# Homework III

### Vicente V. Figueira — NUSP 11809301

May 27, 2025

#### Contents

Pr	obler	n 1																											1
	1.A)																	 											1
	1.B)																	 											1
	1.C)																	 											1
	1.D)														•	•	•		•	•		 •		•		•		•	1
Pı	oblen	n 2																											2
	2.A)																	 											2
	2.B)																	 											2
	2.C)																	 											2
	2.D)																												2
Pı	oblen	n 3																											3
	3.A)																	 											3
	3.B)																	 											3
	3.C)																	 											3
	3.D)																	 											3
	3.E)																	 											3
	3.F)														•			 											3
$\mathbf{A}$	Fadd	leev	v-I	Poj	oo	$\mathbf{v}$	$\mathbf{G}$	aυ	ıgı	e 1	Fiz	cii	ng																4
В	BRS	т (	Qu	an	tiz	za	tic	on	L																				9
$\mathbf{P}$	roble	em	1																										
1.	$\mathbf{A})$																												
	- <del>-</del> )																												
1.	$\mathbf{B})$																												
1.	C)																												
1.	D)																												

## Problem 2

- 2.A)
- 2.B)
- 2.C)
- 2.D)

## Problem 3

- 3.A)
- 3.B)
- 3.C)
- 3.D)
- 3.E)
- 3.F)

#### A Faddeev-Popov Gauge Fixing

Our Action functional is,

$$S_X + \lambda \chi = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int_M d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} h^{ab} \partial_a X^{\mu} \partial_b X_{\mu} + \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \int_M d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} R + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \int_{\partial M} ds K$$
 (A.1)

we would like to define the quantum theory by means of the path integral, that is, we expect that,

$$Z \stackrel{?}{=} \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}h \exp\left(-S_X[X, h] - \lambda \chi\right) \tag{A.2}$$

should give a well defined theory, but, already from A.2 there're several problems that arise, one of them is: What should be interpreted from the path integral itself? We haven't defined any manifold to our metric h and scalar fields X to live in, also, even if we had defined such, the path integral relies on explicit coordinate points,  $\mathcal{D}h = \prod_{\sigma} dh_{ab}(\sigma)$ , which are highly dependent on charts.

This is a valid claim, our way to avoid it is to define  $\mathcal{D}h$  to mean: Sum over all allowed two dimensional Riemannian manifolds, and all possible metric structures in these. Here, allowed requires a prescription, which manifolds are or aren't allowed impacts the obtained string theory. Happily, every two dimensional manifold has a definite value for the Euler Characteristic  $\chi$ , hence, we can sort them out by it,

$$Z \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{\{M\}_{\text{Met}(M)}} \int \mathcal{D}h \int \mathcal{D}X \exp\left(-S_X[X, h] - \lambda\chi\right)$$

$$Z \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{\{\chi\}} \exp\left(-\lambda\chi\right) \sum_{\{M_X\}_{\text{Met}(M_\chi)}} \int \mathcal{D}h \int \mathcal{D}X \exp\left(-S_X[X, h]\right)$$
(A.3)

Where M is to be understood as a two dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $M_{\chi}$  is one with Euler Characteristic  $\chi$ ,  $\operatorname{Met}(M_{\chi})$  is the space of all metrics which can be assigned to  $M_{\chi}$ , we have written  $\sum_{\{M_{\chi}\}}$  in the special case of there being more than one manifold with same Euler Charac-

teristic<sup>1</sup>, also, the functional integral over X should be read as integrating over all maps from  $M_{\chi}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{1,D-1}$ . While this is better defined than before, i.e. not coordinate dependent, we still have a few problems, first, it's know that A.1 has a Gauge Group of  $\mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{Weyl}(M)$ , but, in our second try of a definition of the path integral, we're integrating the metrics over  $\mathrm{Met}(M_{\chi})$ , it's clear that may happen of two elements of  $\mathrm{Met}(M_{\chi})$  be equivalent under a  $\mathrm{Diff}(M_{\chi}) \times \mathrm{Weyl}(M_{\chi})$  transformation, to put in more clear terms, we're worried if exists  $h', h \in \mathrm{Met}(M_{\chi})$  such,

$$h'_{ab}(\sigma'(\sigma)) = \exp(2\omega(\sigma)) \frac{\partial \sigma^c}{\partial \sigma'^a} \frac{\partial \sigma^d}{\partial \sigma'^b} h_{cd}(\sigma)$$

the existence of those kinds of elements is troublesome, as  $\mathrm{Diff}(M_\chi) \times \mathrm{Weyl}(M_\chi)$  is a infinite dimensional group of redundancies, this means we're over-counting physical configurations by a infinite amount. The solution is to look for an equivalence class of metrics under this Gauge Group action,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\chi} = \text{Met}(M_{\chi})/\text{Diff}(M_{\chi}) \times \text{Weyl}(M_{\chi})$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>As we're interested only in Differentiable Manifolds, more than manifold should read: More than one equivalence class of Differentiable Manifolds.

the equivalence class is to be understood  $as^2$ ,

$$h' \sim h \Leftrightarrow h'_{ab}(\sigma'(\sigma)) = \exp(2\omega(\sigma)) \frac{\partial \sigma^c}{\partial \sigma'^a} \frac{\partial \sigma^d}{\partial \sigma'^b} h_{cd}(\sigma)$$

that is, two metrics are the same representative of an element of  $\mathcal{M}_{\chi}$  iff they differ by a composition of a Diffeomorphism and Weyl transformation. We'll denote a given composition of a Diffeomorphism followed by a Weyl transformation by  $\zeta$ ,

$$h' = \zeta \circ h$$

Notice that the set of equivalence class of metrics, or, the set of inequivalent  $\mathrm{Diff}(M_\chi) \times \mathrm{Weyl}(M_\chi)$  metrics  $\mathscr{M}_\chi$  is highly dependent on the topology of  $M_\chi$ , for example, for  $M_\chi \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$ , it's trivial, there is just one point in the set  $\mathscr{M}_\chi$ , in other words, every metric is equivalent, which isn't true for more complex topologies.

Thus, it's possible for us to set up a well defined version of the path integral, just replace  $\text{Met}(M_{\chi})$  by  $\mathscr{M}_{\chi}$ ,

$$Z = \sum_{\{\chi\}} \exp\left(-\lambda\chi\right) \sum_{\{M_\chi\}} \int_{\mathscr{M}_\chi} \mathcal{D}h \int \mathcal{D}X \exp\left(-S_X[X, h]\right)$$
(A.4)

where the integration is to be understood as by choosing for each equivalence class in  $\mathcal{M}_{\chi}$  a representative element in  $\text{Met}(M_g)$ . While this is a satisfactory definition for the path integral, it feels a little clunky, we rather have an path integral over all the possible metrics — in the sense defined before —, well, this is achievable. First, for each equivalence class of  $\mathcal{M}_{\chi}$  elect one representative element of  $\text{Met}(M_{\chi})$ , we'll denote these elements as  $\hat{h}(\mathbf{t})$  — here  $\mathbf{t}$  is a parametrization of the correspondent equivalence class in  $\mathcal{M}_{\chi}$ , we haven't proved here, and won't, but  $\mathcal{M}_{\chi}$  is a finite N dimensional manifold, hence,  $\mathbf{t}$  is a N-tuple of real numbers —, by construction, these representatives are inequivalent under  $\text{Diff}(M_{\chi}) \times \text{Weyl}(M_{\chi})$ , hence,

$$\zeta_1 \circ \hat{h}(\mathbf{t}_1) = \zeta_2 \circ \hat{h}(\mathbf{t}_2) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{t}_1 = \mathbf{t}_2 \text{ and } \zeta_1 = \zeta_2$$

so that every element in  $Met(M_g)$  can be written as a unique<sup>3</sup> composition of a given  $\zeta$  into a given  $\hat{h}(\mathbf{t})$ . Now, we rewrite the pictorial integral over  $\mathcal{M}_{\chi}$  is a more formal way, using the parametrization we just described,

$$Z = \sum_{\{\chi\}} \exp\left(-\lambda \chi\right) \sum_{\{M_\chi\}_{\mathcal{M}_\chi}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_\chi} d^N \mathbf{t} \int \mathcal{D}X \exp\left(-S_X \left[X, \hat{h}(\mathbf{t})\right]\right)$$

$$Z = \sum_{\{\chi\}} \exp\left(-\lambda \chi\right) \sum_{\{M_\chi\}_{\mathcal{M}_\chi}} \int_{\mathrm{Diff}(M_\chi) \times \mathrm{Weyl}(M_\chi)} \mathcal{D}\zeta \delta(\zeta) \int \mathcal{D}X \exp\left(-S_X \left[X, \hat{h}(\mathbf{t})\right]\right)$$

in the last line we introduced a one by integrating<sup>4</sup> over the delta functional, as this integral picks only  $\zeta = 0$ , what should be understood as  $\zeta = \mathrm{id}$  in the group, we can deform a little the

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ In all charts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The uniqueness or not depends on a few factors, here we'll always, unless specified otherwise, interpret  $\mathrm{Diff}(M_\chi) \times \mathrm{Weyl}(M_\chi)$  as the group generated by all possible compositions of Diffeomorphisms and Weyl transformations, but a element of it,  $\zeta$ , is not to be interpreted as a unique composition of Diffeomorphism and Weyl factors, as there might be some Diffeomorphism which are equivalent to Weyl transformations, what is indeed true is that every element  $\zeta$  of the Gauge Group is a unique combination of an element of  $\mathrm{Diff}(M_\chi)/\mathrm{Weyl}(M_\chi)$  and an element of  $\mathrm{Weyl}(M_\chi)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Again, following the same remarks made before, the integral over  $\operatorname{Diff}(M_\chi) \times \operatorname{Weyl}(M_\chi)$  should not be interpreted as integrating over the whole of  $\operatorname{Diff}(M_\chi)$  and after integrating over the whole  $\operatorname{Weyl}(M_\chi)$ , this would for sure be an over-counting, but rather should be interpreted as integrating over the whole group *generated by* compositions of  $\operatorname{Diff}(M_\chi)$  and  $\operatorname{Weyl}(M_\chi)$ , which is equivalent of integrating over the whole  $\operatorname{Diff}(M_\chi)/\operatorname{Weyl}(M_\chi)$ , and after integrating over the whole  $\operatorname{Weyl}(M_\chi)$ .

integration to,

$$Z = \sum_{\{\chi\}} \exp\left(-\lambda \chi\right) \sum_{\{M_\chi\}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_\chi} d^N \mathbf{t} \int_{\text{Diff}(M_\chi) \times \text{Weyl}(M_\chi)} \mathcal{D}\zeta \delta(\zeta) \int \mathcal{D}X \exp\left(-S_X \left[X, \zeta \circ \hat{h}(\mathbf{t})\right]\right)$$
(A.5)

This is almost in the form that we would like, notice that we're integrating over the set of representative of the inequivalent metrics,  $d^N \mathbf{t}$ , and also over the whole group  $\mathrm{Diff}(M_\chi) \times \mathrm{Weyl}(M_\chi)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}\zeta$ , by construction, **every** metric in  $\mathrm{Met}(M_\chi)$  can be written uniquely<sup>5</sup> as,

$$h = \zeta_{\mathbf{t}} \circ \hat{h}(\mathbf{t})$$

in other words, to integrate over  $d^N \mathbf{t} \mathcal{D}\zeta$  is to integrate over all metrics of the form  $\zeta \circ \hat{h}(\mathbf{t})$ , which is to integrate over all metrics  $h = \zeta \circ \hat{h}(\mathbf{t})$  in  $\text{Met}(M_\chi)!$  We cannot yet make this change, due to the presence of an explicit dependence in  $\zeta$  at the functional delta. We'll eliminate it by means of a change of variable of the functional delta, notice that,

$$\delta \Big( \hat{h}(\mathbf{t}) - \zeta \circ \hat{h}(\mathbf{t}) \Big)$$

picks up just the contribution of  $\zeta = 0$ , so it's a good candidate for a change of variables,

$$\delta(\hat{h}(\mathbf{t}) - \zeta \circ \hat{h}(\mathbf{t})) = \delta(\zeta) \left| \text{Det} \left[ \frac{\delta}{\delta \zeta} (\hat{h}(\mathbf{t}) - \zeta \circ \hat{h}(\mathbf{t})) \right|_{\zeta=0} \right] \right|^{-1}$$

let's compute step by step the right-hand side of this equation, as we're only interested in the solution of  $\zeta = 0$ , what matters is just the connected component to the identity of the Gauge Group, this is parametrized by a function  $\omega$  related to the Weyl transformation, and a vector field  $\xi$  related to the connected component to the identity of the Diffeomorphisms — there is an additional requirement of  $\xi$  not generating any transformation which can be undone by a Weyl transformation —, also, for ease of our manipulation, we'll write the expression inside the delta with respect to h instead of  $\hat{h}(\mathbf{t})^6$ , that is,

$$\delta(\hat{h}(\mathbf{t}) - \zeta \circ \hat{h}(\mathbf{t})) = \delta(\zeta^{-1} \circ h - h) = \delta(\zeta^{-1}) \left| \operatorname{Det} \left[ \frac{\delta}{\delta \zeta^{-1}} (\zeta^{-1} \circ h - h) \Big|_{\zeta^{-1} = 0} \right] \right|^{-1}$$

one might worry about the  $\zeta^{-1}$  instead of the  $\zeta$ , but, the integration measure  $\mathcal{D}\zeta$  is formally a Haar measure in the Group, that means it's a group invariant measure, in other words,  $\mathcal{D}\zeta^{-1} = \mathcal{D}\zeta$ , so that we can forget about the inverse, now,

$$[\zeta \circ h]_{ab} = [h]_{ab} + 2\omega[h]_{ab} + [\pounds_{\xi}h]_{ab} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2, \xi^2, \omega\xi)$$
$$[\zeta \circ h]_{ab} = [h]_{ab} + 2\omega[h]_{ab} + 2\nabla_{(a}\xi_{b)} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2, \xi^2, \omega\xi)$$

of course  $\nabla$  here is with respect to the h metric,

$$[\zeta \circ h]_{ab} - [h]_{ab} = 2\omega[h]_{ab} + 2\nabla_{(a}\xi_{b)} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2, \xi^2, \omega\xi)$$
$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\zeta}([\zeta \circ h]_{ab} - [h]_{ab}) = ????$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>With the remarks made before.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>We would have to carry out the **t** dependence in h also, but, soon it will disappear as matter of uniting the integrals  $d^N \mathbf{t} \mathcal{D} \zeta$  so we won't keep track of it anymore.

The  $\zeta$  derivative actually has two parts, the derivative with respect to  $\omega$  and the other with respect to  $\xi$ , let's do one by one,

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\omega(\sigma')}([\zeta\circ h]_{ab} - [h]_{ab})(\sigma)\bigg|_{\zeta=0} = 2\delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma')h_{ab}(\sigma)$$

and for the  $\xi$ ,

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \xi^{c}(\sigma')} ([\zeta \circ h]_{ab} - [h]_{ab})(\sigma) \bigg|_{\zeta=0} = 2h_{c(b} \nabla_{a)} \delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma')$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\zeta}([\zeta\circ h]_{ab} - [h]_{ab})\Big|_{\zeta=0} = 2\delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma')h_{ab}(\sigma) + 2h_{c(b}\nabla_{a)}\delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma')$$

$$\operatorname{Det}\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta\zeta}([\zeta\circ h]_{ab} - [h]_{ab})\Big|_{\zeta=0}\right] = \operatorname{Det}\left[2\delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma')h_{ab}(\sigma) + 2h_{c(b}\nabla_{a)}\delta^{(2)}(\sigma - \sigma')\right]$$

the determinant can be computed by means of path integral of Grassmannian variables,

$$\operatorname{Det}\left[2\delta^{(2)}(\sigma-\sigma')h_{ab} + 2h_{c(b}\nabla_{a)}\delta^{(2)}(\sigma-\sigma')\right] = \int \mathcal{D}b\mathcal{D}c\mathcal{D}d\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^{2}\sigma\sqrt{h}b^{ab}\left[h_{ab}d + h_{c(b}\nabla_{a)}c^{c}\right]\right)$$

$$\operatorname{Det}\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta\zeta}(\left[\zeta\circ h\right]_{ab} - \left[h\right]_{ab})\Big|_{\zeta=0}\right] = \int \mathcal{D}b\mathcal{D}c\mathcal{D}d\exp\left(-S_{gh}[b,c,d,h]\right)$$

Substituting all of this back into our path integral,

$$Z = \sum_{\{\chi\}} \exp\left(-\lambda\chi\right) \sum_{\{M_\chi\}_{\mathcal{M}_\chi}} \int d^N \mathbf{t} \int_{\text{Diff}(M_\chi) \times \text{Weyl}(M_\chi)} \mathcal{D}\zeta \delta(\zeta) \int \mathcal{D}X \exp\left(-S_X \left[X, \zeta \circ \hat{h}(\mathbf{t})\right]\right)$$

$$Z = \sum_{\{\chi\}} \exp\left(-\lambda\chi\right) \sum_{\{M_\chi\}_{\mathcal{M}_\chi}} \int d^N \mathbf{t} \int_{\text{Diff}(M_\chi) \times \text{Weyl}(M_\chi)} \mathcal{D}\zeta \delta(\zeta^{-1} \circ h - h) \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}b \mathcal{D}c \mathcal{D}d \exp\left(-S_X - S_{\text{gh}}\right)$$

$$Z = \sum_{\{\chi\}} \exp\left(-\lambda\chi\right) \sum_{\{M_\chi\}_{\text{Met}(M_\chi)}} \int \mathcal{D}h \delta(\hat{h} - h) \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}b \mathcal{D}c \mathcal{D}d \exp\left(-S_X [X, h] - S_{\text{gh}}[b, c, d, h]\right)$$

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}b \mathcal{D}c \mathcal{D}d \delta(\hat{h} - h) \exp\left(-S_X [X, h] - S_{\text{gh}}[b, c, d, h] - \lambda\chi\right)$$

where  $\hat{h}$  is a family of choices of representatives of the equivalence classes of the Gauge equivalent metrics, of course this choice is dependent on the equivalence class h lies in, so, in a certain sense we have  $\hat{h} = \hat{h}[h]$ ,

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}b \mathcal{D}c \mathcal{D}d\delta \left(\hat{h}[h] - h\right) \exp\left(-S_X[X, h] - S_{gh}[b, c, d, h] - \lambda\chi\right)$$

we express the delta functional in terms of a path integral,

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}b \mathcal{D}c \mathcal{D}d \mathcal{D}B \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} B^{ab} \left(\hat{h}_{ab}[h] - h_{ab}\right)\right) \exp\left(-S_X[X, h] - S_{\mathrm{gh}}[b, c, d, h] - \lambda \chi\right)$$

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}b \mathcal{D}c \mathcal{D}d \mathcal{D}B \exp\left(-S_X[X, h] - S_{\mathrm{gh}}[b, c, d, h] - S_{\mathrm{gf}}[B, h] - \lambda \chi\right)$$

where we lastly defined the Gauge Fixing Action. This is the final expression for our path integral with the identifications,

$$S_X[X,h] + \lambda \chi = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int_M d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} h^{ab} \partial_a X^{\mu} \partial_b X_{\mu} + \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \int_M d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} R + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \int_{\partial M} ds K \qquad (A.6a)$$

$$S_{\rm gh}[b,c,d,h] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} b^{ab} [h_{ab}d + \nabla_a c_b]$$
(A.6b)

$$S_{\rm gf}[B,h] = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} B^{ab} \Big( \hat{h}_{ab}[h] - h_{ab} \Big)$$
 (A.6c)

#### **B** BRST Quantization

Following the action principle derived from the Faddeev-Popov Gauge Fixing A.6, we can describe it's BRST symmetry by the transformations of the *matter fields* under Gauge, we know the following,

$$X^{\mu}(\sigma) \to X'^{\mu}(\sigma'(\sigma)) = X^{\mu}(\sigma)$$
$$h_{ab}(\sigma) \to h'_{ab}(\sigma'(\sigma)) = \exp(2\omega(\sigma)) \frac{\partial \sigma^{c}}{\partial \sigma'^{a}} \frac{\partial \sigma^{d}}{\partial \sigma'^{b}} h_{cd}(\sigma)$$

which have an *infinitesimal* form,

$$\delta X^{\mu} = \xi^{a} \partial_{a} X^{\mu}$$
  
$$\delta h_{ab} = 2\omega h_{ab} + \nabla_{a} \xi_{b} + \nabla_{b} \xi_{a}$$

the BRST transformation can be obtained from these by the substitution inferred from the Faddeev-Popov gauge fix, that is,  $\xi_a \to i\epsilon c_a$  and  $\omega \to i\epsilon d$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a Grassmannian parametrization of the BRST transformation,

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}} X^{\mu} = i\epsilon c^{a} \partial_{a} X^{\mu}$$
  
$$\delta_{\text{BRST}} h_{ab} = 2i\epsilon dh_{ab} + 2i\epsilon \nabla_{(a} c_{b)}$$

This can be checked to be the right transformation by looking at how  $S_X$  transforms under it,

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}} S_X = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int_M d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} h^{ab} \partial_a X^{\mu} \partial_b \delta_{\text{BRST}} X_{\mu} + \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int_M d^2\sigma \, \delta_{\text{BRST}} \left(\sqrt{h} h^{ab}\right) \partial_a X^{\mu} \partial_b X_{\mu}$$

first, let's understood each variation part by part,

$$0 = \delta_{\text{BRST}} \delta_a^{\ c}$$

$$0 = \delta_{\text{BRST}} (h_{ab} h^{bc})$$

$$0 = h_{ab} \delta_{\text{BRST}} h^{bc} + h^{bc} \delta_{\text{BRST}} h_{ab}$$

$$h_{ab} \delta_{\text{BRST}} h^{bc} = -2i\epsilon h^{bc} (dh_{ab} + \nabla_{(a} c_{b)})$$

$$h^{da} h_{ab} \delta_{\text{BRST}} h^{bc} = -2i\epsilon h^{da} h^{bc} (dh_{ab} + \nabla_{(a} c_{b)})$$

$$\delta_b^d \delta_{\text{BRST}} h^{bc} = -2i\epsilon (dh^{dc} + \nabla^{(d} c^{c)})$$

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}} h^{dc} = -2i\epsilon (dh^{dc} + \nabla^{(d} c^{c)})$$

and,

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}}\sqrt{h} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \delta_{\text{BRST}}(\text{Det}[h_{ab}])$$

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}}\sqrt{h} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \delta_{\text{BRST}}(\exp\left(\ln\left(\text{Det}[h_{ab}]\right)\right))$$

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}}\sqrt{h} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \delta_{\text{BRST}}(\exp\left(\text{Tr}\left[\ln\left(h_{ab}\right)\right]\right))$$

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}}\sqrt{h} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} (\exp\left(\text{Tr}\left[\ln\left(h_{ab}\right)\right]\right)) \delta_{\text{BRST}}(\text{Tr}\left[\ln\left(h_{ab}\right)\right])$$

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}}\sqrt{h} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} h \operatorname{Tr}\left[\delta_{\text{BRST}}(\ln\left(h_{ab}\right)\right])$$

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}}\sqrt{h} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{h} \operatorname{Tr} \left[h^{ca}\delta_{\text{BRST}}h_{ab}\right]$$

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}}\sqrt{h} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{h}h^{ba}\delta_{\text{BRST}}h_{ab}$$

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}}\sqrt{h} = i\epsilon\sqrt{h}h^{ba}\left(dh_{ab} + \nabla_{(a}c_{b)}\right)$$

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}}\sqrt{h} = i\epsilon\sqrt{h}(2d + \nabla_{a}c^{a})$$

so that,

$$\begin{split} &\delta_{\text{BRST}}\Big(\sqrt{h}h^{ab}\Big) = \delta_{\text{BRST}}\Big(\sqrt{h}\Big)h^{ab} + \sqrt{h}\delta_{\text{BRST}}\big(h^{ab}\big) \\ &\delta_{\text{BRST}}\Big(\sqrt{h}h^{ab}\Big) = \mathrm{i}\epsilon\sqrt{h}(2d + \nabla_c c^c)h^{ab} - 2\mathrm{i}\epsilon\sqrt{h}\big(dh^{ab} + \nabla^{(a}c^{b)}\big) \\ &\delta_{\text{BRST}}\Big(\sqrt{h}h^{ab}\Big) = 2\mathrm{i}\epsilon\sqrt{h}\Big(\frac{1}{2}h^{ab}\nabla_c c^c - \nabla^{(a}c^{b)}\Big) \end{split}$$

Putting everything together now,

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\text{BRST}} S_X &= \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \sqrt{h} h^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b \delta_{\text{BRST}} X_\mu + \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \delta_{\text{BRST}} \Big( \sqrt{h} h^{ab} \Big) \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X_\mu \\ \delta_{\text{BRST}} S_X &= \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon}{2\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \sqrt{h} h^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b [c^c \partial_c X_\mu] + \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon}{2\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \sqrt{h} \Big( \frac{1}{2} h^{ab} \nabla_c c^c - \nabla^{(a} c^b) \Big) \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X_\mu \\ \delta_{\text{BRST}} S_X &= \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon}{2\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \sqrt{h} h^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu ((\nabla_b c^c) \partial_c X_\mu + c^c \nabla_b \nabla_c X_\mu) \\ &+ \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon}{2\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \sqrt{h} \Big( \frac{1}{2} h^{ab} \nabla_c c^c - \nabla^a c^b \Big) \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X_\mu \\ \delta_{\text{BRST}} S_X &= \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon}{2\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \sqrt{h} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X_\mu \nabla^a c^b + \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon}{2\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \sqrt{h} h^{ab} c^c \partial_a X^\mu \nabla_c \nabla_b X_\mu \\ &+ \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon}{2\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \sqrt{h} \Big( \frac{1}{2} h^{ab} \nabla_c c^c - \nabla^a c^b \Big) \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X_\mu \\ \delta_{\text{BRST}} S_X &= \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon}{2\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \sqrt{h} h^{ab} c^c \partial_a X^\mu \nabla_c \partial_b X_\mu + \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon}{4\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \sqrt{h} h^{ab} \nabla_c c^c \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X_\mu \\ \delta_{\text{BRST}} S_X &= \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon}{4\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \sqrt{h} c^c \nabla_c \left( h^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X_\mu \right) + \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon}{4\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \sqrt{h} h^{ab} \nabla_c c^c \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X_\mu \\ \delta_{\text{BRST}} S_X &= \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon}{4\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \sqrt{h} \nabla_c \left( c^c h^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X_\mu \right) \\ \delta_{\text{BRST}} S_X &= \frac{\mathrm{i}\epsilon}{4\pi\alpha'} \int\limits_M \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \, \partial_c \left( \sqrt{h} c^c h^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X_\mu \right) \end{split}$$

which is a total derivative that should be zero for the theory to be BRST invariant. What should also hold is  $S_{gh} + S_{gf}$  to be BRST exact, for ensuring this we need to know the BRST transformations of the ghosts and auxiliary fields,

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}} B_{ab} = 0$$
$$\delta_{\text{BRST}} b_{ab} = -\epsilon B_{ab}$$

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}}d = 0$$

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}}c^a = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\epsilon f^a_{\ bc}c^bc^c$$

To prove BRST exactness of  $S_{\rm gh} + S_{\rm gf}$  we have to prove  $S_{\rm gh} + S_{\rm gf} = \delta_{\rm BRST} \mathcal{O}$  for some combination of fields  $\mathcal{O}$ , luckily, the BRST procedure already has a candidate for this,

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}} \left( \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} b_{ab} \left( \hat{h}^{ab} - h^{ab} \right) \right) = i\epsilon \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} (2d + \nabla_c c^c) b_{ab} \left( \hat{h}^{ab} - h^{ab} \right)$$

$$+ \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} \delta_{\text{BRST}} (b_{ab}) \left( \hat{h}^{ab} - h^{ab} \right)$$

$$- \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} b_{ab} \delta_{\text{BRST}} \hat{h}^{ab}$$

$$+ 2i\epsilon \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} b_{ab} \left( dh^{ab} + \nabla^a c^b \right)$$

$$\delta_{\text{BRST}} \left( \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} b_{ab} \left( \hat{h}^{ab} - h^{ab} \right) \right) = -i\epsilon \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} b_{ab} \left( \hat{h}^{ab} - h^{ab} \right) (2d + \nabla_c c^c)$$

$$+ \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} \delta_{\text{BRST}} (b_{ab}) \left( \hat{h}^{ab} - h^{ab} \right)$$

$$- \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} b_{ab} \delta_{\text{BRST}} \hat{h}^{ab}$$

$$+ 4\pi i \epsilon S_{\text{gh}}$$