dagger] + [Q_2, Q_2^\dagger] &= 4P^0 \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$P^0 = \frac{1}{4} (Q_1 Q_1^\dagger + Q_1^\dagger Q_1 + Q_2 Q_2^\dagger + Q_2^\dagger Q_2)$$

Let’s apply this to an arbitrary state,

$$(\Psi, P^0 \Psi) = \frac{1}{4} \left[(Q_1^\dagger \Psi, Q_1^\dagger \Psi) + (Q_1 \Psi, Q_1 \Psi) + (Q_2^\dagger \Psi, Q_2^\dagger \Psi) + (Q_2 \Psi, Q_2 \Psi) \right]$$

This is clearly non negative, as it’s the sum over norms of states, thus we conclude,

$$(\Psi, P^0 \Psi) \geq 0$$

For all states. Actually the energy of the ground state acts as a order parameter for the SSB of SUSY. Other constrain for the ‘ P^μ ’ is the square of it,

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_a, Q_b^\dagger] [Q_c, Q_d^\dagger] &= 4\sigma_{\mu ab} P^\mu \sigma_{\nu cd} P^\nu \\ \epsilon^{ac} \epsilon^{\dot{b}\dot{d}} [Q_a, Q_b^\dagger] [Q_c, Q_d^\dagger] &= 4\sigma_{\mu ab} P^\mu \epsilon^{ac} \epsilon^{\dot{b}\dot{d}} \sigma_{\nu cd} P^\nu \\ \epsilon^{ac} \epsilon^{\dot{b}\dot{d}} [Q_a, Q_b^\dagger] [Q_c, Q_d^\dagger] &= 4\sigma_{\mu ab} P^\mu \bar{\sigma}_\nu^{\dot{b}a} P^\nu \\ \epsilon^{\dot{b}\dot{d}} [Q_1, Q_b^\dagger] [Q_2, Q_d^\dagger] - \epsilon^{\dot{b}\dot{d}} [Q_2, Q_b^\dagger] [Q_1, Q_d^\dagger] &= -8P^\mu P_\mu \\ [Q_1, Q_1^\dagger] [Q_2, Q_2^\dagger] - [Q_1, Q_2^\dagger] [Q_2, Q_1^\dagger] - [Q_2, Q_1^\dagger] [Q_1, Q_2^\dagger] + [Q_2, Q_2^\dagger] [Q_1, Q_1^\dagger] &= -8P^\mu P_\mu \end{aligned}$$

3.1 Massive representations

We are going to first consider massive representations of the Super-Poincaré group, that is, representations with ‘ $P^\mu P_\mu = -m^2 < 0$ ’. Notice that we can always perform a Lorentz transformation to go from any eigenvalue of ‘ P^μ ’ to the eigenvalue,

$$P^\mu = (m \quad \mathbf{0})$$

Thus, our second Casimir have it’s values restrained by,

$$\begin{aligned} C^2 &= (B_\mu P_\nu - B_\nu P_\mu)(B^\mu P^\nu - B^\nu P^\mu) \\ &= 2B_\mu P_\nu B^\mu P^\nu - 2B_\mu P_\nu B^\nu P^\mu \\ &= -2m^2 B_\mu B^\mu - 2(B_0 P^0)^2 \\ &= 2m^2 B_0^2 - 2m^2 \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B} - 2m^2 B_0^2 \\ &= -2m^2 \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B} \end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{W} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{X} \\ B_i &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu i \alpha \beta} M^{\alpha \beta} P^\mu + \frac{1}{4}Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q \\ B_i &= \frac{m}{2}\epsilon_{0 i \alpha \beta} M^{\alpha \beta} + \frac{1}{4}Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q \\ B_i &= \frac{m}{2}\epsilon_{0 i j k} M^{j k} + \frac{1}{4}Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q \\ mY_i &= mJ_i + \frac{1}{4}Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q \end{aligned}$$

So that,

$$C^2 = -2m^4 \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$$

Some interesting features of this new operator ‘ \mathbf{Y} ’ is that,

$$\begin{aligned} [Y_i, Y_j] &= \left[J_i + \frac{1}{4m}Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q, J_j + \frac{1}{4m}Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_j Q \right] \\ &= i\epsilon_{ijk} J^k + \frac{1}{4m}[J_i, Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_j Q] - \frac{1}{4m}[J_j, Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q] + \frac{1}{16m^2}[Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q, Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_j Q] \end{aligned}$$

Let’s compute first,

$$\begin{aligned} [J_i, Q^\dagger] &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{0ijk}[Q^\dagger, M^{jk}] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{0ijk}\bar{\sigma}^{jk}Q^\dagger \\ &= -i\bar{\sigma}_{0i}Q^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[J_i, Q] &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{0ijk}[Q, M^{jk}] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{0ijk}\sigma^{jk}Q \\
&= i\sigma_{0i}Q
\end{aligned}$$

So that,

$$\begin{aligned}
[J_i, Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_j Q] &= [J_i, Q^\dagger] \bar{\sigma}_j Q + Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_j [J_i, Q] \\
&= -i[\bar{\sigma}_{0i} Q^\dagger] \bar{\sigma}_j Q + Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_j i\sigma_{0i} Q \\
&= iQ^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_{0i} \bar{\sigma}_j Q + Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_j i\sigma_{0i} Q \\
&= -\frac{1}{4}Q^\dagger [\bar{\sigma}_0 \sigma_i \bar{\sigma}_j - \bar{\sigma}_i \sigma_0 \bar{\sigma}_j + \bar{\sigma}_j \sigma_0 \bar{\sigma}_i - \bar{\sigma}_j \sigma_i \bar{\sigma}_0] Q \\
&= -\frac{1}{4}Q^\dagger [\bar{\sigma}_0 \sigma_i \bar{\sigma}_j + \bar{\sigma}_0 \sigma_i \bar{\sigma}_j - \bar{\sigma}_0 \sigma_j \bar{\sigma}_i + \bar{\sigma}_j \sigma_0 \bar{\sigma}_i] Q \\
&= -\frac{1}{4}Q^\dagger [\bar{\sigma}_0 \sigma_i \bar{\sigma}_j + \bar{\sigma}_0 \sigma_i \bar{\sigma}_j - \bar{\sigma}_0 \sigma_j \bar{\sigma}_i - \bar{\sigma}_0 \sigma_j \bar{\sigma}_i] Q \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 [\sigma_i \bar{\sigma}_j - \sigma_j \bar{\sigma}_i] Q \\
&= 2i\frac{1}{4}Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 [\sigma_i \bar{\sigma}_j - \sigma_j \bar{\sigma}_i] Q \\
&= 2iQ^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 \sigma_{ij} Q \\
&= 2iQ^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{ij\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} Q \\
&= 2Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 \epsilon_{0ijk}\sigma^{k0} Q \\
&= -2\frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}Q^\dagger [\bar{\sigma}^0 \sigma^k \bar{\sigma}^0 - \bar{\sigma}^0 \sigma^0 \bar{\sigma}^k] Q \\
&= -2\frac{i}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}Q^\dagger [-\bar{\sigma}^0 \sigma^0 \bar{\sigma}^k - \bar{\sigma}^0 \sigma^0 \bar{\sigma}^k] Q \\
&= i\epsilon_{ijk}Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^k Q
\end{aligned}$$

So now we have to compute,

$$\begin{aligned}
[Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q, Q_b^\dagger] &= Q_a^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i^{\dot{a}b} [Q_b, Q_b^\dagger] \\
&= Q_a^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i^{\dot{a}b} (-2)\sigma_{0b\dot{b}} m \\
&= -2m [Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i \sigma_0]_{\dot{b}}
\end{aligned}$$

And also,

$$\begin{aligned}
[Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q, Q_c] &= -[Q_c, Q_a^\dagger] \bar{\sigma}_i^{\dot{a}b} Q_b \\
&= 2\sigma_{0c\dot{a}} m \bar{\sigma}_i^{\dot{a}b} Q_b \\
&= 2m [\sigma_0 \bar{\sigma}_i Q]_c
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
[Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q, Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_j Q] &= [Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q, Q_b^\dagger] \bar{\sigma}_j^{bc} Q_c + Q_b^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_j^{bc} [Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q, Q_c] \\
&= -2m [Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i \sigma_0]_b \bar{\sigma}_j^{bc} Q_c + Q_b^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_j^{bc} 2m [\sigma_0 \bar{\sigma}_i Q]_c \\
&= -2m Q^\dagger [\bar{\sigma}_i \sigma_0 \bar{\sigma}_j - \bar{\sigma}_j \sigma_0 \bar{\sigma}_i] Q \\
&= -2m Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 [-\sigma_i \bar{\sigma}_j + \sigma_j \bar{\sigma}_i] Q \\
&= 8i \frac{1}{4} m Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 [-\sigma_i \bar{\sigma}_j + \sigma_j \bar{\sigma}_i] Q \\
&= -8im Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 \sigma_{ij} Q \\
&= -8im Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{ij\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q \\
&= 8m Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 \epsilon_{ijk0} \sigma^{k0} Q \\
&= -8m Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 \epsilon_{0jki} \sigma^{k0} Q \\
&= -8m \epsilon_{0ijk} Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 \sigma^{k0} Q \\
&= -8m \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{i}{4} Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 [\sigma^k \bar{\sigma}^0 - \sigma^0 \bar{\sigma}^k] Q \\
&= -8m \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{i}{4} Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 [-\sigma^0 \bar{\sigma}^k - \sigma^0 \bar{\sigma}^k] Q \\
&= 4m \epsilon_{ijk} i Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_0 \sigma^0 \bar{\sigma}^k Q \\
&= -4im \epsilon_{ijk} Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^k Q
\end{aligned}$$

At last,

$$\begin{aligned}
[Y_i, Y_j] &= i\epsilon_{ijk} J^k + \frac{1}{4m} [J_i, Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_j Q] - \frac{1}{4m} [J_j, Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q] + \frac{1}{16m^2} [Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q, Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_j Q] \\
&= i\epsilon_{ijk} J^k + \frac{1}{4m} i\epsilon_{ijk} Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^k Q - \frac{1}{4m} i\epsilon_{jik} Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^k Q - \frac{1}{16m^2} 4im \epsilon_{ijk} Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^k Q \\
&= i\epsilon_{ijk} J^k + \frac{1}{2m} i\epsilon_{ijk} Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^k Q - \frac{1}{4m} i\epsilon_{ijk} Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^k Q \\
&= i\epsilon_{ijk} J^k + \frac{1}{4m} i\epsilon_{ijk} Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^k Q = i\epsilon_{ijk} Y^k
\end{aligned}$$

That show us that our second Casimir is actually some form of an angular momentum,

$$C^2 = -2m^4 \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$$

From representation theory of ‘ $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ ’ algebra, we know that irreducible representations are labeled by two half-integer, ‘ y, y_3 ’, such that,

$$|m, j, k\rangle \rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y} |m, y, y_3\rangle &= y(y+1) |m, y, y_3\rangle \\ Y_3 |m, j, k\rangle &= y_3 |m, y, y_3\rangle \\ P^\mu P_\mu |m, y, y_3\rangle &= -m^2 |m, y, y_3\rangle \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Also, another useful fact is that,

$$\begin{aligned}
[Y_i, Q^\dagger] &= [J_i, Q] + \frac{1}{4m} [Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i Q, Q] \\
&= -i\bar{\sigma}_{0i} Q^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} Q^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_i \sigma_0
\end{aligned}$$